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# Introduction

The purpose of this document is to collect inputs/comments on the draft CR for TS 38.213 [draftCR\_38213 eRedCap](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_114/Inbox/drafts/9.17(Other)/%5B38.213%20draft%20CRs%5D/NR_redcap_enh/R1-230xxxx%20draftCR_38213%20eRedCap.docx) on the introduction of support for enhanced reduced capability NR devices. If a comment on a particular aspect has been made by another company, please do not repeat it until, if needed, after a response.

The first checkpoint is on September 5, UTC 13:00.

# First Round Discussion

Please provide your comments on the draft CR for TS 38.213 [draftCR\_38213 eRedCap](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_114/Inbox/drafts/9.17(Other)/%5B38.213%20draft%20CRs%5D/NR_redcap_enh/R1-230xxxx%20draftCR_38213%20eRedCap.docx).

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
| MediaTek | As we commented last time, like FG 48-1 UE, FG 48-2 UE does not expect Msg3 PUSCH, Msg A PUSCH, and Msg4 with TC-RNTI to be larger than 25/12 PRBs for 15/30 kHz SCS. For Msg4 with TC-RNTI, it has been captured in the current CR draft. But for Msg3 and MsgA PUSCH, it is missing. Hence, we suggest add the following paragraph to Clause 17.1A.   * A UE that indicates FG 48-2 does not expect to transmit a PUSCH over a bandwidth that is larger than 25 PRBs for 15 kHz SCS, or larger than 12 PRBs for 30 kHz SCS, per hop in a slot, where the PUSCH is scheduled by RAR UL grant or by a DCI scrambled by a TC-RNTI, or is configured for a Type-2 random access procedure.   The reasons behind the above proposal are listed below:   1. At RAN#99, it was agreed that FG 48-2 UE should follow the same initial access procedure as FG 48-1 UE. 2. RAN1 and RAN2 have both agreed that FG 48-2 UE shares the same early indication in Msg1/Msg3/MsgA PUSCH.    * 1. NW hence cannot distinguish FG 48-2 UE from FG 48-1 until it receives UE capability reporting.      2. In other words, the scheduling restriction during a RACH procedure should apply to both UEs except for Msg4 with C-RNTI.   [Aris]: No issue with the justification but was expecting RAN1 to officially note/endorse the above since it was pending from the previous CR review. Anyway, it is hopefully non-controversial and will be reflected in the next update (but will be removed if any objection). |
| vivo | **Comment:**  For the newly added description for handling the Broadcast MBS PDSCH, we think it is based on the following agreements  Agreement:   * For UE BB bandwidth reduction, the number of PRBs scheduled in DCI can be larger than 25 PRBs for 15 kHz SCS and 12 PRBs for 30 kHz SCS for:   + Broadcast MBS PDSCH without any PDSCH in next slot   + Broadcast MBS PDSCH without MBS PDSCH repetition   Although no explicit agreements for the cases of “Broadcast MBS PDSCH with another PDSCH in next slot” and “Broadcast MBS PDSCH with MBS PDSCH repetition”, we are fine with the current description used by editor for handling above cases. But it should be applied for a UE that has not indicated FG48-2. Proposed Modification:  “A UE that has not indicated FG 48-2 is not required to process a PDSCH reception in slot n that is scheduled by a DCI format with CRC scrambled by a G-RNTI for broadcast over a number of PRBs that is larger than 25 PRBs for 15 kHz SCS, or larger than 12 PRBs for 30 kHz SCS, when the PDSCH reception is with repetitions or when the UE receives another PDSCH in slot n+1.”  [Aris]: Yes. |
| FUTUREWEI | Thank you for the draft CR   1. We have a similar understanding as Mediatek regarding the size of Msg3 PUSCH and PUSCH scheduled by TC-RNTI for a UE supporting FG 48-2. During initial access, the UE has not indicated it supports FG 48-2. This UE must use the PUSCH size limits as a UE supporting 48-1. 2. Regarding the titles for 17.1 and 17.1A, the intent is clear for the body of the clause but the title seems misleading. There can be two interpretation of the title: “ ‘Second RedCap UE’ procedures” as opposed to “Second ‘RedCap UE procedures’ ”. Maybe a small wording suggestion to indicate the latter reading, such as “Second procedures for RedCap UE”?   [Aris]: OK. |
| CATT | Thanks for the update! We have some comments:  1) Same understanding as MTK on Msg3. Since both ‘FG 48-1 only’ or ‘FG 48-1 + FG 48-2’ eRedCap UE shall share the same RACH procedure, the following text can be considered in Clause 17.1A:  A UE does not expect to transmit a PUSCH scheduled by RAR UL grant, or by a DCI scrambled by a TC-RNTI, or configured for Type-2 random access procedure, over a bandwidth that is larger than 25 PRBs for 15 kHz SCS, or larger than 12 PRBs for 30 kHz SCS, per hop in a slot.  2) Agree with vivo. ‘that has not indicated FG 48-2’ should be added for newly added MBS text.  [Aris]: Please see previous responses. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Thanks for the updated CR.  **//Comment#1**  Broadcast PDSCH can be scheduling by MCCH-RNTI as well. Additionally, agreed on vivo’s proposed change.  **Proposed changes:**  A UE that has not indicated FG 48-2 is not required to process a PDSCH reception in slot that is scheduled by a DCI format with CRC scrambled by a G-RNTI or MCCH-RNTI for broadcast over a number of PRBs that is larger than 25 PRBs for 15 kHz SCS, or larger than 12 PRBs for 30 kHz SCS, when the PDSCH reception is with repetitions or when the UE receives another PDSCH in slot .  **//Comment#2**  Similar comment as MediaTek, Futurewei and CATT for Msg3. Better to capture it.  [Aris]: Please see previous responses. Yes, MCCH-RNTI was missed (will be included after “broadcast” as, unlike G-RNTI, MCCH-RNTI is only for broadcast). |
| Ericsson | Is the red color in Clause 17.1 intentional? :) |
| Xiaomi | **Comment#1**  Share similar view as MediaTek and other companies for Msg3 CBW restriction of FG 48-2.  **Comment#2**  Agree with Huawei’s version with adding “that has not indicated FG 48-2” and “or MCCH-RNTI” for broadcast MBS PDSCH CBW. |