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## Introduction

This document is the summary of [110bis-e-R17-IoT-NTN-01] Email discussion to determine maintenance issues to be handled in RAN1#110bis-e. There will be additional email discussions once the maintenance issues for RAN1#110bis-e are determined.

The issues in contributions submitted to RAN1#110bis-e are summarized in the tables of section 2 and 3.

An initial assessment on each of the maintenance issues is provided based on the following classification:

* **Functionality (F):** Items (essential, pending issues, broken spec components) and proposed editorial changes that either enhance the clarity of the specs or correct mistakes,
* **Non-essential (N):** all other purposes such as spec optimization and low priority issues,
* **Editorial (E):** editorial issues that will be handled as editorial CRs (to be communicated to the editors/chairs).

## Issues on time and frequency synchronization for IoT NTN

The issues related to time and frequency synchronization for IoT NTN are summarized in the table below:

**Table 1 – Issues on time and frequency synchronization for IoT NTN**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Issue#** | **Issue** | **References** | **FL initial assessment**  | **Company inputs (if any)**[Companies will provide their views here] |
| 1-1 | Clarify source of quantity $N\_{segment}^{precompensation}$ in higher layer configuration for eMTC and NB-IoT UE when the UE performs segmented pre-compensation.In RAN1#107-e, there was agreement.**Agreement**Support network re-configuration of UL transmission segment by dedicated RRC SignallingIn TS 36.213, “higher layer” is typically used for RRC parameters provided with common RRC signalling in SIB or dedicated RRC signalling. Moderator understanding is that the text in TS 36.213 Section 4.2.3 and 16.1.2 should be revised as “where the quantity $N\_{segment}^{precompensation}$ is provided by ~~system information~~ by higher layer, as specified in 3GPP TS 36.331” | [2,4] | E | [lenovo] agree with the update from moderator. E.g., use “higher layer” to take the place of “system information”[OPPO] agree with the update from moderator.ZTE：Agree |
| 1-2 | Clarify UE behavior of dropping samples for NPRACH of NB-IoT UE when the UE performs segmented pre-compensation.The text proposal aims to clarify the UE behaviour for adjustment of the uplink transmission timing for NPRACH. This UE behaviour was discussed in RAN1 with following agreement in RAN1 107e. **Agreement**For NB-IoT, UE pre-compensation per segment of NPRACH is applied from one segment to the next segment by using one or more of the following methods if supported by UE implementation* UE may drop / Insert samples
* UE may blank subframe / repetition unit where UE drops a subframe / repetition unit
* The total transmission time is not changed
	+ FFS Details of method(s) to drop / insert samples / blank subframe / repetition unit
	+ FFS Specification impact

To the moderator understanding there was no RAN1 agreement for the text proposal below for UE behaviour for timing adjustment for NPRACH and the current specification is sufficient. When the UE's uplink NPRACH transmissions in preamble sequence repetition *n* for one segment and preamble sequence repetition *n*+1 for the next segment are overlapped due to the timing adjustment, the UE shall complete transmission of preamble sequence repetition *n* and not transmit the overlapped part of preamble sequence repetition *n*+1.To the moderator understanding there was no RAN1 agreement for this text proposal for UE behaviour for timing adjustment for NPRACH and the current specification is sufficient.  | [3,7] | N | [Lenovo] if the moderator believes there is no agreement to this issue, 110bis-e is the right time to discuss/clarify it, otherwise the Rel.17 WI can’t complete.ZTE：Agree |
| 1-3 | Clarify that segmented uplink transmission gap is only applied when the timing advance of the next segment is longer than the previous, transmitted segment. To the moderator understanding there is no RAN1 agreement for the proposed text revision and the current specification is sufficient. “a transmission gap of $N\_{gap}^{precompensation}$ time units shall be counted for the PUSCH resource mapping but not used for transmission of the PUSCH of the next segment, if the timing advance of the next segment is longer than the timing advance of the transmitted segment,”. | [8] | N | ZTE：Agree with FL. No needs to further define the new behavior. |
| 1-4 | NTN SIB AccummulationEricsson proposed the following:* Network to optionally indicate if NTN SIB accumulation across SI windows is allowed or not.
* For eMTC NTN with explicit epoch time indication, without introducing additional signalling, support NTN SIB accumulation at least for the following SI periodicities: {8, 16, 32, 64,128} frames
* For NB-IoT NTN with explicit epoch time indication, without introducing additional signalling, support NTN SIB accumulation at least for the following SI periodicities: {64,128} frames.
* For explicit epoch time indication, introducing additional signalling can help extend the SIB accumulation to even larger SI periodicities and/or optimize the UE behavior regarding SIB accumulation
* For IoT NTN, adopt the same definition for validity of assistance information as for NR NTN.

Nokia proposed in R1-2209244 Only explicit signaling of Epoch time for assistance information shall be specified for IoT NTN and RAN1 send LS to RAN2 to update SIB31 description in RRC specification to make the epochTime a mandatory field.As was discussed and captured in FL summary in RAN1#110 and summarised above, RAN2 has specified signalling for implicit Epoch time. This implies that SIB accumulation is not supported in Rel-17 since updating to serving cell ephemeris information does not affect the system information value. When epoch time is not explicitly indicated, the UE uses the starting time of the DL subframe corresponding to the end of the SI window during which the SI message carrying SIB31 is transmitted. SIB accumulation across SI windows is new functionality. There was no consensus in RAN1 that it is essential to specify SIB accumulation. It is not essential to specify SIB accumulation across SI windows. | [6,5] | N | [ERICSSON]We disagree with FL’s assessment that SIB accumulation cannot be supported in Rel-17. While it is true that it cannot be supported for implicit epoch time, it can still be supported for explicit epoch time, and that too with a minimal specification impact. Terrestrial NB-IoT/eMTC does support SIB accumulation and so should NTN. Otherwise, network will need to configure a prohibitively long SI window with many repetitions to cater to all UEs. Our proposals specifically treat the case of explicit epoch time. Therefore, we think the FL initial assessment should be “F” instead of “N”. ZTE: We are open to discuss it and propose to conclude it in this meeting since it has been discussed already in last meeting. |
| 1-5 | Processing time for downlink receptionQualcomm raised a new issue for processing time for downlink reception. In terrestrial networks, eMTC/NB-IoT UEs typically require a certain amount of “minimum processing time” to process a downlink reception, before transmitting an associated uplink that may be triggered by the downlink reception. Examples include:* (N)PDSCH triggering HARQ-ACK
* (N)PDCCH triggering (N)PUSCH
* (N)PDCCH triggering PDCCH-ordered (N)PRACH

The moderator understanding is that Qualcomm analysis is correct. The network is required to set Koffset larger than TTA,NTN max to ensure these conditions for processing time for downlink reception for | [9] | F | [Lenovo] It is up to the eNB to configure the suitable Koffset to cover the cell range, which can be larger than TA. The motivation to specify the minimal processing time is not clear.[OPPO] we think the issue is valid and it can be handled by eNB implementation.ZTE: No support. The value is K\_offset is determined by eNB and no need to specify the restriction. |

## Issues on timing relationships for IoT NTN

The issues related to timing relationships for IoT NTN are summarized in the table below:

**Table 2 – timing relationships for IoT NTN**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Issue#** | **Issue** | **References** | **FL initial assessment**  | **Company inputs (if any)** |
| 1-6 | Align Koffset parameter names in TS36.213 with names used in higher layer specs TS 36.331 and TS 36.321 | [10, 11] | E | FL: There are numerous clauses of TS 36.213 where the specification tries to define Koffset using parameter names *CellSpecificKoffset* and *UESpecificKoffset* which are not used by higher layer specifications such as TS 36.331 and TS 36.321. These specifications use instead the name *k-Offset* and *Differential Koffset* for the parameters in question. The clauses concerned are: 4.2.3, 5.1.1.1, 6.1.1, 7.2.3, 7.3, 8, 10, 16, 16.1.2 and 16.6.TPs that change the parameter names wherever they occur in TS36.213 such that the value of $K\_{offset}$ is defined using the actual names of the higher layer parameters in TS 36.331 and TS 36.321 have been proposed.ZTE: Agree, editorial changes. |
| 1-7 | Remove redundant definition of Kmac (clause 16.6) | [10] | E | FL: Clause 16.6 of TS36.213 commences with the general statement:“Throughout this clause, if a NB-IoT UE is configured with higher layer parameter *k-Mac*, *K*mac = *k-Mac* otherwise, *K*mac = 0.”But later in the clause, there is a further definition of Kmac within the text. One contributing company has made a CR to suppress this redundant definition.ZTE: Agree, editorial changes. |
| 1-8 | $K\_{offset}$ parameter is missing in the procedures described in Clause 7.3.1 of TS 36.213 v17.2.0 | [6, 12] | F | FL: In Clause 7.3.1 of TS36.213, on FDD HARQ-ACK reporting, one contributing company has spotted missing instances of Koffset between PDSCH subframes and the subframe in which their HARQ-ACK is transmitted. It was agreed during the WI that this timing relationship should be enhanced with Koffset. This is therefore an omission needing fixing.[Lenovo] OK to discuss, but it should be “E” editorial issue. Note the second K\_offset update is not needed[Ericsson] We support FL. It should be “F”.ZTE: Agree, editorial changes. |
| 1-9 | In the clause 16.6 of TS 36.213, the descriptions about case 7~11 of NPDCCH monitoring restrictions should take the impact of the timing offset between the UL and DL frame at the eNB into consideration | [13] | F | FL: In RAN1#109e, TPs to **Clause 16.6 of TS36.213** were agreed which delt with the NPDCCH monitoring restriction cases 7 – 11. One contributing company thinks this is not adequate and proposes a CR related to the PDCCH monitoring restrictions for cases 7 – 11.ZTE: No need.  |

## Conclusion

TBC

## References

1. RP-211601, “NB-IoT/eMTC support for NTN”, MediaTek, RAN#92-e, May 2021
2. R1-2208831, Draft CR on UE pre-compensation in segment, OPPO, RAN1#110-bis-e, October 2022
3. R1-2209242, Draft CR on correction of IoT NTN with dropping in pre-compensation per segment in 36.213, Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, RAN1#110-bis-e, October 2022
4. R1-2209243, Draft CR on correction of IoT NTN with dropping in pre-compensation per segment in 36.213, Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell
5. R1-2209244, Maintenance on NB-IoT/eMTC support for Non-Terrestrial Network, , Nokia,Nokia Shanghai Bell, RAN1#110-bis-e, October 2022
6. R1-2209650, On SIB accumulation and Timing relationship enhancements in IoT NTN, Ericsson, RAN1#110-bis-e, October 2022
7. R1-2210020, Maintenance for IoT NTN, Lenovo, RAN1#110-bis-e, October 2022
8. R1-2210183, Draft CR on correction of IoT NTN with segment gap in 36.211, Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell, RAN1#110-bis-e, October 2022
9. R1-2210309, Maintenance on NB-IoT/eMTC support for Non-Terrestrial Network, Qualcomm, RAN1#110-bis-e, October 2022
10. R1-2208689, Corrections on timing relationship for IoT-NTN, ZTE, RAN1#110-bis-e, October 2022
11. R1-2210219, Correction on time relationship parameter for IoT NTN, Huawei, HiSilicon, RAN1#110-bis-e, October 2022
12. R1-2210070, DRAFT CR Missing Koffset in FDD HARQ-ACK reporting procedure, Ericsson, RAN1#110-bis-e, October 2022
13. R1-2210201, Corrections on NPDCCH monitoring restriction for IoT NTN, Huawei, HiSilicon, RAN1#110-bis-e, October 2022