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# 1 Scope of the offline email discussion

This document contains the summary of the offline email discussion ”[AT109bis-e][017][NR15] Cell Barred (Huawei)” as indicated below:

* [AT109bis-e][017][NR15] Cell Barred (Huawei)

Scope: Treat R2-2003339, R2-2003773

Part 1: Determine which issues that need resolution, find agreeable proposals.

Deadline: April 23 0700 UTC

Part 2: For the parts that are agreeable, discussion will continue to agree on CRs.

# 2 Offline email discussion

[R2-2003339](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_109bis-e\Docs\R2-2003339.zip) Corrections to cell barred handling Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-15 38.304 15.6.0 0154 - F NR\_newRAT-Core

[R2-2003773](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_109bis-e\Docs\R2-2003773.zip) Corrections to cell barred handling Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-16 38.304 16.0.0 0155 1 A NR\_newRAT-Core

Companies are requested to provide comments in the tables below (one row for each new comment to better keep track of the discussion – please don’t edit the previous comments).

**Question 1: Do you agree with the intention of change 1 described in the CR?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree with the intention of change 1 the CR?** | **Detailed comments** |
| Qualcomm | **No** | In the existing specification, the UE shall follow the *intraFreqReselection* in *MIB* message when set to "not allowed". The change is actually not a correction and functional one as it now allows the UE to ignore this it can’t decode SIB1. |
| Samsung | **No** | The current procedural text can be interpreted as what the CR tries to achieve i.e. there are two independent ‘if’ conditions. We think it can leave up to UE implementation as ‘may’ or ‘shall’ seems not essential in this case. |

**Question 2: Do you agree with the intention of change 2 described in the CR?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree with the intention of change 2 the CR?** | **Detailed comments** |
| Qualcomm | **Yes but** | Agree with the intention but should not be done together with first change. |
| Samsung | **No** | Our understanding is that if the UE does not support the BW of cell, the UE shall exclude the whole cells on the same frequency, which is aligned with the current RRC specification. So we think no change is needed. |

Conclusion: TBC

Proposal: TBC

# 3 Conclusions

**Conclusions:**

TBC

**Agreed CRs:**

TBC – in principle agreed Rel-15 and Rel-16 CRs.
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