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# Introduction

The purpose of this document is to collect inputs/comments on the draft CR for TS 38.213 [draftCR\_38213 Positioning](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_114/Inbox/drafts/9.17(Other)/%5B38.213%20draft%20CRs%5D/NR_pos_enh2/R1-230xxxx%20draftCR_38213%20Positioning.docx) on the introduction of expanded and improved NR positioning. If a comment on a particular aspect has been made by another company, please do not repeat it until, if needed, after a response.

The first checkpoint is on September 5, UTC 13:00.

# First Round Discussion

Please provide your comments on the draft CR for TS 38.213 [draftCR\_38213 Positioning](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_114/Inbox/drafts/9.17(Other)/%5B38.213%20draft%20CRs%5D/NR_pos_enh2/R1-230xxxx%20draftCR_38213%20Positioning.docx).

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
| OPPO | 1. Following changes are proposed according to the agreement.   * if the resource pool is common for PSSCH and SL PRS transmissions, the priority level is same for PSSCH and SL PRS; else, if the resource pool is dedicated for SL PRS transmissions, the priority level is the priority level for SL PRS.     Agreement  For a slot, a single priority value is provided by higher layers to the physical layer and is used at least to determine the PSSCH and/or SL-PRS transmission power via the value of .   * For dedicated resource pool, this corresponds to the priority level of SL PRS. * Send an LS to RAN2 requesting them to take the above into consideration when defining priority levels for SL PRS and PSSCH that are multiplexed in the same slot of a shared resource pool. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | **Comment 1:**  On the following change in 16.2.3A   * if the resource pool is common for PSSCH and SL PRS transmissions, the priority level is same for PSSCH and SL PRS and is the priority level for SL PRS   we prefer to remove “and it the priority level for SL PRS”. It should be a common one provided by higher layers, which is applicable for both PSCCH and SL-PRS power control when determining the CBR.  In addition, we prefer to add another bullet for dedicated resource pool.  So it could read as   * if the resource pool is common for PSSCH and SL PRS transmissions, the priority level is same for PSSCH and SL PRS * if the resource pool is dedicated for SL PRS transmission, the priority level is for SL PRS.   **Comment 2:**  On the changes in 16.2.2, we prefer to change the description similar to the procedure of PSCCH as communication so that they appear as two branches for determining the PSCCH transmission power for communication/shared RP and dedicated RP, respectively.  For example:  A UE determines a power for a PSCCH transmission on a resource pool dedicated for SL PRS transmissions, the same as a power of SL PRS transmission by the UE in the slot. The UE determines the power as described in Clause 16.2.3A.  **Comment 3:**  We prefer to capture the following agreement with regards to PSCCH transmission in dedicated resource pool in e.g. a new clause of 16.4A.  Agreement  For dedicated resource pool, with regards to the SL-PRS configuration and/or SL-PRS time assignment information, support Alt. 3.1, i.e.   * support a one-to-one mapping relationship between a PSCCH resource and an associated SL-PRS resource in the same slot.   + Note: In this case, there is no need of an explicit signaling of which SL PRS resource for the same slot   + Note: Same number of PSCCH resource(s) and SL-PRS resource(s)   For example  16.4A UE procedure for transmitting PSCCH in dedicated resource pool  For SL PRS transmission in the dedicated resource pool, a UE can be provided a number of symbols in a resource pool, by *sl-TimeResourcePSCCH*, starting from a second symbol that is available for SL transmissions in a slot, a number of PRBs in the resource pool, by *sl-FreqResourcePSCCH*, for a PSCCH transmission with a SCI format 1-B.  A UE that transmits a PSCCH with SCI format 1-B using SL PRS resource allocation scheme 2 [6, TS 38.214] sets  - "Resource reservation period" as an index in *sl-ResourceReservePeriodList* corresponding to a reservation period provided by higher layers [11, TS 38.321], if the UE is provided *sl-MultiReserveResource*  - the values of the time resource assignment field and SL PRS resource indication field as described in [6, TS 38.214] to indicate resources from a set of resources selected by higher layers as described in [11, TS 38.321] with smallest slot indices for such that , where:  - , where is a number of resources in the set with slot indices , , such that , and is provided by *sl-MaxNumPerReserve*  - each resource, from the set of resources, corresponds to a SL PRS resource and a slot in a set of slots  - is a set of slots in a sidelink resource pool [6, TS 38.214]  - is an index of a slot where the PSCCH with SCI format 1-B is transmitted.  A UE that transmits a PSCCH with SCI format 1-B using SL PRS resource allocation scheme 1 [6, TS 38.214] sets  - the values of the SL PRS resource indication field and the time resource assignment field for the SCI format 1-B transmitted in the -th resource for SL PRS transmission provided by a dynamic grant or by a SL configured grant, where and M is the total number of resources for SL PRS transmission provided by a dynamic grant or the number of resources for SL PRS transmission in a period provided by a SL configured grant type 1 or SL configured grant type 2, as follows:  - the SL PRS resource indication field and time resource assignment field indicate the -th to -th resources as described in [6, TS 38.214].  For decoding of a SCI format 1-B, a UE may assume that a number of bits provided by *sl*-*NumReservedBits* can have any value as described in [4, TS 38.212]. |
| Intel | * **Comment #1**: for the following text, we suggest to update this to follow the RAN1 agreement quoted below. Further, since PSSCH Tx power should follow priority of PSSCH based on legacy design, this means that the same priority level for PSSCH and SL PRS should be the one for PSSCH.  |  | | --- | | Agreement  For a slot, a single priority value is provided by higher layers to the physical layer and is used at least to determine the PSSCH and/or SL-PRS transmission power via the value of .   * For dedicated resource pool, this corresponds to the priority level of SL PRS. * Send an LS to RAN2 requesting them to take the above into consideration when defining priority levels for SL PRS and PSSCH that are multiplexed in the same slot of a shared resource pool. |   Suggesting changing from the following:   |  | | --- | | * if the resource pool is common for PSSCH and SL PRS transmissions, the priority level is same for PSSCH and SL PRS and is the priority level for SL PRS |   to:   |  | | --- | | * if the resource pool is common for PSSCH and SL PRS transmissions, the priority level is same for PSSCH and SL PRS and is the priority level for PSSCH; * else, if the resource pool is dedicated for SL PRS transmissions, the priority level is for SL PRS |  * **Comment #2**: for the following text, we suggest to update this as “ is provided by *dl-Alpha-SLPRS* and, if provided and if *dl-Alpha-SLPRS* is not provided”.  |  | | --- | | * if the resource pool is common for PSSCH and SL PRS transmissions, is a value of *dl-Alpha-PSSCH-PSCCH*, if provided and if *dl-Alpha-PSSCH-PSCCH* is not provided; else, if the resource pool is dedicated for SL PRS transmissions, is provided by *dl-Alpha-SLPRS* |  * **Comment #3**: at least for dedicated resource pool, UE procedure for transmitting PSCCH should be captured in 213, e.g., in Clause 16.4. For example, at least capturing the following:  |  | | --- | | Agreement  For PSCCH configuration in a dedicated resource pool,   * (pre-)configure the number of PRBs of a PSCCH in the resource pool:   + Alt. 1: One parameter for all PSCCHs |   However, our understanding is that the association between PSCCH and SL PRS in the dedicated resource pool should be captured in 214 instead of 213. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |