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# 1 Introduction

This document is to kick off the following email discussion:

* [AT109bis-e][038][DCCA] MCG SCell and SCG Configuration with RRC Resume (ZTE)

Scope: Treat topics in 6.10.6, based on [R2-2003812](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2%5CTSGR2_109bis-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2003812.zip) and comments. Can start discussion on non-controversial proposals immediately, if any. Wait for on-line discussion for contriversial proposal.

Part 1: Determine which issues that need resolution, find agreeable proposals. Deadline: April 24 0700 UTC

# 2 Discussion

Regarding the summary of AI 6.10.6 in [R2-2003812](file:///D%3A%5C%5CDocuments%5C%5C3GPP%5C%5Ctsg_ran%5C%5CWG2%5C%5CTSGR2_109bis-e%5C%5CDocs%5C%5CR2-2003812.zip%22%20%5Co%20%22D%3ADocuments3GPPtsg_ranWG2TSGR2_109bis-eDocsR2-2003812.zip), there are three proposals. Companies are requested to add their comments for each of proposal in the boxes below.

## LS to RAN3 on stored AS context

RAN2 has just made the following agreement during RAN2\_109bis.

* The *sPCellCommonConfig* for the PSCell is saved as part of the UE AS Inactive AS context.

One company pointed out in [2] that RAN3’s spec may need update based on this agreement. See below the highlighted sentence.

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~extract from TS 38.473 ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

9.3.1.94 Lower Layer Presence Status Change

This IE indicates lower layer resources’ presence status shall be changed.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **IE/Group Name** | **Presence** | **Range** | **IE type and reference** | **Semantics description** |
| Lower Layer Presence Status Change | M |  | ENUMERATED (suspend lower layers, resume lower layers ...) | “suspend lower layers” will store CellGroupConfig except ReconfigurationWithSync “resume lower layers” shall restore SCG and only set after "suspend lower layers" has been indicatedEditor Note: The usage of this IE may need to be refined. |

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

**Q1: Do company agree to send LS to RAN3 to to inform that stored UE Inactive AS context needs update? (E.g. to update the field description of “Lower Layer Presence Status Change”).**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Comments |
| OPPO | Disagree  | “Lower Layer Presence Status Change” indicates what will be stored in DU for UE from network point of view. The *servingCellConfigCommon* is common for all UE, not for one specific UE. It makes sense not to store for one UE.For the case that store related SCG configuration as a part of the AS context, I think it means what will be stored in UE side.So it seems no impact on RAN3. |
| Nokia | Disagree | Indeed, we don’t understand the need to have this. |
| LG | Agree | According to the above description highlighted in yellow, upon reception of this message with suspend lower layers, a gNB-DU may store CellGroupConfig except *ReconfigurationWithSync* for the suspended SCG of the UE. RAN2 already agreed to store *servingCellConfigCommon* forPSCell in the inactive AS context:* “The *sPCellCommonConfig* for the PSCell is saved as part of the UE AS Inactive AS context.

Based on the observations above, it is concerned that stored information for SCG context within the UE is not aligned to information for suspended SCG within the network (i.e. DU). Hence, current RAN3 spec is not aligned to RAN2’s understanding. To resolve this issue, we should send an LS to RAN3. Based on the LS, RAN3 may update, if necessary. |

**Q2: If answers “Agree” to Q1, any comment to the draft LS provided in** [R2-2003146](file://D://__%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%5C2020%5C3GPP_202004%5CTSGR2_109bis-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2003146.zip) **?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Comments |
| LG |  | Agreements in draft LS needs to be updated. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## restoreSCG in NE-DC

The following agreement made by RAN2 only refers to *reconfigurationWithSync*, which is applicable to UEs configured with NR SCG. One company suggests in [1] to confirm the principle also applies to mobilityControlInfoSCG in case of NE-DC, the corresponding proposal is given in below table.

* For *restoreSCG* upon RRC resume, Network shall always include *secondaryCellGroup* (with at least reconfigurationWithSync) together with *restoreSCG*.

To avoid misleading, the previous agreement can be updated into:

* For *restoreSCG* upon RRC resume, Network shall always include *secondaryCellGroup* (with at least reconfigurationWithSync of NR SCG, or mobilityControlInfoSCG of LTE SCG) together with *restoreSCG*.

**Q3: Do companies agree that the agreement made last meeting can be updated as above?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Comments |
| OPPO | Agree  |  |
| Nokia | No strong opinion | Thought this was the case but if companies want to clarify this bit further then okay to clarify. |
| LG | Agree |  |

## Validity check of stored SCG

Regarding whether and how to check the validity of stored PSCell, 5 companies provide a co-signed contribution [6], including the following solution:

* Solution: network configures RSRP/RSRQ threshold in *RRCResume* message, and UE applies stored SCG configuration only when the stored PSCell quality is above the threshold;

Considering this topic has been discussed for more than 3 meetings, but without consensus. Some companies also suggest to postpone the discussion to Rel-17. So companies are invited to show your views on whether to solve this in Rel-16.

**Q4: Do companies agree to introduce mechanism to check the validity of stored PSCell in Rel-16?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Comments |
| OPPO | Agree  | In R15 EN-DC, we agree to support SN blind addition. It is well known that it will impact the performance of the UE due to the blind SN addition.Now the UE know the measurement results due to the early measurement function, and the there is no chance for the UE to report the measurement results before MSG4. So it make sense to check the validity of the configured SCG or SCells in UE side based on the early measurement results. The spec change is little but will improve the performance of UE. We cannot see any issue to support it in R16.  |
| Nokia | Disagree | This is an enhancement which brings little or no benefit as the next message from network can confirm the SCG cells correctly based on the early measurements. That is the clear and 100% correct approach. |
| LG | Agree | We do not have any issue to support this validity check in Rel-16 since this enhancement has a clear benefit to prevent RA procedure failure to the PSCell.  |

**Q5: If answers “Agree” to Q4, any comment to the solution proposed in** [R2-2003243](file://D://__%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%5C2020%5C3GPP_202004%5CTSGR2_109bis-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2003243.zip), [R2-2003242](file://D://__%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%5C2020%5C3GPP_202004%5CTSGR2_109bis-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2003242.zip), [R2-2003241](file://D://__%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%5C2020%5C3GPP_202004%5CTSGR2_109bis-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2003241.zip)**?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Comments |
| OPPO | Agree  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Applicability of RRCConnectionResume in LTE

#Related to RIL Q502, Z302, Z307, Z308#

During 36.331 ASN.1 review, the field description of several fields have indicated that “The field can be included only when the UE is connected to 5GC” (see below). This implies that RRCConnectionResume messge can not be used in case of EN-DC. Thus RILs are raised to make further clarification.

|  |
| --- |
| ***nr-SecondaryCellGroupConfig***Includes the NR *RRCReconfiguration* message as specified in TS 38.331 [82]. In this version of the specification, the NR RRC message only includes fields *secondaryCellGroup* and/ or *measConfig*. This field can be included only when the UE is connected to 5GC. |
| ***restoreMCG-Scells***Indicates that the UE shall restore the MCG Scell configurations from the UE AS Context or UE Inactive AS Context, if configured. |
| ***restoreSCG***If included, the UE shall restore the SCG configurations from the UE AS Context or UE Inactive AS Context, if configured. |
| ***sCellGroupToAddModList***Indicates the SCell group to be added or modified. This field can be included only when the UE is connected to 5GC. |
| ***sCellGroupToReleaseList***Indicates the SCell group to be released. This field can be included only when the UE is connected to 5GC |
| ***sCellToAddModList***List of SCells to be added or modified. This field can be included only when the UE is connected to 5GC. |
| ***sCellToReleaseList***List of SCells to be released. This field can be included only when the UE is connected to 5GC. |

It is clear that RRC\_INACTIVE state is not supported for UE connected to LTE-EPC. However, it is unclear in Rel-16, whether the “suspended RRC connection” is applicable to LTE-EPC UEs (e.g. EN-DC UEs). Therefore, companies are invited to show your understanding to this issue.

**Q6: Whether *RRCConnectionResume* message can be used to restore NR SCG in case of EN-DC?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| OPPO | No | For my understanding, these configurations is used for RRC\_INACTIVE UE. For EN-DC, the RRC\_INACTIVE UE is not support, so no need to support it.For RRC\_IDLE with suspend in LTE side, it is introduced for MTC/NB-IOT, so there are no requirements to configure the CA or DC for this kind of UE.At last, RAN2 did not discuss whether the SCell or SCG can be resumed or not for RRC\_IDLE with suspend case.  |
| Nokia | No | We agree this was only for LTE connected to 5GC and not the case with suspend/resume with LTE EPC. |
| LG | No |  |

# 3 Conclusion

In the previous sections we made the following observations:

Based on the discussion in the previous sections we propose the following:
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