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# 1 Introduction

This document contains a list of TDocs to be discussed in the offline discussion below. Companies are invited to give their views on each TDoc submitted.

* [AT109bis-e][059][NR15] LTE changes related to NR (Ericsson, CATT, Google, Nokia)

Scope: Treat all docs under AI 5.4.2

Part 1: Determine which issues that need resolution, find agreeable proposals. Deadline: April 23 0700 UTC

Part 2: For the parts that are agreeable, discussion will continue to agree on CRs.

# 2 List of TDocs

Companies are invited to give their views on each TDoc submitted below. TDoc containing Rel-16 shadow CR is listed together with the Rel-15 CR.

## R2-2002645 (+ R2-2002597) – Calculation of shortResumeMAC-I

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Views** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## R2-2002788 – Release of EN-DC

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Views** |
| Nokia | Did we misunderstand something? The release and add would automatically do the parts that the CR wants to include. Could you please clarify? |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## R2-2003684 – UE measurement capability requirements for NR

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Views** |
| Nokia | We are in general okay with the principle but then about the exact values here. Is UE really expected to support the minimum of 32 cells for measObject NR (inter-RAT in this case, as CR is for LTE) and 32 cells in blackcell list? The table generally looks quite consistent and that value has remained fairly the same? Could you please explain? |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## R2-2003156 (+ R2-2003157) – TTI bundling config. in NE-DC

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Views** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Conclusion

TBA