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# Introduction

This document provides a summary of the issues related to Rel-17 Maintenance on NR Positioning Enhancements under AI 7.2.

[112bis-e-R17-Pos-01] Email discussion on Rel-17 positioning maintenance by April 20 – Ren (CATT)

# Drop of SRS transmission

**Background**

TS 38.214 Clause 6.2.1.4 defines:

*If the transmission of SRS for positioning outside the initial BWP in RRC\_INACTIVE mode along with the switching time, indicated in higher layer parameter switchingTimeSRS-TX-OtherTX, in unpaired spectrum, subject to UE capability, collides in time domain with other DL signals or channels or UL signals or channels, the SRS for positioning transmission is dropped in the symbol(s) where the collision occurs.*

**Submitted Proposal/draft CR**

**(Nokia, R1-2302938/R1-2302939) Proposal 1**: Endorse the CR in R1-2302938 for the following change:

If the transmission of SRS for positioning outside the initial BWP in RRC\_INACTIVE mode along with the switching time, indicated in higher layer parameter *switchingTimeSRS-TX-OtherTX*, in unpaired spectrum, subject to UE capability, collides in time domain with other DL signals or channels or UL signals or channels, the SRS for positioning transmission is dropped in the symbol(s) where the collision occurs and in the symbol(s) necessary for the UE to switch to receive the other DL signals or channels or transmit UL signals or channels. If the transmission of SRS for positioning outside the initial BWP in RRC\_INACTIVE mode along with the switching time, indicated in higher layer parameter *switchingTimeSRS-TX-OtherTX*, in paired spectrum or SUL band, subject to UE capability, collides in time domain with UL signals or channels on the same carrier, the SRS for positioning transmission is dropped in the symbol(s) where the collision occurs and in the symbol(s) necessary for the UE to switch to transmit the UL signals or channels.. The SRS resource for positioning outside the initial BWP in RRC\_INACTIVE mode is configured in the same band and CC as the initial UL BWP.

FL Comments

TS 38.214 Clause 6.2.1.4 has defined that the rule for dropping SRS for positioning transmission when SRS transmission collides in time domain with other DL signals/channels or UL signals/channels occurs. It says if the transmission of SRS for positioning outside the initial BWP in RRC\_INACTIVE mode along with the switching time collides with other DL/UL signals/channels, SRS transmission is dropped. Nokia observed in [1] that observes that “The current specification is unclear how the UE can switch back to the initial UL BWP for transmission/reception of colliding signals/channels”. To address this issue, Nokia suggested the changes mentioned above. In FL’s view, on one hand, clarification could be helpful since the specification does not explicitly define whether to drop the SRS transmissions when UE switches to receive/transmit the other DL/UL signals or channels. On the other hand, it is expected that all UL transmissions (including SRS for positioning) to be interrupted during RF switching times by default. Interested companies are invited to share their views on the proposed changes.

**Q&A 2-1: What is your view on the changes proposed in R1-2302938/R1-2302939 [1,2]?**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes** | **No** | **Additional comments** |
| Vivo |  | No | Based on the first sentence in the paragraph, the collides have considered the SRS transmission symbol and switching time. So, in this case, there is no switching time that can be along with since SRS has been dropped when collide in time domain with other DL signals or channels or UL signals or channels,? If the transmission of SRS for positioning outside the initial BWP in RRC\_INACTIVE mode along with the switching time, collides in time domain with other DL signals or channels or UL signals or channels… |
| Huawei, HiSilicon |  |  | Agree with FL commentsIt says if the transmission of SRS for positioning outside the initial BWP in RRC\_INACTIVE mode along with the switching time collides with other DL/UL signals/channels, SRS transmission is dropped.The spec is clear that both time of switching to SRS transmission and switching back to initial UL BWP should be considered, when deciding whether/which part of the SRS is dropped or not. |
| CATT |  |  | It seems the clarification could be helpful but not essential. |

# PRS reduced samples

**Background**

The following agreement was made in RAN1#108e:

|  |
| --- |
| **Agreement**The M-sample indication is applicable for all concurrent NR positioning methods and for all positioning frequency layers. |

The above agreement was correctly captured in TS 38.214. In [3], ZTE pointed out in the capability of PRS reduced sample in RRC\_connected state is actually *per band*, which may result in the situation where M-sample indication is not applicable for all positioning frequency layers.

**Submitted draft CR in R1-2303274 [3]**

****

FL Comments

In FL’s view, clarification seems needed. Interested companies are invited to share their views on the proposed changes.

**Q&A 3-1: What is your view on the changes proposed in R1-2303274[3]?**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes** | **No** | **Additional comments** |
| vivo | Yes |  |  |
| Huawei, HiSilicon |  |  | The parameter change is OK for editor alignment CR.The second change is different from the discussion in RAN1#108-e, where “all positioning frequency” was agreed without any condition. If one PFL is on a band UE supports reduced samples, and another PFL is on a band that UE does not support reduced samples, network should not request reduced samples. The reason is that for this case the measurement latency is not reduced significantly.**Agreement**The M-sample indication is applicable for all concurrent NR positioning methods and for all positioning frequency layers |
| ZTE | Yes |  | The clarification is needed.It seems Huawei and us have different understanding. In Huawei’s view, once the UE does not support Reduced sample in one band, the UE should not be configured with reduced sample in all bands. In such case, why the UE capability is per band granularity?  |
| CATT | Yes |  | It seems there is a controversy between RAN1’s agreement on the applicability of M-sample indication and the per-band capability.  |

# Measurement Gap Activation Request

**Background**

In [4], ZTE proposes the clarification in TS 38.214 *that UE is only expected to request one of the preconfigured measurement gap if the UE is configured by the network to request* based on the following changes were made to TS 38.321 in RAN2#121 [5]:

****

**Submitted Proposal/draft CR [4]**



**Q&A 4-1: What is your view on the changes proposed in R1-2303275[4]?**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes** | **No** | **Additional comments** |
| vivo |  | No |  Not essential |
| Huawei, HiSilicon |  | No | We do not think current RAN1 spec is wrong.The behavior “when the UE requests … according to TS 38.321” is sufficient from phy perspective, as MAC layer receives introduction from RRC layer where the parameter posMG-Request is specified as the condition in the RRC procedure.This parameter has been discussed in RAN1, but we removed it assuming it is up to RAN2 to decide, and no RAN1 spec impact should be considered further. |
| ZTE | Yes |  | The change is based on the new agreement just in last RAN2 meeting. We believe the change is essential. Without the change, the UE could request the activation/deactivation even it is not configured with the higher layer signaling. That is not true. If gNB doesn’t support such MACCE request, UE should not request the activation based on RAN2’s understanding. |
| CATT |  |  |  We share the similar view with vivo/Huawei that the change may not be essential. |
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