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# Introduction

The purpose of this document is to collect inputs/comments on the draft CR for TS 38.213 [draftCR\_38213 SL](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_114/Inbox/drafts/9.17(Other)/%5B38.213%20draft%20CRs%5D/NR_SL_enh2/R1-230xxxx%20draftCR_38213%20SL.docx) on the introduction of NR sidelink evolution. If a comment on a particular aspect has been made by another company, please do not repeat it until, if needed, after a response.

The first checkpoint is on September 5, UTC 13:00.

# First Round Discussion

Please provide your comments on the draft CR for TS 38.213 [draftCR\_38213 SL](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_114/Inbox/drafts/9.17(Other)/%5B38.213%20draft%20CRs%5D/NR_SL_enh2/R1-230xxxx%20draftCR_38213%20SL.docx).

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
| LGE | On 16.2.0, according to the following text in the agreement, the definition of P\_CMAX would need to be updated accordingly. For instance, we can add “and is determined for all the S-SSB repetitions, if applicable” for the P\_CMAX part.   * For above Alts, is determined according to TS 38.101-1 for transmission of all S-SSB repetitions on all used RB sets   As we know, the value of P\_CMAX could be different based on the assumption on the transmission structure.  On 16.2.3, it would be necessary to update the PSFCH power control as per the following agreement.  Agreement  Rel-16/17 PSFCH power control and PSFCH TX/TX prioritization rule are performed across carriers for all PSFCH transmissions over all the aggregated SL carriers at the same time.   * The UE does not expect to be provided with a (pre)configuration that would result in different transmit power per PSFCH on different carriers.   For instance, following updates can be considered:  A UE with scheduled PSFCH transmissions for HARQ-ACK information and conflict information, and capable of transmitting a maximum of PSFCHs, determines a number of simultaneous PSFCH transmissions and a power for a PSFCH transmission , , on all the resource pools in PSFCH transmission occasion on all the active SL BWP of all the carrier as  …  For resource pools configured with PSFCH resources overlapping in time for all the carriers, the UE either expects not to be provided with *dl-P0-PSFCH* or *dl-Alpha-PSFCH* in any of the resource pools, or expects to be provided with the same values of *dl-P0-PSFCH* and the same values of *dl-Alpha-PSFCH* for all the resource pools.  On 16.3.0, following part need to be moved after the final PSFCH resource determination with some typo correction. In our understanding, dropping PRB belonging to common interlace will be performed after the UE decides the PRBs for actual PSFCH transmission. Moreover, the final determination on PRBs for the actual PFSCH transmission would be selected among PSFCH resources across multiple PRB sets when .  A PRB in the first interlace is excluded from the resources for a PSFCH transmission, if for or for for any PRB in the PRB subset, and for or for , where PRB and PRB are the largest and smallest PRB indexes, respectively, in the resources for the PSFCH transmission assuming PRB is excluded.  On 16.4, according to the agreement, it would be necessary to clarify the meaning of the lowest subchannel. We can add “index” after “the lowest sub-channel”.  **Agreement**  For interlace RB-based PSCCH/PSSCH transmission in SL-U, support the following:   * Option 1: lowest sub-channel is the sub-channel with smallest sub-channel index |
| CATT/GOHIGH | * **Comments 1 (Clause 16.1)**   Regarding the configuration of additional candidate S-SSB occasions, it should be accurately captured, as the following agreement, that each legacy Rel-16/17 S-SSB occasion is supported to configure additional S-SSB occasion(s), not “each slot that includes S-SS/PSBCH”.  **Agreement**  Regarding the number and location(s) of additional candidate S-SSB occasions, support:   * Option 2 (12): Each R16/R17 NR SL S-SSB slot has K corresponding additional candidate S-SSB occasion(s) in different time slot(s), and the gap between them is (pre-)configured   + FFS details, e.g., value of K, details on gap length (including possibility of being 0), etc. * **Comments 2 (Clause 16.2.5)**   Some typos should be corrected, where “S-SS/PBCH” should be changed to “S-SS/PSBCH”.   * **Comment 3 (Clause 16.2.5)**   Regarding the details of PSFCH power control, the following two highlight parts from TS 36.213 are missed or incorrectly captured.   |  | | --- | | In sidelink transmission mode 3 or 4, if a UE's sidelink transmission on a carrier overlaps in time with sidelink transmission on other carrier(s) and its total transmission power exceeds defined in [6], the UE shall adjust the transmission power of the sidelink transmission which has SCI whose "Priority" field is set to the largest value among all the "Priority" values of the overlapped sidelink transmissions such that its total transmission power does not exceed defined in [6]. In this case, calculation of the adjustment to the sidelink transmission power is not specified. If the transmission power still exceeds  defined in [6] after this power adjustment, the UE shall drop the sidelink transmission with the largest "Priority" field in its SCI and repeat this procedure over the non-dropped carriers. It is not specified which sidelink transmission the UE adjusts when sidelink transmissions overlapping in time on two or more carriers have the same value for the "Priority" field. |  * **Comments 4 (Clause 16.2.5)**   Regarding simultaneously transmissions over multiple carriers, the procedure of Clause 16.2.3 cannot be directly reused, such as the maximum transmission power and the maximum transmission number should be re-defined over all the multiple carriers.  Agreement:  Rel-16/17 PSFCH power control and PSFCH TX/TX prioritization rule are performed across carriers for all PSFCH transmissions over all the aggregated SL carriers at the same time.   * The UE does not expect to be provided with a (pre)configuration that would result in different transmit power per PSFCH on different carriers. * **Comments 5 (Clause 16.3.0)**   IUC mechanism is not discussed in Rel-18 SL-U, so the associated parts should be removed.   * **Comment 6 (Clause 16.3.0)**   The following yellow highlight part should be removed, because indicates all the candidate PSFCH frequency resources within all the used RB sets associated with PSSCH transmission.  if *sl-PSFCH-CandidateResourceType* is indicated as *allocSubCH*, and where the sum is over all RB-sets including resources for the corresponding PSSCH, and the interlaces per RB-set or PRB subsets are associated with the sub-channels of the corresponding PSSCH |
| Xiaomi | Comment#1  On section 16.1 and 16.3.0, to make specification correct, we make the following revision for CPE.  For operation with shared spectrum channel access, a UE attempts to transmit at least S-SS/PSBCH blocks in the anchor RB set. The UE applies CP extension within the symbol just before ~~to~~ the first symbol of an S-SS/PSBCH block according to an index [4, TS 38.211] provided by *sl-CP-Extension-SSB*.  The UE applies CP extension within the symbol just before ~~to~~ the first symbol of a PSFCH according to an index [4, TS 38.211] provided by *sl-CP-Extension-PSFCH*.  Comment #2  On section16.3.0, we think following agreements in blue part also need to be captured, which intend to determine the PRB set for each PSFCH occasion.  **Agreement**  Regarding “*one PSCCH/PSSCH transmission has N associated candidate PSFCH occasion(s)*” and “*For one PSCCH/PSSCH transmission, at least support that its associated candidate PSFCH occasion(s) are in different slots of the same RB set(s)*”, support:   * Slot index of 1st PSFCH occasion (denoted as slot k) of a PSCCH/PSSCH transmission is determined in the same way as legacy NR SL * The nth PSFCH occasion is in slot   + - Alt 1: P is equal to the (pre-)configured PSFCH periodicity, i.e., P is provided by *sl-PSFCH-Period* * Within a slot including PSFCH, for each RB set, the (pre-)configured PRBs for PSFCH transmission on this RB set are divided into N different PRB sets (denoted as set#1, set#2, …, set#N), which are associated with N candidate PSFCH occasion(s)   + Within this RB set, for one sub-channel on one slot of PSCCH/PSSCH transmission, its nth PSFCH occasion includes PRBs belonging to above set#n in slot   + FFS: whether to use 1 or N bitmaps to indicate resource for N candidate PSFCH occasion(s), respectively   Comment #3  On section16.2.5, since there is no separate power control procedure for PSCCH, we propose to change the “or” to “/” in the whole paragraph below:   |  | | --- | | If a UE would transmit PSSCHs and PSCCHs on multiple carriers, the UE determines a power for each PSSCH and PSCCH transmission as described in Clauses 16.2.1 and 16.2.2, respectively. If the UE would transmit PSCCHs /PSSCHs that would overlap in time on respective carriers and a total power for the transmission of the PSCCHs /PSSCHs would exceed , the UE reduces a power for a transmission of a PSCCH /PSSCH that has the largest priority value as determined by SCI formats provided by the PSCCHs scheduling the respective PSSCHs. If more than one PSCCH/PSSCH transmissions have the largest priority value, the UE autonomously selects one of the more than one PSCCH/PSSCH transmissions to reduce a respective power. If, after the reduction of the power for the transmission of the PSCCH/ PSSCH with the largest priority value, a total power does not exceed , the UE transmits the PSCCHs/PSSCHs, respectively. If, after the reduction of the power of the PSCCH /PSSCH with the largest priority value, a total power exceeds , the UE does not transmit the PSCCH /PSSCH, respectively. |   Comment #4  According to the following agreements in RAN1#114, the following agreement has addressed that the PSFCH transmission power across different carriers shall be same:   |  | | --- | | Agreement  Rel-16/17 PSFCH power control and PSFCH TX/TX prioritization rule are performed across carriers for all PSFCH transmissions over all the aggregated SL carriers at the same time.   * The UE does not expect to be provided with a (pre)configuration that would result in different transmit power per PSFCH on different carriers. |   Therefore, the following sentence should be added to reflect this based on the description in 16.2.3:   |  | | --- | | If a UE would simultaneously transmit PSFCHs and receive PSFCHs on multiple carriers, the UE performs the procedures in Clause 16.2.4.2 across all the PSFCHs for transmission and PSFCHs for reception in order to determine PSFCHs to transmit or PSFCHs to receive. If a UE would simultaneously transmit PSFCHs on multiple carriers, the UE performs the procedures in Clause 16.2.3 across all the PSFCHs for transmission in order to determine PSFCHs to transmit and a corresponding power per PSFCH transmission. The UE expects to determine a same time resource and a same power for each of the PSFCH transmissions on multiple carriers. For all the resource pools on the multiple carriers, the UE either expects not to be provided with dl-P0-PSFCH or dl-Alpha-PSFCH in any of the resource pools on the corresponding multiple carriers, or expects to be provided with the same values of dl-P0-PSFCH and the same values of dl-Alpha-PSFCH for all the resource pools on the corresponding multiple carriers. | |
| ZTE,Sanechips | 1)  Agreement  Regarding “*UE may transmit S-SSB repetition in more than one RB set*”:   * At least the power for S-SSB transmission on anchor RB set does not change due to the number of used RB sets   + On anchor RB set, there is a (pre-)configured offset to limit the maximum power as below (changes to legacy NR SL is marked in red)  * + - [dBm], where i is slot index as in legacy  * + - value range of is: {10lg(N), [10lg(N)+2, 10lg(N)+4, …],}  * + On non-anchor RB set     - UE first allocates power to S-SSB repetitions on anchor RB set, assume the power of each S-SSB repetition is  * + - Then, UE allocates remaining power equally to other S-SSB repetitions on all other used RB sets, where , where and are converted to linear unit (i.e, Watt) in this formula  * + - Note: for both anchor RB set and non-anchor RB set transmission, the same DL pathloss is taken into account * M is the total number of RB sets within this SL-BWP, N is the number of S-SSB repetitions within the anchor RB set, W is the maximum total number of S-SSB repetitions on RB sets within the SL-BWP * Note: the above power for S-SSB transmission refers to power of one S-SSB repetition * UE at least attempts to transmit on anchor RB set   + Note: anchor RB set refers to the RB set where S-SSB indicated by *sl-AbsoluteFrequencySSB-r16* locates * For above Alts, is determined according to TS 38.101-1 for transmission of all S-SSB repetitions on all used RB sets   In 16.2.0, as SSBs in non-anchor RB set also need to take into account the downlink path loss similarSSBs in anchor RB set, so the PC for SSB may need some modification as shown:  For operation with shared spectrum channel access, after allocating power for transmission of each S-SS/PBCH block in the anchor RB-set,  -for case *dl-P0-PSBCH-r16 or dl-P0-PSBCH-r17* is provided*,* the UE equally allocates power remaining from , if any, for transmission of each S-SS/PBCH block in used non-anchor RB-sets labled as , and final power of each SSB is min(,)  -*otherwise* the UE equally allocates power remaining from , if any, for transmission of each S-SS/PBCH block in used non-anchor RB-sets .  2-1) In S16.3.0, in the following paragraph it seems IUC is also supported for paragraph with the sentence on conflict information, but we don’t think this is covered by previous agreement. We suggest removing that. this does not concur with previous agreement.  For operation with shared spectrum channel access, when sl-PSFCH-Type = ‘type1.....  ~~The UE expects that PSFCH transmissions with conflict information use different interlaces than PSFCH transmissions with HARQ-ACK information.~~  2-2 ) In S 16.3.0, in the same paragraph, is it correct understanding that the interlaces herein only consider the interlaces consisting of dedicated RBs, i.e. common interlace is excluded. If so, we suggest clarifying that point in the sentence.  The interlaces (except common interlaces) are ordered based on respective interlace indexes.   1. We didn’t notice any description on contiguous RB mapping, is it correct understanding editor intends to capture it in later phase? 2. Regarding power part for co-channel coexistence, the agreement in RAN1 #112b-e also specified how to ensure the power level condition is up to UE implementation. Therefore, this should be reflected in 38.213. The modification suggestions are as follows in blue font.   “For sidelink co-channel coexistence between E-UTRA and NR, and for NR PSCCH/PSSCH transmissions with SCS configuration in slots that overlap with an E-UTRA subframe on the sidelink, the UE transmits NR PSCCH/PSSCH in the earlier overlapping slot with a power that is larger than or equal to the power in the later overlapping slot.  It is up to UE implementation how to ensure the above power condition.”   1. Regarding power part for PSCCH/PSSCH on multiple carriers, the description “PSCCHs or PSSCHs” is ambiguous, one may infer that PSCCH can be transmitted alone. Aligning other description in 38.213, “PSCCHs or PSSCHs” can be replaced by “PSCCH-PSSCH transmission” including PSCCH not transmitted in PSCCH-PSSCH transmission and PSCCH transmitted in PSCCH-PSSCH transmission. In addition, the power adjustment procedure needs to be repeated in order not to exceed Pcmax as E-UTRA SL CA specified in 36.213. The modification suggestions are as follows in blue font.   “If a UE would transmit PSCCH-PSSCH transmissions on multiple carriers, the UE determines a power for each PSCCH-PSSCH transmission as described in Clauses 16.2.1 and 16.2.2, respectively. If the UE would transmit PSCCH-PSSCH transmissions that would overlap in time on respective carriers and a total power for the transmission of the PSCCH-PSSCH transmissions would exceed , the UE reduces a power for a transmission of a PSCCH-PSSCH transmission that has the largest priority value as determined by SCI formats provided by the PSCCHs scheduling the respective PSSCHs. If more than one PSCCH-PSSCH transmissions have the largest priority value, the UE autonomously selects one of the more than one PSCCH-PSSCH transmissions to reduce a respective power. If, after the reduction of the power for the PSCCH-PSSCH transmission with the largest priority value, a total power does not exceed , the UE transmits the PSCCH-PSSCH transmissions, respectively. If, after the reduction of the power of the PSCCH-PSSCH transmission with the largest priority value, a total power exceeds , the UE does not transmit the PSCCH-PSSCH transmission, and repeats this procedure over the non-dropped carriers.” |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | **Comments for SL-U PHY channel design**  Comment 1:  Suggest following red changes, details are:   * Regarding “…when *sl-NumberRepeatedSSB* is not provided and for RB-set ”: “*sl-NumberRepeatedSSB*” is per SL-BWP, not per RB set, add “and” to avoid confusion. * Regarding “…, where anchor RB-set refers to the RB set where S-SSB indicated by *sl-AbsoluteFrequencySSB* locates”: anchor RB-set is not defined so far. This red addition is copied from agreement. * Regarding “*~~sl-AbsoluteFrequencySSB-r18~~*~~, when RB-set~~ *~~j~~* ~~is a non-anchor RB-set~~”: there is no agreement to support this. Suggest to remove this part and wait for more RAN1 agreement in maintenance phase. * Regarding “…~~+~~ ” and “… is a frequency location of a ~~lowest~~ S-SS/PSBCH block in RB-set ,where is provided by”: there is no agreement to support “lowest”, suggest to remove it for now and change “+” to “”. We can wait for more RAN1 agreement in maintenance phase. * Regarding “… is a slot gap between a S-SS/PSBCH block with index and its first corresponding additional S-SS/PSBCH block, and between any two adjacent additional S-SS/PSBCH blocks corresponding to one S-SS/PSBCH block with index ,…”: add red parts to clarify the physical meaning of this gap. * “For operation with shared spectrum channel access, a UE attempts to transmit at least S-SS/PSBCH blocks in the anchor RB set.”: this sentence is not very accurate. On additional S-SSB occasion, it’s still up to UE implementation to transit on anchor RB set.   ==  For reception of a S-SS/PSBCH block  - for operation without shared spectrum channel access, or for operation with shared spectrum channel access and when *sl-NumberRepeatedSSB* is not provided and for RB-set , a UE assumes a frequency location corresponding to the subcarrier with index 66 in the S-SS/PSBCH block [4, TS 38.211] is provided by  - *sl-AbsoluteFrequencySSB*, for operation without shared spectrum channel access or when RB-set is the anchor RB-set, where anchor RB-set refers to the RB set where S-SSB indicated by *sl-AbsoluteFrequencySSB* locates  ~~-~~ *~~sl-AbsoluteFrequencySSB-r18~~*~~, when RB-set~~ *~~j~~* ~~is a non-anchor RB-set~~  - for operation with shared spectrum channel access when *sl-NumberRepeatedSSB* is provided and in RB-set , a UE assumes a frequency location corresponding to the subcarrier with index 66 in the S-SS/PSBCH block [4, TS 38.211] is provided by ~~+~~ , where  - is a frequency location of a ~~lowest~~ S-SS/PSBCH block in RB-set ,where is provided by  - *sl-AbsoluteFrequencySSB* when RB-set *j* is the anchor RB-set,  ~~-~~ *~~sl-AbsoluteFrequencySSB-r18~~* ~~when RB-set~~ *~~j~~* ~~is a non-anchor RB-set;~~  - is an index of an S-SS/PSBCH block from repeated S-SS/PSBCH blocks in the frequency domain and within the RB-set , where , and is provided by a value in *sl-NumberRepeatedSSB* corresponding to RB-set ;  - is a number of resource blocks, provided by *sl-GapRepeatedSSB*, for a gap between repeated S-SS/PSBCH blocks;  - is a number of resource blocks for a S-SS/PSBCH block transmission with SCS configuration .  For operation with shared spectrum channel access, a UE attempts to transmit at least S-SS/PSBCH blocks in the anchor RB set. The UE applies CP extension to the first symbol of an S-SS/PSBCH block according to an index [4, TS 38.211] provided by *sl-CP-Extension-SSB*.  …  For operation with shared spectrum channel access and for each slot that includes S-SS/PSBCH blocks, a UE is provided, by *sl-NumAdditionalOccasionPerSSB*, a number of additional candidate S-SS/PBCH block transmission occasions. When , for S-SS/PSBCH block with index , the UE determines indexes of slots that include the additional candidate S-SS/PBCH block transmission occasions as + +, where  - is a slot gap between a S-SS/PSBCH block with index and its first corresponding additional S-SS/PSBCH block, and between any two adjacent additional S-SS/PSBCH blocks corresponding to one S-SS/PSBCH block with index , provided by *sl-TimeGapAdditionalOccasion*, for determining the additional candidate S-SS/PBCH block transmission occasions, and  - is an index of the additional candidate S-SS/PBCH block transmission occasions, with  Comment 2:   * Based on the following part, especially blue part “the UE can attempt to …”, it seems UE can choose not to attempt to transmit on the 1st PSFCH occasion. The last sentence “*The UE attempts to transmit in a slot only when the UE fails to transmit in all previous slots.*” also allows this since there is no previous slots for the 1st PSFCH occasion. Some improvements are needed. * It seems “The nth PSFCH occasion is in slot ” in agreement is not captured yet. Please could Editor clarify?   ==  If a UE receives a PSSCH in a resource pool and the HARQ feedback enabled/disabled indicator field in an associated SCI format 2-A/2-B/2-C has value 1 [5, TS 38.212], the UE provides the HARQ-ACK information in a PSFCH transmission in the resource pool. For operation without shared spectrum channel access, the UE transmits the PSFCH in a first slot that includes PSFCH resources and is at least a number of slots, provided by *sl-MinTimeGapPSFCH*, of the resource pool after a last slot of the PSSCH reception. For operation with shared spectrum channel access, the UE can attempt to transmit the PSFCH over a number of first slots, provided by *sl-candidatePSFCH-Occasions*, that include PSFCH resources and are at least a number of slots, provided by *sl-MinTimeGapPSFCH*, of the resource pool after a last slot of the PSSCH reception. The UE attempts to transmit in a slot only when the UE fails to transmit in all previous slots.  Agreement  Regarding “*one PSCCH/PSSCH transmission has N associated candidate PSFCH occasion(s)*” and “*For one PSCCH/PSSCH transmission, at least support that its associated candidate PSFCH occasion(s) are in different slots of the same RB set(s)*”, support:   * Slot index of 1st PSFCH occasion (denoted as slot k) of a PSCCH/PSSCH transmission is determined in the same way as legacy NR SL * The nth PSFCH occasion is in slot   + - Alt 1: P is equal to the (pre-)configured PSFCH periodicity, i.e., P is provided by *sl-PSFCH-Period* * Within a slot including PSFCH, for each RB set, the (pre-)configured PRBs for PSFCH transmission on this RB set are divided into N different PRB sets (denoted as set#1, set#2, …, set#N), which are associated with N candidate PSFCH occasion(s)   + Within this RB set, for one sub-channel on one slot of PSCCH/PSSCH transmission, its nth PSFCH occasion includes PRBs belonging to above set#n in slot   + FFS: whether to use 1 or N bitmaps to indicate resource for N candidate PSFCH occasion(s), respectively   Comment 3:  Suggest following red changes, details are:   * Suggest to add “…for one PSFCH transmission…” to be more accurate, since it uses “…all PRBs of **an** interlace…”. * It seems the following sentence in agreement is not captured yet, especially “…N different PRB sets …”.   + *“…the (pre-)configured PRBs for PSFCH transmission on this RB set are divided into N different PRB sets (denoted as set#1, set#2, …, set#N), which are associated with N candidate PSFCH occasion(s)…”* * Suggest to remove “~~All PRBs in the interlaces within RB-set are available for PSFCH transmission~~*~~.~~*”   + The first blue sentence already captures this point.   + The red sentence implies there is no relationship with *sl-PSFCH-RB-Set*, and thus inaccurate.   ==  For operation with shared spectrum channel access, when *sl-PSFCH-Type = ‘type1’* and within RB-set , a UE determines, based on *sl-PSFCH-RB-Set*, all PRBs of an interlace for one PSFCH transmission with HARQ-ACK information in the resource pool. Within the RB-set , the UE determines all PRBs in an interlace for one PSFCH transmission with conflict information in the resource pool based on *sl-RB-SetPSFCH.*  The UE expects that PSFCH transmissions with conflict information use different interlaces than PSFCH transmissions with HARQ-ACK information. For the -th candidate PSFCH transmission occasion, , the UE determines a number of interlaces based on *sl-PSFCH-RB-Set* or *sl-RB-SetPSFCH*. The interlaces are ordered based on respective interlace indexes. ~~All PRBs in the interlaces within RB-set are available for PSFCH transmission~~*~~.~~* For a number of sub-channels in RB-set and a number of PSSCH slots that is not larger than and is associated with a slot for PSFCH transmission, the UE allocates the interlaces from the interlaces to slot and sub-channel , where , , . The allocation starts in an ascending order of and continues in an ascending order of . The UE expects that isa multiple of *.*  Agreement  Regarding “*one PSCCH/PSSCH transmission has N associated candidate PSFCH occasion(s)*” and “*For one PSCCH/PSSCH transmission, at least support that its associated candidate PSFCH occasion(s) are in different slots of the same RB set(s)*”, support:   * Slot index of 1st PSFCH occasion (denoted as slot k) of a PSCCH/PSSCH transmission is determined in the same way as legacy NR SL * The nth PSFCH occasion is in slot   + - Alt 1: P is equal to the (pre-)configured PSFCH periodicity, i.e., P is provided by *sl-PSFCH-Period* * Within a slot including PSFCH, for each RB set, the (pre-)configured PRBs for PSFCH transmission on this RB set are divided into N different PRB sets (denoted as set#1, set#2, …, set#N), which are associated with N candidate PSFCH occasion(s)   + Within this RB set, for one sub-channel on one slot of PSCCH/PSSCH transmission, its nth PSFCH occasion includes PRBs belonging to above set#n in slot   + FFS: whether to use 1 or N bitmaps to indicate resource for N candidate PSFCH occasion(s), respectively   Comment 4:  Suggest following red changes, details are:   * Corrected the meaning of , i.e., add “where is the number of PRBs for PSFCH transmission in interlace within RB-set k based on *sl-PSFCH-RB-Set* or *sl-RB-SetPSFCH* and the UE expects that is a multiple of .” * Swap the mapping order as below to align with agreement:   + “The UE determines the PRB subsets by ordering the PRB subsets first in an ascending order of ~~interlace index~~ PRB subset index within an interlace and second in ascending order of ~~PRB subset index within an interlace~~ interlace index.” * Suggest to add “…for any PRB in the PRB subset when this PRB subset is finally selected for PSFCH transmission”   + What matters is the finally selected PRB subset.   + E.g., if UE finally selects PRB subset 1 for transmitting PSFCH, then only common PRBs with 1MHz of PRB subset 1 need to be dropped. Common PRBs with 1MHz of PRB subset 2/3/4 shall not be dropped, otherwise OCB cannot be satisfied. * Corrected some numbers.   ==  For operation with shared spectrum channel access, when *sl-PSFCH-Type = ‘type2’* and within RB-set , a UE determines a subset of PRBs in a first interlace and, based on *sl-PSFCH-RB-Set*, a subset of PRBs in a second interlace for one PSFCH transmission with HARQ-ACK information in a resource pool. Within RB-set , the UE determines a subset of PRBs in a first interlace and, based on *sl-RB-SetPSFCH*, a subset of PRBs in a second interlace for one PSFCH transmission with conflict information in a resource pool*.* The UE expects that PSFCH transmissions with conflict information use different PRB subsets than PSFCH transmissions with HARQ-ACK information. An index of the first interlace is provided by *sl-PSFCH-Type2-CommonInterlace*. The PRBs in the second interlace are provided by *sl-PSFCH-Type2-DedicatedPRB* where, for the -th candidate PSFCH transmission occasion, , ~~and for each interlace~~ , the UE determines PRB subsets based on *sl-PSFCH-RB-Set* or *sl-RB-SetPSFCH*. ~~The UE expects that is a multiple of .~~ For interlace , the UE determines a PRB subset with index to include PRBs , ~~.~~, where is the number of PRBs for PSFCH transmission in interlace within RB-set k based on *sl-PSFCH-RB-Set* or *sl-RB-SetPSFCH* and the UE expects that is a multiple of . The UE determines the PRB subsets by ordering the PRB subsets first in an ascending order of ~~interlace index~~ PRB subset index within an interlace and second in ascending order of ~~PRB subset index within an interlace~~ interlace index. For a number of sub-channels in RB-set and a number of slots for PSSCH transmissions that is not larger than and is associated with a slot for PSFCH transmission, the UE allocates the PRB subsets from the PRB subsets to slot among the slots for PSSCH transmissions that are associated with the slot and sub-channel for PSFCH transmissions, where and , . The allocation starts in an ascending order of and continues in an ascending order of . The UE expects that isa multiple of *.* A PRB in the first interlace is excluded from the resources for a PSFCH transmission, if for or for for any PRB in the PRB subset when this PRB subset is finally selected for PSFCH transmission, and for or for , where PRB and PRB are the largest and smallest PRB indexes, respectively, in the resources for the PSFCH transmission assuming PRB is excluded.  Comment 5:  Suggest following red changes, details are:   * “…associated with the ~~first~~ lowest sub-channel within the RB-set with smallest index of the corresponding PSSCH”: to align with agreement and avoid confusion. * It seems the following agreement on cyclic shift is not captured yet.   ==  For operation with shared spectrum channel access and for the -th candidate PSFCH transmission occasion, a UE determines a number of PSFCH resources available for multiplexing HARQ-ACK or conflict information in a PSFCH transmission as where is a number of cyclic shift pairs for the resource pool provided by *sl-NumMuxCS-Pair* and, based on an indication by *sl-PSFCH-CandidateResourceType*  - if *sl-PSFCH-CandidateResourceType* is indicated as *startSubCH*, , , and the interlaces or PRB subsets are associated with the ~~first~~ lowest sub-channel within the RB-set with smallest index of the corresponding PSSCH  - if *sl-PSFCH-CandidateResourceType* is indicated as *allocSubCH*, and where the sum is over all RB-sets including resources for the corresponding PSSCH, and the interlaces per RB-set or PRB subsets are associated with the sub-channels of the corresponding PSSCH  - for conflict information, the corresponding PSSCH is determined based on *sl-PSFCH-Occasion*  The PSFCH resources are first indexed according to an ascending order of the interlace or PRB subset index, second according to an ascending order of the RB-set index, and then according to an ascending order of the cyclic shift pair index from the cyclic shift pairs. The UE applies CP extension to the first symbol of a PSFCH according to an index [4, TS 38.211] provided by *sl-CP-Extension-PSFCH*.  Agreement  Regarding PSFCH transmission,   * For “*Alt 1-1b: each PSFCH transmission occupies 1 common interlace and K3 dedicated PRB(s)*”   + Cyclic shift on each of K3 dedicated PRB(s) is the same   + Cyclic shift on each PRB of common interlace is up to UE implementation * For “*Alt 2-3a: each PSFCH transmission occupies 1 dedicated interlace*”   + Support PRB-level cyclic shift hopping as in NR-U to reduce PAPR   Comment 6:  Suggest following red changes to align with agreement. RAN1 discussed this issue and finally made agreement to avoid confusion.  ==  A UE can be provided a number of symbols in a resource pool, by *sl-TimeResourcePSCCH*, starting from a second symbol that is available for SL transmissions in a slot, and a number of PRBs in the resource pool, by *sl-FreqResourcePSCCH*, starting from the lowest PRB of the ~~lowest~~ sub-channel with a lowest index, in an RB-set with a lowest index if applicable, of the associated PSSCH for a PSCCH transmission with a SCI format 1-A.  **Agreement**  For interlace RB-based PSCCH/PSSCH transmission in SL-U, support the following:  Option 1: lowest sub-channel is the sub-channel with smallest sub-channel index |
| **Huawei, HiSilicon2** | **Comments for SL-U Channel Access**  **Comment #1: CPE for PSFCH**  **Reason for changes**:  Based on the agreement below, the CPE is used within the first or second symbol before the next AGC symbol, however, current description, i.e. first symbol of PSFCH, is ambiguous. It is not clear the first symbol is AGC symbol or actual PSFCH transmission symbol. Thus, we have following suggestion.   |  | | --- | | **Agreement**   * A set of all candidate CPE starting positions for SL transmission in FR1 unlicensed spectrum is pre-defined in TS38.211 as followed.   + For 15kHz SCS, the set contains values {, , , , , , }   + For 30kHz SCS, the set of values for CPE window of one-symbol length is {, , }   + For 30kHz SCS, the set of values for CPE window of two-symbol length is {, , , , , , }   + For 60kHz SCS, the set of values for CPE window of one-symbol length is {, }   + For 60kHz SCS, the set of values for CPE window of two-symbol length is {, , }   + is the starting position of the next AGC symbol     - Note: when the CPE starting position is , it means that the CPE length is 0   + is the starting position of the first symbol just before the next AGC symbol   + is the starting position of the second symbol just before the next AGC symbol   **Agreement**  A single CPE starting position for PSFCH transmission is (pre-)configured per resource pool and the value is from the set of all candidate CPE starting position defined in TS38.211. |   **Suggested Changes**   |  | | --- | | The PSFCH resources are first indexed according to an ascending order of the interlace or PRB subset index, second according to an ascending order of the RB-set index, and then according to an ascending order of the cyclic shift pair index from the cyclic shift pairs. The UE applies CP extension ~~to~~ within the 1 or 2 symbols before the ~~first~~ AGC symbol of a PSFCH according to an index [4, TS 38.211] provided by *sl-CP-Extension-PSFCH*. | |
| Qualcomm | For sidelink carrier aggregation:   1. For SL synchronization with CA, the agreement in RAN 1 was to re-use the LTE SL mechanism. From TS 36.213 Sec. 14.4, the SL synchronization signals are transmitted as per the procedure detailed in TS 36.331, where the parameters *syncFreqList, slss-TxMultiFreq,* and *slss-TxDisabled*. Like TS 36.213, a reference to TS 38.331 should be added at the end of the sentence.    1. If a UE is configured for sidelink operation on multiple carriers, the UE applies the synchronization procedures in Clause 16.1 on each of the multiple carriers as per TS 38.331. 2. For the change of power of the PSSCH/PSCCH transmission, the RAN 1 agreement was to re-use LTE CA power control in Sec. 14 of TS 36.213. The current text should explicitly capture the dropping of the PSSCH/PSCCH with highest priority when P\_CMAX is not met and then applying the same procedure (iteratively) on the remaining transmissions. We propose the following modification to the editor’s text:  * If a UE would transmit PSSCHs and PSCCHs on multiple carriers, the UE determines a power for each PSSCH and PSCCH transmission as described in Clauses 16.2.1 and 16.2.2, respectively. If the UE would transmit PSCCHs or PSSCHs that would overlap in time on respective carriers and a total power for the transmission of the PSCCHs or PSSCHs would exceed , the UE reduces a power for a transmission of a PSCCH or PSSCH that has the largest priority value as determined by SCI formats provided by the PSCCHs scheduling the respective PSSCHs. If more than one PSCCH/PSSCH transmissions have the largest priority value, the UE autonomously selects one of the more than one PSCCH/PSSCH transmissions to reduce a respective power. If, after the reduction of the power for the transmission of the PSCCH or the PSSCH with the largest priority value, a total power does not exceed , the UE transmits the PSCCHs or the PSSCHs, respectively. If, after the reduction of the power of the PSCCH or the PSSCH with the largest priority value, a total power exceeds , the UE ~~does not transmit~~  drops the transmission of PSCCH or the PSSCH with the largest priority value, respectively and repeats this procedure over the non-dropped carriers .   For sidelink unlicensed,   1. For PSFCH Alt 1-1b, regarding how we index the PRB subsets across interlaces, we think it should be within the interlace first and then across the interlace based on the RAN1#114 agreement.   Agreement  In “*Alt 1-1b: each PSFCH transmission occupies 1 common interlace and K3 dedicated PRB(s)*”, regarding mapping between PSSCH and K3 dedicated PRB(s):  Alt 1: Map to a dedicated PRB subset   * + - Step 2: Index dedicated PRBs in set#n, based on PRB index in an interlace first and interlace index second rule     - Step 3: After indexing in Step 2, every K3 dedicated PRBs forms a dedicated PRB subset   Hence, we propose the following modification to the editor’s text:   * “The UE determines the PRB subsets by ordering the PRB subsets first in an ascending order of ~~interlace index~~ PRB subset index within an interlace and second in ascending order of ~~PRB subset index within an interlace~~ interlace index” * “The PSFCH resources are first indexed according to an ascending order of the RB-set indexthe ~~interlace or PRB subset index~~, second according to an ascending order of interlace or PRB subset index ~~the RB-set index~~, and then according to an ascending order of the cyclic shift pair index from the cyclic shift pairs.”  1. For the common interlace RB dropping if there is A/N carrying RB within 1MHz, the original intention of the agreement is not to allow any of common interlace RBs to be within the K3 A/N carrying RB, so that the K3 A/N carrying RBs do not need to share Tx power under PSD limit. The separation of two RBs needs to > 6 RBs for u=1 and >3 RBs for u=2. Moreover, to fulfill the 80% OCB requirement in 20MHz channel (one RB-set), the transmitted signal needs to occupy >=16MHz. Two edge RBs of PSFCH transmission needs to be at least 89/45 RBs apart for u=1/2   So, we propose the following modification to the editor’s text:   * A PRB in the first interlace is excluded from the resources for a PSFCH transmission, if 7 for or 4 for for any PRB in the PRB subset, and for or for , where PRB and PRB are the largest and smallest PRB indexes, respectively, in the resources for the PSFCH transmission assuming PRB is excluded. |
| Sharp | * **Comment #1, on 16.1:**   The description of “a gap between repeated S-SS/PSBCH blocks" seems a bit unclear. We suggest describing that gap is between two adjacent repeated S-SS/PSBCH blocks.   |  | | --- | | - is a number of resource blocks, provided by *sl-GapRepeatedSSB*, for a gap between two adjacent repeated S-SS/PSBCH blocks; |  * **Comment #2, on 16.1:**   Anchor RB set is the RB set where the S-SSB provided by *sl-AbsoluteFrequencySSB* is located. This should be clearly defined in 213. But the current draft CR seems to assume that anchor RB set is defined elsewhere, and *sl-AbsoluteFrequencySSB* is just yet another configuration parameter of the anchor RB set.   * **Comment #3, on 16.1:**   Agree with Huawei that there is no agreement to support “*sl-AbsoluteFrequencySSB-r18*” and related spec text. All such text should be replaced by TBD in the draft CR.   * **Comment #4, on 16.3.0:**   The description of “The interlaces are ordered based on respective interlace indexes.” below seems not clear on how to order the interlaces, i.e., in an ascending order of interlace index or in a descending order of interlace index. Similar to description of “The UE determines the PRB subsets by ordering the PRB subsets first in an ascending order of interlace index and second in ascending order of PRB subset index within an interlace.” for *sl-PSFCH-Type = ‘type2’* where ascending order of interlace index is used, we suggest to add ascending order of interlace index to remove the ambiguity.   |  | | --- | | For operation with shared spectrum channel access, when *sl-PSFCH-Type = ‘type1’* and within RB-set , a UE determines, based on *sl-PSFCH-RB-Set*, all PRBs of an interlace for PSFCH transmission with HARQ-ACK information in the resource pool. Within the RB-set , the UE determines all PRBs in an interlace for PSFCH transmission with conflict information in the resource pool based on *sl-RB-SetPSFCH.*  The UE expects that PSFCH transmissions with conflict information use different interlaces than PSFCH transmissions with HARQ-ACK information. For the -th candidate PSFCH transmission occasion, , the UE determines a number of interlaces based on *sl-PSFCH-RB-Set* or *sl-RB-SetPSFCH*. The interlaces are ordered based on respective interlace indexes. All PRBs in the interlaces within RB-set are available for PSFCH transmission*.* For a number of sub-channels in RB-set and a number of PSSCH slots that is not larger than and is associated with a slot for PSFCH transmission, the UE allocates the interlaces from the interlaces to slot and sub-channel , where , , . The allocation starts in an ascending order of and continues in an ascending order of . The UE expects that isa multiple of *.* |  * **Comment #5, on 16.3.0:**   We assume that is intended to calculate all PRB subsets corresponding to all allocated sub-channels of the corresponding PSSCH, which should be denoted by but not . Propose to change “” to “” and also remove “per RB-set”.   |  | | --- | | - if *sl-PSFCH-CandidateResourceType* is indicated as *allocSubCH*, and where the sum is over all RB-sets including resources for the corresponding PSSCH, and the interlaces ~~per RB-set~~ or PRB subsets are associated with the sub-channels of the corresponding PSSCH | |
| vivo | **Comment1.**   |  | | --- | | 16.2.0 S-SS/PSBCH blocks  A UE determines a power for an S-SS/PSBCH block transmission occasion in slot , in the anchor RB-set if applicable, on active SL BWP of carrier as  [dBm]  where  - is defined in [8-1, TS 38.101-1] |   Agreement  Regarding “*UE may transmit S-SSB repetition in more than one RB set*”:   * For above Alts, is determined according to TS 38.101-1 for transmission of all S-SSB repetitions on all used RB sets   **Reason for change:** According to the agreement, is for transmission of all S-SSB repetitions on all used RB sets, but the current wording can be interpreted as that is a total budget only for the anchor RB set. Thus, we suggest to refine the wording as below:  \*\*\*\*\*\*change1 starts\*\*\*\*\*\*  - is defined in [8-1, TS 38.101-1], and is determined for transmission of all S-SS/PBCH blocks on all RB sets used for S-SSB transmissions if applicable.  \*\*\*\*\*\*change1 ends\*\*\*\*\*\*  **Comment2.**   |  | | --- | | 16.2.5 SL Carrier Aggregation  If a UE would transmit S-SS/PBCH blocks on multiple carriers, the UE determines a power for each S-SS/PBCH block transmission as described in Clause 16.2.0. If the UE would transmit S-SS/PBCH blocks that would overlap in time on respective carriers and a total power for the transmissions of the S-SS/PBCH blocks would exceed , the UE autonomously reduces a power for one or more of the S-SS/PBCH blocks transmissions so that a resulting total power would not exceed . |   **Reason for change:** According to agreement, it is up to UE implementation how to adjust the transmit power of each S-SSB transmission. If the power of a selected S-SSB is reduced to zero but the total power still exceeds the power budget, the UE must even terminate the S-SSB transmission and further reduce the power of the remaining the S-SSBs. Therefore, we recommend the following refinement:  \*\*\*\*\*\*change2 starts\*\*\*\*\*\*  If a UE would transmit S-SS/PBCH blocks on multiple carriers, the UE determines a power for each S-SS/PBCH block transmission as described in Clause 16.2.0. If the UE would transmit S-SS/PBCH blocks that would overlap in time on respective carriers and a total power for the transmissions of the S-SS/PBCH blocks would exceed , the UE autonomously reduces a power for one or more of the S-SS/PBCH blocks transmissions so that a resulting total power would not exceed . If, after the reduction of the power of the S-SS/PBCH blocks transmissions, a total power exceeds , the UE does not transmit at least some of S-SS/PBCH blocks.  \*\*\*\*\*\*change2 ends\*\*\*\*\*\*  **Comment3**   |  | | --- | | 16.2.5 SL Carrier Aggregation  If a UE would transmit PSSCHs and PSCCHs on multiple carriers, the UE determines a power for each PSSCH and PSCCH transmission as described in Clauses 16.2.1 and 16.2.2, respectively. If the UE would transmit PSCCHs or PSSCHs that would overlap in time on respective carriers and a total power for the transmission of the PSCCHs or PSSCHs would exceed , the UE reduces a power for a transmission of a PSCCH or PSSCH that has the largest priority value as determined by SCI formats provided by the PSCCHs scheduling the respective PSSCHs. If more than one PSCCH/PSSCH transmissions have the largest priority value, the UE autonomously selects one of the more than one PSCCH/PSSCH transmissions to reduce a respective power. If, after the reduction of the power for the transmission of the PSCCH or the PSSCH with the largest priority value, a total power does not exceed , the UE transmits the PSCCHs or the PSSCHs, respectively. If, after the reduction of the power of the PSCCH or the PSSCH with the largest priority value, a total power exceeds , the UE does not transmit the PSCCH or the PSSCH, respectively. |   The LTE spec is as below:  *In sidelink transmission mode 3 or 4, if a UE's sidelink transmission on a carrier overlaps in time with sidelink transmission on other carrier(s) and its total transmission power exceeds defined in [6], the UE shall adjust the transmission power of the sidelink transmission which has SCI whose "Priority" field is set to the largest value among all the “Priority” values of the overlapped sidelink transmissions such that its total transmission power does not exceed defined in [6]. In this case, calculation of the adjustment to the sidelink transmission power is not specified. If the transmission power still exceeds  defined in [6] after this power adjustment, the UE shall drop the sidelink transmission with the largest “Priority” field in its SCI and repeat this procedure over the non-dropped carriers. It is not specified which sidelink transmission the UE adjusts when sidelink transmissions overlapping in time on two or more carriers have the same value for the “Priority” field.*  **Reason for change:** After dropping one PSSCH with the largest “Priority” field, if the total power still exceeds the power budget, the UE should repeat the power reduction procedure for the remaining non-dropped carriers.:  \*\*\*\*\*\*change3 starts\*\*\*\*\*\*  If a UE would transmit PSSCHs and PSCCHs on multiple carriers, the UE determines a power for each PSSCH and PSCCH transmission as described in Clauses 16.2.1 and 16.2.2, respectively. If the UE would transmit PSCCHs or PSSCHs that would overlap in time on respective carriers and a total power for the transmission of the PSCCHs or PSSCHs would exceed , the UE reduces a power for a transmission of a PSCCH or PSSCH that has the largest priority value as determined by SCI formats provided by the PSCCHs scheduling the respective PSSCHs. If more than one PSCCH/PSSCH transmissions have the largest priority value, the UE autonomously selects one of the more than one PSCCH/PSSCH transmissions to reduce a respective power. If, after the reduction of the power for the transmission of the PSCCH or the PSSCH with the largest priority value, a total power does not exceed , the UE transmits the PSCCHs or the PSSCHs, respectively. If, after the reduction of the power of the PSCCH or the PSSCH with the largest priority value, a total power exceeds , the UE does not transmit the PSCCH or the PSSCH, respectively. If, after the dropping of the PSCCH or the PSSCH with the largest priority value, a total power exceeds , UE repeats this power reduction or dropping procedure of PSCCH or PSSCH over non-dropped carriers.  \*\*\*\*\*\*change3 ends\*\*\*\*\*\*  **Comment4**  Agreement  Rel-16/17 PSFCH power control and PSFCH TX/TX prioritization rule are performed across carriers for all PSFCH transmissions over all the aggregated SL carriers at the same time.  •The UE does not expect to be provided with a (pre)configuration that would result in different transmit power per PSFCH on different carriers.  **Reason for change:** PSFCH TX/TX prioritization rule in the agreement refer to the PSFCH TX/TX prioritization rule in Clause 16.2.3 for PSFCH power control, the agreement means that for CA case the power control for PSFCH including PSFCH TX/TX de-prioritization should be per CA applied ,but the current PSFCH PC procedure is per carrier applied. changes to Clause 16.2.3 is needed  \*\*\*\*\*\*change4 starts\*\*\*\*\*\*  16.2.3 PSFCH  A UE with scheduled PSFCH transmissions for HARQ-ACK information and conflict information, and capable of transmitting a maximum of PSFCHs, determines a number of simultaneous PSFCH transmissions and a power for a PSFCH transmission , , on all the resource pools in PSFCH transmission occasion on active SL BWP of carrier when UE is not provided with multiple carriers, or all the resource pools in PSFCH transmission occasion on active SL BWPs of multiple carriers if a UE would transmit PSFCH on multiple carriersas  - if *dl-P0-PSFCH* is provided,  [dBm]  Where  \*\*\*\*\*\*change4 ends\*\*\*\*\*\*  **Comment4**  For type-2 PSFCH transmission in shared band, according to the below agreement, firstly, the PRB is indexed first within an interlace and second in interlace index, which seems to be contrary to the below red text in the draft CR; secondly, the interlace for PSFCH is defined for 15kHz and 30kHz SCS (i.e., and ), while the current draft CR is for and as highlighted in yellow.  Step 2: Index dedicated PRBs in set#n, based on PRB index in an interlace first and interlace index second rule  Draft CR:  For operation with shared spectrum channel access, when *sl-PSFCH-Type = ‘type2’* and within RB-set , a UE determines a subset of PRBs in a first interlace and, based on *sl-PSFCH-RB-Set*, a subset of PRBs in a second interlace for PSFCH transmission with HARQ-ACK information in a resource pool. Within RB-set , the UE determines a subset of PRBs in a first interlace and, based on *sl-RB-SetPSFCH*, a subset of PRBs in a second interlace for PSFCH transmission with conflict information in a resource pool*.* The UE expects that PSFCH transmissions with conflict information use different PRB subsets than PSFCH transmissions with HARQ-ACK information. An index of the first interlace is provided by *sl-PSFCH-Type2-CommonInterlace*. The PRBs in the second interlace are provided by *sl-PSFCH-Type2-DedicatedPRB* where, for the -th candidate PSFCH transmission occasion, , and for each interlace , the UE determines PRB subsets based on *sl-PSFCH-RB-Set* or *sl-RB-SetPSFCH*. The UE expects that is a multiple of . For interlace , the UE determines a PRB subset with index to include PRBs , . The UE determines the PRB subsets by ordering the PRB subsets first in an ascending order of interlace index and second in ascending order of PRB subset index within an interlace. For a number of sub-channels in RB-set and a number of slots for PSSCH transmissions that is not larger than and is associated with a slot for PSFCH transmission, the UE allocates the PRB subsets from the PRB subsets to slot among the slots for PSSCH transmissions that are associated with the slot and sub-channel for PSFCH transmissions, where and , . The allocation starts in an ascending order of and continues in an ascending order of . The UE expects that isa multiple of *.* A PRB in the first interlace is excluded from the resources for a PSFCH transmission, if for or for for any PRB in the PRB subset, and for or for , where PRB and PRB are the largest and smallest PRB indexes, respectively, in the resources for the PSFCH transmission assuming PRB is excluded. |
| OPPO | For SL carrier aggregation (16.2.5)   1. For the following description, it is better to use “PSCCH/PSSCH transmissions” instead of “PSCCHs or PSSCHs” due to PSCCH and PSSCH are transmitted in TDM + FDM manner.   “If a UE would transmit PSSCHs and PSCCHs on multiple carriers, the UE determines a power for each PSSCH and PSCCH transmission as described in Clauses 16.2.1 and 16.2.2, respectively. If the UE would transmit ~~PSCCHs or PSSCHs~~ PSCCH/PSSCH transmissions that would overlap in time on respective carriers and a total power for the transmission of the PSCCHs or PSSCHs would exceed ,”   1. The following sentence should be removed, whether to transmit PSCCH/PSSCH still needs to consider other rules (e.g., UL/SL prioritization). In LTE SL CA, we only specified whether to drop the SL transmission but didn’t specify whether to transmit the SL transmission in the section of power control.   “If more than one PSCCH/PSSCH transmissions have the largest priority value, the UE autonomously selects one of the more than one PSCCH/PSSCH transmissions to reduce a respective power. ~~If, after the reduction of the power for the transmission of the PSCCH or the PSSCH with the largest priority value, a total power does not exceed , the UE transmits the PSCCHs or the PSSCHs, respectively.~~ If, after the reduction of the power of the PSCCH or the PSSCH with the largest priority value, a total power exceeds , the UE does not transmit the PSCCH or the PSSCH, respectively.”  For PSSCH-PSFCH resource mapping   1. For UE behaviour to perform PSFCH transmission using N PSFCH transmission occasions, we have the following agreements:  |  | | --- | | Agreement  Regarding “*one PSCCH/PSSCH transmission has N associated candidate PSFCH occasion(s)*” and “*For one PSCCH/PSSCH transmission, at least support that its associated candidate PSFCH occasion(s) are in different slots of the same RB set(s)*”, support:   * Slot index of 1st PSFCH occasion (denoted as slot k) of a PSCCH/PSSCH transmission is determined in the same way as legacy NR SL * The nth PSFCH occasion is in slot   + - Alt 1: P is equal to the (pre-)configured PSFCH periodicity, i.e., P is provided by *sl-PSFCH-Period* * Within a slot including PSFCH, for each RB set, the (pre-)configured PRBs for PSFCH transmission on this RB set are divided into N different PRB sets (denoted as set#1, set#2, …, set#N), which are associated with N candidate PSFCH occasion(s)   + Within this RB set, for one sub-channel on one slot of PSCCH/PSSCH transmission, its nth PSFCH occasion includes PRBs belonging to above set#n in slot |   Based on that, we suggest the modification to the following part  “For operation with shared spectrum channel access, the UE can attempt to transmit the PSFCH over a number of ~~first~~ slots, provided by *sl-candidatePSFCH-Occasions*~~,~~. The first PSFCH slot is a first slot that include PSFCH resources and are at least a number of slots, provided by *sl-MinTimeGapPSFCH*, of the resource pool after a last slot of the PSSCH reception. The nth PSFCH slot among the number of slots is , where k is the slot of the first PSFCH slot, and is provided by *sl-PSFCH-Period* , . The UE attempts to transmit in a slot only when the UE fails to transmit in all previous slots within the number of slots.”   1. For PSFCH type 1 (interlace only), there are following two comments 2. Within interlace subset associate to PSFCH transmission occasion n, the interlaces are re-indexed with ascending order of interlace. 3. The following description is not correct “All PRBs in the interlaces within RB-set are available for PSFCH transmission”, it seems that all interlaces within RB set k are available for PSFCH transmission.   Based on above analysis, we suggest the following modification:  “For operation with shared spectrum channel access, when *sl-PSFCH-Type = ‘type1’* and within RB-set , a UE determines, based on *sl-PSFCH-RB-Set*, all PRBs of an interlace for PSFCH transmission with HARQ-ACK information in the resource pool. Within the RB-set , the UE determines all PRBs in an interlace for PSFCH transmission with conflict information in the resource pool based on *sl-RB-SetPSFCH.*  The UE expects that PSFCH transmissions with conflict information use different interlaces than PSFCH transmissions with HARQ-ACK information. For the -th candidate PSFCH transmission occasion, , the UE determines an interlace set which includes a number of interlaces based on *sl-PSFCH-RB-Set* or *sl-RB-SetPSFCH*. The set of interlaces are indexed according to ascending order of ~~ordered based on respective~~ interlace index~~es~~. For each interlace within the interlace set, ~~A~~all PRBs in the interlace~~s within RB-set~~  are available for PSFCH transmission*.* For a number of sub-channels in RB-set and a number of PSSCH slots that is not larger than and is associated with a slot for PSFCH transmission, the UE allocates the interlaces from the interlaces to slot and sub-channel , where , , . The allocation starts in an ascending order of and continues in an ascending order of . The UE expects that isa multiple of *.”*   1. For PSFCH type 2 (common interlace + dedicated PRB subset), there are following comments 2. During the procedure “For operation with shared spectrum channel access, when *sl-PSFCH-Type = ‘type2’* and within RB-set …”, we think it is to determine PSSCH-PSFCH resource set mapping based on one sub-channel and one slot of PSSCH resource within one RB set. Whether a PRB in common interlace needs to be dropped can only be determined after a specific PSFCH resource for a PSFCH transmission is determined (based on P\_ID and M\_ID). In that case, the following part (“A PRB in the first interlace is excluded from the resources for a PSFCH transmission, if for or for for any PRB in the PRB subset, and for or for , where PRB and PRB are the largest and smallest PRB indexes, respectively, in the resources for the PSFCH transmission assuming PRB is excluded. ”)should be removed to later part when PSFCH resource is determined 3. Based on the agreement for PSSCH-PSFCH mapping, we suggest the following modification:   “For operation with shared spectrum channel access, when *sl-PSFCH-Type = ‘type2’* and within RB-set , a UE determines ~~a subset of PRBs in~~ a first interlace and, based on *sl-PSFCH-RB-Set*, a subset of PRBs ~~in a second interlace~~ for PSFCH transmission with HARQ-ACK information in a resource pool. Within RB-set , the UE determines ~~a subset of PRBs in~~ a first interlace and, based on *sl-RB-SetPSFCH*, a subset of PRBs ~~in a second interlace~~ for PSFCH transmission with conflict information in a resource pool*.* The UE expects that PSFCH transmissions with conflict information use different PRB subsets than PSFCH transmissions with HARQ-ACK information. An index of the first interlace is provided by *sl-PSFCH-Type2-CommonInterlace*. ~~The PRBs in the second interlace are provided by~~ *~~sl-PSFCH-Type2-DedicatedPRB~~* ~~where, f~~For the -th candidate PSFCH transmission occasion, , ~~and for each interlace ,~~ the UE determines a subset which includes a number of PRBs within the subsets of PRBs ~~based on~~ *~~sl-PSFCH-RB-Set~~* ~~or~~ *~~sl-RB-SetPSFCH~~*. For each interlace *l*, ~~T~~the UE expects that is a multiple of ~~,~~ where is the number of PRBs of interlace *l* in RB-set *k* available for PSFCH transmission occasion *n*, and is number of PRBs of one interlace used for one PSFCH transmission. The UE expects that is a multiple of . ~~For interlace , the UE determines a PRB subset with index to include PRBs , .~~ The ~~UE determines the~~ subset of PRB is indexed ~~subsets by ordering the PRB subsets~~ firstly in an ascending order of PRB index within an interlace ~~interlace index~~ and secondly in ascending order of interlace index ~~PRB subset index within an interlace~~. Within the subset of PRBs, every PRBs forms a PRB subset. For a number of sub-channels in RB-set and a number of slots for PSSCH transmissions that is not larger than and is associated with a slot for PSFCH transmission, the UE allocates the PRB subsets from the PRB subsets to slot among the slots for PSSCH transmissions that are associated with the slot and sub-channel for PSFCH transmissions, where , and , . The allocation starts in an ascending order of and continues in an ascending order of . The UE expects that isa multiple of *.* ~~A PRB in the first interlace is excluded from the resources for a PSFCH transmission, if for or for for any PRB in the PRB subset, and for or for , where PRB and PRB are the largest and smallest PRB indexes, respectively, in the resources for the PSFCH transmission assuming PRB is excluded.~~  ”  “A UE determines an index of a PSFCH resource for a PSFCH transmission with HARQ-ACK information in response to a PSSCH reception or with conflict information corresponding to a reserved resource as where is a physical layer source ID provided by SCI format 2-A/2-B/2-C [5, TS 38.212] scheduling the PSSCH reception, or by SCI format 2-A/2-B/2-C with corresponding SCI format 1-A reserving the resource from another UE to be provided with the conflict information. For HARQ-ACK information, is the identity of the UE receiving the PSSCH as indicated by higher layers if the UE detects a SCI format 2-A with Cast type indicator field value of "01"; otherwise, is zero. For conflict information, is zero.  For operation with shared spectrum channel access, when *sl-PSFCH-Type = ‘type2’, a* PRB in the first interlace is excluded from the resources for a PSFCH transmission, if for or for for any PRB in the PRB subset associated to the determined PSFCH resource, and for or for , where PRB and PRB are the largest and smallest PRB indexes, respectively, in the resources for the PSFCH transmission assuming PRB is excluded.  ”  For PSFCH monitoring and reporting:   1. The following agreement were achieved in RAN1#114, which can be captured into 16.3.1  |  | | --- | | Agreement  In “*one PSCCH/PSSCH transmission has N associated candidate PSFCH occasion(s)*”, regarding Rx UE behaviour on receiving PSFCH for a PSCCH/PSSCH transmission, support:   * For unicast:   + FFS: Monitor:     - Rx UE attempts to monitor candidate PSFCH occasion(s) until one PSFCH is detected or all candidate PSFCH occasion(s) are monitored.     - If one PSFCH is detected, Rx UE can omit monitoring following candidate PSFCH occasion(s).   + Report:     - If Rx UE receives PSFCH, Rx UE reports same value as a value of HARQ-ACK information that the UE determines from the PSFCH reception to higher layers, otherwise re-ports NACK to higher layer. * FFS: For groupcast option 1 (NACK only):   + FFS: Monitor:     - Rx UE attempts to monitor all candidate PSFCH occasions.     - If NACK is detected, Rx UE can omit monitoring following candidate PSFCH occasion(s).   + Report:     - If Rx UE does not detect any PSFCH in all candidate PSFCH occasions, Rx UE reports ACK to higher layers; otherwise, reports NACK to higher layers. * For groupcast option 2 (ACK/NACK):   + FFS: Monitor:     - Rx UE attempts to monitor PSFCH transmission occasions until PSFCH from all transmitters have been detected or all candidate PSFCH occasions are monitored.     - If Rx UE detects PSFCH from one PSFCH transmitter, it can omit PSFCH detection for following PSFCH transmission occasions for this PSFCH transmitter.   + Report:     - If ACK has been detected from at least one PSFCH occasion of each of all expected PSSCH receivers, Rx UE reports ACK to higher layers; otherwise, reports NACK to higher layers.   Agreement  In “*one PSCCH/PSSCH transmission has N associated candidate PSFCH occasion(s)*”, regarding Rx UE behaviour on receiving PSFCH for a PSCCH/PSSCH transmission, support:   * For unicast:   + Monitor:     - Alt 1: Rx UE attempts to monitor all candidate PSFCH occasion(s)       * If one PSFCH is detected, Rx UE can omit monitoring following candidate PSFCH occasion(s), if any.     - PSFCH prioritization rule is used * For groupcast option 2 (ACK/NACK):   + Monitor:     - Alt 1: Rx UE attempts to monitor all PSFCH transmission occasions.       * If Rx UE detects PSFCH from a PSFCH transmitter, it can omit PSFCH detection for following PSFCH transmission occasions for this PSFCH transmitter, if any.     - PSFCH prioritization rule is used |   For Synchronization procedures / S-SSB (16.1)   1. The definition of anchor RB set can be supplemented based on the note in the agreements “Note: anchor RB set refers to the RB set where S-SSB indicated by *sl-AbsoluteFrequencySSB-r16* locates” 2. RAN1 does not have a clear agreement about how to map S-SSB repetitions on non-anchor RB set. Furthermore, RAN1 has the agreement on how to determine anchor RB set, and the current agreements implies that the rest RB sets are non-anchor after the determination of anchor RB set. Therefore, by now, it is suggested that “*sl-AbsoluteFrequencySSB-r18*, when RB-set *j* is a non-anchor RB-set” and “*sl-AbsoluteFrequencySSB-r18* when RB-set *j* is a non-anchor RB-set;” can be updated. 3. In frequency domain, the gap between repeated S-SS/PSBCH should be described in details based the agreements that “The gap is between the lowest subcarrier of the upper PSBCH and the highest subcarrier of the lower PSBCH”.   =====Start of Draft TP=====  For reception of a S-SS/PSBCH block  - for operation without shared spectrum channel access, or for operation with shared spectrum channel access and when *sl-NumberRepeatedSSB* is not provided for RB-set , a UE assumes a frequency location corresponding to the subcarrier with index 66 in the S-SS/PSBCH block [4, TS 38.211] is provided by  - *sl-AbsoluteFrequencySSB*, for operation without shared spectrum channel access or when RB-set is the anchor RB-set which refers to the RB set where S-SSB indicated by *sl-AbsoluteFrequencySSB* locates.  - *~~sl-AbsoluteFrequencySSB-r18~~*~~, w~~When RB-set *j* is a ~~non-~~anchor RB-set, an RB set other than RB set *j* is a non-anchor RB set.  - for operation with shared spectrum channel access when *sl-NumberRepeatedSSB* is provided and in RB-set , a UE assumes a frequency location corresponding to the subcarrier with index 66 in the S-SS/PSBCH block [4, TS 38.211] is provided by +, where  - is a frequency location of a lowest S-SS/PSBCH block in RB-set , where is provided by  - *sl-AbsoluteFrequencySSB* when RB-set *j* is the anchor RB-set,  - *~~sl-AbsoluteFrequencySSB-r18~~*~~, w~~When RB-set *j* is a ~~non-~~anchor RB-set, an RB set other than RB set *j* is a non-anchor RB set.  - is an index of an S-SS/PSBCH block from repeated S-SS/PSBCH blocks in the frequency domain and within the RB-set , where , and is provided by a value in *sl-NumberRepeatedSSB* corresponding to RB-set ;  - is a number of resource blocks, provided by *sl-GapRepeatedSSB*, for a gap between the lowest subcarrier of the upper PSBCH and the highest subcarrier of the lower PSBCH ~~repeated S-SS/PSBCH blocks~~;  - is a number of resource blocks for a S-SS/PSBCH block transmission with SCS configuration .  =====End of Draft TP===== |
| DCM | * N PSFCH occasions   As commented by several companies, usage of N PSFCH occasions should be captured in 38.213.   * Inter-UE coordination-related texts   In our view, although the feature has not been discussed clearly, ‘PSFCH’ includes PSFCH for HARQ-ACK and PSFCH for IUC scheme 2. This means that agreements so far include the feature and thus removing inter-UE coordination-related texts for SL-U should not be applied. The current editor’s text should be kept. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon\_3 | **Comments for SL CA**  **Reason for change**   * **Change #1:** Following the LTE SL CA procedure, the power adjustment should be applied to both drop and non-dropped carriers, however, current wording does not reflect it clearly. So, we suggest use LTE SL CA like wording.  |  | | --- | | “If the transmission power still exceeds  defined in [6] after this power adjustment, the UE shall drop the sidelink transmission with the largest "Priority" field in its SCI and repeat this procedure over the non-dropped carriers.” |  * **Change #2:** following the WID, intra-band CA is clarified.   **Suggested changes**   |  | | --- | | 16.2.5 SL Carrier Aggregation  …  If a UE would transmit PSSCHs and PSCCHs on multiple carriers, the UE determines a power for each PSSCH and PSCCH transmission as described in Clauses 16.2.1 and 16.2.2, respectively. If the UE would transmit PSCCHs or PSSCHs that would overlap in time on respective carriers and a total power for the transmission of the PSCCHs or PSSCHs would exceed , the UE reduces a power for a transmission of a PSCCH or PSSCH that has the largest priority value as determined by SCI formats provided by the PSCCHs scheduling the respective PSSCHs. If more than one PSCCH/PSSCH transmissions have the largest priority value, the UE autonomously selects one of the more than one PSCCH/PSSCH transmissions to reduce a respective power. If, after adjusting the power of the PSCCH or the PSSCH with the largest priority value, a total power exceeds P\_CMAX, the UE drop the transmission of the PSCCH or the PSSCH, respectively. And the UE repeat this procedure over non-dropped carriers. It is not specified which sidelink transmission the UE adjusts when sidelink transmissions overlapping in time on two or more carriers have the same value for the "Priority" field. ~~If, after the reduction of the power for the transmission of the PSCCH or the PSSCH with the largest priority value, a total power does not exceed , the UE transmits the PSCCHs or the PSSCHs, respectively. If, after the reduction of the power of the PSCCH or the PSSCH with the largest priority value, a total power exceeds , the UE does not transmit the PSCCH or the PSSCH, respectively.~~  If a UE would simultaneously transmit PSFCHs and receive PSFCHs on multiple carriers, the UE performs the procedures in Clause 16.2.4.2 across all the PSFCHs for transmission and PSFCHs for reception in order to determine PSFCHs to transmit or PSFCHs to receive. If a UE would simultaneously transmit PSFCHs on multiple carriers, the UE performs the procedures in Clause 16.2.3 across all the PSFCHs for transmission in order to determine PSFCHs to transmit and a corresponding power per PSFCH transmission. The UE expects to determine a same time resource and a same power for each of the PSFCH transmissions on intra-band multiple carriers. | |