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# 1 Introduction

This report is the outcome of the following discussion.

* [AT109bis-e][209][NR MOB] Resolution to remaining open issues of CPC (CATT)

Scope:

* + - Identify if any critical issues are remaining for the CPC based on this meeting’s contributions and attempt to identify company views to those

      Intended outcome:

* + - Discussion summary document in [R2-2003849](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003849.zip), including agreeable proposals for closing critical open issues (if possible) and list of non-critical issues that should no longer be pursued in Rel-16
		- The proposed agreements in [R2-2003849](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003849.zip) will be handled in the Monday 2020-04-27 Web conference session

      Deadlines for providing comments and for rapporteur inputs:

* + - Initial deadline (for companies' feedback):  Thursday 2020-04-23 12:00 UTC
		- Initial deadline (for rapporteur's summary in [R2-2003849](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003849.zip)):  Friday 2020-04-24 12:00 UTC

# 2 Remaining open issues of CPC

The open issues identified at the last meeting (RAN2#109-e) was discussed in email discussion [post109e@13][NR MOB] and these issues will be discussed online based on summary of email discussion [post109e@13][NR MOB] in [4]. This discussion is therefore focused on the newly identified open issues according to the contributions submitted to this meeting.

**Release of SCG**

In RAN2#109-e meeting, it was agreed to release all CPC-intra-SN configurations stored on the UE upon the successful completion of conventional PSCell change procedure and successful execution of CPC-intra-SN procedure. For CHO, it was agreed that CHO configuration stored in UE shall be removed by the UE when entering IDLE or INACTIVE. However, as pointed out in [1], there is no agreement on the handling of CPC configuration upon the release of SCG. [1] proposed to release the UE stored CPC configuration and the linked measurement configuration upon the release of SCG. According to the proposal in [1], the UE autonomously releases the stored CPC configuration and linked measurement configuration upon the release of SCG.

**Question 1: Companies are requested to comment on whether the UE autonomously releases the stored CPC configuration upon the SCG release.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comment |
| NEC | Yes | it is simple for UE to release the stored CPC configuration upon SCG release  |
| Nokia | Likely OK | But to clarify: SCG is released by the MN which may not be aware of the configured CPC candidates? Or what is exactly the scenario here which would justify such action executed in autonomous manner? |

If the CPC configuration stored in the UE is retained and not released when NR SCG is released, this would lead to a scenario where UE is no longer in MR-DC but still maintains the conditional configuration for PSCell change. Since simultaneous configuration of CHO and CPC cannot be provided to a UE, as pointed out in [1], there is only one variable defined for storing the conditional configuration. The received configuration is stored in a common variable i.e. CPC and CHO configurations are stored in VarConditionalConfig. This may create ambiguity to the UE if the stored CPC configuration is retained after SCG is released. [1] proposed that the UE stored CPC configuration should be released when NR SCG is released. Additionally, measID and reportConfig associated with CPC config, and measObject(s) only associated to CPC shall be removed when SCG is released. An example of required specification changes to enable the release of CPC configuration and relevant measurement configuration is shown below [1].

#### 5.3.5.4 Secondary cell group release

The UE shall:

1> as a result of SCG release triggered by E-UTRA (i.e. (NG)EN-DC case) or NR (i.e. NR-DC case):

2> reset SCG MAC, if configured;

2> for each RLC bearer that is part of the SCG configuration:

3> perform RLC bearer release procedure as specified in 5.3.5.5.3;

2> release the SCG configuration;

2> if CPC was configured,

3> remove all the entries within *VarConditionalConfig*, if any;

3> for each *measId* of the source SpCell configuration, if the associated *reportConfig* has a *reportType* set to *condTriggerConfig*:

4> for the associated *reportConfigId*:

5> remove the entry with the matching *reportConfigId* from the *reportConfigList* within the *VarMeasConfig*;

4> if the associated *measObjectId* is only associated to a *reportConfig* with *reportType* set to *cho-TriggerConfig*:

5> remove the entry with the matching *measObjectId* from the *measObjectList* within the *VarMeasConfig*;

4> remove the entry with the matching *measId* from the *measIdList* within the *VarMeasConfig*;

2> stop timer T310 for the corresponding SpCell, if running;

2> stop timer T312 for the corresponding SpCell, if running;

2> stop timer T304 for the corresponding SpCell, if running.

NOTE: Release of cell group means only release of the lower layer configuration of the cell group but the *RadioBearerConfig* may not be released.

**Question 2: Companies are requested to comment on whether measID and reportConfig associated with CPC config, and measObject(s) only associated to CPC shall be removed when SCG is released and also on the suggested specification change.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comment |
| NEC | Yes | firstly, we do not think this is the case. Only if the UE does not release CPC config, the proposed changes above would be mostly valid. For details of text, it seems better to rephrase the first “if” sentence like:2> if CPC **configuration is stored** ~~was configured~~, |
| Nokia | Yes | OK to remove all content from VarConditionalConfig, as there should be no other elements there related to CHO/CPC (if CHO cannot be configured together with CPC). |

**On informing the selected PSCell to the target gNB-CU in case of CU/DU split.**

[2] has highlighted a potential issue of CPC operation in CU/DU architecture. In case of intra-CU CPC, multiple candidate PSCells can be configured in one gNB-DU or multiple gNB-DUs linked with one gNB-CU. Upon triggering the execution of CPC, the UE shall perform RA to the selected PSCell resided in the target gNB-DU and send the RRCReconfigurationComplete message to the target gNB-DU if SRB3 is configured. Then the target gNB-DU shall send the UL RRC MESSAGE TRANSFER message to transfer the RRCReconfigurationComplete message (if any) to the gNB-CU over the F1-C interface. In case SRB3 is not configured, at the execution of CPC, the UE shall send a RRC message to the MN including an embedded RRCReconfigurationComplete message to the SN, and then the MN shall transfer the SN RRCReconfigurationComplete message to the SN gNB-CU via Xn/X2 signalling. However, if multiple candidate PSCells are configured in one gNB-DU, the gNB-CU may have no idea of which candidate PSCell is selected by the UE since there is no target cell information included in the existing UL RRC MESSAGE TRANSFER or RRCReconfigurationComplete message.

**Question 3: Do company acknowledge the potential problem highlighted in [2] where the gNB-CU may have no knowledge of which candidate PSCell is selected by the UE in case multiple candidate PSCells are configured in one gNB-DU?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comment |
| NEC | Yes | if more than one candidate PSCells are configured under one gNB-DU, the gNB-CU may not know which candidate is selected. |
| Nokia |  | The same problem is valid in the architecture without CU/DU split, so we are not sure why this particular case and architecture shall be our primary focus, at the end of WI, where we seem to specify an absolute minimum for CPC? |

If the answer to Q3 is yes, [2] suggested two different approaches to solve the ambiguity. The following alternative approaches could be used to indicate the selected PSCell to the target gNB-CU:

**Alt. 1** (RAN2 based solution): include the indication of selected cell identification information (e.g. candidate conditional configuration index) in the RRCReconfigurationComplete message.

**Alt. 2** (RAN3 based solution): leave this issue to RAN3 and send one LS to inform RAN3 about this.

In Alt.1, the selected cell identification information is indicated in the RRCReconfigurationComplete message. Regardless of SRB3 or SRB1 is used to signal the RRCReconfigurationComplete, RRCReconfigurationComplete terminates at gNB-CU. Thus, the gNB-CU is made aware of the selected cell identification.

In Alt.2, RAN3 is asked to consider a solution on how to inform the selected target PSCell to the gNB-CU for both cases where SRB1 or SRB3 is used to signal the CPC configuration.

**Question 4: Companies are requested to comment on which approach is to be used, in case of need for informing the gNB-CU of the selected target PSCell by the UE (i.e if the answer to Q3 is yes).**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Alt 1/Alt 2 | Comment |
| NEC | Alt 1 | Our preference is Alt1 so that RAN2 can close this issue soon. The condConfigId could be one solution as indicated.  |
| Nokia | None | Alt 1 could be considered, but not solely for CU/DU split. |

**Target CPC configuration with legacy HO command**

In the last meeting, it was agreed to not to support target CHO configuration in legacy HO command or target CHO configuration in target CHO command in Rel-16. [2] requested a similar discussion should take place for CPC configuration. It should be discussed whether target CPC configuration in legacy HO command or target CPC configuration in target CPC command is supported or not. Even though RAN2 signalling support for such configuration may be straightforward, it may have potential impact on SA3 and RAN3 for inter-gNB target PSCell candidates. Similar to CHO, the support of CPC configuration with legacy HO command or support of target CPC configuration with target CPC command could be left to future release.

**Question 5: Companies are requested to comment on whether support of target CPC configuration in legacy HO command or target CPC configuration in target CPC command should not be considered in Rel-16, i.e. such configuration support is left to future release.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comment |
| NEC | No | In Rel-16, it is sufficient to go for the same way as CHO. |
| Nokia | No | It seems companies do not want to even allow ‘normal’ SCG configuration in CHO command (as per 109#12 discussion), so it would be weird to allow inserting CPC config into the HO command or CPC command. |

**Simultaneous CHO +CPC configuration**

In [3], it was requested to reconsider the previous agreement on support of simultaneous CHO+CPC configuration.

S1\_5: Support of CHO and CPC-intra-SN configuration simultaneously is not considered in Rel-16. Leave it up to the network solution to ensure there is no simultaneous CHO and CPC configuration.

[3] argued that according to the current specification, there is no major issue of simultaneous CHO+CPC configuration as the conditional reconfiguration is deleted upon the execution of conditional configuration. This means that upon execution of CHO, all CHO and CPC configurations are deleted and upon CPC execution all CHO and CPC configurations are deleted. However, the UE behaviour has not been defined if the condition for CHO and CPC are met at the same time. [3] proposed to leave the decision to the UE implementation if the condition for CHO and CPC are met at the same time. [3] proposed to change the previous agreement and to allow simultaneous CHO+CPC configuration. If the previous agreement is changed, RAN3 should also be informed.

**Question 6: Should the previous agreement on simultaneous CHO+CPC configuration be changed? If so, this means that simultaneous CHO+CPC configuration is allowed and the decision on which procedure to follow is left to the UE implementation, in case of condition for CHO and CPC execution are met at the same time**. **RAN3 should also be informed.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comment |
| NEC | No | Upon receiving RAN2 LS, RAN3 is discussing the issue. RAN2 should not revisit this agreement in Rel-16. |
| Nokia | No | The LS was sent to RAN3. We should let them consider the topic. Moreover, the authors of [3] seem to simplify the entire issue, thinking this is just about how this is captured in the specification (i.e. as the same IE is used, this should be easy and doable), while we believe it is not so straightforward. For example, the UE would have to simultaneously monitor cells for CHO and CPC, etc.  |

# 3 Conclusions
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