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# Introduction

This document summarizes the following email discussion.

* [AT109bis-e][064][NR15] XDD FRX differentiation (Qualcomm)

Scope: Reply LS to R1, In this context, clarify the meaning of/how current signaling works. Determine whether clarifications to current TS is needed. Can discuss how to extend if/when needed.

Intended outcome: Approved LS, Report and/or clarification CR (if agreed).

Deadline: April 29 0700 UTC

# Discussion

## UE setting of xDD FRx split capabilities

During the online discussion the following two interpretations were identified on how the UE sets xDD FRx split capabilities when the feature is supported only in one of duplex modes or frequency range.

(The following description is difficult to understand. It is recommended to look at example scenarios further down).

**Interpretation 1** (e.g. [R2-2002573](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2002573.zip))

* The UE indicates the feature support for a duplex mode (e.g. TDD), regardless of whether the UE supports the feature in all supported combination(s) of the duplex mode and frequency range(s) (e.g. FR1-TDD, FR2-TDD) according to the reported frequency band capability.
* The UE indicates the feature support for a frequency range (e.g. FR1), regardless of whether the UE supports the feature in all supported combination(s) of the frequency range and duplex mode(s) (e.g. FR1-FDD, FR1-TDD) according to the reported frequency band capability.

**Interpretation 2** (e.g. [R2-2003269](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003269.zip)):

* The UE indicates the feature support for a duplex mode (e.g. TDD), only when the UE supports the feature in all supported combination(s) of the duplex mode and frequency range(s) (e.g. FR1-TDD, FR2-TDD) according to the reported frequency band capability.
* The UE indicates the feature support for a frequency range (e.g. FR1), only when the UE supports the feature in all supported combinations of the frequency range and duplex mode(s) (e.g. FR1-FDD, FR1-TDD) according to the reported frequency band capability.
* Interpretation 3 (e.g. [R2-2003454](file:///D%3A%5C%5CDocuments%5C%5C3GPP%5C%5Ctsg_ran%5C%5CWG2%5C%5CTSGR2_109bis-e%5C%5CDocs%5C%5CR2-2003454.zip%22%20%5Co%20%22D%3ADocuments3GPPtsg_ranWG2TSGR2_109bis-eDocsR2-2003454.zip) Discussion on capabilities with XDD-FRX differentiations Huawei, HiSilicon)

the interpretation is following the 38.306 description, i.e. xdd/frx-Add-UE-NR/MRDC-Capabilities are set the values only when the feature is different in the supported duplex mode(s) and frequency range(s) of the UE. The below is the table of the summary of supported scenarios and 0/1 here means whether we set the xdd/frx-Add-UE-NR/MRDC-Capabilities accordingly.

* Table1: FRX/XDD Combinations supported by RAN2

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Row | FDD-ADD | TDD-ADD | FR1-ADD | FR2-ADD | Common container set | Combinations supported by RAN2  |
| 1-1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No- Differentiation of the 4 modesThis means the capability is not supported by the UE |
| 1-2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | No- Differentiation of the 4 modesThis means the capability is supported by the UE |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | This is for those capabilities which are included in both XDD-Add and FRX-Add capabilities.FR2-TDD + FR2-FDD |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | This is for those capabilities which are included in both XDD-Add and FRX-Add capabilities.FR1-TDD + FR1-FDD |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | NA | Not-valid (NOTE1: No-Differentiation for XDD/FRX shall not include report this combination) |
| 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | This is for those capabilities which are included in both XDD-Add and FRX-Add capabilities.FR1-TDD + FR2-TDD |
| 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | This is for those capabilities which are included in both XDD-Add and FRX-Add capabilities.FR2-TDD |
| 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | This is for those capabilities which are included in both XDD-Add and FRX-Add capabilities.FR1-TDD |
| 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | NA | Not-valid (NOTE1) |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | This is for those capabilities which are included in both XDD-Add and FRX-Add capabilities.FR1-FDD + FR2-FDD |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | This is for those capabilities which are included in both XDD-Add and FRX-Add capabilities.FR2-FDD (NOTE1) |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | This is for those capabilities which are included in both XDD-Add and FRX-Add capabilities.FR1-FDD (NOTE1) |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | NA | Not-valid (NOTE1) |
| 13 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | NA | Not-valid (NOTE1) |
| 14 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | NA | Not-valid (NOTE1) |
| 15 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | NA | Not-valid (NOTE1) |
| 16 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | NA | Not-valid (NOTE1) |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | 1 | This is for those capabilities which are only included in XDD-Add capabilities.In this case this capability is supported by the UE |
| 18 | 0 | 1 | NA | NA | 0 | This is for those capabilities which are only included in XDD-Add capabilities.In this case this capability is supported by the UE for TDD, irrespective which FR it is. |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | NA | NA | 0 | This is for those capabilities which are only included in XDD-Add capabilities.In this case this capability is supported by the UE for FDD, irrespective which FR it is supported. |
| 20 | NA | NA | 0 | 0 | 1 | This is for those capabilities which are only included in FRX-Add capabilities.In this case this capability is supported by the UE |
| 21 | NA | NA | 1 | 0 | 0 | This is for those capabilities which are only included in FRX-Add capabilities.In this case this capability is supported by the UE for FR1, irrespective which XDD it is supported. |
| 22 | NA | NA | 0 | 1 | 0 | This is for those capabilities which are only included in FRX-Add capabilities.In this case this capability is supported by the UE for FR2, irrespective which XDD it is supported. |

Let’s look at some scenarios for easier understanding of the two different interpretations above.

**Scenario 1:**

* The UE reports the support for FR1 FDD band, FR1 TDD band, and FR2 TDD band.
* The UE supports the feature only for FR2 TDD
* **With interpretation 1:**
	+ The UE includes the capability in tdd-Add-UE-NR/MRDC-Capabilities.
	+ The UE includes the capability in fr2-Add-UE-NR/MRDC-Capabilities.
* **With interpretation 2:**
	+ The UE does **NOT** include the capability in tdd-Add-UE-NR/MRDC-Capabilities.

(because the UE does not support the feature for TDD with all applicable frequency ranges according to the supported frequency bands; in this case FR1 TDD and FR2 TDD.

* + The UE includes the capability in fr2-Add-UE-NR/MRDC-Capabilities.

(because the UE supports the feature for FR2 with all applicable duplex mode according to the supported frequency bands; in this case FR2 TDD.

**Scenario 2:**

* The UE reports the support for FR1 FDD band and FR2 TDD band.
* The UE supports the feature only for FR2 TDD (same as scenario 1)
* **With interpretation 1:**
	+ The UE includes the capability in tdd-Add-UE-NR/MRDC-Capabilities.
	+ The UE includes the capability in fr2-Add-UE-NR/MRDC-Capabilities.
* **With interpretation 2:**
	+ The UE includes the capability in tdd-Add-UE-NR/MRDC-Capabilities.

(because the UE support the feature for TDD with all applicable frequency range according to the supported frequency bands; in this case only FR2-TDD)

* + The UE includes the capability in fr2-Add-UE-NR/MRDC-Capabilities.

(because the UE support the feature for FR2 with all applicable duplex mode according to the supported frequency bands; in this case only FR2-TDD)

As can be observed, the key difference in interpretation 2 is that the setting of xdd/frx-Add-UE-NR/MRDC-Capabilities is dependent on the supported frequency bands that the UE reports in the UE radio capability.

Companies are requested to provide their comment on the two interpretations as described above.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm Incorporated | Our understanding is in line with the interpretation 1. We also see a few technical drawbacks for the interpretation 2.With the interpretation 1, the network considers that the UE supports the feature in duplex mode + frequency range combination, only if the UE signals the support of the feature for both the duplex mode and the frequency range. With interpretation 2 however, the network needs to look into the xDD FRx split capabilities AND frequency band capability of the UE to derive the true UE capability.Furthermore, with the interpretation 2, the UE needs to change the setting of xDD FRx split capabilities according to the frequency bands the UE reports. It should be noted that the frequency bands the UE reports is subject to UE capability filter. |
| OPPO | Agree with Qualcomm’s comments. |
| Samsung | We are not sure this interpretation is the main points. According to the TS 38.306, these xdd/frx-Add-UE-NR/MRDC-Capabilities are set the values only when the feature is different in the supported duplex mode(s) and frequency range(s) of the UE. Share the view on R2-2003454.For example, we explain our understanding for signalling of dynamicSFI in PHY-Parameters1. Step 1: UE set the dynamicSFI for supported all xDD/FRx as common signaling
* phy-ParametersXDD-Diff in phy-Parameters
* phy-ParametersFRX-Diff in phy-Parameters
1. Step 2: UE include a parameter to set in 4 xdd/frx-Add-UE-NR/MRDC-Capabilities if the supporting of feature in this mode is different with the previous.

If we go back to the scenario 1/2 above, we think the signalling would be below regardless of the reported bands from UE.1. Step 1: UE set the dynamicSFI to support in phy-ParametersFRX-Diff in phy-Parameters
2. Step 2: Skip this step

If we must select the interpretation above, then we think the interpretation 2 but only scenario 1 is the correct signalling. |
| ZTE | Agree with Qualcomm, we are in line with the interpretation 1. We think interpretation 1 does not preclude the UE setting the common signalling, e.g. phy-ParametersXDD-Diff, or phy-ParametersFRX-Diff in phy-Parameters. For instance, a UE supports dynamicSFI only for FR1 TDD and FR1 FDD. Then UE will set dynamicSFI to “support” in the followings:* phy-ParametersXDD-Diff in phy-Parameters;
* fr1-Add-UE-NR-Capabilities->phy-ParametersFRX-Diff.

For scenario1/2, because the UE supports the feature only for FR2 TDD, so common signalling will not be used. |
| Vivo | There seems to be the third interpretation for this issue in R2-2003454 .Interpretation3: In RAN2 specification shown above, the UE capability indication is included in the 4 xADD capability sets if only this feature is different in the supported duplex mode(s) and frequency range(s) of the UE. That means the capability indication in xADD capability sets is used to indicate whether the capability is different for XDD or FRX rather than indicate whether the capability is supported for XDD or FRX. Absent of the capability indication in xADD capability sets doesn’t mean the UE doesn’t support this the feature in XDD or FRX. For example, Absent of Capability-A in TDD-ADD and FDD-ADD sets means the UE will support or not support Capability-A both in FDD and TDD, and whether the UE support Capability-A would depend on whether the UE indicates this Capability-A in FR1-ADD or FR2-ADD sets. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | We share the same view as Samsung. In 38.306 it is clearly stated that only the support is different for a feature, the UE includes the corresponding parameters in xdd/frx-Add-UE-NR/MRDC-Capabilities. And in our analysis scenario 2 cannot be supported based on current signalling. For the Annex 1, we think case 3 and case 8, there is no capability set for common. For case 3, FR1-Add and FR2-Add capability are set to ‘0’; for case 8, TDD-Add and FDD-Add capability are set to ‘0’ to indicate there is no difference between tdd/fdd or FR1/FR2. We have the same understanding on other cases.  |
| Apple | We also share the understanding from Samsang that scenario 2 can not be supported by current signaling as the XDD capabilty is applicable to both FR1 and FR2 thus it is not possible to support TDD+FR2 but not TDD+FR1. For FDD, we can assume FR2 does not support FDD. |
| CATT | For this part of the TS 38.306, we actually agree with interpretation from Samsung and Huawei. Essentially the four guys fdd-Add, tdd-Add, fr1-Add, fr2-Add, according to the spec, only contain the feature(s) that are **additional** functionality applicable for that particular duplex mode or FR.It is due to this reason that the combination of support in FR1 FDD + FR2 TDD becomes problematic, i.e., it is not additional neither from FDD vs TDD point of view, nor from FR1 vs FR2 point of view.  |
| NTT DOCOMO | Interpretation 1. Since LTE era, we’ve never discussed that per-UE capability with FDD/TDD split (and FR1/FR2 split) hinges on the supported frequency band. If it were the case, such a capability should have been specified per frequency band. |
| Ericsson | We think the main point is to have a common understanding on the signalling we currently have. Maybe this signaling could have been nicer in one way or the other, but at this stage we should keep it as it is and just clarify how it is supposed to currently work. To this end, we think interpretation 2 is correct according to the procedures defined in clause 4.2.1 in TS 38.306. We agree with the general principle (also mentioned by CATT above) from interpretation 3 that the fdd-Add, tdd-Add, fr1-Add, fr2-Add are used to report additional functionality applicable for that particular duplex mode or FR. However, interpretation 2 and 3 diverge when it comes to define what is considered additional UE functionality for a duplex mode or FR. Since current procedures for such additional capabilities in 38.306 do not differentiate e.g. FRX when checking additional capabilities for FDD or TDD. Therefore, when checking we think it implies that a field for additional functionality e.g. for TDD is only used when such functionality is supported for all FRX the UE supports. |
| Intel | We share the same view as Samsung/Huawei/Apple. We better arrive at a common understanding first, as the company views are quite diverse ☺..! |

## “Problematic case”

The problematic case which the UE would not be able to signal true UE capability depends on the interpretation on how the UE sets xDD FRx split capabilities.

* **With interpretation 1 (see Annex 1):**
	+ FR1 FDD: Supported
	+ FR1 TDD: Not supported
	+ FR2 TDD: Supported
* **With interpretation 2 (see Annex 2):**
	+ FR1 FDD: Not supported
	+ FR1 TDD: Supported
	+ FR2 TDD: Not supported
	+ The UE supports FR1 FDD, FR1 TDD, and FR2 TDD

Companies are requested to state if they agree to the above or not.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Agree / Disagree** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm Incorporated | Agree |  |
| OPPO | Agree |  |
| Samsung | Disagree | We agree with that the problematic combination is supporting the FR1-FDD/FR2-TDD and not supporting FR1-TDD.From signalling this xDD/FRx differenciation, we share the view explained in Huawei’s contribution (R2-2003454). |
| ZTE | Agree |  |
| vivo | disagree | We also share the view explained in Huawei’s contribution (R2-2003454) |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Disagree | We agree the problem is FR1-FDD+FR2-TDD, but the signalling rationale is different as explained above. |
| Apple | See comments | We agree the problematic combo is FR1 FDD+FR2 TDD (not support FR1 TDD). |
| CATT | Disagree.  | We previous comment. Due to the limitation of the 4 xdd/frx-add signalling, theoretically we will see issue in these casesFR1 FDD + FR2 TDDFR1 TDD + FR2 FDD.But for the 2nd one there seems to be no real use case at the moment. |
| NTT DOCOMO | Agree |  |
| Ericsson | Agree | We agree that depending on the interpretation adopted, it will lead to lack of signalling for the corresponding interpretation as described above. |
| Intel | Agree | We agree that the UE cannot report such combinations. But do we have such in rel-15 so far? Our view is to ask RAN1 (and also check in RAN2) for such cases. If not, we can start handling this in rel16. |

## Need of specification clarification

Companies are requested to provide their comment on whether any clarification of the standard is necessary. If so, how.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Interpretation 1 or 2** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm Incorporated | Interpretation 1 | We can consider adding expected network behaviour, which will also serve as UE implementation guidance, e.g. * NOTE: In case the UE signals its capability in fdd-Add-UE-NR/MRDC-Capabilities, tdd-Add-UE-NR/MRDC-Capabilities, fr1-Add-UE-NR/MRDC-Capabilities and/or fr2-Add-UE-NR/MRDC-Capabilities, the network considers that the UE supports the feature in a given combination of duplex mode and frequency range, only if the UE signals the support of the feature for both the duplex mode and the frequency range.
 |
| OPPO | Interpretation1 | We agree that such kind of is helpful. In addition we are fine not to fix it in Release15 since no such details occur yet. But we think RAN2 should figure out solution for Rel16 for forward compatibility. Following sentence need be added into the NOTE proposed by Qualcomm:In this Release UE can’t signal that it supports a per UE capability for FR1 FDD band and FR2 TDD band, but not for FR1 TDD band. |
| Samsung |  | Our understanding expressed above is based on the current description in TS 38.306, so if companies will agree on this interpretation, we think no further clarification is needed. Maybe we just capture the RAN2 understanding in the minute. |
| ZTE | Interpretation 1  | We think adding a Note in 38.306 is helpful, the proposed wording from Qualcomm can be updated a bit, taking into account the common signalling case.  |
| vivo |  | “if UE supports both FDD and TDD and” and “if UE supports both FR1 and FR2 and” give some confusions. We prefer removing “if UE supports both FDD and TDD and” and “if UE supports both FR1 and FR2 and”1> set all fields of UE-NR/MRDC-Capability except fdd-Add-UE-NR/MRDC-Capabilities, tdd-Add-UE-NR/MRDC-Capabilities, fr1-Add-UE-NR/MRDC-Capabilities and fr2-Add-UE-NR/MRDC-Capabilities, to include the values applicable for all duplex mode(s) and frequency range(s) that the UE supports;1> ~~if UE supports both FDD and TDD and~~ if (some of) the UE capability fields have a different value for FDD and TDD2> if for FDD, the UE supports additional functionality compared to what is indicated by the previous fields of UE-NR/MRDC-Capability:3> include field fdd-Add-UE-NR/MRDC-Capabilities and set it to include fields reflecting the additional functionality applicable for FDD;2> if for TDD, the UE supports additional functionality compared to what is indicated by the previous fields of UE-NR/MRDC-Capability:3> include field tdd-Add-UE-NR/MRDC-Capabilities and set it to include fields reflecting the additional functionality applicable for TDD;1> ~~if UE supports both FR1 and FR2 and~~ if (some of) the UE capability fields have a different value for FR1 and FR2:2> if for FR1, the UE supports additional functionality compared to what is indicated by the previous fields of UE-NR/MRDC-Capability:3> include field fr1-Add-UE-NR/MRDC-Capabilities and set it to include fields reflecting the additional functionality applicable for FR1;2> if for FR2, the UE supports additional functionality compared to what is indicated by the previous fields of UE-NR/MRDC-Capability:3> include field fr2-Add-UE-NR/MRDC-Capabilities and set it to include fields reflecting the additional functionality applicable for FR2; |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | To be further discussed | We agree that the case is not supported in Rel-15 is combining FR1-FDD and FR2-TDD. It is fine to add a note or have the common understanding in chair’s notes. However we think the most important thing is to first align the understanding on the current signalling. |
| Apple | See comment | We think the proposal from Qualcomm is helpful. |
| CATT | See comment | The real problematic case seems to be FR1-FDD + FR2-TDD. There are then two options, i.e., Option 1 - to live with the issue and have no changes to the spec. (perhaps useful to clarify the common understanding to the signalling interpretations and real problematic cases in ran2)Option 2 - to introduce some spec change so that this particular combination can be indicated by the UE. Currently we tend to go with option 1 because in our understanding this case might not be that critical/practical. If majority supports option 2 we are open to discuss. But preferable explicit signalling is used instead of some fancy redefinition of existing states… |
| NTT DOCOMO | Interpretation 1 | Nice to clarify the rule to void the potential misunderstanding in future for further. |
| Ericsson |  | We are fine to add some clarification to 4.2.1 in 38.306 after we have common understanding on how it works, a note could be sufficient. |
| Intel | Agree | Agree to clarify in spec based on whatever common understanding we arrive in RAN2. |

## LS response to RAN1

RAN2 response to RAN1 LS [R2-2003269](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003269.zip), is going to be slightly different between the two interpretations.

* **With interpretation 1:**
	+ RAN2 confirms the current UE capability signaling does not allow the UE to signal support for a feature in the case identified by RAN1.
	+ No consensus in RAN2 whether the problematic case should be addressed or not.
	+ RAN2 asks RAN1 whether they see the need of a solution where the UE can indicate the support of a feature in the problematic case.
* **With interpretation 2:**
	+ RAN2 confirms the current UE capability signaling does not allow the UE to signal support for a feature in a specific case, but such case is different from the one identified by RAN1.
	+ No consensus in RAN2 whether the problematic case should be addressed or not ???
	+ RAN2 asks RAN1 whether they see the need of a solution where the UE can indicate the support of a feature in the problematic case ???

## Solution to address the “problematic case”

## Further problems to indicate the capabilities for SUL/SDL bands only for FR1 case

According to TS 38.101-1 v16.30, the operating bands in FR1 is defined in Table 5.2-1, see below table.

There are 3 SDL bands (i.e. n29, n75, n76) and 8 SUL bands (i.e. n80, n81, n82, n83, n84, n86, n89, n95) and some bands have corresponding TDD or FDD band but others don’t have any corresponding band.

1. SDL bands
* n29: no corresponding band
* others (n75, n76): have corresponding TDD bands (n50, n51)
1. SUL bands
* n95: have corresponding TDD band (n34)
* others (n80, n81, n82, n83, n84, n86, n89): have corresponding FDD band (n66, n8, n20, n1, n66, n5, n34)

The question is that how the capability parameters for SUL/SDL bands can be signalled, and how the differentiation is applied for this SUL/SDL bands. If there are no exception case (n29 and n95), the simplest way would be the capability parameters for SUL/SDL bands follow the signalling rule of the corresponding bands (i.e. SDL follows the corresponding TDD band, SUL follows the corresponding FDD band).

It would be better to ask this aspect to RAN4 because RAN2 cannot solve this issue without further information.

Do companies agree with that there are no way to signalling rule for SUL/SDL bands? If yes, how we solve this?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **View****Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Samsung | Yes | RAN2 need to send LS to ask how UE provide the capabilities for SUL/SDL bands, and how xDD differenciation of SUL/SDL is supported. |
| Apple | Perhaps | This is an interesting problem and could be further discussed. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon |  | We think it would be good to first allow companies to check internally. |
| CATT | Can further check |  |
| NTT DOCOMO | Yes | Agree, but in general such a band specific capability should not be defined per-UE… |
| Ericsson |  | We think it could be good to allow more time for checking this. |
| Intel | We are ok check either internally or through an LS |  |

Table 5.2-1: NR operating bands in FR1

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| NR operating band | Uplink (UL) *operating band*BS receive / UE transmitFUL\_low  – FUL\_high | Downlink (DL) *operating band*BS transmit / UE receiveFDL\_low – FDL\_high | Duplex Mode |
| n1 | 1920 MHz – 1980 MHz | 2110 MHz – 2170 MHz | FDD |
| n2 | 1850 MHz – 1910 MHz | 1930 MHz – 1990 MHz | FDD |
| n3 | 1710 MHz – 1785 MHz | 1805 MHz – 1880 MHz | FDD |
| n5 | 824 MHz – 849 MHz | 869 MHz – 894 MHz | FDD |
| n7 | 2500 MHz – 2570 MHz | 2620 MHz – 2690 MHz | FDD |
| n8 | 880 MHz – 915 MHz | 925 MHz – 960 MHz | FDD |
| n12 | 699 MHz – 716 MHz | 729 MHz – 746 MHz | FDD |
| n14 | 788 MHz – 798 MHz | 758 MHz – 768 MHz | FDD |
| n18 | 815 MHz – 830 MHz | 860 MHz – 875 MHz | FDD |
| n20 | 832 MHz – 862 MHz | 791 MHz – 821 MHz | FDD |
| n25 | 1850 MHz – 1915 MHz | 1930 MHz – 1995 MHz | FDD |
| n26 | 814 MHz – 849 MHz | 859 MHz – 894 MHz | FDD |
| n28 | 703 MHz – 748 MHz | 758 MHz – 803 MHz | FDD |
| n29 | N/A | 717 MHz – 728 MHz | SDL |
| n303 | 2305 Mhz – 2315 MHz | 2350 MHz – 2360 MHz | FDD |
| n34 | 2010 MHz – 2025 MHz | 2010 MHz – 2025 MHz | TDD |
| n38 | 2570 MHz – 2620 MHz | 2570 MHz – 2620 MHz | TDD |
| n39 | 1880 MHz – 1920 MHz | 1880 MHz – 1920 MHz | TDD |
| n40 | 2300 MHz – 2400 MHz | 2300 MHz – 2400 MHz | TDD |
| n41 | 2496 MHz – 2690 MHz | 2496 MHz – 2690 MHz | TDD |
| n48 | 3550 MHz – 3700 MHz | 3550 MHz – 3700 MHz | TDD |
| n50 | 1432 MHz – 1517 MHz | 1432 MHz – 1517 MHz | TDD1 |
| n51 | 1427 MHz – 1432 MHz | 1427 MHz – 1432 MHz | TDD |
| n53 | 2483.5 MHz – 2495 MHz | 2483.5 MHz – 2495 MHz | TDD |
| n65 | 1920 MHz – 2010 MHz | 2110 MHz – 2200 MHz | FDD4 |
| n66 | 1710 MHz – 1780 MHz | 2110 MHz – 2200 MHz | FDD |
| n70 | 1695 MHz – 1710 MHz | 1995 MHz – 2020 MHz | FDD |
| n71 | 663 MHz – 698 MHz | 617 MHz – 652 MHz | FDD |
| n74 | 1427 MHz – 1470 MHz | 1475 MHz – 1518 MHz | FDD |
| n75 | N/A | 1432 MHz – 1517 MHz | SDL |
| n76 | N/A | 1427 MHz – 1432 MHz | SDL |
| n77 | 3300 MHz – 4200 MHz | 3300 MHz – 4200 MHz | TDD |
| n78 | 3300 MHz – 3800 MHz | 3300 MHz – 3800 MHz | TDD |
| n79 | 4400 MHz – 5000 MHz | 4400 MHz – 5000 MHz | TDD |
| n80 | 1710 MHz – 1785 MHz | N/A | SUL  |
| n81 | 880 MHz – 915 MHz | N/A | SUL  |
| n82 | 832 MHz – 862 MHz | N/A | SUL  |
| n83 | 703 MHz – 748 MHz | N/A | SUL |
| n84 | 1920 MHz – 1980 MHz | N/A | SUL |
| n86 | 1710 MHz – 1780 MHz | N/A | SUL |
| n89 | 824 MHz – 849 MHz | N/A | SUL |
| n90 | 2496 MHz – 2690 MHz | 2496 MHz – 2690 MHz | TDD5 |
| n91 | 832 MHz – 862 MHz | 1427 MHz – 1432 MHz | FDD9 |
| n92 | 832 MHz – 862 MHz | 1432 MHz – 1517 MHz | FDD9 |
| n93 | 880 MHz – 915 MHz | 1427 MHz – 1432 MHz | FDD9 |
| n94 | 880 MHz – 915 MHz | 1432 MHz – 1517 MHz | FDD9 |
| n958 | 2010 MHz – 2025 MHz | N/A | SUL |
| NOTE 1: UE that complies with the NR Band n50 minimum requirements in this specification shall also comply with the NR Band n51 minimum requirements.NOTE 2: UE that complies with the NR Band n75 minimum requirements in this specification shall also comply with the NR Band n76 minimum requirements.NOTE 3: Uplink transmission is not allowed at this band for UE with external vehicle-mounted antennas.NOTE 4: A UE that complies with the NR Band n65 minimum requirements in this specification shall also comply with the NR Band n1 minimum requirements.NOTE 5: Unless otherwise stated, the applicability of requirements for Band n90 is in accordance with that for Band n41; a UE supporting Band n90 shall meet the requirements for Band n41. A UE supporting Band n90 shall also support band n41.NOTE 6: A UE that supports NR Band n66 shall receive in the entire DL operating band.NOTE 7: A UE that supports NR Band n66 and CA operation in any CA band shall also comply with the minimum requirements specified for the DL CA configurations CA\_n66B and CA\_n66(2A) in the current version of the specification.NOTE 8: This band is applicable in China only.NOTE 9: Variable duplex operation does not enable dynamic variable duplex configuration by the network, and is used such that DL and UL frequency ranges are supported independently in any valid frequency range for the band. |
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# Annex 1

**Table-1:** Current UE capability bit setting

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| True UE capability | UE capability bits | UE capability container |
| **Case 1** | * FR1 FDD: ‘supported’
* FR1 TDD: ‘supported’
* FR2 TDD: ‘supported
 | FDD | Yes | Common |
| TDD |
| FR1 |
| FR2 |
| **Case 2** | * FR1 FDD: ‘not supported’
* FR1 TDD: ‘not supported’
* FR2 TDD: ‘not supported
 | FDD | No |  |
| TDD |
| FR1 |
| FR2 |
| **Case 3** | * FR1 FDD: ‘not supported’
* FR1 TDD: ‘supported’
* FR2 TDD: ‘supported
 | FDD | No |  |
| TDD | Yes | tdd-Add |
| FR1 | Yes | Common |
| FR2 |
| **Case 4** | * FR1 FDD: ‘not supported’
* FR1 TDD: ‘not supported’
* FR2 TDD: ‘supported
 | FDD | No |  |
| TDD | Yes | tdd-Add |
| FR1 | No |  |
| FR2 | Yes | fr2-Add |
| **Case 5** | * FR1 FDD: ‘not supported’
* FR1 TDD: ‘supported’
* FR2 TDD: ‘not supported
 | FDD | No |  |
| TDD | Yes | tdd-Add |
| FR1 | Yes | fr1-Add |
| FR2 | No |  |
| **Case 6** | * FR1 FDD: ‘supported’
* FR1 TDD: ‘not supported’
* FR2 TDD: ‘supported
 | FDD | **Not possible to express** |  |
| TDD |
| FR1 |
| FR2 |
| **Case 7** | * FR1 FDD: ‘supported’
* FR1 TDD: ‘not supported’
* FR2 TDD: ‘not supported
 | FDD | Yes | fdd-Add |
| TDD | No |  |
| FR1 | Yes | fr1-Add |
| FR2 | No |  |
| **Case 8** | * FR1 FDD: ‘supported’
* FR1 TDD: ‘supported’
* FR2 TDD: ‘not supported
 | FDD | Yes | Common |
| TDD |
| FR1 | Yes | fr1-Add |
| FR2 | No |  |

# Annex 2

Table indicating support of a feature with FR1/FR2, TDD/FDD differentiation:

Label

1 UE supports the feature for the given FRX/XDD mode

0 UE does not support the feature for the given FRX/XDD

x UE does not support the given FRX/XDD mode

fdd UE includes the feature in fdd-Add-UE-NR/MRDC-Capabilities

tdd UE includes the feature in tdd-Add-UE-NR/MRDC-Capabilities

fr1 UE includes the feature in fr1-Add-UE-NR/MRDC-Capabilities

fr2 UE includes the feature in fr2-Add-UE-NR/MRDC-Capabilities

common UE includes the feature in the common branch for features that do not require FR1/FR2 or FDD/TDD differentiation

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FR1 only |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | FDD | TDD |  |   | FDD | TDD |  |   | FDD | TDD |
| FR1 | 1 | 0 |  | FR1 | 0 | 1 |  | FR1 | 1 | 1 |
| FR2 | x | x |  | FR2 | x | x |  | FR2 | x | x |
| fdd |  | tdd |  | common |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | FDD | TDD |  |   | FDD | TDD |  |  |  |  |
| FR1 | 1 | x |  | FR1 | x | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| FR2 | x | x |  | FR2 | x | x |  |  |  |  |
| common |  | common |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TDD only  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | FDD | TDD |  |   | FDD | TDD |  |   | FDD | TDD |
| FR1 | x | 1 |  | FR1 | x | x |  | FR1 | x | 1 |
| FR2 | x | 1 |  | FR2 | x | 1 |  | FR2 | x | 0 |
| common |  | common |  | fr1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | FDD | TDD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FR1 | x | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FR2 | x | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| fr2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FR1+FR2  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | FDD | TDD |  |   | FDD | TDD |  |   | FDD | TDD |
| FR1 | 1 | 0 |  | FR1 | 0 | 1 |  | FR1 | 0 | 0 |
| FR2 | x | 0 |  | FR2 | x | 0 |  | FR2 | x | 1 |
| fdd |  | new signaling |  | fr2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | FDD | TDD |  |   | FDD | TDD |  |   | FDD | TDD |
| FR1 | 1 | 1 |  | FR1 | 0 | 1 |  | FR1 | 1 | 0 |
| FR2 | x | 0 |  | FR2 | x | 1 |  | FR2 | x | 1 |
| fr1+fdd |  | fr2+tdd |  | fr2+fdd |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | FDD | TDD |  |   | FDD | TDD |  |   | FDD | TDD |
| FR1 | 1 | 1 |  | FR1 | 1 | x |  | FR1 | 0 | x |
| FR2 | x | 1 |  | FR2 | x | 1 |  | FR2 | x | 1 |
| common |  | common |  | fr2+tdd |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | FDD | TDD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FR1 | 1 | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FR2 | x | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| fr1+fdd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Irrelevant cases |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | FDD | TDD |  |   | FDD | TDD |  |   | FDD | TDD |
| FR1 | 0 | x |  | FR1 | x | 0 |  | FR1 | x | x |
| FR2 | x | x |  | FR2 | x | x |  | FR2 | x | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | FDD | TDD |  |   | FDD | TDD |  |   | FDD | TDD |
| FR1 | 0 | 0 |  | FR1 | x | 0 |  | FR1 | 0 | x |
| FR2 | x | x |  | FR2 | x | 0 |  | FR2 | x | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | FDD | TDD |  |   | FDD | TDD |  |  |  |  |
| FR1 | 0 | 0 |  | FR1 | x | x |  |  |  |  |
| FR2 | x | 0 |  | FR2 | x | x |  |  |  |  |