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# 1 Introduction

This document collects company views on a RAN1#110bis-e submitted CR attempting to clarify whether the RSRP of the downlink pathloss reference for PRACH power control is L1 RSRP or L3 RSRP especially in idle/inactive states.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **TDoc** | **Title** | **Source** | **Release** | **Spec** | **Version** | **Related WIs** | **CR category** |
| [**R1-2209254**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_110b-e/Docs/R1-2209254.zip) | Corrections on the RSRP of the downlink pathloss reference for PRACH power control | xiaomi | [**Rel-15**](https://portal.3gpp.org/desktopmodules/Release/ReleaseDetails.aspx?releaseId=190) | [**38.213**](https://portal.3gpp.org/desktopmodules/Specifications/SpecificationDetails.aspx?specificationId=3215) | 15.16.0 | [**NR\_newRAT-Core**](https://portal.3gpp.org/desktopmodules/WorkItem/WorkItemDetails.aspx?workitemId=750167) | F |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Reason for change:*** | In clause 7.4 of TS 38.213, it describes that the pathloss of PRACH is calculated by the UE in dB as *referenceSignalPower* - higher layer filtered RSRP in dBm, where the higher layer filtered RSRP configuration is defined in TS 38.331. And, the higher layer filtering, i.e., L3 filtering, is defined as follows according to clause 5.5.3.2 of TS 38.331:***F*n = (1 – *a*)\**F*n-1 + *a*\**M*n**Where, ***a*** = 1/2(***ki***/4), and ***ki*** is the *filterCoefficient* for the corresponding measurement quantity of the i:th *QuantityConfigNR* in *quantityConfigNR-List*, and *i* is indicated by *quantityConfigIndex* in *MeasObjectNR*However, since *MeasObjectNR* is only configured in the RRC connected state, the *filterCoefficient* can’t be obtained in RRC idle/inactive state and the L3 filtering can’t be applied for the pathloss determination of PRACH. Even though the default value fc4 is configured for *filterCoefficient*, our RAN2 colleagues believe that the idle/inactive state can’t use a default value of the connected state. Besides, for RSRP measurements for random access procedure, it has been discussed in RAN2 NR AdHoc 1807 meeting and the following agreement was achieved:**For the purpose of Random Access the UE uses unfiltered L1 measurements for RSRP.**Thus, we propose to change the “higher layer filtered RSRP” in clause 7.4 of TS 38.213 to “L1 RSRP” for the calculation of pathloss for PRACH.  |
|  |  |
| ***Summary of change:*** | Change ‘higher layer filtered RSRP’ in Clause 7.4 to ‘L1 RSRP’ for the pathloss determination of PRACH channel |
|  |  |
| ***Consequences if not approved:*** | Misalignment between TS 38.213 and TS 38.331 |

**The proposed change and the corresponding paragraph:**

**<Unchanged parts omitted>**

* 7.4 Physical random access channel

A UE determines a transmission power for a physical random access channel (PRACH), , on active UL BWP  of carrier  of serving cell  based on DL RS for serving cell  in transmission occasion  as

  [dBm],

where  is the UE configured maximum output power defined in [8-1, TS 38.101-1], [8-2, TS38.101-2] and [38.101-3] for carrier  of serving cell  within transmission occasion ,  is the PRACH target reception power *PREAMBLE\_RECEIVED\_TARGET\_POWER* provided by higher layers [11, TS 38.321] for the active UL BWP  of carrier  of serving cell , and  is a pathloss for the active UL BWP  of carrier  based on the DL RS associated with the PRACH transmission on the active DL BWP of serving cell  and calculated by the UE in dB as *referenceSignalPower* – L1 RSRP in dBm, where RSRP is defined in [7, TS 38.215]. If the active DL BWP is the initial DL BWP and for SS/PBCH block and CORESET multiplexing pattern 2 or 3, as described in Clause 13, the UE determines  based on the SS/PBCH block associated with the PRACH transmission.

**<Unchanged parts omitted>**

# 2 Company views

**Please provide company comments to the table below**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
| Xiaomi | As a proponent we support this CR. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | OK. For the cover page of the CR, suggest to add the part of “**Isolated Impact Analysis**” for review as well. In our understanding, it is not expected to impact on gNB/UE implementation by the CR.  |
| OPPO | We are fine with the CR. |
| vivo | Disagree.The cited RAN2 agreement ‘**For the purpose of Random Access the UE uses unfiltered L1 measurements for RSRP**’ is not for PRACH PowerControl, it is for SSB selection. UE determines if there is any SSB with L1 RSRP larger than a threshold, and if yes, UE will select one SSB and initiate random access on one RO associated with the selected SSB.

|  |
| --- |
| [R2-1809784](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5C11065411%5CAppData%5CLocal%5CTemp%5CRar%24DIa2272.25320%5CDocs%5CR2-1809784.zip) RSRP measurements for RACH Intel Corporation discussion Rel-15 NR\_newRAT-Core* Noted

[R2-1810083](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5C11065411%5CAppData%5CLocal%5CTemp%5CRar%24DIa2272.25320%5CDocs%5CR2-1810083.zip) RSRP measurements for Random Access Ericsson discussion Rel-15 NR\_newRAT-Core DISCUSSION on the two papers above* Vivo prefers L1 RSRP (Ericsson proposal) and think there could be a note
* Nokia think that for BFR R1 already agreed to apply L1 RSRP, so the Ericsson proposal would be ok.
* Ericsson will bring a CR to the next meeting, capturing a NOTE.
* For the purpose of Random Access the UE uses unfiltered L1 measurements for RSRP.
 |

This agreement and note has already been captured in 38.321 as the following text:1> else (i.e. for the contention-based Random Access preamble selection):2> if at least one of the SSBs with SS-RSRP above *rsrp-ThresholdSSB* is available:3> select an SSB with SS-RSRP above *rsrp-ThresholdSSB*.2> else:3> select any SSB.NOTE: When the UE determines if there is an SSB with SS-RSRP above *rsrp-ThresholdSSB* or a CSI-RS with CSI-RSRP above *rsrp-ThresholdCSI-RS*, the UE uses the latest unfiltered L1-RSRP measurement.On the other hand, for PowerControl for UL from idle/inactive UE, L3 RSRP based on the default value fc4 can be used, thus no change is needed. |
| Nokia, NSB | We don’t agree with the CR. We agree with vivo that the cited RAN2 agreement is not intended to be read in the power control context and agree that L3 filter using the default value should be assumed.  |
| QC | We don’t agree with the proposed change, at least not as it is. Regarding the proposed change, several questions need to be answered: 1. Does RAN2 agreement include PDCCH-ordered PRACH? 2. Following RAN2 agreement, when UE will start to apply L3 filtering, after the reception of relevant RRC configuration? 3. What are the reasons for RAN2’s agreement if it applies to all random access, as L3 filtering incurs no delay. |
| Apple  | We do not support the CR. If the justification for CR is purely based on RAN2 agreement. It would be more reasonable for proponent companies to ask RAN2 directly sending a LS to RAN1 if they see any impact on RAN1 spec. Without RAN2 LS to clarify the use case and impact on RAN1 spec, it is hard to modify RAN1 spec based on quoted RAN2 agreement, especially for Rel-15 spec where commercial product has been shipped.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |