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# Introduction

The purpose of this document is to collect inputs/comments on the draft CR for TS 38.213 [draftCR\_38213 SL](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_114/Inbox/drafts/9.17%28Other%29/%5B38.213%20draft%20CRs%5D/NR_SL_enh2/R1-230xxxx%20draftCR_38213%20SL.docx) on the introduction of NR sidelink evolution. If a comment on a particular aspect has been made by another company, please do not repeat it until, if needed, after a response.

The first checkpoint is on September 5, UTC 13:00.

# First Round Discussion

Please provide your comments on the draft CR for TS 38.213 [draftCR\_38213 SL](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_114/Inbox/drafts/9.17%28Other%29/%5B38.213%20draft%20CRs%5D/NR_SL_enh2/R1-230xxxx%20draftCR_38213%20SL.docx).

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
| LGE | On 16.2.0, according to the following text in the agreement, the definition of P\_CMAX would need to be updated accordingly. For instance, we can add “and is determined for all the S-SSB repetitions, if applicable” for the P\_CMAX part. * For above Alts, $P\_{CMAX}$ is determined according to TS 38.101-1 for transmission of all S-SSB repetitions on all used RB sets

As we know, the value of P\_CMAX could be different based on the assumption on the transmission structure. On 16.2.3, it would be necessary to update the PSFCH power control as per the following agreement.AgreementRel-16/17 PSFCH power control and PSFCH TX/TX prioritization rule are performed across carriers for all PSFCH transmissions over all the aggregated SL carriers at the same time.* The UE does not expect to be provided with a (pre)configuration that would result in different transmit power per PSFCH on different carriers.

For instance, following updates can be considered:A UE with $N\_{sch,Tx,PSFCH}$ scheduled PSFCH transmissions for HARQ-ACK information and conflict information, and capable of transmitting a maximum of $N\_{max,PSFCH}$ PSFCHs, determines a number $N\_{Tx,PSFCH}$ of simultaneous PSFCH transmissions and a power $P\_{PSFCH,k}(i)$ for a PSFCH transmission $k$, $1\leq k\leq N\_{Tx,PSFCH}$, on all the resource pools in PSFCH transmission occasion $i$ on all the active SL BWP $b$ of all the carrier $f$as…For resource pools configured with PSFCH resources overlapping in time for all the carriers, the UE either expects not to be provided with *dl-P0-PSFCH* or *dl-Alpha-PSFCH* in any of the resource pools, or expects to be provided with the same values of *dl-P0-PSFCH* and the same values of *dl-Alpha-PSFCH* for all the resource pools.On 16.3.0, following part need to be moved after the final PSFCH resource determination with some typo correction. In our understanding, dropping PRB belonging to common interlace will be performed after the UE decides the PRBs for actual PSFCH transmission. Moreover, the final determination on PRBs for the actual PFSCH transmission would be selected among PSFCH resources across multiple PRB sets when $N\_{type }^{PSFCH}=N\_{subch }^{PSSCH}$.A PRB $s\_{1}$ in the first interlace is excluded from the resources for a PSFCH transmission, if $|s\_{1}-s\_{2}|\leq 5$ for $μ=10$ or $|s\_{1}-s\_{2}|\leq 2$ for $μ=21$ for any PRB $s\_{2}$ in the PRB subset, and $\left(s\_{high}-s\_{low}\right)\geq 88$ for $μ=10$ or $\left(s\_{high}-s\_{low}\right)\geq 44$ for $μ=21$, where PRB $s\_{high}$ and PRB $s\_{low}$ are the largest and smallest PRB indexes, respectively, in the resources for the PSFCH transmission assuming PRB $s\_{1}$ is excluded. On 16.4, according to the agreement, it would be necessary to clarify the meaning of the lowest subchannel. We can add “index” after “the lowest sub-channel”. **Agreement**For interlace RB-based PSCCH/PSSCH transmission in SL-U, support the following:* Option 1: lowest sub-channel is the sub-channel with smallest sub-channel index
 |
| CATT/GOHIGH | * **Comments 1 (Clause 16.1)**

Regarding the configuration of additional candidate S-SSB occasions, it should be accurately captured, as the following agreement, that each legacy Rel-16/17 S-SSB occasion is supported to configure additional S-SSB occasion(s), not “each slot that includes S-SS/PSBCH”.**Agreement**Regarding the number and location(s) of additional candidate S-SSB occasions, support:* Option 2 (12): Each R16/R17 NR SL S-SSB slot has K corresponding additional candidate S-SSB occasion(s) in different time slot(s), and the gap between them is (pre-)configured
	+ FFS details, e.g., value of K, details on gap length (including possibility of being 0), etc.
* **Comments 2 (Clause 16.2.5)**

Some typos should be corrected, where “S-SS/PBCH” should be changed to “S-SS/PSBCH”.* **Comment 3 (Clause 16.2.5)**

Regarding the details of PSFCH power control, the following two highlight parts from TS 36.213 are missed or incorrectly captured.

|  |
| --- |
| In sidelink transmission mode 3 or 4, if a UE's sidelink transmission on a carrier overlaps in time with sidelink transmission on other carrier(s) and its total transmission power exceeds defined in [6], the UE shall adjust the transmission power of the sidelink transmission which has SCI whose "Priority" field is set to the largest value among all the "Priority" values of the overlapped sidelink transmissions such that its total transmission power does not exceed defined in [6]. In this case, calculation of the adjustment to the sidelink transmission power is not specified. If the transmission power still exceeds  defined in [6] after this power adjustment, the UE shall drop the sidelink transmission with the largest "Priority" field in its SCI and repeat this procedure over the non-dropped carriers. It is not specified which sidelink transmission the UE adjusts when sidelink transmissions overlapping in time on two or more carriers have the same value for the "Priority" field. |

* **Comments 4 (Clause 16.2.5)**

Regarding simultaneously transmissions over multiple carriers, the procedure of Clause 16.2.3 cannot be directly reused, such as the maximum transmission power and the maximum transmission number should be re-defined over all the multiple carriers.Agreement:Rel-16/17 PSFCH power control and PSFCH TX/TX prioritization rule are performed across carriers for all PSFCH transmissions over all the aggregated SL carriers at the same time.* The UE does not expect to be provided with a (pre)configuration that would result in different transmit power per PSFCH on different carriers.
* **Comments 5 (Clause 16.3.0)**

IUC mechanism is not discussed in Rel-18 SL-U, so the associated parts should be removed.* **Comment 6 (Clause 16.3.0)**

The following yellow highlight part should be removed, because $N\_{type }^{PSFCH}⋅M$ indicates all the candidate PSFCH frequency resources within all the used RB sets associated with PSSCH transmission.if *sl-PSFCH-CandidateResourceType* is indicated as *allocSubCH*, $N\_{type }^{PSFCH}=N\_{subch }^{PSSCH}$ and $M=\sum\_{k}^{}M\_{subch, slot,k}^{PSFCH,n}$ where the sum is over all RB-sets including resources for the corresponding PSSCH, and the $N\_{type }^{PSFCH}⋅M$ interlaces per RB-set or PRB subsets are associated with the $N\_{subch }^{PSSCH}$ sub-channels of the corresponding PSSCH |
| Xiaomi | Comment#1On section 16.1 and 16.3.0, to make specification correct, we make the following revision for CPE.For operation with shared spectrum channel access, a UE attempts to transmit at least S-SS/PSBCH blocks in the anchor RB set. The UE applies CP extension within the symbol just before ~~to~~ the first symbol of an S-SS/PSBCH block according to an index [4, TS 38.211] provided by *sl-CP-Extension-SSB*. The UE applies CP extension within the symbol just before ~~to~~ the first symbol of a PSFCH according to an index [4, TS 38.211] provided by *sl-CP-Extension-PSFCH*. Comment #2On section16.3.0, we think following agreements in blue part also need to be captured, which intend to determine the PRB set for each PSFCH occasion.**Agreement**Regarding “*one PSCCH/PSSCH transmission has N associated candidate PSFCH occasion(s)*” and “*For one PSCCH/PSSCH transmission, at least support that its associated candidate PSFCH occasion(s) are in different slots of the same RB set(s)*”, support:* Slot index of 1st PSFCH occasion (denoted as slot k) of a PSCCH/PSSCH transmission is determined in the same way as legacy NR SL
* The nth PSFCH occasion is in slot $k+\left(n-1\right)\*P$
	+ - Alt 1: P is equal to the (pre-)configured PSFCH periodicity, i.e., P is provided by *sl-PSFCH-Period*
	+ $1\leq n\leq N$
* Within a slot including PSFCH, for each RB set, the (pre-)configured PRBs for PSFCH transmission on this RB set are divided into N different PRB sets (denoted as set#1, set#2, …, set#N), which are associated with N candidate PSFCH occasion(s)
	+ Within this RB set, for one sub-channel on one slot of PSCCH/PSSCH transmission, its nth PSFCH occasion includes PRBs belonging to above set#n in slot $k+\left(n-1\right)\*P$
	+ FFS: whether to use 1 or N bitmaps to indicate resource for N candidate PSFCH occasion(s), respectively

Comment #3On section16.2.5, since there is no separate power control procedure for PSCCH, we propose to change the “or” to “/” in the whole paragraph below:

|  |
| --- |
| If a UE would transmit PSSCHs and PSCCHs on multiple carriers, the UE determines a power for each PSSCH and PSCCH transmission as described in Clauses 16.2.1 and 16.2.2, respectively. If the UE would transmit PSCCHs /PSSCHs that would overlap in time on respective carriers and a total power for the transmission of the PSCCHs /PSSCHs would exceed $P\_{CMAX}$, the UE reduces a power for a transmission of a PSCCH /PSSCH that has the largest priority value as determined by SCI formats provided by the PSCCHs scheduling the respective PSSCHs. If more than one PSCCH/PSSCH transmissions have the largest priority value, the UE autonomously selects one of the more than one PSCCH/PSSCH transmissions to reduce a respective power. If, after the reduction of the power for the transmission of the PSCCH/ PSSCH with the largest priority value, a total power does not exceed $P\_{CMAX}$, the UE transmits the PSCCHs/PSSCHs, respectively. If, after the reduction of the power of the PSCCH /PSSCH with the largest priority value, a total power exceeds $P\_{CMAX}$, the UE does not transmit the PSCCH /PSSCH, respectively. |

Comment #4According to the following agreements in RAN1#114, the following agreement has addressed that the PSFCH transmission power across different carriers shall be same:

|  |
| --- |
| AgreementRel-16/17 PSFCH power control and PSFCH TX/TX prioritization rule are performed across carriers for all PSFCH transmissions over all the aggregated SL carriers at the same time.* The UE does not expect to be provided with a (pre)configuration that would result in different transmit power per PSFCH on different carriers.
 |

Therefore, the following sentence should be added to reflect this based on the description in 16.2.3:

|  |
| --- |
| If a UE would simultaneously transmit PSFCHs and receive PSFCHs on multiple carriers, the UE performs the procedures in Clause 16.2.4.2 across all the PSFCHs for transmission and PSFCHs for reception in order to determine PSFCHs to transmit or PSFCHs to receive. If a UE would simultaneously transmit PSFCHs on multiple carriers, the UE performs the procedures in Clause 16.2.3 across all the PSFCHs for transmission in order to determine PSFCHs to transmit and a corresponding power per PSFCH transmission. The UE expects to determine a same time resource and a same power for each of the PSFCH transmissions on multiple carriers. For all the resource pools on the multiple carriers, the UE either expects not to be provided with dl-P0-PSFCH or dl-Alpha-PSFCH in any of the resource pools on the corresponding multiple carriers, or expects to be provided with the same values of dl-P0-PSFCH and the same values of dl-Alpha-PSFCH for all the resource pools on the corresponding multiple carriers. |

 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |