* Comments on ‘Draft LS-v000’

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
| Ericsson | For the "Action" part of the LS, we prefer to say "take into account in their further work" since RAN1 is continuing work, and is not asking for an immediate response from RAN4. It is already clear in the RAN1 agreement that "if RAN4 has a response" it will be taken into account in RAN1.**ACTION:** RAN1 respectfully asks RAN4 to take the above agreement into account ~~and provide response if any~~ in their further work. |
| Samsung | In addition to Ericsson’s proposed changes to the draft LS which we support, we also propose to delete the following part of the RAN1 agreement as by the Chairman’s Notes from Section 1 Overall description, because unnecessary, i.e., implied already by RAN1 sending the LS to RAN4.~~“Send an LS to RAN4 to inform the above agreement. If RAN4 has response, it will be taken into account but in the meanwhile, RAN1 work will continue based on the above.”~~ |
| Nokia, NSB | We think it will be good and made progress fast if RAN4 has any response to RAN1.Thus it will be good to keep the original action in LS**ACTION:** RAN1 respectfully asks RAN4 to take the above agreement into account and provide response if any |
|  |  |