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# 1 Introduction

This document is to kick off the following email discussion:

* [AT109bis-e][039][DCCA] Fast MCG Link Recovery (Ericsson)

Scope: Treat topics in 6.10.6, based on R2-2003199 and ASN.1 issues and RRC corrections. Can start discussion on non-controversial proposals immediately, if any. Wait for on-line discussion for controversial proposal.

Part 1: Determine which issues that need resolution, find agreeable proposals. Deadline: April 24 0700 UTC

# 2 Discussion

## 2.1 Summary of [Post109e#27][DCCA] Fast MCG recovery ([R2-2003199](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003199))

Regarding the fast MCG recovery, the email discussion in R2-2003199 covered two main aspects related to the SN change as result of fast MCG recovery procedure and the support of the inter-RAT HO and other handover scenarios as described in Table B-1 of TS 37.340. According to this, companies are request to provide inputs about the summary of the email discussion.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Proposal(Agree/Disagree) | Comments |
| Nokia | P1, P2, P3 – agreeP4 – Disagree in its current wording | P4 ends: “(inter-RAT HO is excluded)”. This is misleading. The origin is probably Q5 of the discussion (that lead to P4) where inter-RAT handover was out of scope. Therefore, we propose the following re-wording:Proposal 4 **Apart from inter-RAT HO,** according to Table B-1 of TS 37.340, all handover scenario that have a DC option in the column “from” are supported in fast MCG recovery. |
| Qualcomm | Agree P1-P3, and disagree P4 (with wording changes is fine) | We agree with Nokia’s suggested change. And on top of that, we suggest below change:Proposal 4 **Apart from inter-RAT HO,** according to Table B-1 of TS 37.340, all handover scenario that have a DC option in the column “from” are supported in fast MCG recovery **via split SRB1**.The reason is that some scenarios (from NE-DC) can’t be supported via SRB3 because there is no SRB3 in NE-DC:• Case 2: NE-DC to LTE-EPC;• Case 3: NE-DC to LTE-5GC; Case 7: NE-DC to UTRAN-FDD (i.e. SRVCC from 5G to 3G) |
| BT | Agree - P2, P3Disagree – P1Disagree – P4 with current wording | We agree with proposals 2 and 3.We don’t agree with proposal 1 as it is. The fact that fast MCG recovery is left to network implementation may cause problems for MNOs in areas with more than one infra-vendor. From the previous discussion, it is clear that not all of them share the same view. For that reason, we consider that RAN2 shall capture in a stage-2 spec note the restrictions when fast MCG recovery is active.Proposal 4, we agree with QC. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.2 Other contributions for fast MCG recovery

The following contributions addressed topic that have been treated during the email discussion and thus we propose to not formulate any specific proposal.

[R2-2002647](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_109bis-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2002647.zip) Remaining issues in Fast MCG Recovery Qualcomm Incorporated discussion LTE\_NR\_DC\_CA\_enh-Core

[R2-2002700](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_109bis-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2002700.zip) Support of Inter-RAT handover upon MCG failure recovery ZTE Corporation, Sanechips discussion Rel-16 LTE\_NR\_DC\_CA\_enh-Core

[R2-2002992](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_109bis-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2002992.zip) CR37340 on fast MCG recovery support vivo CR Rel-16 37.340 16.1.0 0191 - B LTE\_NR\_DC\_CA\_enh-Core

However, in R2-2002700, the proposal 3 and 4 were not really discussed in the email discussion and therefore companies are asked to provide their input on those. The mentioned proposals are as follow:

**Proposal 3   Inter-RAT handover towards GERAN/UTRAN via SRB3 is supported upon MCG failure recovery, including the following scenarios:**

         **Case 6: EN-DC to GERAN/UTRAN;**

         **Case 7: NE-DC to UTRAN-FDD (i.e. SRVCC from 5G to 3G)**

         **Case 8: NR-DC to UTRAN-FDD (i.e. SRVCC from 5G to 3G)**

**Proposal 4   UE can include UTRAN-FDD measurement results in MCG Failure Information message.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Proposal(Agree/Disagree) | Comments |
| Nokia | P3 - AgreeP4 - Agree |  |
| Qualcomm | P3 with changeP4 -with clarification | As we indicated in Q1, some scenarios are not supported unless we allow SRB3 in NE-DC. Thus, we suggest below change:**Proposal 3   Inter-RAT handover ~~towards GERAN/UTRAN~~ via SRB3 is supported upon MCG failure recovery, including the following scenarios:****• Case 1: (NG)EN-DC to NR;****• Case 4: NR-DC to LTE-EPC;****• Case 5: NR-DC to LTE-5GC;****Case 6: EN-DC to GERAN/UTRAN;**~~~~**~~Case 7: NE-DC to UTRAN-FDD (i.e. SRVCC from 5G to 3G)~~**         **Case 8: NR-DC to UTRAN-FDD (i.e. SRVCC from 5G to 3G)**For P4, although we think it is not important, we can accept it. But we think the UE can include UTRAN-FDD measurements in MCG failure information only when the UE supports the SRVCC capability (i.e. handoverUTRA-FDD) |
| BT | Agree – P4Depends – P3 | As we highlighted above, RAN6 will be close so, for proposal 3 we need to make sure there is no impact in GERAN/UTRAN. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.3 ASN.1 issues and RRC Corrections

According to chairman notes, the following contributions are classified as ASN.1 issues and RRC Corrections.

[R2-2003425](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_109bis-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2003425.zip) [Z301] Correcction for SCG RLC failure during fast MCG recovery ZTE Corporation, Sanechips discussion Rel-16 LTE\_NR\_DC\_CA\_enh-Core

[R2-2002790](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_109bis-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2002790.zip) Correction on the Configuration of T316 [C103] [C104] CATT draftCR Rel-16 38.331 16.0.0 F LTE\_NR\_DC\_CA\_enh-Core Late

[R2-2002984](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_109bis-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2002984.zip) Erroneous instances of “the procedure ends” impacting reception over SRB3 Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell CR Rel-16 38.331 16.0.0 1538 - F LTE\_NR\_DC\_CA\_enh-Core

Companies are encouraged to provide comments on those:

### 2.3.1 [Z301] Correction for SCG RLC failure during fast MCG recovery ([R2-2003425](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2003425))

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Proposal(Agree/Disagree) | Comments |
| Nokia | We would prefer Alt1, i.e.: Alt1: The UE shall not trigger the failure information procedure | We prefer Alt1. This is in line with the current specification that disallows use of the SCG for FailureInformation. Otherwise we risk SCG RLF -> RRC re-establishment just before the UE would receive response to MCGFailureInfo. |
| Qualcomm | Prefer Alt-1 | Same view as Nokia |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### 2.3.2 Correction on the Configuration of T316 [C103] [C104] ([R2-2002790](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2002790))

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
| Nokia | Some sympathy for the intention. The current way is indeed a bit ugly |
| Qualcomm | Same view as CATT and Nokia. We also think the current way (i.e. T316 configured in the *RLF-TimersAndConstants)* will bring some unnecessary issues because T316 is also used to indicated ON/OFF of fast MCG recovery.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### 2.3.3 Erroneous instances of “the procedure ends” impacting reception over SRB3 ([R2-2002984](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109bis-e/Docs/R2-2002984))

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
| Nokia | (Nokia CR) – we agree. |
| Qualcomm  | Agree |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Conclusion

In the previous sections we made the following observations:

Based on the discussion in the previous sections we propose the following:
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