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# 1 Introduction

This thread will discuss the draft CR to 38.214 for the NR\_NTN\_enh-Core.

First checkpoint for this discussion: **September 5th, 6:00 am UTC!**

# 2 Discussion

The comments in this section are based version 0 of the draft CR.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Comments | Editor reply/Notes |
| MediaTek | .  I copy the RAN1 agreement below. It was discussed in RAN1 whether the UE report “the actual UE Rx-Tx time difference offset”, and it was not clear what it meant this offset. RAN1 agreed on the “UE reports the actual index difference between subframe j and subframe i” to clarify to all that the actual UE Rx-Tx time difference offset is 1) not an absolute time difference in Tc; 2) an index difference between subframe index i for receiving the PRS  and subframe j for transmitting the UE Rx-Tx time difference report.  Agreement  The legacy R17 definition of UE Rx-Tx time difference is adopted for NTN with an offset that is determined based on the following:   * UE reports the actual index difference between subframe j and subframe i   + The uplink subframe j is closest in time to the DL subframe #i received from the TP * The DL timing drift due to Doppler over the service link associated with the UE RX-TX time difference measurement period is reported   I also copy the TS 38.215  5.1.30 UE Rx – Tx time difference for reference   |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Definition** | The UE Rx – Tx time difference is defined as TUE-RX – TUE-TX  Where:  TUE-RX is the UE received timing of downlink subframe #*i* from a Transmission Point (TP) [18], defined by the first detected path in time.  TUE-TX is the UE transmit timing of uplink subframe #*j* that is closest in time to the subframe #i received from the TP.  Multiple DL PRS or CSI-RS for tracking resources, as instructed by higher layers, can be used to determine the start of one subframe of the first arrival path of the TP.  For frequency range 1, the reference point for TUE-RX measurement shall be the Rx antenna connector of the UE and the reference point for TUE-TX measurement shall be the Tx antenna connector of the UE. For frequency range 2, the reference point for TUE-RX measurement shall be the Rx antenna of the UE and the reference point for TUE-TX measurement shall be the Tx antenna of the UE. | | **Applicable for** | RRC\_CONNECTED,  RRC\_INACTIVE |   I think the proposed CR will change the understanding in RAN1 and may lead to some confusion. The simplest way to fix this is to capture the RAN1 agreement in the CR.  The UE may be configured to measure and report, via higher layer parameter [undetermined NTN related parameter] subject to UE capability, UE Rx-Tx time difference measurements on a PRS resource associated with a *dl-PRS-ID*. The UE shall report the actual ~~UE Rx-Tx time difference offset~~ index difference between subframe j and subframe i,  where the uplink subframe j is closest in time to the DL subframe i,  and the DL timing drift due to Doppler over the radio link associated with the UE RX-TX time difference measurement period as described in [7, TS 38.215]. |  |
| vivo | For following updates, we think the text “due to Doppler over the radio link associated with the UE RX-TX time difference measurement period” is not needed in 38.214.   |  | | --- | | The UE may be configured to measure and report, via higher layer parameter [undetermined NTN related parameter] subject to UE capability, UE Rx-Tx time difference measurements on a PRS resource associated with a *dl-PRS-ID*. The UE shall report the actual UE Rx-Tx time difference offset and the DL timing drift ~~due to Doppler over the radio link associated with the UE RX-TX time difference measurement period~~ as described in [7, TS 38.215]. |   For “min (*maxDurationDMRS-Bundling*, M)” part, in our view, in NTN, a separate parameter is needed since the capability is different considering pre-compensation may happen in NTN. In some scenarios, e.g. in ATG case, TN and NTN may co-exist in same cell as well, therefore some updates here are necessary.  Is the intention to treat this in next draft after October RAN1 meeting when RRC signaling is more stable? |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |