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# 1 Introduction

This document contains a summary of Quality report in Msg3 documents from agenda item 7.1.4 as referenced in Section 4 in order to facilitate decision making at RAN2#109bis-e.

# 2 Summary

## 2.1 Summary of issues

The following proposals are covered in this section

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [1] | Ericsson | Proposal 1 All the bits are used for QR “R+F2+E”.Contains text proposal providing 8 value mapping for using the 3 bits (7 values + no report)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| dl-MeasReport field | Rmax == 1 | 1 < Rmax <= 16 | Rmax >= 32 |
| 000 | No measurement/Not Supported | No measurement/Not Supported | No measurement/Not Supported |
| 001 | Aggregation level 1 | Repetition level 1 | Repetition level 4 |
| 010 | Aggregation level 2 | Repetition level 2 | Repetition level 8 |
| 011 | Aggregation level 4 | Repetition level 4 | Repetition level 16 |
| 100 | Aggregation level 8 | Repetition level 8 | Repetition level 32 |
| 101 | Aggregation level 16 | Repetition level 16 | Repetition level 64 |
| 110 | Aggregation level 24 and Repetition level 1 | Repetition level 32 | Repetition level 128 |
| 111 | Aggregation level 24 and Repetition level 2 | Repetition level 64 | Repetition level 256 |

 |
| [2] | Qualcomm | Proposal 1: Support 2-bit CQI based on solution 1 (using R and F2 bits only) in a MAC header with uplink LCID= any CCCH. |
| [3]  | Qualcomm | Contains text proposal providing 4 value mapping for using 2 bits (3 values + no report)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Codepoint/Index | Value |
| 00 | No short DCQR |
| 01 | Short DCQR 1 |
| 10 | Short DCQR 2 |
| 11 | Short DCQR 3 |

 |
| [4] | Huawei | Contains text proposal providing 4 value mapping for using 3 bits (4 values + presence bit)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| F2 | E | Comment |
| 0 | 0 | FFS/reserved |
| 0 | 1 | CQI 1 |
| 1 | 0 | CQI 2 |
| 1 | 1 | CQI 3 |

 |

### 2.1.2 Needs further discussion

All of the contributions to this meeting focus on a single issue, which is how to use the R+F2+E bits to convey a 2 bit quality report.

Note the agreement from RAN2#107

* For non-EDT, R+F2+E MAC subheader is used for 2-bit DL quality report.

After RAN2#107, the proposal in [2] to update the agreement to use only R+F2 has been discussed several times, and the various technical pros and cons have already been debated, with no clear consensus to change the agreement.

Since in this meeting there are 2 companies proposing to use all 3 bits in line with existing agreements, and 1 company proposing to use only 2 bits, it is proposed:

**Proposal S1-1: Confirm that R+F2+E are used.**

**Company views (to be completed during the meeting)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree (yes/no)** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm | No | As stated previously and in [2] the previous agreement implies R+F2+E are candidates for 2-bit DL quality report.In RAN2/#109 two email discussions were conducted on this topic and in both cases more companies supporting solution using R+F2 bits only.In this meeting **11** companies have co-sourced the proposals in [2] to use R+F2 bits only for 2-bit CQI. |
| Sequans | No | We also understood the original agreement to mean a subset of R+F2+E may also be used. We prefer the R+F2 solution and have co-sourced the proposals in [2] |

The proposal in [1] to utilise all 3 bits to convey additional codepoints is a new proposal. While this makes the most of the 3 bits for the purpose of channel quality reporting, the drawbacks with this proposal compared to both [2]/[3] and [4] are even more severe since it means that none of R, F2 + E are available for future extensions.

**Proposal S1-2: Confirm that the reported values consist of 2-bits (4 codepoints).**

**Company views (to be completed during the meeting)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree (yes/no)** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm | Yes | We agree with rapporteur that 3-bit solution is even less forward compatible when there are two or more MAC headers in the MAC PDU.We agree the 2-bit report with the code points as defined by RAN1 i.e. R=0 & F2=0 to mean no report. |
| Sequans | Yes | 2-bit Quality report design was handled by RAN1 as an accessory solution and 3 values should be enough for that. While we are sympathetic to wanting the additional values, we do not think this warrants the forward compatibility problems of the new 3-bit solution.  |

The last remaining question is what to do with the additional codepoint, since RAN1 re-used the NB-IoT 3-value mapping without considering or knowing the number of available bits/codepoints. It is possible to either reserve for future use or introduce an additional codepoint. From RAN2 point of view reserving or defining is equivalent, but the mapping should be decided by RAN1 and RAN4.

**Proposal S1-3: Send LS to RAN1 and RAN4 to indicate the short channel quality report has 4 available codepoints.**

**Company views (to be completed during the meeting)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree (yes/no)** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm | No | RAN1 has already defined what the 4 code points mean and RAN2 just needs to confirm this. That is, 3-code points for short CQI and 1 code point to mean no CQI report. |
| Sequans | No | Agree with Qualcomm |

# 3 Conclusions (to be updated following offline)

**Needs further discussion:**

**Proposal S1-1: Confirm that R+F2+E are used.**

**Proposal S1-2: Confirm that the reported values consist of 2-bits (4 codepoints).**

**Proposal S1-3: Send LS to RAN1 and RAN4 to indicate the short channel quality report has 4 available codepoints.**
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