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# 1 Introduction

In RAN plenary 98-e, the Rel-18 WI on eXtended Reality (XR) was agreed and was further revised in RAN#99, with the following objectives:

|  |
| --- |
| Specify the enhancements related to power saving:- DRX support of XR frame rates corresponding to non-integer periodicities (through at least semi-static mechanisms e.g. RRC signalling) (RAN2).Specify the enhancements related to capacity:- Multiple Configured Grant (CG) PUSCH transmission occasions in a period of a single CG PUSCH configuration (RAN1, RAN2); - Dynamic indication of unused CG PUSCH occasion(s) based on Uplink Control Information (UCI) by the UE (RAN1, RAN2);- Buffer Status Report (BSR) enhancements including at least new Buffer Status Table(s) (RAN2);- Delay reporting of buffered data in uplink (RAN2);- Discard operation of PDU Sets for DL and UL (RAN2, RAN3);Specify the enhancements for XR Awareness:- Signalling by CN of semi-static information per QoS flow (e.g. PDU set QoS parameters), dynamic information per PDU set (PDU Set information and Identification) and End of Data Burst indication (RAN3, RAN2);- Impact of identifying by UE of PDU Sets, Data bursts and PSI, as needed (RAN2);- Provisioning by UE of XR traffic assistance information e.g. periodicity, UL traffic arrival information (RAN2, RAN3);- Support signalling the congestion information from RAN to the CN in alignment with SA2 (RAN3); |

Among the above objectives, RAN1 is tasked to carry out the normative work for the enhancements defined by the following two objectives:

|  |
| --- |
| - Multiple CG PUSCH transmission occasions in a period of a single CG PUSCH configuration (RAN1, RAN2); - Dynamic indication of unused CG PUSCH occasion(s) based on UCI by the UE (RAN1, RAN2); |

This document provides a summary of the contributions submitted to RAN1#112bis-e under Agenda item 9.8.1 regarding the normative work on the enhancement techniques for XR capacity improvements. It is also intended to facilitate the discussions regarding the topics under Agenda Item 9.8.1 with respect to the following assignment by the RAN1 Chair:

[112bis-e-R18-XR-02] Email discussion on XR-specific capacity enhancements by April 26 – Sorour (Ericsson)

* Check points: April 21, April 26

# 2 Multiple transmission occasions per CG period

This section captures the summary of the discussions regarding the design aspects of the following WID objective:

|  |
| --- |
| - Multiple CG PUSCH transmission occasions in a period of a single CG PUSCH configuration (RAN1, RAN2);  |

## 2.1 TDRA design

**Moderator summary:**

In previous meeting, the following agreement was made:

Agreement

For determination of the time domain resource allocation of CG PUSCHs associated to a multi-PUSCHs CG, the following alternatives for further study:

* Alt-A: TDRA determination based on repetition framework.
	+ Alt-A1: Follow the time domain resource mapping of Type A repetition
		- N configured by higher layers or indicated by activation DCI
		- Single SLIV is determined from TDRA
		- The same SLIV in N PUSCH in consecutive slots per CG period
			* FFS for non-consecutive slots
		- FFS details, including related RRC parameters
	+ Alt-A2: Follow the time domain resource mapping of Type B repetition
		- N configured by higher layers or indicated by activation DCI
		- Single SLIV is determined from TDRA
			* The SLIV used for 1st PUSCH per CG period.
		- N consecutive nominal PUSCHs with same duration per CG period
		- Note: N is not necessarily the repetition factor.
		- FFS details, including related RRC parameters
* Alt-B: TDRA determination based on NR-U framework
	+ - N and M configured by higher layers
		- Single SLIV is determined from TDRA.
			* The SLIV used for 1st PUSCH per CG period.
		- M consecutive PUSCH TOs with same duration in slot. The M PUSCH TOs are used in N consecutive slots per CG period
		- Note: N and M are configured independently from *cg-nrofSlots-r16* and *cg-nrofPUSCH-InSlot-r16,* respectively*.* M and N configuration is independent from *cgRetransmissionTimer* configuration.
		- FFS details, including related RRC parameters
* Alt-C: TDRA determination based on single DCI scheduling multiple PUSCHs
	+ Alt-C1: Follow Rel-16 single DCI scheduling multiple PUSCHs
		- TDRA configured by pusch-TimeDomainAllocationListForMultiPUSCH-r16 with k2-r16
		- A row of TDRA with N entries determines the time domain resources allocation of N PUSCH TOs per period
			* Note: N PUSCH TOs should be consecutive PUSCH TOs in consecutive slots.
		- FFS details, including related RRC parameters
	+ Alt-C2: Follow Rel-17 single DCI scheduling multiple PUSCHs
		- TDRA configured by pusch-TimeDomainAllocationListForMultiPUSCH-r16 with extendedK2-r17
		- A row of TDRA with N entries determines the time domain resources allocation of N PUSCH TOs per period
			* Note: N PUSCH TOs can be non-consecutive PUSCHs and/or in non-consecutive slots.
		- FFS details, including related RRC parameters

**Companies’ view:**

* Alt-A (10): FW, CATT, Spreadtrum, TCL, OPPO, MTK, NEC, Panasonic, FGI, xiaomi
	+ - * Alt-A1: Spreadtrum, OPPO, MTK, NEC, Panasonic, FGI
			* Alt-A2: Spreadtrum, TCL, NEC
* Alt-B (11+2): FW, IDC, HW/HiSi, Google, CMCC, Samsung, Apple; Nokia/NSB, NEC, DENSO, xiaomi, Intel (Type 1), Sony (Type 1)
* Alt-C-2 (14+2): E///, QC, vivo, ZTE/Sanechips, Spreadtrum, LG, DCM, OPPO, Nokia/NSB, Panasonic, DENSO, FGI, Sharp, CAICT, Intel(Type 2), Sony(Type 2)

**Moderator’s observation:**

**Observation 1:** The supported alternatives are A, B and C2. Alt-C2 has the majority support. Proponents of Alt-A2 also support other alternatives (except TCL).

**Observation 2:** Some companies suggest modifying Alt-A or Alt-B approaches to support non-consecutive slots (e.g., CATT, MTK, CMCC, [Google]). Some companies suggest deferring the PUSCH transmission opportunities or consider available slots to support non-consecutive slots (e.g., Nokia, Panasonic). It is not clear to Moderator how that approach is different from Rel-17 MultiPUSCH TDRA if a configured/indicated row uses same SLIVs. More clarification is needed.

**Observation 3:** Some companies indicated different alternatives for Type-1 and Type-2 CG (e.g., Intel, Sony), while some companies emphasize on unified solution (e.g., ZTE).

Table 1: Summary of Contributions inputs for Section 2.1

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Contributions inputs** |
| Futurewei | **Observation 1**: Both the repetition framework (i.e., Alt-A) and NR-U framework in Rel-16 (i.e., Alt-B) can support the configuration of multiple CG PUSCH transmission occasions in a period of a single CG PUSCH in Rel-18 XR Enhancements.**Proposal 1**: Support at least the same symbol allocation for the multiple CG PUSCH transmission occasions in a period of a single CG PUSCH configuration in Rel-18 XR Enhancements.**Proposal 2**: The configured multiple CG PUSCH transmission occasions in a period of a single CG PUSCH configuration in Rel-18 XR Enhancements can take repetition framework (i.e., Alt-A) or NR-U framework in Rel-16 (i.e., Alt-B) as the baseline. |
| Ericsson | **Observation 1** Alt-A, Alt-B and Alt-C are comparable with respect to complexity and specification impacts are comparable, with slight differences.**Observation 2** The design choice should ensure the usefulness of the feature for realistic scenarios.\* For TDD operation, the UL opportunities occur in different slots, and typically, one CG PUSCH is used per slot.\* For proper resource management, there may be a need of different sizes of UL resources in different slots.**Observation 3** Alt-C2 provides the needed flexibility to make the feature useful for different scenarios, as oppose to Alt-A, Alt-B and Alt-C1 which inherit simplifications and restrictions by design.**Proposal 1** Multi-PUSCHs scheduling by a single DCI in Rel-17 is considered as the baseline for the design of multi-PUSCHs CG in Rel-18 (i.e. Alt-C2).**Proposal 2** A row with multiple SLIVs of a TDRA table determines the SLIVs associated to the PUSCHs within a period of a multi-PUSCHs CG.\* Note: pdsch-TimeDomainAllocationListForMultiPDSCH-r16 is reused for the TDRA table as in Rel-17.\* Note: For activation/release of a Type-2 multi-PUSCHs CG, the time domain resource assignment field in the DCI format can indicate a row with multiple SLIVs as opposed to Rel-17.**Proposal 3** The activation/release DCI for Type-2 multi-PUSCH CG is based on the non-fallback DCI format 0\_1. |
| Qualcomm | **Proposal 1**: Support Alt-C2 for the determination of TDRA for multiple PUSCH occasions in the CG period\* Alt-C2: Follow Rel-17 single DCI scheduling multiple PUSCHs**Proposal 2**: TDRA for multiple PUSCHs in the CG period can be indicated in a similar way to that of multiple PUSCHs scheduled by a single DCI specified for Rel-17\* timeDomainAllocation field in the rrc-ConfiguredUplinkGrant IE in the CG configuration indicates an entry with multiple {K2, SLIV}s of the TDRA table**Proposal 5**: Support the following proposals. Discuss them in the following order of importance\* Support activation DCI for multi-PUSCH CG configuration |
| CATT | **Proposal 1**: The Alt-A: TDRA determination based on repetition framework should be supported for the SLIV determination, in which\* N PUSCH occasions in a CG period with the same SLIV can be configured by higher layers or indicated by activation DCI;\* The configuration of consecutive and non-consecutive CG PUSCH occasions should both be supported to give the flexibility of gNB implementation for the adaptation of different XR traffic;\* The time gap between the adjacent CG PUSCH occasions could be configured by higher signaling;\* The single TB transmission over multiple CG occasions should be supported. |
| vivo | **Observation 1**: To serve XR UL traffic including that of pose/control stream and/or video stream, CG PUSCH occasions configured by one or multiple CG configurations, and from one or multiple serving cells may be needed.**Proposal 1**: For multiple CG PUSCH occasions in a period of a single CG configuration, Alt-C2 is supported.\* Alt-C2: Follow Rel-17 single DCI scheduling multiple PUSCHso TDRA configured by pusch-TimeDomainAllocationListForMultiPUSCH-r16 with extendedK2-r17o A row of TDRA with N entries determines the time domain resources allocation of N PUSCH TOs per period\* Note: N PUSCH TOs can be non-consecutive PUSCHs and/or in non-consecutive slots.o FFS details, including related RRC parameters**Proposal 2**: For multiple CG PUSCH occasions in a period of a single CG configuration, if Alt-C2 is supported, further discussion on the validation of DCI scrambled by CS-RNTI for activation/release of CG configuration(s) is needed, e.g., how to set the value of NDI field in case of multiple NDI bits. |
| ZTE/Sanechips | **Observation 1**: Flexible TDRA assignment for multiple CG PUSCH transmission occasions in a period has benefits on resource efficiency and minor spec impact.**Observation 2**: Alt-C2: TDRA determination based on Rel-17 single DCI scheduling multiple PUSCHs for time domain resource allocation of multi-PUSCH CG is not applicable to CG Type 1.**Proposal 1**: Support Alt-C: TDRA determination based on single DCI scheduling multiple PUSCHs for time domain resource allocation of enhanced CG configuration.**Proposal 2**: Support Alt-C2: TDRA determination based on Rel-17 single DCI scheduling multiple PUSCHs for time domain resource allocation of multi-PUSCHs CG.**Proposal 3**: An unified TDRA determination, including e.g. multiple{time offset, SLIV}, should be considered for multi-PUSCHs CG of CG Type 1 and CG Type 2.**Proposal 4**: The mechanism of Rel-17 single DCI scheduling multiple PUSCHs can be reused for TDRA determination of multi-PUSCHs CG of CG Type 2, while extending timeDomainOffset field can be considered for TDRA determination of multi-PUSCHs CG of CG Type 1.**Proposal 5**: Combining legacy field timeDomainOffset and the new parameter for intervals between two adjacent transmission occasions to achieve multiple {time offset, SLIV} can be considered for TDRA determination of multi-PUSCHs CG of CG Type 1. |
| Spreadtrum Comm. | **Proposal 1**: Two alternatives can be considered as starting point for determination of the TDRA associated to multiple CG PUSCH TOs based on the existing specifications:\* Alt-A: configure multiple PUSCH following the time domain resource mapping of both PUSCH repetition Type A and Type B repetition framework can be considered.\* Alt-C2: configure multiple PUSCH following Rel-17 single DCI scheduling multiple PUSCHs since N PUSCH TOs can be in non-consecutive PUSCHs and/or in non-consecutive slots. |
| TCL Comm. | **Observation 1**: XR services have the following characteristics.- The non-integer periodicity- Jitter of packet arrival time- Low latency and large packet size- Varying packet size- Multiple flows**Proposal 1**: Follow the time domain resource mapping of Type B repetition can be used for multiple TOs within a CG configuration.- Single SLIV is determined from TDRAo The SLIV used for 1st PUSCH per CG period.- N consecutive nominal PUSCHs with same duration per CG period**Proposal 2**: The number of multiple TOs within a CG configuration can be indicated by TDRA |
| IDC | **Observation 1**: Enhanced CG scheme with flexible (re)allocation of PUSCH occasions based on indication (UCI) on unused PUSCH occasions outperforms DG and baseline CG schemes. The performance improvement offered by enhanced CG over baseline CG is more pronounced for stringent PDB requirements (e.g. 10ms)**Proposal 1**: Support TDRA based on NR-U framework as baseline for multi-PUSCH CG **Proposal 2**: For multi-PUSCH CG configuration, reuse the following CG parameters from R16 NR-U CG: Number of consecutive PUSCH occasions per slot Number of slots per CG period |
| HW/HiSilicon | **Observation 1**: The framework based on NR-U can apply for both CG Type 1 and CG Type 2.**Observation 2**: The framework based on PUSCH repetition type B may introduce unnecessary complexity and is not suitable for XR traffic.**Observation 3**: The framework based on single DCI scheduling multiple CG PUSCH is not suitable for CG Type 1 and additional workload is needed.**Observation 4**: The number of CG PUSCH occasions may vary in different CG periods due to TDD configuration, thus bring inaccuracy and difficulty for gNB configuration.**Observation 5**: In a CG period, the MCS of TBs transmitted on the earlier CG PUSCH occasions can be larger than the later ones, since earlier transmitted TBs have more retransmission opportunities and larger MCS can increase resource efficiency.**Observation 6**: In a CG period, the number of PRBs of the earlier CG PUSCH occasions can be larger than the later ones. Once the gNB has no enough time to re-allocate the resource, less resource would be wasted. |
| Lenovo | **Observation 1**: Indication of unused time units (e.g., slots) may simplify support of UCI indication for multiple CG configurations.**Proposal 1**: Support Alt-C2 for time domain resource allocation of CG PUSCHs associated to multi-PUSCHs CG. |
| LG | **Proposal 1**: Support multiple CG occasions in a period based on a TDRA table where each row includes multiple SLIV values for CG.\* FFS : DMRS mapping type, repetition type, numberOfRepetitions**Proposal 2**: It is necessary to investigate how to support the repetition for each of multiple SLIVs in a same TDRA row.**Proposal 3**: It is necessary to investigate how to determine TDRA table for Type-1 CG for new resource allocation method.**Proposal 4**: Discuss how to apply the enhanced TDRA for Type-1 CG.**Proposal 5**: Support separated K2 offset per SLIV for flexibility.\* Each K2 starts from the slot where previous SLIV ends. |
| DCM | **Proposal 1**: Support Alt C-2 for TDRA of multiple CG PUSCHs in one CG period, i.e. following Rel-17 single DCI scheduling multiple PUSCHs.**Proposal 2**: Relax the limitation for validation of CG PUSCH activation DCI, when the TDRA field in the activation DCI indicates multiple SLIVs. |
| Google | **Proposal 1**: The TDRA determination based on NR-U framework should be extended to XR with some enhancements.**Proposal 2**: The legacy configuredGrantTimer can be used for each PUSCH occasion of the multiple CG PUSCH transmission occasions instead of the cg-RetransmissionTimer used in NR-U.**Proposal 7**: The design of multiple CG-PUSCH transmission occasions should take into considertation the operation in TDD. |
| OPPO | **Proposal 1**: Down-select one from the following alternatives for determining the time domain resource allocation of CG PUSCHs within one multi-PUSCHs CG period:\* Alt-A1: Follow the time domain resource mapping of Type A repetition.\* Support non-consecutive-slot allocation.\* Alt-C2: Follow Rel-17 single DCI scheduling multiple PUSCHs |
| MTK | **Observation 1**: XR UL video traffic characteristics based on large and varying packet size and strict latency requirements are the underlying motivations for XR-specific configured grant enhancements in Rel-18.**Proposal 1**: TDRA framework uses PUSCH repetition type-A as baseline (Alt-A1).**Proposal 2**: A time offset parameter configured semi-statically by the network indicates the time gap between the 1st and the 2nd PUSCH TOs in number of slots.**Proposal 3**: The rest of the PUSCH TOs from the 2nd TO onwards (i.e., the 2nd, 3rd, etc. TOs) are assigned in back-to-back UL slots (based on Alt-A1 PUSCH repetition type-A framework as baseline). |
| CMCC | **Proposal 1**. Support Alt-B to use TDRA determination based on the NR-U framework for multi-PUSCHs CG.**Proposal 2**. Support to configure N non-consecutive slots within a CG period and/or M non-consecutive PUSCH transmission occasions in a slot in TDD frame structure. |
| Samsung | **Observation 1:** Use of “multi-CG PUSCH” is expected to be associated with few transmissions.**Proposal 1**: Extend the Rel-16 NR-U design using cg-nrofSlots and cg-nrofPUSCH-InSlot to non-shared spectrum to support "multi-CG PUSCH". No enhancement is necessary.**Proposal 2**: TDRA, FDRA, and MCS are same for all CG-PUSCHs of a "multi-CG PUSCH". |
| Apple | **Observation**: as the tempo mismatch issue for configured grants may not be addressed through the support of new CG periodicity in Rel-18, it is expected that the start time of a CG period may not be aligned with the arrival of traffic.**Proposal 6**: Consider both licensed spectrum access and unlicensed/shared spectrum access in the TDRA design. For unlicensed spectrum access, only those TDRA patterns allowed in NR-U design can be configured. |
| Nokia/NSB | **Observation 1**: Alt-C framework (TDRA determination based on single DCI scheduling multiple PUSCHs) is only applicable for Type 2 CG configuration. For Type 1 CG configuration, such framework will not work as it requires DCI to provide the entry to TDRA list.**Observation 2**: The benefits of different SLIVs for each slot in Alt-C (TDRA determination based on single DCI scheduling multiple PUSCHs) are unclear.**Observation 3**: In Alt B (TDRA determination based on NR-U framework), by transmitting over available slots and continue counting each slot, we can transmit over up to 8 UL slots per CG period, which shall be enough for video frame in UL (e.g., with max 40 slots per CG period as per current RRC specification, TDD structure DDDSU, and 30 kHZ).**Observation 4**: The feature AvailableSlotCounting from repetition framework allows to count only available slots (e.g., UL slots) and can support transmission over non-consecutive UL slots in Alt-B (TDRA determination based on NR-U framework).**Proposal 1**: Compare Alt-B (TDRA determination based on NR-U framework) and Alt-C (TDRA determination based on single DCI scheduling multiple PUSCHs) in terms of potential benefits and drawbacks and select one framework to support multi-PUSCHs per CG period in licensed band.**Proposal 2**: Consider NR-U framework to support multi-PUSCHs per CG period in licensed band.**Proposal 3**: To support non-consecutive transmission when configuring multi-PUSCHs per CG, consider the following solutions: (i) AvailableSlotCounting from repetition framework or (ii) transmitting over available slots (e.g., UL slot) and continue counting each slot.**Proposal 8**: First decide on the framework to be adopted for multi-PUSCHs per CG period and solution to handle the non-consecutive slot transmission and then decide on the max number of PUSCHs per CG period. |
| NEC | **Proposal 1**: support consecutive time domain resource allocation based on existing repetition type A, type B or NR-U framework. |
| Panasonic | **Proposal 1**: A combination of Alt-A1 and Alt-C2 should be considered for designing the time domain resource allocations for multi-PUSCHs CG. An activation DCI can contain a single time domain resource assignment field and an entry in the RRC parameter pusch-TimeDomainAllocationList have multiple time resource allocations. Each PUSCH occasions can be deferred to a next available slot in case of a collision with DL slot. |
| DENSO Corp. | **Observation 1**: For determination of TDRA of CG PUSCHs associated to a multi-PUSCHs CG, the following options can be considered.- Option.1: Reusing the framework for scheduling multiple PUSCHs by a single DCI- Option.2: Reusing the framework for NR-U CG**Observation 2**: TDRA determination based on single DCI scheduling multiple PUSCHs can provide more flexibility as it can configure different K2 or SLIV per each CG PUSCH occasion. |
| FGI | **Proposal 1**: Adopt Alt-A1 or Alt-C2 for determination of the time domain resource allocation of CG PUSCHs associated to a multi-PUSCHs CG. |
| Intel | **Proposal 1**: For TDRA determination of multi-PUSCHs CG at least for Type1 CG, NR-U framework (Alt-B) can be leveraged.\* Single SLIV is determined from TDRA.o The SLIV used for 1st PUSCH per CG period.\* M consecutive PUSCH TOs with same duration in slot. The M PUSCH TOs are used in N consecutive slots per CG period. N and M configured by higher layers.\* Note: N and M are configured independently from cg-nrofSlots-r16 and cg-nrofPUSCH-InSlot-r16, respectively.**Proposal 2**: For Type 2 CG transmission based on activation DCI, leverage single DCI based Rel-17 FR2-2 multiple PUSCHs scheduling solution to indicate TDRA for the multiple PUSCHs in a CG period via the activation DCI. |
| xiaomi | **Proposal 12**: Alt-A and Alt-B should be prioritized for determination of the TDRA of CG PUSCHs associated to a multi-PUSCHs CG. |
| Sharp | **Proposal 1**: TDRA determination based on single DCI scheduling multiple PUSCHs in Rel-17 to allow non-consecutive PUSCHs and/or in non-consecutive slots.**Proposal 2**: The configuration/indication parameters of CG PUSCHs in a multi-PUSCHs CG configuration are the same. |
| Sony | **Observation 1**: The non-integer and jitter characteristics of XR traffic (also known as a quasi-periodic traffic) may require enhancements of the existing NR.**Observation 2**: CG-PUSCH transmission as in legacy NR may require enhancements to support XR traffic, particularly on supporting the payload of a quasi-traffic that may not be the same but varies within a range.**Observation 3**: Different type of TDRA determination of CG PUSCHs associated to a multi-PUSCHs CG can be supported for CG Type-1 and CG Type-2.The related proposals are given below:**Proposal 1**: Support TDRA determination based on NR-U framework (Alt-B) and TDRA determination based on single DCI scheduling multiple PUSCHs (Alt-C2). ALT-B is supported for Multi-PUSCHs CG for Type-1 and ALT-C2 is supported for Multi-PUSCHs CG for Type-2. |
| CAICT | **Proposal 1**: Support Alt-C2 (Follow Rel-17 single DCI scheduling multiple PUSCHs) for determination of TDRA for multi-PUSCHs CG. |

### 2.1.1 Initial Discussions

**Moderator’s suggestions for initial discussion:**

This topic needs more discussions to narrow down the options. For the initial discussions, Moderator suggests companies to share the views on the following.

**Suggestion 1:** Focus on Alt-A1, Alt-B and Alt-C2. Note that Alt-A2 can be obtained from Alt-B (discarding the segmented PUSCH).

**Suggestion 2:** Discuss your view that which of the following properties are important to be accommodated by the final solution:

1. Need for back-2-back PUSCHs within a slot?
2. Need for SLIVs with different sizes?
3. Need for PUSCH transmission in non-consecutive slots?

**Suggestion 3:** Explain if one of the alternatives provides a desired property, why another alternative with modification should be used instead (please see **Observation 2** above).

**Questions:** Please provide your view in the table below regarding the following questions:

* **Q1:** What is your view regarding Moderator’s suggestions? What is your suggestion to facilitate a selection, including compromising/changing preferences?
* **Q2:** Discuss any clarification/correction/comment/question on Moderator’s summary and suggestions or any other aspect helping the discussion and needed decisions.

**Note: Please ensure the information in companies’ contributions are considered for discussions.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 2.2 HARQ process ID determination

**Moderator’s summary:**

In previous meeting, the following agreement was made:

**Agreement**

For determination of HARQ process IDs associated to PUSCHs in multi-PUSCHs CG assuming one TB per PUSCH, consider the following alternatives:

* **Alt. 1:** The HARQ process ID for the first configured/valid PUSCH in a period is determined based on the legacy CG procedure when cg-RetransmissionTimer is not configured, and applying "the period duration divided by X instead of the period duration.
	+ The HARQ process ID of the remaining PUSCHs in the period is determined by incrementing the HARQ process ID of the preceding PUSCH in the period.
	+ Alt 1-1; X = 1
	+ Alt 1-2: X is the number of configured PUSCHs in a period
	+ Alt 1-3: X is provided by RRC configuration.
	+ FFS details
* **Alt. 2:** Support that UE can decide, as in NR-U, the HARQ IDs for the multiple CG PUSCH transmission occasions and indicate the decided HARQ IDs to gNB if multiple HARQ processes are used for the multiple CG PUSCH transmission occasions in a period of a single CG PUSCH configuration
	+ FFS details
* **Alt. 3:** The HARQ process ID for the configured PUSCHs in a period is determined based on the legacy CG procedure when cg-RetransmissionTimer is not configured.
	+ FFS on potential enhancements different from previous alternatives
	+ Alt 3-1: Note: Same HP ID would be used for all PUSCHs within a period.
		- FFS details
	+ Alt 3-2: Note: Different HP ID could be used for all PUSCHs within a period.
		- FFS details
* Alt. 4: The HARQ process ID for the first configured/valid PUSCH in a period is determined based on the legacy CG procedure when cg-RetransmissionTimer is not configured.
	+ The HARQ process ID of the remaining PUSCHs in the period is determined by incrementing the HARQ process ID of the preceding PUSCH in the period
	+ FFS on potential enhancements different from previous alternatives
* Alt 5: Support that UE can decide, as in NR-U, the HARQ IDs for the first CG PUSCH transmission occasions and indicate the decided HARQ IDs to gNB if multiple HARQ processes are used for the multiple CG PUSCH transmission occasions in a period of a single CG PUSCH configuration
	+ The HARQ process ID of the remaining PUSCHs in the period is determined by incrementing the HARQ process ID of the preceding PUSCH in the period
	+ FFS details
* Alt 6**:** FFS other solutions

Note: The case of one TB map to multiple PUSCHs is not considered here.

**Companies’ view:**

* **Alt. 1:** E///, QC, IDC, DCM, OPPO, MTK, DCM, OPPO, LG, TCL, Apple, Google, CATT, Nokia/NSB, CMCC, FGI, NEC, DENSO, FW (w time-offset), HW/HiSi (w time-offset)
	+ **Alt. 1-1:** TCL, Apple
	+ **Alt. 1-2:** E///, QC, IDC, HW/HiSi, DCM, OPPO, MTK, FW (w time-offset),HW/HiSi (w time-offset)
	+ **Alt. 1-3:** DCM, OPPO, LG, FW (w time-offset)
* **Alt. 2**
	+ Yes: FW, Vivo, OPPO, Spreadtrum, Samsung, DENSO
	+ No: ZTE, HW/HiSi, Google, CATT
* **Alt. 3**
	+ Yes: MTK (Alt. 3-2)
	+ No: E///, vivo, HW/HiSi, MTK (Alt. 3-1)
* **Alt. 4:** vivo, HW/HiSi, Lenovo, Spreadtrum, Samsung, IDC, Sharp, CIACT, Intel, [MTK]
	+ **Alt-4 without FFS (i.e. Alt 1-1):**
		- Samsung, Lenovo, Spreadtrum, IDC, Sharp, CIATC, Intel
	+ **Alt-4 with FFS (i.e. Alt. 1 w update):**
		- vivo (Alt. 1-1 w increment Y)
		- HW/HiSi (Alt. 1-2 w time-offset)
* **Alt. 5**
	+ **Yes:** FW
	+ **No:** ZTE, E///, HW/HiSi, Google, CATT
* **Other comments:** MTK, Xiaomi, Panasonic
* **Proposal 7** : Legacy CG HARQ ID formula is modified to include the current PUSCH TO index to determine corresponding HARQ ID.
* **Proposal 11 (xiaomi)**: RAN1 should postpone discussing HP process IDs until the maximum number of TO that can b(MTK)e configured in a CG period is agreed.
* **Proposal 3 (Panasonic)**: To increase the HP ID utilization, a minimum time period should be defined for reusing the HP ID. The HP ID can be reused for a PUSCH occasions if the minimum time period has passed.

**Moderator’s observations:**

* **Observation 1:** Regarding Alt-2 and Alt-5 (UE based implementation), few companies expressed clearly that are not supporting such approach. While the supporting companies for Alt-2 and/or Alt-5, expressed support for other alternatives as well (Alt. 1 or Alt. 4).
* **Observation 2:** Regarding Alt-3, few companies expressed clearly that are not supporting such approach. A company that supports Alt. 3-2, also supports Alt. 4.
	+ **From these two observations, Moderator suggests focusing on Alt. 1 and Alt.4.**
* **Observation 3:** Regarding Alt. 4, as shown above, a set of companies prefer Alt. 4 without any further changes that would be equivalent to Alt. 1-1. Another set, 2 companies’ proposal is modified version of Alt. 1. One company uses the same principal w different adjustment. Note that MTK has a proposal based on adjustment of the legacy proposal (without applying the increment rule). Hence, it is captured as Alt. 6 and not part of Alt. 1 or Alt.4
	+ **Alt.4 can be merged in Alt.1.**
* **Observation 4:** Companies e.g., LG also can additionally express that X should be a configurable value different than 1, or number of PUSCHs in a period (i.e., Alt. 1-3). MTK also proposes to consider legacy proposal without increment and use other adjustment instead.
* **Observation 5:** Regarding xiaomi proposal, the proposed solution work for any number of maximum TOs per period. Hence, it is recommended to instead discuss to reach to a solution. Regarding Panasonic proposal, it is not clear how the corresponding solution should be formulated and whether the maximum number of HARQ IDs together with HARQID offset, somehow implicitly define a window.

**Based on above observations, the expressed views are re-arranged as the following:**

**Alt. 1:** E///, QC, IDC, DCM, OPPO, MTK, DCM, OPPO, LG, TCL, Apple, Google, CATT, Nokia/NSB, CMCC, FGI, NEC, DENSO, FW (w time-offset), HW/HiSi (w time-offset), Samsung, Lenovo, Spreadtrum, IDC, Sharp, CIATC, Intel

* **Alt. 1-1:** TCL, Apple, Samsung, Lenovo, Spreadtrum, IDC, Sharp, CIATC, Intel, vivo (increment w Y>1)
* **Alt. 1-2:** E///, QC, IDC, HW/HiSi, DCM, OPPO, MTK, FW (w time-offset), HW/HiSi (w time-offset)
* **Alt. 1-3:** DCM, OPPO, LG, FW (w time-offset)

**Alt. 6:** MTK (Proposal 7)

Table 2: Summary of Contributions inputs for Section 2.2

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Contributions inputs** |
| Futurewei | **Observation 2**: Due to the regular periodicity of the configured CG resources for CG PUSCH configuration being not guaranteed, the legacy HARQ process ID determination mechanism based on regular periodic resource allocation (i.e., Alt. 1, Alt. 3, and Alt. 4) can't be applied directly to the multiple CG PUSCH transmission occasions in a period of a single CG PUSCH configuration for uplink XR traffic.**Proposal 3**: Support that the periodicity of CG resources in legacy HARQ process ID determination formula (i.e., Alt. 1, Alt. 3, and Alt. 4) can be replaced with non-integer periodicity of XR traffic or a nominal periodicity of CG resources which is the same as non-integer periodicity of XR traffic if formula based HARQ process ID determination supported.**Observation 3**: To overcome (or reduce) jitter impacts on CG PUSCH resource allocation, a time offset value, between the regular arrival time of XR traffic and the CG PUSCH resource allocation, needs to be introduced, which may impact the HARQ process ID determination results for formula based HARQ process ID determinations (i.e., Alt 1-2 and Alt 1-3).**Proposal 4**: Support that a time offset value is introduced for the formula based HARQ process ID determination (i.e., Alt. 1-2 and Alt. 1-3) to avoid jitter impacts on CG PUSCH resource allocation and HARQ process ID determination if formula based HARQ process ID determination supported (i.e., Alt. 1).**Proposal 5**: Support that UE can decide, as in NR-U, the HARQ process ID(s) (i.e., Alt.2 and Alt.5) for the multiple CG PUSCH transmission occasions in a period of a single CG PUSCH configuration for uplink XR traffic and indicate the decided HARQ process ID(s) to gNB. |
| Ericsson | **Proposal 4** For HARQ process ID determination of multi-PUSCHs CG, prioritize Alt-1 and Alt-2 for further down-selection.**Proposal 5** For HARQ process ID determination of multi-PUSCHs CG, prioritize Alt-1 over Alt-2 for further down-selection.**Proposal 6** Support Alt. 1-2 for HARQ process ID determination of PUSCHs in multi-PUSCHs CG. |
| vivo | **Proposal 4**: For determination of HARQ process IDs associated to CG PUSCHs in multi-PUSCHs CG assuming one TB per CG PUSCH, consider the following alternatives:\* Alt. 2: Support that the UE can decide, as in NR-U, the HARQ process IDs for the multiple CG PUSCH occasions and indicate the decided HARQ process IDs to the gNB if multiple HARQ processes are used for the multiple CG PUSCH occasions in a period of a single CG PUSCH configuration.\* Alt. 4: The HARQ process ID for the first configured/valid PUSCH in a period is determined based on the legacy CG procedure when cg-RetransmissionTimer is not configured.o The HARQ process IDs of the remaining CG PUSCHs in the period are determined by incrementing Y to the HARQ process ID of the preceding PUSCH in the same period\* Y is an integer value larger than 1, e.g., Y is the number of configured CG PUSCHs in a period or is configured independently by RRC signalling |
| Qualcomm | **Proposal 4**: Support Alt 1-2 for HARQ Process ID determination for multiple PUSCH occasions in the CG period\* HARQ process ID for the first valid PUSCH in a CG period is determined based on the legacy CG procedure when cg-RetransmissionTimer is not configured\* Apply "the CG period duration divided by X = the number of configured PUSCH occasions in a CG period" instead of the CG period duration |
| ZTE/Sanechips | **Observation 3**: The principle of maximum gap between CG PUSCH occasions using the same HARQ process ID is beneficial to minimize the HARQ process ID congestion. While the principle of limited total number of HARQ process ID used by CG is help for avoiding HARQ process ID starvation.**Observation 4**: Same HARQ process ID pattern for each CG period is capable of achieving maximum gap between CG PUSCH occasions using the same HARQ process ID and minimum total number of HARQ process ID used by CG, if all transmission occasions in each period are used.**Observation 5**: A cyclic-shifted HARQ process ID pattern for each CG period is capable of achieving maximum gap among CG PUSCH occasions using the same HARQ process ID and having minimum total number of HARQ process ID used by CG, if unused transmission occasions exist in CG periods.**Proposal 6**: Do not support HARQ process ID determination to be determined by UE implementation, including e.g., Alt 2 and Alt 5 in the agreement of HARQ process ID determination in RAN1#112 meeting.**Proposal 7**: The unused HARQ process ID of the unused transmission occasion should be considered, if the determination of HARQ process IDs associated to PUSCHs in multi-PUSCHs CG is supported, including e.g., Alt 1, Alt 3 and Alt 4 in the agreement of HARQ process ID determination in RAN1#112 meeting. |
| TCL Comm. | **Proposal 4**: For XR, when multiple TOs within a CG is configured, the HARQ-ID for the first configured/valid PUSCH within a CG configuration is determined based on current mechanism which is defined in TS 38.321, then the HARQ-ID of the remaining TOs within a CG period is determined by incrementing the HARQ-ID of the preceding TO within a CG configuration. |
| IDC | **Proposal 3**: For multi-PUSCH CG, update the HPI formula to consider the number of PUSCH occasions in a CG period  |
| HW/HiSi | **Observation 7**: The HARQ process IDs of each CG PUSCH in one period are the same according to the formula in Alt. 3, which would increases gNB scheduling complexity and decreases XR capacity.**Proposal 4**: Further study HARQ process ID determination mechanism with the following principles\* Minimize signalling overhead\* Maximize the gap between CG PUSCH occasions using the same HARQ process ID, considering the number of unused occasions in a period\* Minimize the total number of HARQ process used by CG**Proposal 5**: The determination of the HARQ process ID of multi-PUSCHs CG is not left to UE implementation, i.e., do not support Alt 2 and Alt 5.**Proposal 6**: On HARQ process ID determination, support enhancement based on Alt 4 or Alt 1-2 by skipping HARQ process ID increment over unused CG PUSCH occasion(s)\* HARQ Process ID = [floor(CURRENT\_symbol/(periodicity/X)) + offset] modulo nrofHARQ-Processes\* Where X is the number of configured PUSCH occasions in a CG period, and the 'offset' equals to the number of unused PUSCH occasion(s) of the last CG period. |
| NTT DOCOMO | **Proposal 4**: Support Alt 1 for HP ID determination of multiple CG PUSCHs in one CG period, i.e.\* The HARQ process ID for the first valid PUSCH in a period is determined based on the legacy CG procedure when cg-RetransmissionTimer is not configured, and applying "the period duration divided by X instead of the period duration.\* X is the number of configured PUSCHs in a period, or X is provided by RRC configuration.\* The HARQ process ID of the remaining valid PUSCHs in the period is determined by incrementing the HARQ process ID of the preceding valid PUSCH in the period. |
| Google Inc. | **Proposal 3**: For the HPI determination, Alt-1 should be supported:\* The HARQ process ID for the first configured/valid PUSCH in a period is determined based on the legacy CG procedure when cg-RetransmissionTimer is not configured, and applying "the period duration divided by X instead of the period duration.**Proposal 4**: For the HPI determination, Alt. 2 and Alt. 5 where the UE is deciding on the HPI for its UL transmission should not be supported |
| OPPO | **Proposal 3**: For determination of HARQ process IDs associated to PUSCHs in a multi-PUSCHs CG configuration, solution is selected from {Alt.1-2, Alt.1-3, Alt.2}. |
| MTK | **Observation 2**: Existing HARQ process ID determination formula in TS38.321 does not work with multiple PUSCH transmission occasions as it does not assign different HARQ IDs for each PUSCH within one single GCG period.**Proposal 4**: HARQ process ID determination is based on a modified version of the formula from the legacy CG procedure when cg-RetransmissionTimer is not configured (e.g., Alt-1, Alt-3-2, Alt-4).**Proposal 5**: Assigning same HARQ ID to multiple PUSCH TOs (e.g., Alt-3-1) is NOT considered.**Proposal 6**: When RAN1 reaches an agreement on HARQ ID determination, RAN1 shall send an LS to RAN2 to consult them about the feasibility of the RAN1 agreement on the HARQ ID determination formula modification.**Proposal 7**: Legacy CG HARQ ID formula is modified to include the current PUSCH TO index to determine corresponding HARQ ID.**Proposal 8**: The parameter "current PUSCH TO index" shall be formulated in a non-ambiguous manner. |
| CATT | **Proposal 3**: The following HPID determination method could be supported:• The HPID for the multiple CG PUSCHs in a CG period determined and reported by UE should not be supported in Rel-18 XR work item.• The HARQ process ID based on the Alt-1 would be enhanced that the HPID of first CG occasion can be calculated as the legacy method and the HARQ process ID of the remaining PUSCHs in the period is determined by incrementing the HARQ process ID of the preceding PUSCH in the period by an offset, e.g. 2.• The single TB transmission mapping to one or more CG occasions could reduce the number of HARQ process ID and should be further studied based on the repetition framework. |
| Nokia/NSB | **Proposal 4**: RAN1 should investigate further Alt 1 for HARQ process ID determination and not consider other alternatives anymore. |
| Apple | **Proposal 7**: Alt. 1-1 is adopted for HPID determination. |
| IDC | **Proposal 3**: For multi-PUSCH CG, update the HPI formula to consider the number of PUSCH occasions in a CG period  |
| xiaomi | **Proposal 11**: RAN1 should postpone discussing HP process IDs until the maximum number of TO that can be configured in a CG period is agreed. |
| LG | **Proposal 6**: Support Alt. 1-3 for HARQ process ID allocation for multiple CG occasions in a period. |
| Lenovo | **Proposal 2**: Support Alt. 4 for HARQ process ID determination.\* A HARQ process ID is assigned to a configured CG PUSCH occasion. |
| Spreadtrum comm. | **Proposal 2**: Alt 2 and Alt 4 can be considered as a baseline for determination of HARQ process IDs associated to PUSCHs in multi-PUSCHs CG:\* Alt 2: Support that UE can decide, as in NR-U, the HARQ IDs for the multiple CG PUSCH transmission occasions and indicate the decided HARQ IDs to gNB if multiple HARQ processes are used for the multiple CG PUSCH transmission occasions in a period of a single CG PUSCH configuration\* Alt 4: The HARQ process ID for the first configured/valid PUSCH in a period is determined based on the legacy CG procedure when cg-RetransmissionTimer is not configured. |
| CMCC | **Proposal 4**. Support Alt. 1 for determination of HARQ process IDs associated to PUSCHs in multi-PUSCHs CG.\* For the first configured/valid PUSCH in a CG period:o HARQ Process ID = [floor(CURRENT\_symbol / (periodicity / X))] modulo nrofHARQ-Processes + harq-ProcID-Offset2, where X equals the number of configured PUSCHs in a CG period\* For the remaining PUSCHs in the CG period:o HARQ Process ID = (increment the HARQ process ID of the preceding PUSCH in the period) modulo nrofHARQ-Processes |
| Samsung | **Proposal 3**: For HPN determination for "multi-CG PUSCH", apply Alt-2 or Alt-4. |
| Sharp | **Proposal 4**: The HARQ process ID for the first configured/valid PUSCH in a period is determined based on the legacy CG procedure when cg-RetransmissionTimer is not configured.\* The HARQ process ID of the remaining PUSCHs in the period is determined by incrementing the HARQ process ID of the preceding PUSCH in the period |
| FGI | **Proposal 2**: Adopt Alt. 1 for determination of HARQ process IDs associated to PUSCHs in multi-PUSCHs CG. |
| Panasonic | **Proposal 3**: To increase the HP ID utilization, a minimum time period should be defined for reusing the HP ID. The HP ID can be reused for a PUSCH occasions if the minimum time period has passed. |
| CAICT | **Proposal 2**: HARQ process IDs are allocated to valid PUSCHs other than configured PUSCH occasions in a period.**Proposal 3**: The HARQ process ID for the first valid PUSCH in a period is determined based on the legacy CG procedure when cg-RetransmissionTimer is not configured. The HARQ process ID of the remaining PUSCHs in the period is determined by incrementing the HARQ process ID of the preceding PUSCH in the period. |
| DENSO CORP. | **Observation 3**: For determination of HARQ process ID of CG PUSCHs associated to a multi-PUSCHs CG, the following options can be considered.- Option.1: As in DG scheduling multi-PUSCHs, the HARQ process ID is determined by incrementing the HARQ process ID of the preceding PUSCH in the CG period.- Option.2: As in NR-U CG, the UE can select the HARQ process IDs for multiple CG PUSCH occasions and transmit them on the UCI.**Observation 4**: Given that multi-PUSCHs CG may have some unused occasions due to the random jitter and various packet size of the XR service, it seems effective to allow the UE to select the HARQ process IDs, as in NR-U CG, so as not to reserve too many HARQ process IDs. |
| NEC | **Proposal 4**: regarding the HP ID determination, support Alt .1 for the semi-static method, and further study Alt .2 and Alt. 5 for UE determined method based on whether UCI can be transmitted multiple times in a CG period. |
| Intel | **Proposal 4**: Support Alt-4 for HARQ-ID determination of multi-PUSCHs CG.\* The HARQ process ID for the first configured/valid PUSCH in a period is determined based on the legacy CG procedure when cg-RetransmissionTimer is not configured.o The HARQ process ID of the remaining PUSCHs in the period is determined by incrementing the HARQ process ID of the preceding PUSCH in the period |

### 2.2.1 Initial Discussions

**Moderator’s suggestions for initial discussion:**

Considering the summary and observations above, Moderator suggests the following for discussion:

**Aim for decision at this meeting.**

* **Suggestion 1:** Focus on Alt. 1-1 and Alt. 1-2.
* **Suggestion 1-2:** Further discuss whether to include time-offset as proposed by HW/HiSi and FW for Alt. 1-2
* **Suggestion 1-3:** Further discuss whether to increment with Y>1 as proposed by vivo for Alt. 1-1.
* **Suggestion 2:** Discuss Atl. 6 to understand companies views.
	+ In case of low interest after understanding its properties, Moderator suggests down-prioritizing this approach too.

**Questions:** Please provide your view in the table below regarding the following questions:

* **Q1:** What is your view regarding Moderator’s suggestions for progress on HARQ ID determination design?
* **Q2:** Please review the motivations for different solutions and answer the following:
	+ **Regarding suggestion 1:** Please indicate/motivate your preference between Alt 1-1 and Alt 1-2.
	+ **Regarding suggestion 1-2/1-3:** Please indicate/motivate your view on proposed adjustments.
	+ **Regarding suggestion 2:** Please indicate/motivate your view on the proposed adjustment.
* **Q3:** Discuss any clarification/correction/comment/question on Moderator’s summary and suggestions or any other aspect helping the discussion and needed decisions.

**Note: Please ensure the information in companies’ contributions are considered for discussions.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 2.3 FDRA and MCS determination

**Moderator’s summary:**

In previous meeting, the following agreement was made:

**Agreement**

For the PUSCHs parameters in a multi-PUSCHs CG configuration, the configuration/indication parameters except MCS and FDRA of CG PUSCHs in a multi-PUSCHs CG configuration are the same

* FFS: For MCS and FDRA, study further to decide whether/how to be different.
* FFS: Applicability to type-1 and type-2
* Note: TDRA and HP ID are not in this scope of the above statement.

Companies’ view regarding the FDRA and MCS design are summarized below:

* **MCS design**
	+ Same MCS
		- E///, CATT, DCM, MTK, Panasonic, Intel, xiaomi, Lenovo, Samsung, OPPO
	+ Different MCS
		- QC, ZTE, HW/HiSi, Nokia/NSB, Apple, CMCC, Sharp, Sony, TCL
* **FDRA design**
	+ Same FDRA
		- E///, CATT, DCM, MTK, Nokia/NSB, Panasonic, Intel, xiaomi, Lenovo, Samsung, OPPO
	+ Different FDRA
		- QC, ZTE, HW/HiSi, Apple, Sharp, Sony, TCL

**Moderator’s Observation:**

Majority of companies prefer the same MCS and FDRA. With respect to motivation both camps provided respective motivations (see respective contributions). However, regarding the design solutions to enable different MCS and/or FDRA parameters only ZTE, HW/HiSi and Nokia/NSB have elaborated somewhat regarding the preferred solutions as summarized below:

* **Solutions for different MCSs**
* For Type-1 CG, *mcsAndTBS* field in RRC signaling should be extended to a sequence for indicating multiple MCS levels
	+ ZTE, HW/HiSi, Nokia/NSB
* For Type-2 CG:
	+ Extend MCS field in DCI signaling. FFS details to reduce overhead
		- ZTE, Nokia7NSB
	+ Combine DCI indication and RRC in indicate MCS. FFS details
		- HW/HiSi
	+ A reference or baseline MCS is indicated in RRC signalling in activation DCI. The MCS change is indicated in an implicit manner. Details FFS
		- Nokia/NSB
* **Solutions for different FDRAs**
* For Type-1 CG, *frequencyDomainAllocation* in RRC signaling should be extended to a sequence for indicating multiple FDRAs
	+ ZTE, HW/HiSi
* For Type-2 CG
	+ Extend FDRA field in DCI signaling. FFS details to reduce overhead
		- ZTE
	+ Combine DCI indication and RRC in indicate FDRA. FFS details
		- HW/HiSi

Table 3: Summary of Contributions inputs for Section 2.3

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Contributions inputs** |
| Ericsson | **Proposal 7** The PUSCHs corresponding to a multi-PUSCHs CG apply the same FDRA and MCS index for both Type-1 and Type-2 CG. |
| Qualcomm | **Proposal 3**: Support different MCS and FDRA parameter values for multiple PUSCH occasions in the CG period |
| CATT | **Proposal 2**: The other parameters including MCS and FDRA in a multi-PUSCHs CG configuration should be the same. |
| ZTE/Sanechips | **Observation 6**: Flexibility of FDRA has benefits on frequency diversity as well as resource efficiency, and flexibility MCS level is helpful for successful data transmission in PDB limitation.**Observation 7**: In Case 1, i.e., the transmission occasions in one CG period are used for transmitting a single XR packet, UCI is not able to indicate the transmission occasion usage in multiple CG periods, due to bare prior knowledge of packet size, TDRA/FDRA/MCS level in the following periods.**Proposal 8**: The overhead of activation signaling should be considered, if multiple FDRAs and/or multiple MCS levels are supported for multi-PUSCHs CG. |
| HW/HiSi | **Proposal 3**: The MCS and number of PRBs for each CG PUSCH occasion in a CG period can be different |
| DCM | **Proposal 5**: Support same MCS/FDRA parameter indication/configuration for multiple CG PUSCHs in one CG period. |
| MTK | **Proposal 9**: Same MCS and FDRA values apply to all PUSCH TOs in a CG configuration with multiple PUSCH. |
| Nokia/NSB | **Observation 5**: Changing MCS over multi-PUSCHs does not necessarily lead to change of FDRA.**Proposal 5**: RAN1 should target for common solution to support different MCS for multi-PUSCHs within a CG period which can be applied to both Type-1 CG and Type-2 CG.**Proposal 6**: RAN1 should support different MCS schemes for multi-PUSCHs within a CG period and investigate different solution options (e.g., explicit vs. implicit manner). |
| Panasonic | **Proposal 2**: The same MCS/FDRA parameters should be considered for a multi-PUSCHs CG. |
| Intel | **Proposal 3**: MCS and FDRA indication parameters are the same for different PUSCHs in a CG period. |
| Apple | **Proposal 1**: study enhancement to CG-UCI to support indication of MCS and/or PRB adjustment for configured grant. |
| xiaomi | **Proposal 13**: FDRA of CG PUSCHs in a multi-PUSCHs CG configuration should be same.**Proposal 14**: MCS of CG PUSCHs in a multi-PUSCHs CG configuration should be same. |
| Lenovo | **Proposal 3**: Same MCS/FDRA is applied to CG occasions within a period. |
| CMCC | **Proposal 3**. Support different MCS configurations for different PUSCHs in a CG period. |
| Samsung | **Proposal 2**: TDRA, FDRA, and MCS are same for all CG-PUSCHs of a "multi-CG PUSCH". |
| Sharp | **Proposal 3**: Additional mechanisms for MCS and FDRA adjustments can be considered. |
| Sony | **Proposal 2**: Support the configuration/indication of the parameters MCS and FDRA of CG PUSCHs in a multi-PUSCHs CG configuration can be different. |
| OPPO | **Proposal 2**: It is not supported to indicate different MCSs/FDRAs for the different CG PUSCHs in a multiple CG-PUSCHs configuration. |
| TCL | **Proposal 11**: Multiple TOs with different MCS within a CG configuration for XR can be considered. |

### 2.3.1 Initial Discussions

**Moderator’s suggestions for initial discussion:**

Based on the views expressed and observations above, there are two options to choose from.

**Suggestion 1:** **Aim for decision at this meeting**.

**Suggestion 2:** Consider the following proposal.

**Proposal 1-3-1:**

Decide one of the options below. If Option 2 is select, choose the preferred alternative.

**Option 1:** For CG PUSCHs in a multi-PUSCHs CG configuration, MCS of the CG PUSCHs in the CG configuration are the same

**Option 2:** For CG PUSCHs in a multi-PUSCHs CG configuration, MCS of the CG PUSCHs in the CG configuration can be different as the following:

* For Type-1 CG, *mcsAndTBS* field in RRC signaling should be extended to a sequence for indicating multiple MCS levels
* For Type-2 CG:
	+ Alt-1: Extend MCS field in DCI signaling. FFS details to reduce overhead
	+ Alt-2: Combine DCI indication and RRC in indicate MCS. FFS details
	+ Alt-3: A reference or baseline MCS is indicated in RRC signalling in activation DCI. The MCS change is indicated in an implicit manner. Details FFS

**Proposal 1-3-2:**

Decide one of the options below. If Option 2 is select, choose the preferred alternative.

**Option 1:** For CG PUSCHs in a multi-PUSCHs CG configuration, FDRA of the CG PUSCHs in the CG configuration are the same

**Option 2:** For CG PUSCHs in a multi-PUSCHs CG configuration, FDRA of the CG PUSCHs in the CG configuration can be different as the following:

* For Type-1 CG, *frequencyDomainAllocation* in RRC signaling should be extended to a sequence for indicating multiple FDRAs
* For Type-2 CG
	+ Alt-1: Extend FDRA field in DCI signaling. FFS details to reduce overhead
	+ Alt-2: Combine DCI indication and RRC in indicate FDRA. FFS details

**Question:** Please provide your view in the table below regarding the following questions:

* **Q1:** Please indicate your view on moderator’s suggestions and **Proposal 1-3-1** and **Proposal 1-3-2** and motivate your preference.Proponents of Option 2 are requested to provide more details for Type-2 CG solutions in listed alternatives.
* **Q2:** Discuss any clarification/correction/comment/question on Moderator’s summary and suggestions or any other aspect helping the discussion and needed decisions.

**Note: Please ensure the information in companies’ contributions are considered for discussions.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 2.4 Other topics

**Moderator’s summary:**

With respect to the feature multi-PUSCHs CG, companies have raised other aspects for discussions and decisions similar to the previous meeting. The topics are listed below including the direction of expressed views:

**Topic 1) Retransmission of multiple TBs with a single DCI with corresponding initial transmissions with CG PUSCHs**

* Support: E///, vivo, Google
* Not Support: Samsung

**Topic 2) Repetition for a multi-PUSCHs CG configuration**

* Support: QC, Spreadtrum, TCL
* Not support: E///, DCM, Samsung

**Topic 3) CBG retransmission for multiple CG PUSCHs**

* Support: Samsung

**Topic 4) One TB over multiple slots**

* Not support: Nokia, Samsung

**Topic 5) Frequency hopping as legacy CG**

* Support: E///

**Topic 6) CG-DFI based retransmission for multi-CG PUSCH**

* Support: Google, FW, IDC

**Topic 7) Collision resolution for CG PUSCHs**

* Support: Samsung

Table 4: Summary of Contributions inputs for Section 2.4

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Contributions inputs** |
| Futurewei | **Proposal 6**: Retransmissions of the multiple CG PUSCH transmission occasions, in a period of a single CG PUSCH configuration, are based on dynamic uplink grant(s) via dynamic grant resources and UE assumes ACK(s) in absence of reception of feedback after a timer expires if retransmissions for the multiple CG PUSCH transmission occasions supported. |
| Ericsson | **Proposal 8** PUSCH repetition is not supported. The same redundancy version (i.e., RV=0) is applied for the configured grant PUSCHs.**Proposal 9** PUSCH Intra-slot frequency hopping is supported. PUSCH Inter-slot frequency hopping is not supported.**Proposal 10** Scheduling re-transmission of multiple TBs for corresponding initial transmission of the TBs by configured grant is supported for DCI format 0\_1 scrambled with CS-RNTI. |
| vivo | **Proposal 3**: Scheduling multiple PUSCHs for retransmission by a DCI scrambled by CS-RNTI can be considered. |
| Qualcomm | **Proposal 5**: Support the following proposals. Discuss them in the following order of importance\* Support retransmission of TBs for multiple CG PUSCHs by a dynamic UL grant\* Support PUSCH repetition for multi-PUSCH CG\* Support CBG based retransmission for multiple CG PUSCHs by a dynamic UL grant |
| Nokia/NSB | **Observation 6**: One TB over multiple slots is related to coverage enhancements and not to capacity enhancements.**Proposal 7**: Down prioritize one TB over multiple slots in Rel18 WI XR Enhancements for NR. |
| Spreadtrum Comm. | **Proposal 3**: PUSCH repetition is supported for multi-PUSCHs CG configuration. |
| TCL Comm. | **Proposal 3**: Repetition for multi-PUSCH transmissions within a CG configuration can be supported. |
| NTT DOCOMO | **Proposal 3**: Not support joint operation of multiple CG PUSCH occasions in a CG period and CG PUSCH repetitions.\* For example, if rep-K is configured with value K in ConfiguredGrantConfig, and the TDRA field in the activation DCI indicates multiple SLIVs, UE may transmit on the multiple CG PUSCH occasions in one CG period, with each CG PUSCH occasion with single repetition. |
| Google | **Proposal 5**: Single DCI scheduling multiple PUSCH occasions retransmission should be used to reduce PDCCH signalling overhead and the UE monitoring effort.**Proposal 6**: DCI format 0\_1 scrambled with CS-RNTI and with the DFI flag enabled and set to 1 can be used for the UL XR traffic to carry the HARQ-ACK bitmap for all HARQ processes transmitted in the CG periods. |
| Apple | **Proposal 8**: RAN1 discusses and decides whether retransmission of a transport block previously carried by CG-PUSCH can be sent by a CG-PUSCH. |
| Samsung | * Providing a single TB over multiple CG-PUSCHs may be beneficial for improving coding gains for very small individual TBs (and for some TB CRC overhead reduction) but that is not the case for the very large TBs associated with XR while TB retransmissions will be practically impossible to handle and a resulting TB size may be larger than what a UE can currently support.
* Re-transmission of multiple TBs by a single DCI was effectively discussed during the SI and is not supported.
* CBG retransmission for multiple CG PUSCHs was discussed during the SI and is not supported. Although in principle a beneficial enhancement, the low target BLER required to meet PDB would limit any potential gain.
* There is no need to support repetitions as the PDB cannot be met in such cases.

**Proposal 7: Extend the collision resolution procedure for SPS PDSCHs to CG-PUSCHs.** |
| IDC | **Proposal 4**: HARQ design from R16 NR CG is used as baseline for multi-PUSCH CG**Proposal 5**: For multi-PUSCH CG, the HARQ feedback for multiple PUSCHs and dynamic assignments for retransmissions are provided in single DCI **Proposal 6**: For multi-PUSCH CG, the UE monitors for PDCCH carrying the HARQ feedback for multiple PUSCHs in the first DL slot that is K slots after the last used PUSCH occasion  |

### 2.4.1 Initial Discussions

**Moderator’s suggestions for initial discussion:**

Considering the topics, Moderator’s observation and suggestions are as the following:

* **Topic 1)** Retransmission of multiple TBs with a single DCI with corresponding initial transmissions with CG PUSCHs
	+ This topic can be discussed independently from the progress on the code feature design.
	+ Moderator suggests seeking the group view for discussion on this topic.
* **Topic 2)** Repetition for a multi-PUSCHs CG configuration
	+ It seems the direction can be correlated to the outcome of TDRA design. If Alt-B (NR-U based) is supported, it is more straightforward to inherit repetition as well. If Al-C (Multi-PUSCH TDRA) is supported, it is more straightforward to inherit no-repetition as well. If Alt-A (repetition based) is supported, not clear what to do. Regardless, it seems the design choice for TDRA gives more clarity how to proceed on this topic.
	+ Note that this does not imply that the discussion to motivate repetition. However, a decision on TDRA provides better clarity for the design as whole.
	+ Moderator suggests considering this discussion after TDRA design is settled. Note that a decision for repetition is needed for core design of feature.
* **Topic 3)** CBG retransmission for multiple CG PUSCHs
	+ This topic can be discussed independently from the progress on the code feature design.
	+ Moderator suggests seeking the group view for discussion on this topic.
* **Topic 4)** One TB over multiple PUSCHs
	+ The baseline is one TB per CG PUSCH. It is helpful to know whether the design of multi-PUSCHs CG should accommodate one TB over multiple PUSCHs in a way that is different from TBoMs. Clarity on this aspect is important for the decisions regarding HARQ process ID, etc.
	+ Moderator suggest seeking the group view whether this topic can be down-prioritized, considering the concerns raised.
* **Topic 5)** Frequency hopping as legacy CG
	+ Moderator’s understanding is that considering the following agreement, the legacy FH should be applied (i.e. support intra-slot FH as oppose to inter-slot FH).

**Agreement**

For the PUSCHs parameters in a multi-PUSCHs CG configuration, the configuration/indication parameters except MCS and FDRA of CG PUSCHs in a multi-PUSCHs CG configuration are the same

* FFS: For MCS and FDRA, study further to decide whether/how to be different.
* FFS: Applicability to type-1 and type-2
* Note: TDRA and HP ID are not in this scope of the above statement.
	+ Moderator suggests confirming this understanding to conclude this topic.
* **Topic 6)** CG-DFI based retransmission for multi-CG PUSCH
	+ Enabling DFI based CG-DFI mechanism is only supported for NR-U.
	+ Moderator suggests seeking the level of interest in the group.
* **Topic 7)** Collision resolution for CG PUSCHs
	+ Moderator suggests proponents providing more information to check the level of interest

**Questions:** Please provide your view in the table below regarding the following questions:

* **Q1:** Please indicate your view regarding the **moderator’s suggestions** regarding the topics above.
* **Q2:** Discuss any clarification/correction/comment/question on Moderator’s summary and suggestions or any other aspect helping the discussion and needed decisions.

**Note: Please ensure the information in companies’ contributions are considered for discussions.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 3 Indication of unused transmission occasions

This section captures the summary of the discussions regarding the design aspects of the following WID objective:

|  |
| --- |
| - Dynamic indication of unused CG PUSCH occasion(s) based on UCI by the UE (RAN1, RAN2); |

## 3.1 What information the UCI contains? (UCI content)

**Moderator’s summary:**

In previous meeting, the following agreement was made:

**Agreement**

For dynamic indication of unused CG PUSCH transmission occasion(s) based on a UCI, the following options for further down-scoping, are considered for the information provided by the UCI:

* **Option 1:** The UCI determines the consecutive CG PUSCH TO(s) that are indicated as “unused”
	+ **Option 1-1:** The UCI provides the number of consecutive TO(s) in time domain.
		- Applicable numbers can be determined from information obtained from configuration.
		- FFS details
	+ **Option 1-2**: The UCI provides a time duration/range that includes the consecutive TO(s) in time domain.
		- Applicable time duration/range can be determined from information obtained from configuration
		- FFS details
* **Option 2:** The UCI determines the CG PUSCH TO(s) that are indicated as “unused” (consecutive/non-consecutive TO(s) in time domain)
	+ **Option 2-1**: The UCI provides a bitmap where a bit corresponds to a TO within a time duration/range. The bit indicates whether the TO is “unused”.
		- Applicable time duration/range can be determined from information obtained from configuration
		- FFS details
	+ **Option 2-2:** The UCI provides a bitmap where a bit corresponds to TOs within a time duration/range. The bit indicates whether all TOs within the time duration/range are “unused”.
		- Applicable time duration/range can be determined from information obtained from configuration
		- FFS details
* FFS whether/how the unused TO(s) can be associated to multiple CG configuration.
* Other options are not precluded. Proponent companies to provide details.

**Companies’ view:**

**Option 1 (12):** FW, E///, HW/HiSi, vivo, ZTE, DCM, MTK, FGI, xiaomi, New H3C, NEC, Intel

* **Option 1-1:** FW, HW/hiSi, DCM, MTK, xiaomi, Intel
* **Option 1-2:** E///, [ZTE], LG, DCM

**Option 2 (15)** QC, CATT, vivo, Spreadtrum, IDC, Google, OPPO, Lenovo, Nokia/NSB, Panasonic, DENSO, [TCL], xiaomi, CMCC, CAICT

* **Option 2-1:** QC, Google, OPPO, xiaomi, CAICT
* **Option 2-2:** CATT, [Spreadtrum], Lenovo, Nokia/NSB, Panasonic
* **Option 2-3:** xiaomi (**Proposal 2**)

**Option 3:** Nokia/NSB (**Proposal 9**)

**Moderator’s observation:**

**Observation 1:** Option 2 has the majority of support.

**Observation 2:** Regardless of the option, the main part of the design related to design of corresponding RRC parameters. Therefore, it is important to converge to an option as soon as possible and start discussing the design details.

**Observation 3:** Some companies’ solutions are applicable only to multi-PUSCHs CG configuration. Solutions are needed to be generalized to be applicable to any CG configuration.

**Observation 4:** Some companies have provided other solutions as listed above.

Table 5: Summary of Contributions inputs for Section 3.1

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Contributions inputs** |
| Futurewei | **Proposal 8**: Support that bitfield of the UCI jointly indicates a quantity of unused CG PUSCH occasions starting from the last CG PUSCH transmission occasion of the multiple CG PUSCH transmission occasions (i.e., Option 1-1).**Observation 4**: To guarantee the indicated unused CG PUSCH occasion(s) to be really recycled to other UEs, time offset between UCI and the indicated unused CG PUSCH occasion(s) should be equal to or greater than the PUSCH preparing time for at least one of the other UEs.**Proposal 9**: Indicating unused CG PUSCH occasion(s) to gNB can be determined based on a time offset threshold, indicated by gNB, between UCI and the unused CG PUSCH occasion(s). |
| Ericsson | **Observation 4** The term "UTO" refers to "unused transmission occasion(s)" and is used only for convenience in the discussion.**Observation 5** The term "UTO-UCI" refers to "unused transmission occasion(s) indicated by uplink control information (UCI)" and is used only for convenience in the discussion.**Observation 6** A previous UCI has indicated a CG PUSCH TO as "unused". Overriding means that a later UCI indicates the CG PUSCH TO as NOT "unused".**Proposal 11** For dynamic indication of unused CG PUSCH transmission occasion(s) based on a UCI, the CG PUSCH TO(s) that can be indicated as "unused" are consecutive (i.e., Option 1 from agreement in RAN1#112)\* The UCI provides a time duration that includes the consecutive TO(s) in time domain (i.e., Option 1-2 from agreement in RAN1#112)**Proposal 12** For a configured grant configuration, the UTO-UCI when indicated, provides a pattern that is used to determine the PUSCH resource(s) of the configured grant that the UE is not expected to use for transmission.**Proposal 13** For a configured grant configuration, a new list (UTO table) is included in the configuration of the configured grant. The UTO table consists of a list of UTO patterns that the UE can select from. The UTO-UCI is an index to a row of the UTO table.**Proposal 14** For a configured grant configuration, the following options can be considered for design of the UTO pattern structure:\* Alt-A: A UTO pattern indicates an offset and duration to determine a time interval. The UE is not expected to use the CG PUSCH TOs within the time interval for CG PUSCH transmission.\* The offset determines the start of the window from the end of the CG PUSCH that carries the corresponding UTO-UCI indicating the UTO pattern.\* The duration determines the end of the window.\* Alt-B: UTO pattern indicates a bitmap, each bit corresponding to a time interval or a set of consecutive TOs. The UE is not expected to use the CG PUSCH TOs within the time interval or the set of consecutive TOs corresponding to a bit in the bitmap with value '1' (or '0') for CG PUSCH transmission. The bitmap indicates consecutive '1's (or '0's), if any.\* Any two consecutive bits in the bitmap corresponds to two consecutive time intervals or two sets of back-to-back consecutive TOs\* The 1st time interval or set of consecutive TOs corresponding to the 1st bit in the bitmap start from the end of the CG PUSCH that carries the corresponding UTO-UCI indicating the UTO pattern after an offset value. The offset is determined based on e.g., configuration or a rule.**Proposal 15** A UTO pattern can correspond to "no unused" configured grant PUSCH.**Proposal 16** Prioritize Alt-A for design of the UTO pattern structure.**Proposal 17** The UE is expected to provide consistent information when indicating the UTO patterns. |
| Qualcomm | **Observation 1**: The UCI indicating unused CG PUSCH occasion(s) is beneficial because\* gNB can reallocate resources of the unused PUSCH occasion to other UEs\* UE can save power by selecting a proper amount of resources for PUSCH transmission\* gNB can save power by skipping PUSCH blind detection on the unused PUSCH occasion**Proposal 8**: For multiple overlapping PUSCH occasions, a UE is allowed to utilize the CG PUSCH occasion with the smallest RB allocation that best fits the size of its buffered data**Proposal 9**: For the dynamic indication of unused CG PUSCH occasion(s), RAN1 should consider the case that multiple PUSCH occasions overlap in time. The UE indicates at most one of the overlapping PUSCH occasions is not unused**Proposal 10**: Support Option 2-1 that the UCI provides a bitmap where a bit corresponds to a TO within a time duration/range. The bit indicates whether the TO is "unused" (consecutive/non-consecutive in time domain)**Proposal 14**: UE can send updated indication to indicate a different set of unused PUSCH occasions than those indicated by an early indication. gNB uses the most recent indication received from the UE\* Support the case that a CG PUSCH occasion previously indicated as NOT unused is later indicated as unused\* Do not support the case that the CG PUSCH occasion previously indicated as unused is later indicated as NOT unused |
| HW/HiSi | **Proposal 7**: Support Option 1-1 that UCI indicates the last M CG PUSCH occasion(s) within a CG period are unused.**Proposal 12**: No need to consider the UCI indicate the unused occasions in multiple periods. |
| CATT | **Proposal 6**: Either of following alternatives could be supported:• Alt-1: A UCI would multiplex with each transmitted CG PUSCH occasions, which provides a bitmap where a bit corresponds to a TO or a TO group within a time duration/range. The bit indicates whether the TO or TO group is "unused".• Alt-2: A UCI would multiplex with the configured CG PUSCH occasions, which provides a bitmap where a bit corresponds to a TO or a TO group within a time duration/range. The bit indicates whether the TO or TO group is "unused". |
| vivo | **Proposal 5**: When a CG PUSCH occasion is indicated as "unused", the UE is expected not to transmit PUSCH on that CG PUSCH occasion. For any other CG PUSCH occasion that is NOT indicated as "unused", the UE is allowed to transmit or not to transmit PUSCH on that CG PUSCH occasion as per legacy specification.**Proposal 8**: For dynamic indication of unused CG PUSCH occasion(s) based on UCI by the UE, RAN1 discusses whether/how to sort/index configured CG PUSCH occasions, e.g. sorting/indexing based on starting times of CG PUSCH occasions, as well as indexes of corresponding CG configurations, indexes of corresponding serving cells, etc., if necessary.**Proposal 9**: For dynamic indication of unused CG PUSCH occasion(s) based on UCI by the UE, RAN1 discusses whether/how to determine sets of CG PUSCH occasions from the configured CG PUSCH occasions, where each of the sets is associated with the dynamic indication in a UCI, e.g. sets of CG PUSCH occasions is determined explicitly based on a configured periodicity and offset, or implicitly based on CG period.**Proposal 10**: If the UCI provides a bitmap where a bit corresponds to CG PUSCH occasions within a time duration/range, to determine the time duration/range, the solution adopted in CBG grouping can be reused.**Proposal 11**: For the information provided by the UCI about unused CG PUSCH occasions, both Option 1 (to indicate consecutive unused CG PUSCH occasion(s)) and Option 2 (to indicate unused CG PUSCH occasion(s) which may be consecutive or non-consecutive) can be considered. |
| ZTE/Sanechips | **Observation 8**: In Case 2, i.e., the transmission occasions in multiple CG periods are used for transmitting a single XR packet, UCI is able to indicate the transmission occasion usage in multiple CG periods. And the transmission occasions in multiple CG periods are used to transmit TBs for a single XR packet.**Observation 9**: UCI indicating the usage of transmission occasions in a single period can be regarded as supporting UCI for unused transmission occasion(s) in multi-PUSCHs CG. While UCI indicating the usage of transmission occasions in multiple periods can be regarded as supporting UCI for unused transmission occasion(s) in legacy CG/Rel-16 multiple CG configurations.**Proposal 9**: Suggest to specify the UCI indicates the unused transmission occasion(s) in one CG period.**Proposal 12**: Support that the UCI can also indicate that all the transmission occasions are used in one time duration. |
| Spreadtrum Comm. | **Observation 1**: The bit field in the UCI to indicate the unused PUSCH TOs is related to the location of the unused PUSCH TOs and when to transmit CG PUSCH includes UCI in a time duration.**Observation 2**: Due to the PUSCH preparation time and gNB processing delay, the remaining re-allocated PUSCH occasion(s) may be reduced if the UCI is carried in the last PUSCH to be used. |
| Lenovo | **Proposal 5**: UCI indicates a bitmap of unused status of CG PUSCH occasions.\* If CG occasions are grouped for the sake of unused CG occasion indication, a CG occasion group is indicated as unused only if all CG occasions within the group (potentially excluding the CG occasion of the UCI) are unused CG occasions.**Proposal 6**: UCI indicates whether a time unit (e.g., slot) is unused.**Proposal 7**: UCI field indicating unused CG occasions has a fixed (configured) size.o UCI can indicate unused past and future occasions**Proposal 8**: UCI indicates unused CG occasions within a time duration defined by a length and a start time; wherein\* the length is one (or multiple) period(s) of CG configuration in which UCI is transmitted\* and the start time is the beginning of a CG period of the CG configurationo FFS beginning is subject to a symbol offset >=0**Proposal 9**: The applicable time window does not include symbols for reception of SS/PBCH blocks and/or DL symbols indicated by tdd-UL-DL-ConfigurationCommon and/or symbols corresponding to a measurement gap.\* FFS: other symbols/CG occasions (e.g., cancelled by UL-CI or SFI) |
| LG | **Observation**: The re-scheduling time (in gNB side) should be guaranteed in between where UE transmit URI and the beginning of unused resources indicated by the URI.**Observation**: The range of unused resource that can be indicated by URI might be limited without XR-awareness information.**Proposal 9**: The range of unused resource that can be indicated by URI is determined based on where URI is transmitted.\* The first CG PUSCH indicated by URI starts no earlier than X symbol after where URI transmission ends, where X is re-scheduling time required by gNB.\* The last CG PUSCH starts no later than Y symbol after the beginning of the first CG PUSCH, where Y is provided by gNB configuration based on UE capability\* FFS: How to define X and Y**Proposal 10**: No URI information is created/constructed for a resource in a time window starting from the end of PUSCH where URI transmitted and which ends after X symbols, where X is re-scheduling time required by gNB,**Proposal 11**: Support Alt. 1-2 to construct URI information.**Proposal 12**: If URI indicate a time window or a CG occasion as unused, UE treats other PUSCHs overlapped in a time with the indicated time window or the indicated CG occasion as unused. |
| NTT DOCOMO | **Proposal 9**: For UCI indicating unused TOs, support option 1, i.e. the UCI indicates consecutive unused TOs.**Proposal 10**: The first TO for the UCI indication range can be determined considering following two alternatives:\* Alt 1: the first TO with X slots/symbols/TOs/CG periods after the ending/starting symbol of CG PUSCH carrying the UCI.\* The definition of X may need to consider required time for gNB to utilize the unused CG occasion information for other UEs.\* Alt 2: the first indicated TO is indicated by the UCI, e.g. Y slots/symbols/TOs/CG periods after the ending/starting symbol of CG PUSCH carrying the UCI.\* Limitation on candidate values of the offset may be necessary, e.g. Y is no smaller than a certain value, in order to leave time for gNB to utilize the unused CG occasion information for other UEs. |
| Google Inc. | **Proposal 8**: Support Option 2-1 using a bitmap where a bit corresponds to a TO within a time duration/range. |
| IDC | **Proposal 7**: The UCI includes a bitmap for indicating the unused/used CG PUSCH occasions in one or multiple slots or CG periods (Option 2) |
| OPPO | **Proposal 6**: Support Option 2-1, i.e. the UCI provides a bitmap where a bit corresponds to a TO within a time duration/range. The bit in the bitmap only confirms a TO is "unused" but does not confirm the TO is "used". |
| MTK | **Observation 3**: Indicating UCI for the unused occasions at every transmission occasion has benefits in cases where large jitter occurs or in some DL-UL patterns in TDD configurations.**Proposal 12**: UCI contains one bit field, which indicates the number of unused PUSCH transmission occasions by the UE as an integer value. The indication is limited to PUSCH TOs within current CG period (e.g., Option 1-2). |
| Samsung | **Proposal 6**: The UCI provides the number of consecutive CG-PUSCH TOs (Option 1-1). The indication is applicable to a single CG-PUSCH period.**Observation 2**: There is no need to support indication of unused CG-PUSCH TOs for multiple CG configurations.**Observation 3**: XR-related use cases and benefits need to first be concluded for a decision to support indication of unused CG-PUSCH TOs for a first CG-PUSCH configuration in CG-PUSCH transmissions of a second CG-PUSCH configuration. |
| Apple | **Proposal 10**: to support the use of occasion starting from any occasion within a CG period, consider**3.** With a common reference timing for the provided information from multiple occasions (e.g., the common reference timing is the start of the first occasion in a CG period), the UCI payload is identical from multiple occasions.a. Option 1-2: [the starting time of unused occasion, number of unused occasions], or [the end time of unused occasion, number of unused occasions]. We note SLIV encoding can be used for both.b. Option 1-1: With Option 1-1, the reference timing is the last occasion in a CG period. The number of unused occasions is counted in reference to the last occasion. The counted value is zero if the last occasion is used. The counted value is ones if the last occasion is not used, but the second last occasion is used, etc.c. Option 2-1: a N-bit bitmap is used where N is the number of occasions in a CG period. In the bitmap, "0" can be used to indicate unused occasions, "1" can be used to indicate used occasions. The kth bit in the bitmap corresponds to the kth occasion in a CG period.**4.** With separate referencing timing for the provided information from multiple occasions, e.g., the reference timing is according to PUSCH carrying the UCI.a. Option 1-2: [the starting time of unused occasion, number of unused occasions], or [the end time of unused occasion, number of unused occasions]. We note SLIV encoding can be used for both.b. Option 1-1: With Option 1-1, the reference timing is the current occasion in a CG period. The number of unused occasions is counted in reference to the current occasion. The counted value is one if the next occasion is used. The counted value is zero if the next occasion is not used.c. Option 2-1: a N-bit bitmap is used where N is the number of occasions in a CG period. In the bitmap, "0" can be used to indicate unused occasions, "1" can be used to indicate used occasions. The first bit in the bitmap corresponds to the current occasion.**Proposal 11**: if indication of unused CG periods within a window is supported, to support the use of a CG period starting from any CG period within the window, consider:**3.** With a common reference timing for the provided information from multiple occasions (e.g., the common reference timing is the start of the first occasion in a CG period), the UCI payload is identical from multiple occasions.An M-bit bitmap is used where M is the number of CG periods within a window. In the bitmap, "0" can be used to indicate unused CG periods, "1" can be used to indicate used CG periods. The kth bit in the bitmap corresponds to the kth CG period in the window.**4.** With separate referencing timing for the provided information from multiple occasions, e.g., the reference timing is according to PUSCH carrying the UCI.An M-bit bitmap is used where M is the number of CG periods within a window. In the bitmap, "0" can be used to indicate unused CG periods, "1" can be used to indicate used CG periods. The first bit in the bitmap corresponds to the current CG period. |
| Nokia/NSB | **Proposal 9**: RAN1 should take into account the impacts of potential overlapping between UL channels (i.e., DG PUSCH and CG PUSCH) when designing UCI content. Possible options:\* Option 2-2: The UCI provides one bit indication for the next TO (e.g., 0 - used or undefined; 1 - unused);\* Option 3: UCI provides the number of needed CG occasions based on the configured TBS of the CG resources and the size of the buffered data that can be transmitted via the CG. NW figure out which ones are used/not used based on the number reported and the scheduled overlapped occasions. |
| Sony | **Proposal 5**: The UCI carries a bitmap where a bit corresponds to TOs within a time duration/range in the future. The bit indicates whether all TOs within the time duration/range are "unused" (known as Option 2-2). |
| Panasonic | **Proposal 7**: The unused indication of PUSCH occasions should be applied to all configured CGs. It should provide a bitmap to time durations, in which PUSCH occasions within them will not be used by the UE. |
| DENSO Corp. | **Proposal 1**: RAN1 to study introducing a bitmap into the UCI, where each bit can indicate whether the corresponding PUSCH occasion is unused or not.**Proposal 2**: The bitmap size for the indication of unused occasion(s) can be equal to the number of configured PUSCH occasions, regardless of whether they are valid.**Observation 5**: Considering UL jitter and the mismatch between CG periodicity and XR frame rate, the UE may not know the amount of UL data to indicate unused occasion(s) in the first configured PUSCH occasion within a CG period. |
| FGI | **Proposal 5**: The UCI indicates the number of the last CG PUSCH TO(s) that are unused. |
| TCL | **Proposal 5**: Both a set of unused TOs located in the front and the end of a CG configuration can be considered for CG enhanced for XR.**Proposal 6**: The UCI determines the CG PUSCH TO(s) that are indicated as "unused" based on bitmap.**Proposal 7**: A new UCI will be introduced in R-18 for indicating the un-used TOs within a CG configuration.**Observation 2**:There is a gap between XR periodic UL traffic and CG configuration.**Proposal 8**: A fixed transmission pattern of CG within an integer periodicity for XR can be considered.**Proposal 9**: Additional TOs after the end of the configured TO within a CG period and activate more than one CG configurations simultaneously can be considered. |
| xiaomi | **Observation 1**: Option 1-2 provides unnecessary information in the process of indicating the information of the unused TO.**Observation 2**: Option 2-2 will cause some indication errors when the indication granularity does not match the unused TO.**Observation 3**: Option 1-1 has the problem of missing indication for the unused TO or error indication for the used TO.**Observation 4**: Option 2-1 has a higher signaling overhead compared with option 1-1, especially if the time duration includes a large number of TO.**Observation 5**: Option 2-3 can balance signaling overhead with indicating flexibility by configuring the appropriate pattern table.**Observation 7**: The time-frequency resources corresponding to the reserved TO will be wasted if the usage status of the reserved TO cannot be updated.**Observation 9**: The number of TO that can be configured in a CG period should be discussed before the discussion of the HP process ID**Proposal 1**: For option 1-1, the following three potential alternatives require further discussion.> Alt. 1: Start TO + Number of TO> Alt. 2: Start TO + End TO> Alt. 3: Start TO + Default end TO**Proposal 2**: Option 2-3 should be taken into account as follows:o Option 2-3: The UCI provides a table row index where the index corresponds to an unused TO pattern within a time duration/range. All TOs in the unused TO pattern within the time duration/range are "unused".\* Applicable time duration/range can be determined from information obtained from configuration\* FFS details**Proposal 3**: Option 1-1, option 2-1 and option 2-3 should be prioritized for Multi-PUSCHs CG.**Proposal 4**: The time duration/range corresponding to dynamic indication information should be discussed in RAN1.**Proposal 7**: Three potential options can be considered to define the timeline for dynamic indication, as follows:> Option 1: From the TO including the UCI to the time window\* FFS details> Option 2: From the TO including the UCI to the first TO in the time duration\* FFS details> Option 3: From the TO including the UCI to the first unused TO in the time duration\* FFS details |
| CMCC | **Proposal 7**. Support Option 2, i.e., the UCI provides a bitmap where a bit indicates whether the corresponding CG PUSCH occasion(s) is "unused". |
| Sharp | **Proposal 5**: The UCI that provides information about unused CG PUSCH transmission occasions is defined as a new XR-specific CG UCI.**Proposal 6**: A transmitted CG PUSCH includes the UCI, if it is transmitted in a transmission occasion determined satisfying given condition(s), e.g. a first transmitted PUSCH in a CG period, or a first PUSCH transmission within a multiple of CG periods.**Proposal 7**: The UCI determines the CG PUSCH TO(s) that are indicated as "unused" (consecutive/non-consecutive TO(s) in time domain), and the UCI provides a bitmap where a bit corresponds to a TO within a time duration/range. The bit indicates whether the TO is "unused". |
| New H3C | **Proposal 3**: For dynamic indication of unused CG PUSCH transmission occasion(s) based on a UCI, Option 1: The UCI determines the consecutive CG PUSCH TO(s) that are indicated as "unused" is supported. |
| CAICT | **Proposal 4**: The UCI provides a bitmap where a bit corresponds to a TO within a time duration/range. The bit indicates whether the TO is "unused". |
| NEC | **Proposal 2**: support option 1 for the information provided by UCI, i.e., The UCI determines the consecutive CG PUSCH TO(s) that are indicated as "unused".**Proposal 3**: consider repetition and retransmission mechanism for the UCI for unused CG occasions indication. |
| Intel | **Proposal 6**: Introduce a new UCI to indicate the number of unused PUSCH occasions.\* Whether to multiplex the new UCI to CG PUSCH should be configurable\* The UCI provides the number of consecutive unused TO(s) in time domain (Option 1-1).o UCI may include ??log?\_(2 ) I? bits in a field, which is used to indicate I number of unused TO(s). |

From Moderator point of view, it is important to discuss the above aspects.

### 3.1.1 Initial Discussions

**Moderator’s suggestions for initial discussion:**

This topic needs more discussions to narrow down the options. For the initial discussions, Moderator suggests companies to share the views on the following.

**Suggestion:** As it is important to start the detailed design, companies are encouraged be flexible such that we can converge to one option, e.g., option 2.

**Question:** Please provide your view in the table below regarding the following questions:

* **Q1:** What is your view regarding Moderator’s suggestion? What is your suggestion to facilitate a selection, including compromising/changing preferences?
* **Q2:** Discuss any clarification/correction/comment/question on Moderator’s summary and suggestions or any other aspect helping the discussion and needed decisions.

**Note: Please ensure the information in companies’ contributions are considered for discussions.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 3.2 When the UCI is sent? (UCI transmission occasions)

**Moderator’s summary:**

In previous meeting, the following agreement was made:

**Agreement**

For dynamic indication of unused CG PUSCH occasion(s) based on a UCI, the following options for further down-scoping with possible revision, are considered for the transmission occasion of the UCI:

* **Option 1:** A transmitted CG PUSCH, includes the UCI.
	+ FFS details
* **Option 2:** A transmitted CG PUSCH includes the UCI, if it is transmitted in an occasion determined by RRC.
	+ FFS details
* **Option 3:** A transmitted CG PUSCH includes the UCI, if it is transmitted in a pre-defined transmission occasion.
	+ FFS details
		- Example of a pre-determined occasion: 1st configured PUSCH TO in a CG period or 1st configured PUSCH TO in a multiple CG periods
* **Option 4:** A transmitted CG PUSCH includes the UCI, if it is transmitted in a transmission occasion determined satisfying given condition(s).
	+ FFS details
		- Examples of a condition: A first transmitted PUSCH in a CG period, or a first PUSCH transmission within a multiple of CG periods.

Other options are not precluded. Proponent companies to provide details.

**Companies’ view:**

* **Option 1:**
	+ **Yes:** E///, QC, vivo, ZTE, HW/HiSi, Nokia, Lenovo, Google (modification), MTK, Samsung, Apple, DENSO, Intel
	+ **No:** DCM
* **Option 2:** FW , ZTE, IDC, xiaomi, DENSO
* **Option 3:**
	+ **Yes:** Spreadtrum, HW/HiSi, xiaomi, OPPO, New H3C
	+ **No:** Nokia
* **Option 4:**
	+ **Yes:** FGI, Intel
	+ **No:** E///, Nokia, DCM
* **Other options:** FW (MAC CE/DCI), IDC (L1/L2)

**Moderator’s observation:**

**Observation 1:** Majority of companies support Option 1 and opposed by one companies. Option 4 has the least supporting companies while it is opposed by 3 companies.

**Observation 2:** Regarding Option 2 and 3, they are supported equally while a company opposes Option 3.

Table 6: Summary of Contributions inputs for Section 3.2

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Contributions inputs** |
| Futurewei | **Proposal 7**: Support that gNB can transmit, to UE, RRC message(s) (or MAC CE/DCI) indicating the candidate positions for UCI transmission (i.e., Option 2). |
| Ericsson | **Proposal 21** For dynamic indication of unused CG PUSCH occasion(s) based on a UCI, for the transmission occasion of the UCI, Option 4 is not supported.**Proposal 22** For dynamic indication of unused CG PUSCH occasion(s) based on a UCI, for the transmission occasion of the UCI, any transmitted CG PUSCH, includes the UCI (i.e., Option 1). |
| Qualcomm | **Proposal 7**: For transmission occasions of the UCI indicating unused CG PUSCH occasion(s)\* Support Option 1: A transmitted CG PUSCH includes the UCI\* FFS: The first transmitted PUSCH over CG PUSCH occasions indicated by the same UCI includes the UCI |
| vivo | **Proposal 12**: For CG PUSCH(s) to include the UCI providing information about unused CG PUSCH occasions, each transmitted CG PUSCH includes the UCI. |
| ZTE/Sanechips | **Observation 11**: Considering the efficiency and reliability, transmitting the UCI in one CG PUSCH transmission occasion is more suitable.**Proposal 10**: The signaling overhead of the UCI signaling should be considered for down selection of Option 1or Option 2.**Proposal 11**: Support UCI signaling is transmitted in the first valid/transmitted CG PUSCH. |
| Spreadtrum Comm. | **Proposal 5**: For dynamic indication of unused CG PUSCH occasion(s) based on a UCI, support that a transmitted CG PUSCH includes the UCI, if it is transmitted in a pre-defined transmission, examples of a pre-determined occasion can be as following:• 1st configured PUSCH TO in a CG period or 1st configured PUSCH TO in a multiple CG periods• The last configured PUSCH TO in a CG period or last configured PUSCH TO in a multiple CG periods.• Both the penultimate configured and last configured PUSCH TO in a CG period, or both the penultimate configured and last configured PUSCH TO in a multiple CG periods. |
| IDC | **Proposal 8**: The location for transmitting the UCI on unused PUSCH occasions is configured in RRC or indicated in L1/L2 signaling |
| HW/HiSi | **Proposal 8**: Regarding when to transmit the UCI, Option 3 and Option 1 (if UCI size is small) is preferred. |
| Nokia/NSB | **Proposal 10**: Do not support Option 3 and Option 4 for the possible transmission occasions of the UCI.**Proposal 11**: Support Option 1, sending UCI indication every PUSCH and only once per slot.\* FFS: The benefits of other UCI periodicities can be further discussed and if shown to be beneficial, RRC configured periodicities can be considered (Option 2). |
| Lenovo | **Proposal 4**: A transmitted CG PUSCH includes the UCI. |
| LG | **Proposal 7**: URI is transmitted on every CG occasion where UE sends PUSCH. (Option 1) |
| Google Inc. | **Proposal 9**: Support a modified version of Option 1:\* A transmitted CG PUSCH within a confiurable time window includes the UCI. |
| MTK | **Proposal 11**: UCI is multiplexed in PUSCH at every transmission occasion (e.g., Option-1). The last PUSCH TO does not carry UCI if the unused TO indication is limited to PUSCH within the current CG period. |
| Samsung | **Proposal 5**: If a UE is configured to provide the UCI for a CG PUSCH configuration, there are no restrictions on the CG-PUSCH transmissions that include the UCI (Option 1). |
| FGI | **Proposal 4**: The UCI is multiplexed in the first transmitted PUSCH in a CG period. |
| Apple | **Proposal 9**: A transmitted CG PUSCH, includes the UCI (option 1). |
| xiaomi | **Observation 6**: Sending CG PUSCH carrying the dynamic indication based on UCI in the TO in the first half of CG period can better meet the timeline, and the UCI can also indicate more TOs in the CG period.**Proposal 5**: Details of option 2 and option 3 should be updated as follows:\* Option 2: A transmitted CG PUSCH includes the UCI, if it is transmitted in an occasion determined by RRC.o A number N can be configured by RRC, and the first N PUSCH TOs within a CG period/ a multiple CG periods includes the UCI, and N=1\* Option 3: A transmitted CG PUSCH includes the UCI, if it is transmitted in a pre-defined transmission occasion.o A number N can be predefined by specification, and the first N PUSCH TOs within a CG period/ a multiple CG periods includes the UCI, and N=1 |
| NTT DOCOMO | **Proposal 7**: For determining which CG PUSCH includes the UCI, option 1 and option 4 are not supported. Support option 2 or option 3. |
| Panasonic | **Proposal 5**: The gNB should define the candidate PUSCH occasions for carrying the unused indication by RRC. |
| Sony | **Proposal 4**: Support a transmitted CG PUSCH includes the UCI, if it is transmitted in a pre-defined transmission occasion (known as Option 3) and if it is transmitted in a transmission occasion determined satisfying given condition(s) (known as Option 4). |
| OPPO | **Proposal 4**: The UCI is transmitted in the first N PUSCH TO(s) in a multi-PUSCHs CG period, where N is configured by RRC. |
| New H3C | **Proposal 2**: For dynamic indication of unused CG PUSCH occasion(s) based on a UCI, Option 3 on a transmitted CG PUSCH including the UCI, if it is transmitted in a pre-defined transmission occasion is supported. |
| DENSO Corp. | **Proposal 3**: RAN1 to study the following options regarding when the UCI is sent.- Option.1: The UCI is multiplexed in the first transmitted CG PUSCH within a CG period.- Option.2: The UCI is multiplexed in all transmitted CG PUSCHs. |
| Intel | **Proposal 5**: Consider the following two options for further discussion regarding TO(s) of UCI.\* Option 1: A transmitted CG PUSCH includes the UCI.\* Option 4: A transmitted CG PUSCH includes the UCI, if it is transmitted in a transmission occasion determined satisfying given condition(s).o FFS details\* Examples of a condition: A first transmitted PUSCH in a CG period, or a first PUSCH transmission within a multiple of CG periods. |

### 3.2.1 Initial Discussions

**Moderator’s suggestions for initial discussion:**

Based on the observations, the following is recommended.

**Moderator’s Suggestions: Aim to make decision at this meeting.**

* **Prioritize Option 1, 2, 3.**
* **Among this options, discuss whether it is possible to support Option 1.**

**Question:** Please provide your view in the table below regarding the following questions:

* **Q1:** What is your view regarding Moderator’s suggestions.
* **Q2:** Discuss any clarification/correction/comment/question on Moderator’s summary and suggestions or any other aspect helping the discussion and needed decisions.

**Note: Please ensure the information in companies’ contributions are considered for discussions.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 3.3 How the UCI is sent? (UCI type, encoding, mux)

**Moderator’s summary:**

In previous meeting, the following agreements were made:

**Agreement**

The physical channel that carries the UCI that provides information about unused CG PUSCH transmission occasions is CG PUSCH.

**Agreement**

Encoding and multiplexing for “the UCI that provides information about unused CG PUSCH transmission occasions” in a CG PUSCH applies encoding and multiplexing procedures for CG-UCI as baseline.

* FFS on details

**Agreement**

Consider the following alternatives for “the UCI that provides information about unused CG PUSCH transmission occasions” for down-selection or revision

* Alt. 1: “The UCI that provides information about unused CG PUSCH transmission occasions” is defined as a new UCI.
	+ FFS on details
* Alt. 2: “The UCI that provides information about unused CG PUSCH transmission occasions” is added as new field(s) to the CG-UCI.
	+ FFS on details
* Alt. 3: “The UCI that provides information about unused CG PUSCH transmission occasions” replaces/re-purposes some field(s) of the CG-UCI.
	+ FFS on details

**Companies’ view:**

**UCI type:**

Regarding when the UCI type based on Alt. 1, 2 or 3, companies views are summarized as the following:

* **Alt. 1:** E///, QC (lic), vivo, ZTE/Sanechips, Spreadtrum, IDC, HW/HiSi, DCM (licensed), Nokia, Samsung, FGI, CMCC, Lenovo, CATT, Panasonic, H3C, Sony, CAICT, Intel
* **Alt. 2:** DCM (unlic.), QC (unlic), LG, MTK, Google
* **Alt. 3:** Spreadtrum, Nokia, MTK, xiaomi, CAICT, [Apple]

**Details of encoding and multiplexing the UCI:**

* Reuse the multiplexing and encoding rule of CG UCI signaling
	+ E///, ZTE/Sanechips, CAITC, Samsung, DCM
* Priority of the UCI
* Same priority as corresponding configured grant CG PUCHs (similarly to CG-UCI)
	+ E///
* Beta-offset
	+ (CAICT): The beta-offset value of UTO-UCI could be the same as that of HARQ-ACK and/or CG-UCI.
	+ (Samsung): The UCI indicating unused CG PUSCH transmission occasions is a new UCI that is encoded and multiplexed as HARQ-ACK. If operation on shared spectrum is to be supported and if CG-UCI is configured, the UCI is also appended to CG-UCI prior to encoding and multiplexing.
	+ (Ericsson): Beta offset can be configured for UTO-UCI and reused instead of beta-offset for CG-UCI, when applicable.
		- If CG-UCI is not present, the beta offset for UTO-UCI is used in the procedures instead of CG-UCI beta offset, when applicable.
		- If UTO-UCI is jointly encoded with CG-UCI, the same beta offset is used in the procedures instead of CG-UCI beta offset, when applicable.

**Moderator’s observation:**

**Observation 1:** On UCI type, clearly majority of companies prefer Alt. 1. Some companies propose for use Alt. 1 for licensed band and Al.2/Alt. 3 for unlicensed band Moderator explains that for unlicensed, Alt.1 and Alt.2/Alt.3 are practically the same where the total UCI includes legacy CG-UCI and the UCI with “unused information”. This is obtained for Alt.1 by appending/cascading and for Alt. 2/Alt. 3 by extension, replacing. However, with Alt.1, from specification point of view, the properties of “the UCI for unused” can be independently maintained as it is a new feature and not mixed with legacy CG-UCI. Therefore, Moderator recommends Alt. 1.

**Observation 2**: for encoding and multiplexing, companies suggest to “reuse” CG-UCI procedures.

**Observation 3**: On priority, it seems to have the same priority as the corresponding CG PUSCH is a reasonable approach. That implies the priority of this UCI would be the same as CG-UCI if it is present. With respect to multiplexing with HARQ-ACK and CSI, the same rule as legacy would be reused.

**Observation 4**: On bets offset, there are two approaches that mainly different in case CG-UCI is not present: when CG-UCI is not present, configured a beta offset for new UCI (E///) or use HARQ-ACK beta-offset (CIATC, Samsung). When CG-UCI is present, use the same (E///, Samsung, CIATC). In moderator understanding, E/// reuses the CG-UCI framework when CG-UCI is present or not. Samsung and CAICT reuses CG-UCI framework when CG-UCI is present and HARQ-ACK when CG-UCI is not present (even if HARQ-ACK itself is not multiplexed in CG-PUSCH).

Table 7: Summary of Contributions inputs for Section 3.3

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Contributions inputs** |
| Ericsson | **Proposal 18** "The UCI that provides information about unused CG PUSCH transmission occasions" (i.e., UTO-UCI) is defined as a new UCI (Alt.1 is supported).**Proposal 19** For a configured grant configuration, UTO-UCI if present has the same priority as the configured grant PUSCHs.**Proposal 20** The existing CG-UCI encoding and multiplexing procedures are reused for encoding and multiplexing of UTO-UCI in a configured grant PUSCH in absence or presence of other UCIs being multiplexed in the PUSCH, by apply the following adjustments:\* If CG-UCI is not present and/or not multiplexed in PUSCH, UTO-UCI is used instead of CG-UCI in the corresponding procedures for encoding of CG-UCI and/or HARQ-ACK and/or CSI, whichever is present.\* If CG-UCI is present and is multiplexed in PUSCH, the jointly encoded UTO-UCI and CG-UCI (by appending UTO-UCI to CG-UCI) is used instead of CG-UCI in the corresponding procedures for encoding of CG-UCI and/or HARQ-ACK and/or CSI, whichever present.\* Beta offset can be configured for UTO-UCI and reused instead of beta-offset for CG-UCI, when applicable.\* If CG-UCI is not present, the beta offset for UTO-UCI is used in the procedures instead of CG-UCI beta offset, when applicable.\* If UTO-UCI is jointly encoded with CG-UCI, the same beta offset is used in the procedures instead of CG-UCI beta offset, when applicable. |
| Qualcomm | **Proposal 6**: For the UCI that provides information of unused CG PUSCH transmission occasion(s)\* When the UE is configured to transmit the CG-UCI, the UCI is added as new field(s) into the CG-UCI\* Otherwise, it is transmitted in a new UCI |
| vivo | **Proposal 14**: The UCI that provides information about unused CG PUSCH transmission occasions is defined as a new UCI, i.e., a new table with field(s) dedicated to providing the information. |
| ZTE/Sanechips | **Observation 10**: Beta offset parameter can be adjusted to guarantee the reliability of the UCI.**Proposal 13**: Support Alt 1: design new UCI signaling providing information about unused CG PUSCH transmission occasions.**Proposal 14**: Reuse the multiplexing and encoding rule of CG UCI signaling for the new UCI signaling. |
| Spreadtrum Comm. | **Proposal 4**: A new field or replaces/re-purposes some field(s) in the CG-UCI to provide information about unused CG PUSCH TOs should be further studied. |
| IDC | **Proposal 9**: Define new UCI for providing information on unused CG PUSCH occasions (Alt-1) |
| HW/HiSi | **Proposal 10**: The UCI that indicates unused CG PUSCH TO(s) is defined as a new UCI (i.e., Alt 1).**Proposal 11**: Different from CG-UCI, the encoding and multiplexing order of XR UCI should be lower than HARQ-ACK. |
| NTT DOCOMO | **Proposal 6**:\* In licensed spectrum, support Alt 1, i.e. "The UCI that provides information about unused CG PUSCH transmission occasions" is defined as a new UCI.\* Multiplexing/encoding of the new UCI on CG PUSCH can reuse multiplexing/encoding procedures for legacy CG-UCI on CG-PUSCH in unlicensed spectrum.\* In unlicensed spectrum, support Alt 2, i.e. "The UCI that provides information about unused CG PUSCH transmission occasions" is added as new field(s) to the CG-UCI. |
| LG | **Proposal 15**: Support Alt. 2 to handle URI with CG-UCI.**Proposal 16**: if cg-UCI-Multiplexing is configured, drop URI and HARQ-ACK is multiplexed into PUSCH when the HARQ-ACK PUCCH and PUSCH with URI are overlapped in a time. |
| Nokia/NSB | **Proposal 12**: Consider Alt1 or Alt3 and introduce a new UCI Table with indication of unused TOs (Alt 1) or replace/re-purpose some field(s) of the CG-UCI depending on the licensed/unlicensed operation (Alt 3).**Proposal 13**: RAN1 to discuss/agree how UCI is sent after UCI table is agreed due to the dependency of UCI transmission on the UCI table. |
| MTK | **Proposal 10**: UCI that indicates the unused PUSCH occasions shall re-use the existing CG-UCI format (e.g., Alt-2 or Alt-3). |
| Samsung | **Proposal 4**: The UCI indicating unused CG PUSCH transmission occasions is a new UCI that is encoded and multiplexed as HARQ-ACK. If operation on shared spectrum is to be supported and if CG-UCI is configured, the UCI is also appended to CG-UCI prior to encoding and multiplexing. |
| FGI | **Proposal 3**: The UCI that provides information about unused CG PUSCH transmission occasions is defined as a new UCI. |
| CMCC | **Proposal 8**. Support Alt. 1 to define the UCI that provides information about unused CG PUSCH transmission occasions as a new UCI. |
| xiaomi | **Proposal 8**: Information about unused CG PUSCH transmission occasions can replace some field(s) of the CG-UCI. |
| Google | **Proposal 10**: Support Alt. 2\* "The UCI that provides information about unused CG PUSCH transmission occasions" is added as new field(s) to the CG-UCI. |
| Lenovo | **Proposal 12**: New UCI type indicates unused CG occasions.o FFS: beta offset and UCI priority |
| CATT | **Proposal 4**: For the UCI carried the unused CG TOs information (UTO-UCI), it could be multiplexed in PUSCH as following:• The information bit of the UCI can be cascaded with HARQ-ACK and/or CG-UCI information bits for the simplicity.• The beta-offset value of UTO-UCI could be the same as that of HARQ-ACK and/or CG-UCI.**Proposal 5**: The Alt.1:"The UCI that provides information about unused CG PUSCH transmission occasions would be defined as a new UCI" should be supported. |
| Panasonic | **Proposal 4**: The unused indication of CG PUSCHs should be introduced as a new field to the CG-UCI. |
| New H3C | **Proposal 1**: For the UCI that provides information about unused CG PUSCH transmission occasions, Alt. 1: "The UCI that provides information about unused CG PUSCH transmission occasions" is defined as a new UCI is supported. |
| Sony | **Proposal 3**: Support a new UCI (i.e., Alt.1) to provide information about the unused CG PUSCH transmission occasions and also potentially other new parameters. |
| CAICT | **Proposal 5**: The UCI that provides information about unused CG PUSCH transmission occasions" is added as new field(s) to the CG-UCI, or replaces/re-purposes some field(s) of the CG-UCI. |
| Intel | **Proposal 6**: Introduce a new UCI to indicate the number of unused PUSCH occasions.\* Whether to multiplex the new UCI to CG PUSCH should be configurable |
| Apple | Design examples

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | HPID | NDI | Channel Access info | Occasion-usage indication | Other indication (e.g., M)S) |
| Unlicensed access | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Licensed access | No (if HPID is determined from specification & retransmission over CG is not supported) | No (if retransmission over CG is not supported) | No | Yes |  |

 |

### 3.3.1 Initial Discussions

**Moderator’s suggestions for initial discussion:**

Based on the observations, the followings are suggested.

**Moderator’s Suggestions: Aim to make decision at this meeting.**

* Consider Atl.1
* “Reuse” CG-UCI multiplexing/encoding procedures
* Same priority as the corresponding CG PUSCH
* Discuss options for Beta-offset

**Proposals according to the suggestions above:**

**Note that moderator for convenience has used the term “UTO-UCI”.**

**Proposal 2-3-1:**

* The UCI that provides information about unused CG PUSCH transmission occasions is defined as a “new UCI” (i.e. Alt. 1 of previous agreement)

**Proposal 2-3-2:**

* For a configured grant PUSCH configuration, the “UTO-UCI” has the same priority level as the configured grant PUSCH.
* Note: The term “UTO-UCI” refers to the “UCI that provides information about unused CG PUSCH transmission occasions” for convenience.

**Proposal 2-3-3:**

The existing CG-UCI encoding and multiplexing procedures are reused for encoding the “UTO-UCI” in a configured grant PUSCH in absence or presence of other UCIs being multiplexed in the PUSCH, by apply the following adjustments:

* If CG-UCI is not present and/or not multiplexed in PUSCH, the “UTO-UCI” is used instead of CG-UCI in the corresponding procedures for encoding of CG-UCI and/or HARQ-ACK and/or CSI, whichever is present.
* If CG-UCI is present and is multiplexed in PUSCH, the “UTO-UCI” is appended to CG-UCI is used instead of CG-UCI in the corresponding procedures for encoding of CG-UCI and/or HARQ-ACK and/or CSI, whichever present.
* FFS on beta offset
* Note: The term “UTO-UCI” refers to the “UCI that provides information about unused CG PUSCH transmission occasions” for convenience.

**Proposal 2-3-4:**

For multiplexing of the “UTO-UCI” on CG-PUSCH, select one of the options below for determining the beta-offset:

* Option 1:
	+ Beta offset can be configured for the “UTO-UCI” and reused instead of beta-offset for CG-UCI, when applicable.
		- If CG-UCI is not present, the beta offset for the “UTO-UCI” is used in the procedures instead of CG-UCI beta offset, when applicable.
		- If the “UTO-UCI” is jointly encoded with CG-UCI, the same beta offset is used in the procedures instead of CG-UCI beta offset, when applicable.
* Option 2:
	+ Beta-offset for HARQ is reused for the “UTO-UCI”.
* Note: The term “UTO-UCI” refers to the “UCI that provides information about unused CG PUSCH transmission occasions” for convenience.

**Question:** Please provide your view in the table below regarding the following questions:

* **Q1:** What is your view regarding Moderator’s suggestions and corresponding **Proposal 2-3-1**, **Proposal 2-3-2, Proposal 2-3-3 and Proposal 2-3-4**.
* **Q2:** Discuss any clarification/correction/comment/question on Moderator’s summary and suggestions or any other aspect helping the discussion and needed decisions.

**Note: Please ensure the information in companies’ contributions are considered for discussions.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 3.4 Other topics

**Moderator’s summary:**

With respect to the feature supporting indication of unused PUSCHs by UCI, companies have raised other aspects for discussions and decision. Some of these topics were discussed last meeting. Few of them are listed below:

* Topic 1) Timeline impact and/or requirements
	+ Introduce timeline for indication of “unused” TOs
		- Support: xiaomi, NEC
		- Not support: QC, Samsung
		- Needs study: vivo
	+ Impact on existing timelines due to “unused” TOs
		- E///
* Topic 2) Introduce Overriding “unused” indications
	+ Support: CMCC, Samsung
	+ Not support: vivo, LG
	+ Needs study: E///, Nokia
* Topic 3) Applicability to multiple CG configurations
	+ Support: QC, vivo, TCL
	+ Not support: DCM
	+ Needs study: E///, Lenovo, Panasonic
* Other topics including
	+ Interaction with uplink skipping (QC, Samsung with opposing views)
	+ Restriction to licenced (HW/HiSi)
	+ Applicability of feature to TBoM on Type-2 CG (QC, DCM)
	+ Support for Non-integer periodicities (MTK)
	+ Partial CG resource usage (Apple)
	+ …

Table 8: Summary of Contributions inputs for Section 3.4

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Contributions inputs** |
| Ericsson | **Proposal 23** Revisit the existing timeline constraints due to configured grant PUSCH to ensure the corresponding constraints are not applicable when a configured grant PUSCH transmission occasion is indicated unused.**Proposal 24** Whether to support overriding is conditioned on satisfying at least the following design principles:\* Commitment to transmit: When a CG PUSCH TO is previously indicated "unused", if a later UCI overrides the previous indication corresponding to the CG PUSCH TO, the UE shall "use" that CG PUSCH for transmission.\* Satisfying timeline: When a CG PUSCH TO is previously indicated "unused", if a later UCI overrides the previous indication corresponding to the CG PUSCH TO, the time between the end of a CG PUSCH carrying the later UCI and the start of the overridden CG PUSCH shall not be less than a time duration provided by configuration.\* Simplicity: The key design choices regarding e.g., content and timing of UCI, should not complicate enabling overriding if supported.\* FFS on other conditions and disciplines from UE**Proposal 25** Whether to support capability of indication of unused CG PUSCH TOs for multiple CG configurations, study at least the following:\* whether multiple CG configuration belong the same or different cells\* whether the key design choices regarding e.g., content and timing of UCI, complicates support of multiple CG configurations.\* Whether the UCI is carried by all CG PUSCHs associated to all the CG configurations or a sub-set of them.\* FFS on other conditions. |
| Qualcomm | **Observation 2**: It is possible to configure the UE with multiple overlapping CG PUSCH occasions in current specs but the gNB has to blind detect the PUSCH**Observation 3**: Indication of unused CG PUSCH occasion(s) of multiple overlapping PUSCH occasions is useful for UE power savings and for reducing blind decoding at gNB (network energy savings)**Observation 4**: For the dynamic indication of the unused CG PUSCH occasion(s) among a set of PUSCH occasions, the CG PUSCH occasions can be overlapping in time. The overlapping PUSCH occasions allow for higher resource efficiency**Observation 5**: If the gNB does not receive the indication of unused CG PUSCH occasion(s) early enough it can always do blind detection of PUSCH. The explicit timeline makes the spec and UE implementation complicated.**Proposal 11**: An explicit timeline between the indication and the indicated unused CG PUSCH occasion(s) is not needed for the UE to transmit the indication**Proposal 12**: For a CG PUSCH occasion of the multi-PUSCH CG configuration that is indicated as NOT "unused", the UE follows existing CG PUSCH skipping rule when there is a collision between the CG PUSCH occasion and other higher priority resource or operation such as semi-static DL symbol, DG scheduled DL symbol, SFI DL or flexible symbol, etc.**Proposal 13**: Support a single UCI to jointly provide information of CG PUSCH occasions belonging to different CG configurations, TRPs, or CCs**Proposal 15**: Do not support the explicit indication of "used" CG PUSCH occasion(s)**Proposal 16**: Support the indication of unused CG PUSCH occasion(s) when TBoMS is configured for the multi-PUSCH CG configuration\* Each CG PUSCH occasion contains the multiple slots for the same TB**Proposal 17**: Consider adding rules when a UCI (e.g. HARQ-ACK) is supposed to be multiplexed and sent on the CG PUSCH which the UE is requesting to skip, e.g.:- Alternative 1: Transmit UCI on PUCCH and drop PUSCH- Alternative 2: Transmit UCI on PUSCH and drop PUCCH- Alternative 3: Disable CG PUSCH skip capability on the TD resources with overlapping PUCCH and PUSCH and when a UCI is supposed to be sent |
| vivo | **Proposal 6**: A CG PUSCH occasion indicated as unused earlier is not expected to be changed as NOT unused subsequently.**Proposal 7**: For dynamic indication of unused CG PUSCH occasion(s) based on UCI by the UE, the dynamic indication in a UCI can be applied to the configured CG PUSCH occasions corresponding to one or multiple CG configurations, and locating on one or multiple serving cells.**Proposal 13**: For dynamic indication of unused CG PUSCH occasion(s) based on UCI by the UE, RAN1 discusses whether/how a minimum duration is assumed for gNB processing, e.g. decoding of the UCI, and recycling of unused time-frequency resources. |
| HW/HiSi | **Proposal 9**: The UCI that indicates unused CG PUSCH TO(s) is not applied to unlicensed band.**Proposal 13**: No need to consider CG enhancements to address UL jitter. |
| Nokia/NSB | **Proposal 14**: Overriding previous indication from used to unused is allowed.**Proposal 15**: Decide on whether overriding a previous indication from unused to used is supported after the solution related to what information UCI contains is clear. |
| MTK | **Observation 4**: In XR traffic context, the CG periodicity set to a larger value (e.g., as large as the XR traffic periodicity, such as 16.667ms) will have better latency (and therefore system capacity per number of supported users) performance. This is particularly true when multiple PUSCH TOs are positioned in back-to-back UL slots in a single CG period.**Proposal 13**: Further discussions on multiple PUSCHs in single CG design shall optimize for CG configurations with CG periodicities as large as XR traffic periodicity (e.g., ~16ms). |
| Apple | **Proposal 2**: UCI signaling supports the indication of the starting occasion and the number of occupied occasion(s).**Proposal 3**: support partial resource/occasion usage in the frequency domain to allow statistical multiplexing of UE traffics minimizing collision.**Proposal 4**: support partial resource/occasion usage in the time domain to allow statistical multiplexing of UE traffics minimizing collision.**Proposal 5**: CG PUSCH occasions with the same CG configuration can be associated different number of OFDM symbols. |
| Spreadtrum Comm. | **Proposal 6**: It need to further discuss how to handle HARQ process ID of the unused CG PUSCH occasion(s) in the CG period. |
| Lenovo | **Proposal 10**: If a UCI indicates unused CG occasions of only a CG configuration, decide whether handling of overlapped CG occasions across the CG configuration and another CG configuration is needed.**Proposal 11**: Discuss invalid UCI indications. |
| NTT DOCOMO | **Proposal 8**: UCI indicates unused TOs of the same CG configuration as CG PUSCH carrying the UCI.**Proposal 11**: Study whether/how to support such CG-UCI indication along with CG PUSCH configured with single TB processing over multiple slots. |
| LG | **Proposal 8**: Following two principles are to be considered for the URI indication for a same PUSCH occasion.\* Once a PUSCH occasion has been indicated as unused, it cannot be indicated as non-unused by URI\* Only the PUSCH occasion previously not indicated as unused, can be indicated as unused by URI**Proposal 13**: Support to apply URI transmitted via a CG configuration to the other CG configuration.\* A parameter indicating CG configuration to which URI applies can be provided by gNB.**Proposal 14**: a PUSCH indicated by URI and other PUSCH overlapped with the PUSCH are assumed to be dropped.\* No MAC PDU is generated for those CG PUSCHs. |
| CMCC | **Proposal 5**. Support to introduce a UCI overriding mechanism, which allows UE to re-transmit UCI to override the inaccurate indication of the unused CG PUSCH occasions in previous UCI.**Proposal 6**. A field in UCI is introduced to indicate whether the UCI can be overridden (incredible) or not (credible). |
| Samsung | **Proposal 7**: Extend the collision resolution procedure for SPS PDSCHs to CG-PUSCHs.1. One topic was the issue addressed by “Observation 2” above.
2. Another topic was a need for a timeline but that is unnecessary as a gNB is aware of the requirements for UE processing timelines and specifically of the PUSCH preparation timeline.
3. There is no reason to consider PUSCH skipping as such event will practically never occur for video frames (other than the indication for unused CG-PUSCH TOs).
4. ~~Providing a single TB over multiple CG-PUSCHs may be beneficial for improving coding gains for very small individual TBs (and for some TB CRC overhead reduction) but that is not the case for the very large TBs associated with XR while TB retransmissions will be practically impossible to handle and a resulting TB size may be larger than what a UE can currently support.~~
5. ~~Re-transmission of multiple TBs by a single DCI was effectively discussed during the SI and is not supported.~~
6. ~~CBG retransmission for multiple CG PUSCHs was discussed during the SI and is not supported. Although in principle a beneficial enhancement, the low target BLER required to meet PDB would limit any potential gain.~~
7. ~~There is no need to support repetitions as the PDB cannot be met in such cases.~~
8. Overriding a previous indication for unused CG-PUSCH TOs can be possible via providing UCI in every CG-PUSCH occasion where a later indication can be different from an earlier indication.
 |
| Panasonic | **Proposal 6**: It should be clear whether the unused indication is applicable to a single CG configuration or more CG configurations. |
| OPPO | **Proposal 5**: If a DG PUSCH overrides a CG PUSCH in the PUSCH TO which is determined to transmit a UCI, as allowed in R17, the UCI should be multiplexed in the DG PUSCH. |
| NEC | **Proposal 5**: study the timeline issues due to the multi-PUSCHs CG, includinga) how to handle the unused CG PUSCH occasion(s) and the UCI content if the time offset from the UCI to the unused CG PUSCH occasion(s) is smaller than the minimum preparation time for reusing the unused CG PUSCH occasionb) if a high priority DG-PUSCH indicated by a scheduling DCI would overlap in time with a low priority CG-PUSCH occasion, how the PUSCH preparation time of the DG-PUSCH will be impacted if the low priority CG-PUSCH occasion is indicated as unused before the scheduling DCI. |
| xiaomi | **Observation 8**: The resource of the unused CG PUSCH transmission occasion can be allocated flexibly based on gNB's implementation.**Proposal 6**: RAN1 should prioritize the discussion of the timeline for dynamic indication.**Proposal 9**: Whether UE is allowed to send multiple dynamic indications with overlapping time windows should be discussed in RAN1.**Proposal 10**: The gNB can allocate reusable resources to any UE, including the UE that sends UCI carrying the dynamic indication. |
| TCL | **Proposal 10**: When more than one CG configuration activation simultaneously, a UCI to indicate un-used TOs within more than one CG configurations can be considered. |

### 3.4.1 Initial Discussions

**Moderator’s suggestions for initial discussion:**

Considering the topics, Moderator’s observation and suggestions are as the following:

**General suggestion:** Prioritize the code feature design aspects (sections 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3). Use the discussion for better understanding of at least some of the topics in this section, 3.4.

* Topic 1) Timeline impact and/or requirements
	+ 1-1) Introduce timeline for indication of “unused” TOs
	+ 1-2) Impact on existing timelines due to “unused” TOs
		- Moderator’s observation/suggestion: Use the discussion for better understanding of the issues, motivations, solutions, and their necessity for each sub-topics1-1 and 1-2.
* Topic 2) Introduce Overriding “unused” indications
	+ Moderator’s observation/suggestion: Use the discussion for better understanding of the issues, motivations, solutions, and their necessity.
* Topic 3) Applicability to multiple CG configurations
* Moderator’s observation/suggestion: Use the discussion for better understanding of the issues, motivations, solutions, and their necessity.
* Other topics including
	+ Interaction with uplink skipping
		- Moderator’s observation/suggestion: Interaction with enhanced UL Skipping regarding UCI multiplexing needs clarification. Suggest discussing at a later stage.
	+ Restriction to licenced
		- Moderator’s observation: The design is aimed to be generic and applicable to any CG.
	+ Applicability of feature to TBoM on Type-2 CG
		- Moderator’s observation: The design is aimed to be generic and applicable to any CG.
	+ Support for Non-integer periodicities
		- Moderator’s observation/suggestion: It was discussed during SI. Prefer not to re-open the topic.
	+ Partial CG resource usage
		- Moderator’s observation/suggestion: It was discussed during SI. Prefer not to re-open the topic.
	+ …

**Questions:** Please provide your view in the table below regarding the following questions:

* **Q1:** Please indicate your view regarding the **moderator’s observations/suggestions** regarding the topics above.
* **Q2:** Discuss any clarification/correction/comment/question on Moderator’s summary and suggestions or any other aspect helping the discussion and needed decisions.

**Note: Please ensure the information in companies’ contributions are considered for discussions.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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