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# 1 Brief scope of the LTE legacy contributions

This document contains the summary of documents from agenda item 4.5 (“Other LTE corrections Rel-15 and earlier”) as referenced in Section 4.

# 2 LTE legacy summary

## 2.1 Pre-Rel-15 topics

The documents in [3-7], [17-23], [8-11] and [14-16] all concern pre-Rel-15 topics as shown below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Tdoc(s), Title, Company** | **Proposal(s)** |
| 1) [R2-2003147](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003147.zip), [R2-2003148](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003148.zip), [R2-2003149](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003149.zip), [R2-2003150](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003150.zip), [R2-2003151](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003151.zip), “Clarification to UE capabilities for non-contiguous intra-band CA“ Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell  AND  [R2-2003548](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003548.zip), [R2-2003549](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003549.zip), [R2-2003550](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003550.zip), [R2-2003551](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003551.zip), [R2-2003552](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003552.zip), [R2-2003553](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003553.zip), [R2-2003554](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003554.zip), “Clarification on UE capability for intra-band non-continuous CA”, Huawei, Hisilicon | **Discussed already in RAN2#109-e**  CRs from Rel-12 to clarify intra-band non-contiguous is handled as intra-band contiguous as proposed by discussion document. |
| 2) [R2-2003152](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003152.zip), [R2-2003153](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003153.zip), [R2-2003154](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003154.zip): “Clarification on codebook-HARQ-ACK-r13 capability for CA with more than 5CCs“ Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, Qualcomm Incorporated | **Discussed already in RAN2#109-e**  Clarify it is mandatory for UEs to support both CC and DAI for more than 5CCs. |
| 3) [R2-2003451](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003451.zip), [R2-2003452](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003452.zip), [R2-2003453](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003453.zip):”Correction on autonomous measurment gap release”, Huawei, HiSilicon | **New proposal (potentially related to [AT109e][069][NR15])**  Clarify which measurement gap configurations are released upon handover and re-establishment |

For the topics 1) and 2), they were already discussed in RAN2#109-e, with the following conclusions (from RAN2#109-e email discusion [203]):

**As per the report of offline discussion [203] in** [**R2-200176:**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-200176:.zip)

**For** [**R2-2001134**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2001134.zip)**:**

**RAN2 note the following observations on differences of UE capabilities for intra-band contiguous and non-contiguous CA:**

**- Intra-band contiguous CA capabilities are all contained within a single band entry of a band combination, while intra-band non-contiguous CA capabilities require at least two band entries.**

**- For intra-band contiguous carriers, UE band combination capabilities specify that UE supports any ordering of the capabilities.**

**- (Based on TS36.101): The ordering of intra-band non-contiguous entries is relevant for the support of BCS.**

**- (Based on TS36.101): The ordering of BCS is not directly related to the MIMO capabilities.**

**FFS: if UE supports (2, 4) MIMO layers with CA\_xA\_xA, it will also support (4, 2) MIMO layers with CA\_xA\_xA.**

**The CRs in** [**R2-2001135**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2001135.zip)**,** [**R2-2001136**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2001136.zip)**,** [**R2-2001137**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2001137.zip)**,** [**R2-2001138**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2001138.zip) **are postponed.**

**The CRs in** [**R2-2001140**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2001140.zip)**,** [**R2-2001141**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2001141.zip)**,** [**R2-2001142**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2001142.zip) **are postponed to next meeting.**

Hence, for this meeting, 1) is about capturing the agreements and 2) continues the disucssion that wasn’t concluded, and 3) requires new discussion:

* [R2-2003147](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003147.zip), [R2-2003148](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003148.zip), [R2-2003149](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003149.zip), [R2-2003150](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003150.zip), [R2-2003151](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003151.zip) and [R2-2003548](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003548.zip), [R2-2003549](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003549.zip), [R2-2003550](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003550.zip), [R2-2003551](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003551.zip), [R2-2003552](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003552.zip), [R2-2003553](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003553.zip), [R2-2003554](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003554.zip): Both document sets discuss the same question already discussed last time. Based on teh last meeting’s conclusion, the issues exists but how a correction should be worded and from which release onwards should a correction be made was not decided.
* [R2-2003152](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003152.zip), [R2-2003153](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003153.zip), [R2-2003154](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003154.zip): These CRs attempt to clarify the meaning of “mandatory” for a Rel-13 capability, which requires companies to indicate whether they share the interpretation proposed. This requires (short) discussion on whether the interpretation is correct, whether a CR is needed and from which release.
* [R2-2003451](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003451.zip), [R2-2003452](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003452.zip), [R2-2003453](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003453.zip): The CR attempts to clarify that releasing measurement gap configurations applies to both legacy *MeasGapConfig* as well as *MeasGapConfigPerCC-List* introduced in Rel-14. The correction seems straightforward an inline with existing interpretation.

**DISC S1\_1:** Discuss, based on [R2-2003147](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003147.zip), [R2-2003148](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003148.zip), [R2-2003149](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003149.zip), [R2-2003150](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003150.zip), [R2-2003151](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003151.zip) and [R2-2003548](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003548.zip), [R2-2003549](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003549.zip), [R2-2003550](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003550.zip), [R2-2003551](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003551.zip), [R2-2003552](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003552.zip), [R2-2003553](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003553.zip), [R2-2003554](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003554.zip), what to capture in specifications and from which release onwards.

**DISC S1\_2:** Discuss the CRs [R2-2001140](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2001140.zip), [R2-2001141](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2001141.zip), [R2-2001142](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2001142.zip) to determine if the interpretation is correct and how a correction should be captured (if needed).

**Proposal S1\_1:** Agree to CRs in [R2-2003451](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003451.zip), [R2-2003452](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003452.zip), [R2-2003453](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003453.zip).

## 2.2 Miscellaneous Rel-15 corrections

The CRs in [1-2], [12-12] and [24-28] all concern Rel-15 as shown below:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Tdoc, Title, Company** | **Proposal(s)** |
| 4) [R2-2003232](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003232.zip), [R2-2003233](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003233.zip): “Minor changes collected by Rapporteur”, Samsung Telecommunications | **Rapporteur input on ASN.1 naming**  When dash (i.e. “-“) is used in ENUMERATED, it denotes a negative value, which is not correct fpor the MBMS SCS case. |
| 5) [R2-2002619](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2002619.zip), [R2-2002620](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2002620.zip): “Correction on SRB duplication”, OPPO | **New proposal**  PDCP specification sub-clause 5.1.2.4 does not contain “SRB” although it was part of an earlier agreed CR from RAN2#102, which may be (mis)interpreted to mean that the procedures in 5.1.2.4 are not applicable for SRB duplication. |
| 6) [R2-2003569](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003569.zip), [R2-2003570](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003570.zip), [R2-2003571](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003571.zip), [R2-2003572](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003572.zip), [R2-2003573](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003573.zip): “Correction on Need code for CMAS”, Huawei, HiSilicon | **New proposal:**  Current need code for CMAS coordinate segment is OR (LTE) or R (NR), which may be interpreted to mean that absence in one message requires UE to drop all previously received segments. |

Out of these proposals, 4) and 5) seem relatively straightforward to agree so the summary rapporteur proposes to treat them as a batch of agreeable CRs.

**Proposal S2\_1:** Agree to CRs in [R2-2003232](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003232.zip), [R2-2003233](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003233.zip), [R2-2002619](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2002619.zip), [R2-2002620](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2002620.zip).

For the proposals in 6) , the main issue is related to both LTE and NR, is about general ASN.1 and needs a common understanding for both systems. Therefore, it will be handled in NR joint session

**Proposal S2\_2:** Handle the contributions in [R2-2003569](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003569.zip), [R2-2003570](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003570.zip), [R2-2003571](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003571.zip), [R2-2003572](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003572.zip), [R2-2003573](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003573.zip) main session.

# 3 Company comments to the contributions

## 3.1 Pre-Rel-15 contributions requiring discussion (email discussion [202])

## 3.1.1 [R2-2003147](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003147.zip), [R2-2003148](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003148.zip), [R2-2003149](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003149.zip), [R2-2003150](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003150.zip), [R2-2003151](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003151.zip): Clarification to UE capabilities for non-contiguous intra-band CA: (Nokia) and [R2-2003548](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003548.zip), [R2-2003549](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003549.zip), [R2-2003550](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003550.zip), [R2-2003551](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003551.zip), [R2-2003552](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003552.zip), [R2-2003553](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003553.zip): Clarification on UE capability for intra-band non-continuous CA (Huawei)

This section deals with DISC\_S1\_1:

***DISC S1\_1:*** *Discuss, based on* [*R2-2003147*](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003147.zip)*,* [*R2-2003148*](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003148.zip)*,* [*R2-2003149*](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003149.zip)*,* [*R2-2003150*](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003150.zip)*,* [*R2-2003151*](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003151.zip) *and* [*R2-2003548*](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003548.zip)*,* [*R2-2003549*](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003549.zip)*,* [*R2-2003550*](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003550.zip)*,* [*R2-2003551*](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003551.zip)*,* [*R2-2003552*](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003552.zip)*,* [*R2-2003553*](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003553.zip)*,* [*R2-2003554*](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003554.zip)*, what to capture in specifications and from which release onwards.*

Hence, it should be discussed how to capture a clarification and from which release onwards. Companies are requested to provide comments in the tables 1 and 2 below (one row for each new comment to better keep track of the discussion – please don’t edit the previous comments.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **From which release onwards should something be captured and why?** |
| OPPO | The issue may need to be further clarified, i.e., for an intra-band non-contiguous CA,  For two-entry combination of intra-band non-contingorous CA, e.g., xI\_xII (i.e., the combination of two band entries, sub-block1 for band x with bandwidth class I, and the sub-block2 for band x with bandwidth class II), there seems no essential difference if one treat this band combination as xI\_xII or xII\_xI (somehow depending on whether the order is treated from left or right hand side)? As long as the network configure the MIMO capability together with the bandwidth class for a single subblock, i.e., in a combination way (e.g., for 41A\_41C, there might be a coupling between 2 layer MIMO with C, and 4 layer MIMO with A).  There seems no 3 sub-block combination, i.e., xI\_xII\_xIII, so we assume no need to consider that.  Based on the understanding till now, we believe there is no need to go for this CR. |
| HW | We think changes should be started from Rel-10 in which intra-band non-continuous CA was started to be supported. |
|  |  |

**Table 1. Starting release for the correction CRs**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Detailed comments on how to capture a clarification** |
| HW | We would like to clarify our understanding here. We think the UE capability for intra-band non-continuous CA can be “partial” agnostic to the order. For carriers sharing the same uplink capability, the UE capability can be agnostic to the order. Let us have an example here. If there are in total two carriers e.g., A and B. A can support both UL and DL while B is a DL-only carrier. In this case, when performing carrier aggregation, only carrier A can be allocated as the Pcell while carrier B can only be as Scell. If the reported MIMO layer capability is (2,4) then the NW should not interpret it as (4,2) since this may exceed what the UE actually support which may lead to a drop of the link in the worst case. For another case, if there are 3 carriers aggregated, e.g., A B and C. A and C can support both UL and DL while B is a DL-only carrier, then in this case, the UE capability can be agnostic to the order between A and C. If the reported MIMO layer capability is (2,4,4) then the NW can interpret A supports 2 and C supports 4 or A supports 4 and C supports 2 as A and C have the same uplink capability and there is no need to distinguish between them. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Table 2. Details of the correction CRs**

**Conclusions:** TBA

## 3.1.2 [R2-2003152](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003152.zip), [R2-2003153](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003153.zip), [R2-2003154](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003154.zip): “Clarification on codebook-HARQ-ACK-r13 capability for CA with more than 5CCs“ (Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, Qualcomm Incorporated)

This section deals with DISC\_S2\_1:

***DISC S1\_2:*** *Discuss the CRs* [*R2-2001140*](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2001140.zip)*,* [*R2-2001141*](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2001141.zip)*,* [*R2-2001142*](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2001142.zip) *to determine if the interpretation is correct and how a correction should be captured (if needed).*

Companies are requested to provide comments in the table below (one row for each new comment to better keep track of the discussion – please don’t edit the previous comments.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Detailed comments on the proposal** |
| OPPO | We are generally fine with the intention to clarify.  But maybe the cover page can further clarify the gap between the original text of “For both solutions, it is mandatory for UEs of this release of the specification if carrier aggregation with more than 5 DL component carriers is supported. “ and the new text of “If the UE supports carrier aggregation with more than 5 DL component carriers, it is mandatory to support HARQ ACK codebook size determination based on”, if that is the key motivation of this CR (e.g., one may understand that the mandatory above in legacy spec already covers the RAN1 requirement ?). |
| HW | Actually we are still confused about what is the real problem if nothing is changed? According to our understanding, the current wording already reflects the RAN1 agreement.  For the first and/or, we think as there are two bits for this capability, it can indicates   1. Only (a)DAI based solution is supported 2. Only (b)CC based solution is supported 3. Both solutions are supported.   So this and/or makes sense.  For the second sentence “For both solutions, it is mandatory for UEs of this release of the specification ***(to support)*** if carrier aggregation with more than 5 DL component carriers is supported.”  We think the original intention to express that “it is mandatory for the UE to support….” But “to support” as we cited above is omitted (maybe to avoid using too many “support”)  So here “it” does not refer to the solutions. Even though “to support” is omitted, at least for now, we don’t think it makes the spec not clear and we don’t see any problem if nothing is changed. |
|  |  |

**Table 3. Details of the correction(s) in** [**R2-2003152**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003152.zip)**,** [**R2-2003153**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003153.zip)**,** [**R2-2003154**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003154.zip)

**Conclusions:** TBA

## 3.2 Other corrections (email discussion [201])

This section deals with the remaining corrections that are proposed to be agreed by Proposal S1\_1 and S2\_1 as shown below:

***Proposal S1\_1:*** *Agree to CRs in* [*R2-2003451*](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003451.zip)*,* [*R2-2003452*](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003452.zip)*,* [*R2-2003453*](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003453.zip)*.*

***Proposal S2\_1:*** *Agree to CRs in* [*R2-2003232*](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003232.zip)*,* [*R2-2003233*](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003233.zip)*,* [*R2-2002619*](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2002619.zip)*,* [*R2-2002620*](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2002620.zip)*.*

Companies are requested to indicate in case there are issues with the proposals in the summary in the table below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Issues to CRs in** [**R2-2003451**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003451.zip)**,** [**R2-2003452**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003452.zip)**,** [**R2-2003453**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003453.zip) |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Table 4. Issues with any of the CRs proposed for agreement by S1\_1**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Issues to CRs in** [**R2-2003232**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003232.zip)**,** [**R2-2003233**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003233.zip)**,** [**R2-2002619**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2002619.zip)**,** [**R2-2002620**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2002620.zip) |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Table 5. Issues with any of the CRs proposed for agreement by S2\_1**

**Conclusions:** TBA

# 4 Conclusions

**Agreements proposed to be agreed in this meeting (from all sub-topics)**

**Proposal S1\_1:** Agree to CRs in [R2-2003451](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003451.zip), [R2-2003452](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003452.zip), [R2-2003453](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003453.zip).

**Proposal S2\_1:** Agree to CRs in [R2-2003232](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003232.zip), [R2-2003233](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003233.zip), [R2-2002619](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2002619.zip), [R2-2002620](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2002620.zip).

**Proposal S2\_2:** Handle the contributions in [R2-2003569](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003569.zip), [R2-2003570](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003570.zip), [R2-2003571](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003571.zip), [R2-2003572](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003572.zip), [R2-2003573](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003573.zip) main session.

**Open items proposed to be further discussed in this meeting (from all sub-topics)**

**DISC S1\_1:** Discuss, based on [R2-2003147](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003147.zip), [R2-2003148](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003148.zip), [R2-2003149](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003149.zip), [R2-2003150](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003150.zip), [R2-2003151](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003151.zip) and [R2-2003548](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003548.zip), [R2-2003549](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003549.zip), [R2-2003550](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003550.zip), [R2-2003551](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003551.zip), [R2-2003552](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003552.zip), [R2-2003553](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003553.zip), [R2-2003554](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003554.zip), what to capture in specifications and from which release onwards.

**DISC S1\_2:** Discuss the CRs [R2-2001140](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2001140.zip), [R2-2001141](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2001141.zip), [R2-2001142](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2001142.zip) to determine if the interpretation is correct and how a correction should be captured (if needed).

# 5 List of referenced documents

[1] [R2-2002619](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2002619.zip) Correction on SRB duplication OPPO

[2] [R2-2002620](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2002620.zip) Correction on SRB duplication OPPO

[3] [R2-2003147](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003147.zip) Clarification to UE capabilities for non-contiguous intra-band CA Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell

[4] [R2-2003148](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003148.zip) Clarification to UE capabilities for non-contiguous intra-band CA Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell

[5] [R2-2003149](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003149.zip) Clarification to UE capabilities for non-contiguous intra-band CA Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell

[6] [R2-2003150](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003150.zip) Clarification to UE capabilities for non-contiguous intra-band CA Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell

[7] [R2-2003151](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003151.zip) Clarification to UE capabilities for non-contiguous intra-band CA Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell

[8] [R2-2003152](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003152.zip) Clarification on codebook-HARQ-ACK-r13 capability for CA with more than 5CCs Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, Qualcomm Incorporated

[9] [R2-2003153](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003153.zip) Clarification on codebook-HARQ-ACK-r13 capability for CA with more than 5CCs Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, Qualcomm Incorporated

[10] [R2-2003154](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003154.zip) Clarification on codebook-HARQ-ACK-r13 capability for CA with more than 5CCs Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, Qualcomm Incorporated

[11] [R2-2003155](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003155.zip) Clarification on codebook-HARQ-ACK-r13 capability for CA with more than 5CCs Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, Qualcomm Incorporated

[12] [R2-2003232](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003232.zip) Minor changes collected by Rapporteur Samsung Telecommunications

[13] [R2-2003233](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003233.zip) Minor changes collected by Rapporteur Samsung Telecommunications

[14] [R2-2003451](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003451.zip) Correction on autonomous measurment gap release Huawei, HiSilicon

[15] [R2-2003452](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003452.zip) Correction on autonomous measurment gap release Huawei, HiSilicon

[16] [R2-2003453](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003453.zip) Correction on autonomous measurment gap release Huawei, HiSilicon

[17] [R2-2003548](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003548.zip) Clarification on UE capability for intra-band non-continuous CA Huawei, Hisilicon

[18] [R2-2003549](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003549.zip) Clarification on UE capability for intra-band non-continuous CA Huawei, Hisilicon

[19] [R2-2003550](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003550.zip) Clarification on UE capability for intra-band non-continuous CA Huawei, Hisilicon

[20] [R2-2003551](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003551.zip) Clarification on UE capability for intra-band non-continuous CA Huawei, Hisilicon

[21] [R2-2003552](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003552.zip) Clarification on UE capability for intra-band non-continuous CA Huawei, Hisilicon

[22] [R2-2003553](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003553.zip) Clarification on UE capability for intra-band non-continuous CA Huawei, Hisilicon

[23] [R2-2003554](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003554.zip) Clarification on UE capability for intra-band non-continuous CA Huawei, Hisilicon

[24] [R2-2003569](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003569.zip) Discussion on Need code for CMAS Huawei, HiSilicon

[25] [R2-2003570](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003570.zip) Correction on Need code for CMAS Huawei, HiSilicon

[26] [R2-2003571](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003571.zip) Correction on Need code for CMAS Huawei, HiSilicon

[27] [R2-2003572](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003572.zip) Correction on Need code for CMAS Huawei, HiSilicon

[28] [R2-2003573](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003573.zip) Correction on Need code for CMAS Huawei, HiSilicon