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**1. Overall Description:**

For intra-UE prioritization cases with two or more uplink grants overlapping in time (including DG v.s. CG collision and CG v.s. CG collision), it was agreed in RAN2 #107 that prioritization in MAC should be determined based on the highest logical channel (LCH) priority of data that can be conveyed by each grant, as well as considering the data availability in the buffer of these LCHs:

|  |
| --- |
| **RAN2 #108 Chairman’s Notes:*** For CGCG conflicts, and CGDG conflicts, the priority value of an uplink grant (UL-SCH resource) is the highest priority of the LCHs that is multiplexed or can be multiplexed in MAC PDU, taking into account LCH restrictions and data availability.
 |

This agreement is already captured in the latest running CR of MAC specification. Furthermore, it was concluded in RAN2 #109e that there can be situations where MAC delivers two MAC PDUs for the two conflicting grants to PHY sequentially when the conflicting grants have the same L1 priority, and the second MAC PDU carries data higher LCH priority (due to e.g. late traffic arrival) than the first MAC PDU:

|  |
| --- |
| **RAN2 #109e Chairman’s Notes:*** Observation, acc to current R2 agreements: In case that two MAC PDUs with the same L1 priority (i.e. high-high or low-low) are delivered by MAC, the second PDU has priority from RAN2 perspective (based on LCH priority).
 |

From MAC perspective, the second PDU should be transmitted by pre-empting the first PDU, as it has higher priority data. However, it is RAN2’s understanding that PHY may behave differently such that the PUSCH of a dynamic grant would always prioritize the PUSCH of a conflicting configured grant regardless the LCH priority of carried data. Hence, clearly there is a misalignment between RAN1 and RAN2 that has to be resolved.

RAN2 has concluded two possible options to address this misalignment:

1. RAN2 changes MAC specification to avoid the situation of generating/delivering two MAC PDUs to PHY.
2. RAN1 changes PHY specification to accommodate MAC behaviour of prioritization based on LCH priority and data availability.

To decide how it should be handled, RAN2 sees the need to liaise with RAN1 as the options involve both MAC and PHY. In particular, feedback from RAN1 would be valuable to eliminate this gap.

**2. Actions:**

**To RAN1 group.**

**ACTION:** RAN2 respectfully asks RAN1 to take the above information into account and provide feedback on which option is more feasible/appropriate as the way forward to resolve the RAN1/RAN2 misalignment on intra-UE prioritization.

**3. Date of Next TSG-RAN WG2 Meetings:**

3GPPRAN2#110e 01 Jun -12 Jun 2020 Online

3GPPRAN2#111 24 Aug -28 Aug 2020 Toulouse, France