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# Introduction

In RAN#94-e meeting a work item on eDSS support was agreed for Rel-18 [1]. The objectives of the WID include enhancements to NR PDCCH reception as shown below:

|  |
| --- |
| The following objectives shall be included for improvement of NR spectrum efficiency for LTE-NR co-existence (RAN1):• Study and if needed specify NR PDCCH reception in symbols with LTE CRS REs. [RAN1] |

This document contains summary of the companies’ and moderator’s proposals.

# Review and observations

The following are observations from the FL based on tdoc review. This section is not meant for agreement.

**PDCCH capacity:**

Multiple companies submitted results although different assumptions on Tx (puncturing/superposition), receivers, UE distributions, capacity calculation methodology etc. is expected to cause variation of results across companies. The numbers in the following table represent PDCCH capacity gain % with respect to baseline (no enhancement). The variation of gain numbers from within a company depends on factors such as receiver channel estimation algorithm used, UE speed, fraction of legacy vs Rel-18 UEs in the system, CORESET configuration etc.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Scenarios | Option 1-1 | Option 1-2 | Option 2 |
| Scenario 1A |  |  | 24, 61 (Huawei)68 (ZTE)69, 33 (Vivo)49, 51, 71, 73 (Mediatek)38, 65, 70, 76 (Qualcomm)47,67,77 (Ericsson)25, 60 (Spreadtrum) |
| Scenario 1A-2 |  |  | 13,32 (Huawei) |
| Scenario 2 | 44 (Huawei)27, 54 (ZTE)-32,7, 33, 56, 59 (Vivo)-16, 3, 16, 28, 29 (Vivo)38, 40, 44, 48 (Mediatek)70, 82 (Qualcomm)19,33 (Ericsson)-6, 28, 36 (Spreadtrum) | 66, 33 (Vivo)49, 51 (Mediatek)140 (Qualcomm)25 (Ericsson)46 (Spreadtrum) | 2, 48 (Huawei)29,59 (ZTE)30,31,53,53 (Mediatek)54,77,91,97 (Qualcomm)19, 38 (Ericsson) |
| Scenario 3 | 14 (Huawei)-47, 7, 17, 21, 29 (Vivo)-23, -3, 8, 10, 14 (Vivo)20, 20, 23, 24 (Mediatek)32, 34 (Qualcomm)14, 19 (Ericsson) | 28,14 (Vivo)24,28 (Mediatek)36 (Qualcomm)17 (Ericsson) | -9, 12 (Huawei)12, 14, 26, 27 (Mediatek)12, 30, 33, 34 (Qualcomm)11, 21 (Ericsson) |
| LTE BW<NR BW (Huawei) | 4 (Huawei) |  |  |
| modified Scenario 3 (2+1 symbol Rel-18 CORESET) (Ericsson) | 14,24 (Ericsson) | 19 (Ericsson) | 15,24,29,34,39 (Ericsson) |

One or more companies have raised the following observations or comments:

**Outage performance**

* worse outage performance than baseline observed for options 1-1, and 2 (gNB puncturing or superposition)

**LTE impact**:

* degradation of LTE throughput due to Option 2 superposition
* decrease for LTE PDCCH capacity for scenario 1A, 2

**Coexistence with legacy NR UEs**:

* capacity gain of option 1-1 in Scenario 2 would reduce significantly from the case of 100% NR Rel-18 UE distribution to 50% NR Rel-18 UE distribution
* TDM/FDM of CORESET with legacy
* 2 symbol CORESET requirement for 1-1 and 1-2 is problematic due to CORESET#0

**Complexity**:

* new rate-matching for Option 1-2
* Option 1-2 is out of WI scope
* Channel estimation complexity beyond clean symbol DMRS estimation, multiple UE implementation options
* significant impact to channel estimation for option 1-1 and option 2
* fragmentation of UE capabilities
* 1-symbol CORESET with puncturing/superposition demands advanced receiver/channel estimator

**Specification work:**

* RAN1 - remove the restriction in TS 38.213, additional PDCCH mapping rule, applicable search space set, how to indicate the LTE CRS pattern(s) for PDCCH
* RAN4 - performance requirements and test-cases potentially for different receiver options

**Not critical enhancement - already available tools**

* FG 3-2, FG 3-5, or FG 22-12
* Rel-17 SCell PDCCH scheduling PCell PDSCH/PUSCH

**Other detailed proposals**

* Assistance information to UE (indication to puncture for example in Option -2)
* Restriction (specified or configured) on applicability to search spaces, RRC connected UEs, 2-3 symbol CORESETs
* Indication of CRS patterns for PDCCH

# Proposals

## Principle

The intention here is to check if we can select one of following options in principle that we can then use to work on TPs or detailed proposals-

**Proposal:**

Select one of the following PDCCH enhancements (in principle):

**Option 1-1**: No NR-PDCCH-DMRS is transmitted for only the REs overlapping with LTE-CRS of the OFDM symbol, NR-PDCCH is punctured on REs colliding with LTE-CRS, NR-PDCCH must span at least 2 consecutive symbols with at least 1 symbol not overlapping with LTE-CRS

* PDCCH and PDCCH DMRS mapping to REs: Legacy
* PDCCH REs overlapping with LTE CRS: Receiver punctures
* PDCCH DMRS REs overlapping with LTE CRS: All DMRS REs on overlapping symbol Not used for CE, or legacy pattern is assumed
* gNB transmits: Irrelevant what the gNB transmits on REs overlapping with the LTE CRS REs as indicated in the CRS RM pattern.
* Channel estimator: operate on clean symbol DMRS only, Legacy

**Option 1-2**: No NR-PDCCH-DMRS is transmitted in any RE of the OFDM symbol, NR-PDCCH is transmitted on REs not colliding with LTE-CRS including the original DMRS, NR-PDCCH is punctured on REs colliding with LTE-CRS, NR-PDCCH must span at least 2 consecutive symbols with at least 1 symbol not overlapping with LTE-CRS

* PDCCH and PDCCH DMRS mapping to REs: New PDCCH rate-matching
	+ No PDCCH DMRS on the symbol overlapping with LTE CRS
* PDCCH REs overlapping with LTE CRS: Receiver punctures
* PDCCH DMRS REs overlapping with LTE CRS: Not expected
* Channel estimator (UE assumption): Operate on clean symbol DMRS only
* gNB transmits: Irrelevant what the gNB transmits on REs overlapping with the LTE CRS REs as indicated in the CRS RM pattern

**Option 2:** NR-PDCCH or NR-PDCCH-DMRS is transmitted on REs not colliding with LTE-CRS, NR-PDCCH and NR-PDCCH-DMRS may or may not be punctured on REs colliding with LTE-CRS

* PDCCH and PDCCH DMRS mapping to REs: Legacy
* PDCCH REs overlapping with LTE CRS: Baseline: Process as legacy
* PDCCH DMRS REs overlapping with LTE CRS: Aware or unaware
* Channel estimator: Baseline: Process as legacy (Receiver does not puncture DMRS), Optional: Advanced receiver (Use the DMRS other than legacy behavior)
* gNB transmits:
	+ Baseline: May puncture the PDCCH/PDCCH DMRS, or may superposition the two.
	+ Optional: may puncture LTE CRS of Port#2&3.
	+ Impact to LTE UEs should be considered if superposition is used.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Huawei/Hisi | As summarized by moderator, a number of concerns are received over all the 3 options. From our observations:* Option 2 with punctured transmission has no appealing capacity gain (-9.3%/2.8%/24.1% for scenario#1A/2/3, respectively), and has deteriorated outage (as high as 26.8% under scenario#1A). Note that though for some scenarios, there are capacity gain, but as Option 2 cannot serve the cell edge UEs, its capacity gain attributes to a large extent to the scheduling gain of cell centre UEs with small AL values. So the capacity gains are somewhat overestimated.
* Option 2 with superposition with LTE CRS (i.e., Option 2B) will cause degraded performance of LTE, which is unfriendly to the incumbent system.
* In addition, as mentioned by some other companies and observed from simulation results over companies, the performance of Option 2 quite depends on the UE receiver which differ a lot over UEs, so that will cause nontrivial work load to RAN4.
* Option 1-2 will introduce challenging UE implementation complexity on supporting new PDCCH RM/CE/demodulation patterns. Our understanding that, the scope of the Objective 1 for this SI is built on the assumption that UE has no capability of new PDCCH RM patterns; otherwise why not allow UE to perform PDCCH RM around the LTE CRS (which is out of scope) rather than being punctured?
* Option 1-1 seems the simple solution with promising gains, but this gain is achieved still under quite limited case of Scenario 2 with LTE bandwidth = NR bandwidth and without co-existence with legacy NR UEs. That means, even Option 1-1 is scenario restricted and cannot provide universal gain.

Based on the analysis above, at the moment we do not observe a strong necessity to introduce any of the options in R18. |
| Samsung | We are OK to discuss the three options but we do not agree to select one of them. Based on various analytical considerations and on results, we think that not introducing specification support for PDCCH receptions in presence of LTE CRS is a valid conclusion.  |
| ZTE | We observe clear performance gain by the options and ok to down-select one of them. Our detailed views on each options are provided below. Option 1-1 provides good performance gain with legacy UE receiver. One main drawback is coexistence with legacy NR UEs when a 2-symbol CORESET is configured for Rel-18 NR PDCCH as follows. In the example, half of the PDCCH resources in symbol 1 is unavailable. Option 2 provides the best performance with advanced UE receiver, including both PDCCH capacity and coexistence with legacy NR UEs, while Option 2 increases UE complexity. Considering both the performance gain and complexity, we are ok with either Option 1-1 or Option 2 with advanced receiver. Option 1-2 should not be adopted considering the following aspects. * New rate-matching for Option 1-2, which increases both NW and UE complexity
* No clear performance gain over Option 1-1
* Large spec impacts
* Option 1-2 is out of WI scope.
 |
|  |  |
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# Appendix (Summary of the agreements)

## RAN1#109-e:

**Agreement**

**To evaluate the following options:**

* + Option-1-1: No NR-PDCCH-DMRS is transmitted for only the REs overlapping with LTE-CRS of the OFDM symbol, NR-PDCCH is punctured on REs colliding with LTE-CRS, NR-PDCCH must span at least 2 consecutive symbols with at least 1 symbol not overlapping with LTE-CRS
	+ Option-1-2: No NR-PDCCH-DMRS is transmitted in any RE of the OFDM symbol, NR-PDCCH is transmitted on REs not colliding with LTE-CRS including the original DMRS, NR-PDCCH is punctured on REs colliding with LTE-CRS, NR-PDCCH must span at least 2 consecutive symbols with at least 1 symbol not overlapping with LTE-CRS
	+ Option-2: NR-PDCCH or NR-PDCCH-DMRS is transmitted on REs not colliding with LTE-CRS, NR-PDCCH and NR-PDCCH-DMRS may or may not be punctured on REs colliding with LTE-CRS
		- No puncture is baseline (UE side)

**Observation**

For evaluations consider the following options:

**Option 1-1:**

* PDCCH and PDCCH DMRS mapping to REs: Legacy
* PDCCH REs overlapping with LTE CRS: Receiver punctures
* PDCCH DMRS REs overlapping with LTE CRS: All DMRS REs on overlapping symbol Not used for CE, or legacy pattern is assumed
* gNB transmits: Irrelevant what the gNB transmits on REs overlapping with the LTE CRS REs as indicated in the CRS RM pattern.
* Channel estimator: operate on clean symbol DMRS only, Legacy

**Option 1-2:**

* PDCCH and PDCCH DMRS mapping to REs: New PDCCH rate-matching
	+ No PDCCH DMRS on the symbol overlapping with LTE CRS
* PDCCH REs overlapping with LTE CRS: Receiver punctures
* PDCCH DMRS REs overlapping with LTE CRS: Not expected
* Channel estimator (UE assumption): Operate on clean symbol DMRS only
* gNB transmits: Irrelevant what the gNB transmits on REs overlapping with the LTE CRS REs as indicated in the CRS RM pattern

**Option 2:**

* PDCCH and PDCCH DMRS mapping to REs: Legacy
* PDCCH REs overlapping with LTE CRS: Baseline: Process as legacy
* PDCCH DMRS REs overlapping with LTE CRS: Aware or unaware
* Channel estimator: Baseline: Process as legacy (Receiver does not puncture DMRS), Optional: Advanced receiver (Use the DMRS other than legacy behavior)
* gNB transmits:
	+ Baseline: May puncture the PDCCH/PDCCH DMRS, or may superposition the two.
	+ Optional: may puncture LTE CRS of Port#2&3.
	+ Impact to LTE UEs should be considered if superposition is used.

Agreement

For evaluations consider the following list of scenarios:

Scenario#1A: 1 symbol CORESET, overlapped with CRS – Option 2 only

Scenario#2: 2 symbols CORESET, including 1 overlapping symbol and 1 clean symbol – Option 1-1/1-2/2

Scenario#3: 3 symbols CORESET, including 1 overlapping symbol and 2 clean symbols – Option 1-1/1-2/2

Agreement

LLS simulations assumptions, [] are optional:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Parameters** | **Values** |
| Carrier frequency | 2 GHz |
| SCS | 15 kHz  |
| Bandwidth  | 20 MHz [5, 10 MHz], LTE bandwidth equal or smaller than NR |
| Channel model | TDL-C 300, [TDL-A 300] |
| Correlation | Low |
| Number of BS antennas | 4 Tx, (M,N,P,Mg,Ng;Mp,Np)= (1,2,2,1,1;1,1),[2 Tx, (M,N,P,Mg,Ng;Mp,Np)= (1,1,2,1,1;1,1).] |
| Number of UE antennas | 2 Rx (M,N,P,Mg,Ng;Mp,Np)= (1,1,2,1,1;1,1) |
| DCI payload (excluding CRC) | 60 bits [50bits] |
| Interleaving | Non-Interleaved, [Interleaved] |
| Precoding | Precoder cycling per REG bundle |
| REG bundle size | 6 PRBs |
| CRS | single 4 port CRS pattern, [additional 4 port CRS pattern] |
| Channel estimation | practical – companies to report details |
| UE speed | 30 kmph [3kmph, 120 kmph, 350 kmph] |
| Power ratio of LTE-CRS RE/NR PDCCH RE, Power ratio of LTE-CRS RE/NR PDCCH-DMRS RE | Companies to report (if applicable) |

**Agreement**

SLS simulations assumptions, [] are optional:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Parameters** | **Values** |
| Carrier frequency | 2.1 GHz |
| SCS | 15 kHz |
| Simulation bandwidth  | 20 MHz [5, 10 MHz]，same as that in LLS |
| BS antenna height | 25 m |
| UE height | 1.5m  |
| TRP transmit power | 49 dBm 20 MHz |
| Scenario | Urban Macro (500m ISD), [Rma (1732m ISD)] |
| Device deployment | 80% indoor, 20% outdoor (Uma) [50% indoor,50% in-car (Rma)] |
| UE speeds | Indoor users: 3km/h |
| Outdoor users (in-car): 30 km/h |
| BS noise figure | 5 dB |
| BS antenna element gain | 8 dBi |
| UE noise figure | 9 dB |
| Thermal noise level | -174 dBm/Hz |
| Traffic geometry | Full Buffer  |
| Macro sites | 19 |
| Downtilt | 102° or according to Scenario |
| Minimum BS to UE distance | 35m |
| KPI | Companies to report (e.g., total PDCCH capacity, PDCCH coverage/outage, Potential degradation of LTE, whether and how to achieve coexistence with legacy UEs) |
| Others | Companies to report (e.g., fraction of LTE UEs, fraction of Rel-18 DSS NR UEs, etc.). Companies to report considered baseline(s). Baseline(s) aim(s) to be comparable to the evaluated option(s) |