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Introduction

In RAN1#110bis-e meeting, three CRs [1, 2, 3, MTK] are submitted to clarify the PDCCH monitoring of Type1-PDCCH CSS set for a DL BWP in 38.213 for Rel-15/Rel-16/Rel-17 spec.

As guided by the Chairman, this contribution provides summary of the submitted contributions (Section 4), discussion points (Section 2), and possible RAN1 consensus during this meeting (Section 3, TBD).

[110bis-e-NR-R15-05] Discussion on PDCCH monitoring of Type1-PDCCH CSS set for a DL BWP by Oct 14 – TBD (MediaTek)

[R1-2209512](file:///D%3A%5C%5C3GPP_meeting_related%5C%5CRAN1_110b-e_2022Oct%5C%5CDocs%5C%5CR1-2209512.zip) [R15] Draft CR on PDCCH monitoring of Type1-PDCCH CSS set for a DL BWP MediaTek

[R1-2209513](file:///D%3A%5C3GPP_meeting_related%5CRAN1_110b-e_2022Oct%5CDocs%5CR1-2209513.zip) [R16] Draft CR on PDCCH monitoring of Type1-PDCCH CSS set for a DL BWP MediaTek

[R1-2209514](file:///D%3A%5C3GPP_meeting_related%5CRAN1_110b-e_2022Oct%5CDocs%5CR1-2209514.zip) [R17] Draft CR on PDCCH monitoring of Type1-PDCCH CSS set for a DL BWP MediaTek

Discussion points (phase 1 until 11-Oct)

The three submitted CRs [1, 2, 3, MTK] intend to clarify the PDCCH monitoring of Type1-PDCCH CSS set in a DL BWP for the **following paragraph in 38.213 10.1** [4]

* "If the UE has not been provided a Type3-PDCCH CSS set or a USS set and the UE has received a C-RNTI and has been provided a Type1-PDCCH CSS set, the UE monitors PDCCH candidates for DCI format 0\_0 and DCI format 1\_0 with CRC scrambled by the C-RNTI in the Type1-PDCCH CSS set."

The spec paragraph quoted above basically says that:

* Under the green highlighted scenario:
	+ “UE has received a C-RNTI and has been provided a Type1-PDCCH CSS set”
* UE performs the blue highlighted behavior:
	+ “UE monitors PDCCH candidates for DCI format 0\_0 and DCI format 1\_0 with CRC scrambled by the C-RNTI in the Type1-PDCCH CSS set”
* when the yellow highlighted condition holds:
	+ “If the UE has not been provided a Type3-PDCCH CSS set or a USS set”

At the same time, if the yellow highlighted condition does not hold, UE can choose NOT to perform the blue highlighted behavior for power saving.

It is mentioned in the submitted CRs [1, 2, 3, MTK] that there may be two revisions needed for the yellow highlighted condition: “If the UE has not been provided a Type3-PDCCH CSS set or a USS set”

1. The underlined “USS set” above should be only for DCI 0\_0/1\_0, since USS for DCI 0\_1/1\_1 would always be configured under BWP framework; otherwise, NW does not have the tool (DCI 0\_1/1\_1) to do BWP switch.
2. “If the UE has not been provided A or B” here seems intending to express “If the UE has not been provided A and not been provided B”. It is better to revise it to avoid the confusion of “or” versus “and”.

**Note**: In Rel-17 38.213 [5] 10.1 spec, the yellow highlighted condition becomes

* “If the UE has not been provided a Type3-PDCCH CSS set, or a **Type1A-PDCCH CSS set**, or a USS set”
	+ - where “**Type1A-PDCCH CSS set**” (serving for small data transmission) is added to the text, but the sentence structure is the same as Rel-15/Rel-16

The following discussions points are devised for these two potential revisions.

**Discussion point 1:**

**For the yellow highlighted condition in 38.213 spec mentioned in the beginning of this section:**

* **“If the UE has not been provided a Type3-PDCCH CSS set or a USS set”**

**Contributions** **[1, 2, 3, MTK] mention that**

* **The** **underlined “USS set” above should be only for** **DCI 0\_0/1\_0, since USS for DCI 0\_1/1\_1 would always be configured under BWP framework; otherwise, NW does not have the tool (DCI 0\_1/1\_1) to do BWP switch.**

**Do you agree that the underlined “USS set” should be only for DCI 0\_0/1\_0?**

**If your answer is “No”, please assist to elaborate on how to address the concern from [1, 2, 3, MTK] about BWP switch in the comment.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comment** |
| MTK | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Discussion point 2:**

**If your answer to Discussion point 1 is “yes”, is the following spec change fine to you**

* **Change “USS set” to “USS set for DCI format 0\_0 and DCI format 1\_0”**

**and** **from which release should this change apply?**

**If your answer to Discussion point 1 is “yes” but your answer to this question is “No”, please assist to elaborate on your preferred wording to clarify/change this spec sentence in the comment.**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **From Release** | **Comment** |
| MTK | Yes | R15 or R16 | This paragraph is originated from R15, so applying the change from R15 seems natural. However, considering R15 spec has been there for a long time, we can also accept to apply the change from R16. |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**Discussion point 3:**

**For the yellow highlighted condition in 38.213 spec mentioned in the beginning of this section**

* **“****If the UE has not been provided a** **Type3-PDCCH CSS set or a** **USS set”**

**What’s your interpretation for this sentence?**

* **Interpretation 1:** **If** **the UE has not been provided a Type3-PDCCH CSS set and the UE has not been provided a USS set**
* **Interpretation 2: If the UE has not been provided a Type3-PDCCH CSS set or the UE has not been provided a USS set**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Interpretation 1 or 2** | **Comment** |
| MTK | 1 | Logically speaking, we tend to think the intention of this sentence is to regulate that UE must do the additional PDCCH monitoring of * “DCI format 0\_0 and DCI format 1\_0 with CRC scrambled by the C-RNTI in the Type1-PDCCH CSS set”

only when neither “Type3-PDCCH CSS set” nor “USS set” is provided. If any one of “Type3-PDCCH CSS set” or “USS set” is provided, NW can just use it to send PDCCH, and UE can choose not to do the additional PDCCH monitoring. Hence, our interpretation tends to be the first one. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Discussion point 4:**

**Continuing from Discussion point 3, regardless your interpretation is 1 or 2, is it fine for you to change the current spec sentence**

* + - **“If the UE has not been provided a Type3-PDCCH CSS set or a USS set”**

**into the corresponding interpretation sentence below**

* **Interpretation 1: If the UE has not been provided a Type3-PDCCH CSS set and the UE has not been provided a USS set**
* **Interpretation 2: If the UE has not been provided a Type3-PDCCH CSS set or the UE has not been provided a USS set**

**and from which release should this change apply?**

**If your answer is “No”, please assist to elaborate on your reasons.**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **From Release** | **Comment** |
| MTK | Yes | R15 or R16 | It is better to revise the sentence to avoid the confusion of “or” versus “and”. This paragraph is originated from R15, so applying the change from R15 seems natural. However, considering R15 spec has been there for a long time, we can also accept to apply the change from R16. |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Resulted RAN1 conclusion/agreement (phase 2)

TBD based on outcome/situation of phase 1 discussion.

Summary of contribution inputs

**Summary for [1, 2, 3, MTK]:**

In [1, 2, MTK], the proposed CR towards 38.213 10.1 is the same for Rel-15/Rel-16 as copied below:

##### 10.1 UE procedure for determining physical downlink control channel assignment

<Unchanged Text Omitted>

For a DL BWP, if a UE is not provided *ra-SearchSpace* for Type1-PDCCH CSS set, the UE does not monitor PDCCH for Type1-PDCCH CSS set on the DL BWP. If the UE has not been provided a Type3-PDCCH CSS set and the UE has not been provided a USS set for DCI format 0\_0 and DCI format 1\_0 and the UE has received a C-RNTI and has been provided a Type1-PDCCH CSS set, the UE monitors PDCCH candidates for DCI format 0\_0 and DCI format 1\_0 with CRC scrambled by the C-RNTI in the Type1-PDCCH CSS set.

<Unchanged Text Omitted>

where the reason for change is:

* In 38.213 V15.15.0/V16.11.0 Clause 10.1, it is mentioned that
	+ “For a DL BWP, … If the UE has not been provided a Type3-PDCCH CSS set or a USS set … UE monitors PDCCH candidates for DCI format 0\_0 and DCI format 1\_0 … in the Type1-PDCCH CSS set.”

We think there are two revisions needed for this paragraph:

1. The underlined “USS set” above should be only for DCI 0\_0/1\_0, since USS for DCI 0\_1/1\_1 would always be configured under BWP framework; otherwise, NW does not have the tool (DCI 0\_1/1\_1) to do BWP switch.
2. “If the UE has not been provided A or B” here intends to express “If the UE has not been provided A and not been provided B”. It is better to revise it to avoid the confusion of “or” versus “and”.

and the summary for change is:

1. Change “USS set” to “USS set for DCI format 0\_0 and DCI format 1\_0”.
2. Change “If the UE has not been provided A or B” to “If the UE has not been provided A and not been provided B”.

In [3, MTK], the proposed CR towards 38.213 10.1 for Rel-17 [5] is basically the same as Rel-15/Rel-16, with a small difference that an additional Type1A-PDCCH CSS set (which serves for small data transmission) exists in the text:

##### 10.1 UE procedure for determining physical downlink control channel assignment

<Unchanged Text Omitted>

For a DL BWP, if a UE is not provided *ra-SearchSpace* for Type1-PDCCH CSS set, the UE does not monitor PDCCH for Type1-PDCCH CSS set on the DL BWP. If the UE has not been provided a Type3-PDCCH CSS set, and the UE has not been provided a Type1A-PDCCH CSS set, and the UE has not been provided a USS set for DCI format 0\_0 and DCI format 1\_0 and the UE has received a C-RNTI and has been provided a Type1-PDCCH CSS set, the UE monitors PDCCH candidates for DCI format 0\_0 and DCI format 1\_0 with CRC scrambled by the C-RNTI in the Type1-PDCCH CSS set.

<Unchanged Text Omitted>
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