|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 3GPP TR 38.867 V0.1.0 (2022-08) | |
| Technical Report | |
| 3rd Generation Partnership Project;  Technical Specification Group Radio Access network;  Study on NR network-controlled repeaters;  (Release 18) | |
|  | |
|  |  |
|  | |
| The present document has been developed within the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP TM) and may be further elaborated for the purposes of 3GPP. The present document has not been subject to any approval process by the 3GPPOrganizational Partners and shall not be implemented. This Specification is provided for future development work within 3GPPonly. The Organizational Partners accept no liability for any use of this Specification. Specifications and Reports for implementation of the 3GPP TM system should be obtained via the 3GPP Organizational Partners' Publications Offices. | |

|  |
| --- |
|  |
| ***3GPP***  Postal address  3GPP support office address  650 Route des Lucioles - Sophia Antipolis  Valbonne - FRANCE  Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16  Internet  http://www.3gpp.org |
| ***Copyright Notification***  No part may be reproduced except as authorized by written permission. The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.  © 2022, 3GPP Organizational Partners (ARIB, ATIS, CCSA, ETSI, TSDSI, TTA, TTC).  All rights reserved.  UMTS™ is a Trade Mark of ETSI registered for the benefit of its members  3GPP™ is a Trade Mark of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the 3GPP Organizational Partners LTE™ is a Trade Mark of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the 3GPP Organizational Partners  GSM® and the GSM logo are registered and owned by the GSM Association |

Contents

Foreword 4

1 Scope 6

2 References 6

3 Definitions of terms, symbols and abbreviations 6

3.1 Terms 6

3.2 Symbols 6

3.3 Abbreviations 7

4 Introduction 7

5 Modelling of Network-controlled repeater 7

6 Side control information 8

6.1 Beam information 8

6.2 Timing information 8

6.3 Information on UL-DL TDD configuration 9

6.4 ON-OFF information 9

6.5 Power control information 9

7 L1/L2 signalling for side control information 9

7.1 Signalling for side control information 9

7.1.1 Signalling for beam information 9

7.1.2 Signalling for timing information 10

7.1.3 Signalling for UL-DL TDD configuration 10

7.1.4 Signalling for ON-OFF information 10

7.1.5 Signalling for power control information 10

7.2 Configuration of signalling 10

8 Repeater management 11

8.1 Solution on Repeater management 11

8.2 Specification impacts 11

9 Performance evaluation 11

10 Conclusion 11

Annex <A>: <Annex title for a Technical Report> 12

A.1 Heading levels in an annex 12

Annex <B>: Change history 13

# Foreword

This Technical Report has been produced by the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP).

The contents of the present document are subject to continuing work within the TSG and may change following formal TSG approval. Should the TSG modify the contents of the present document, it will be re-released by the TSG with an identifying change of release date and an increase in version number as follows:

Version x.y.z

where:

x the first digit:

1 presented to TSG for information;

2 presented to TSG for approval;

3 or greater indicates TSG approved document under change control.

y the second digit is incremented for all changes of substance, i.e. technical enhancements, corrections, updates, etc.

z the third digit is incremented when editorial only changes have been incorporated in the document.

In the present document, modal verbs have the following meanings:

**shall** indicates a mandatory requirement to do something

**shall not** indicates an interdiction (prohibition) to do something

The constructions "shall" and "shall not" are confined to the context of normative provisions, and do not appear in Technical Reports.

The constructions "must" and "must not" are not used as substitutes for "shall" and "shall not". Their use is avoided insofar as possible, and they are not used in a normative context except in a direct citation from an external, referenced, non-3GPP document, or so as to maintain continuity of style when extending or modifying the provisions of such a referenced document.

**should** indicates a recommendation to do something

**should not** indicates a recommendation not to do something

**may** indicates permission to do something

**need not** indicates permission not to do something

The construction "may not" is ambiguous and is not used in normative elements. The unambiguous constructions "might not" or "shall not" are used instead, depending upon the meaning intended.

**can** indicates that something is possible

**cannot** indicates that something is impossible

The constructions "can" and "cannot" are not substitutes for "may" and "need not".

**will** indicates that something is certain or expected to happen as a result of action taken by an agency the behaviour of which is outside the scope of the present document

**will not** indicates that something is certain or expected not to happen as a result of action taken by an agency the behaviour of which is outside the scope of the present document

**might** indicates a likelihood that something will happen as a result of action taken by some agency the behaviour of which is outside the scope of the present document

**might not** indicates a likelihood that something will not happen as a result of action taken by some agency the behaviour of which is outside the scope of the present document

In addition:

**is** (or any other verb in the indicative mood) indicates a statement of fact

**is not** (or any other negative verb in the indicative mood) indicates a statement of fact

The constructions "is" and "is not" do not indicate requirements.

# 1 Scope

[Editor’s Note: This clause is based on the text from the Objective parts in SID.]

The present document captures the findings from the study item “Study on NR Network-controlled Repeaters” [2].

The SI includes the study and identification of side control information (i.e., beamforming information, Timing information, information on UL-DL TDD configuration, ON-OFF information and power control information) for network-controlled repeaters and corresponding L1/L2 signaling (including its configuration) to carry the side control information. The scope of the study also includes the study on the aspects (i.e.,identification and authorization) of network-controlled repeater management

The study on NR network-controlled repeaters is to focus on the following scenarios and assumptions:

* Network-controlled repeaters are inband RF repeaters used for extension of network coverage on FR1 and FR2 bands, while during the study FR2 deployments may be prioritized for both outdoor and O2I scenarios.
* For only single hop stationary network-controlled repeaters
* Network-controlled repeaters are transparent to UEs
* Network-controlled repeater can maintain the gNB-repeater link and repeater-UE link simultaneously

NOTE1: Cost efficiency is a key consideration point for network-controlled repeaters.

# 2 References

The following documents contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of the present document.

- References are either specific (identified by date of publication, edition number, version number, etc.) or non‑specific.

- For a specific reference, subsequent revisions do not apply.

- For a non-specific reference, the latest version applies. In the case of a reference to a 3GPP document (including a GSM document), a non-specific reference implicitly refers to the latest version of that document *in the same Release as the present document*.

[1] 3GPP TR 21.905: "Vocabulary for 3GPP Specifications".

[2] 3GPP RP-213700: " New SI: Study on NR Network-controlled Repeaters ". [3] “Report Supply Chain Reimbursement Program Study”, Widelity, Inc. Mar. 2021. In “Wireline Competition Bureau Seeks Comment On A Report And Preliminary Cost Catalog And Replacement List To Help Providers Participate In The Supply Chain Reimbursement Program”.

[4] F. Agnoletto, P. Castells, E. Kolta, D. Nichiforov-Chuang, “The economics of mmWave 5G”, Jan 2021.

# 3 Definitions of terms, symbols and abbreviations

## 3.1 Terms

For the purposes of the present document, the terms given in 3GPP TR 21.905 [1] and the following apply. A term defined in the present document takes precedence over the definition of the same term, if any, in 3GPP TR 21.905 [1].

**example:** text used to clarify abstract rules by applying them literally.

## 3.2 Symbols

For the purposes of the present document, the following symbols apply:

<symbol> <Explanation>

## 3.3 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the abbreviations given in 3GPP TR 21.905 [1] and the following apply. An abbreviation defined in the present document takes precedence over the definition of the same abbreviation, if any, in 3GPP TR 21.905 [1].

<ABBREVIATION> <Expansion>

NCR Network-controlled repeater

NCR-MT NCR-Mobile termination

NCR-Fwd NCR-Forwarding

C-link Control link

# 4 Introduction

[Editor’s Note: This clause reuses the text from the Justification parts in SID.]

Coverage is a fundamental aspect of cellular network deployments. Mobile operators rely on different types of network nodes to offer blanket coverage in their deployments. Deployment of regular full-stack cells is one option but it may not be always possible (e.g., no availability of backhaul) or economically viable.

As a result, new types of network nodes have been considered to increase mobile operators’ flexibility for their network deployments. For example, Integrated Access and Backhaul (IAB) was introduced in Rel-16 and enhanced in Rel-17 as a new type of network node not requiring a wired backhaul. Another type of network node is the RF repeater which simply amplify-and-forward any signal that they receive. RF repeaters have seen a wide range of deployments in 2G, 3G and 4G to supplement the coverage provided by regular full-stack cells. In Rel-17, RAN4 specified RF and EMC requirements for such RF repeaters for NR targeting both FR1 and FR2.

While an RF repeater presents a cost effective means of extending network coverage, it has its limitations. An RF repeater simply does an amplify-and-forward operation without being able to take into account various factors that could improve performance. Such factors may include information on semi-static and/or dynamic downlink/uplink configuration, adaptive transmitter/receiver spatial beamforming, ON-OFF status, etc.

A network-controlled repeater is an enhancement over conventional RF repeaters with the capability to receive and process side control information from the network. Side control information could allow a network-controlled repeater to perform its amplify-and-forward operation in a more efficient manner. Potential benefits could include mitigation of unnecessary noise amplification, transmissions and receptions with better spatial directivity, and simplified network integration.

# 5 Modelling of Network-controlled repeater

[Editor’s Note: This clause intent to capture the conceptual model of network-controlled repeater.]

The Network-controlled repeater is modelled as Figure 5-1, which includes the NCR-MT and NCR-Fwd. The NCR-MT is defined as a function entity to communicate with a gNB via Control link (C-link) to enable the information exchanges (e.g. side control information at least for the control of NCR-Fwd). The C-link is based on NR Uu interface.

The NCR-Fwd is defined as a function entity to perform the amplify-and-forwarding of UL/DL RF signal between gNB and UE via backhaul link and access link. The behavior of the NCR-Fwd will be controlled according to the received side control information from gNB.




Figure 5-1: Conceptual model of Network-controlled repeater

Additionally, at least one of the NCR-MT’s carrier(s) should be within the set of carriers forwarded by the NCR-Fwd in same frequency range. And the NCR-MT and NCR-Fwd operating in the same carrier is prioritized for the study.

As baseline, same large-scale properties of the channel, i.e., channel properties in Type-A and Type-D (if applicable), are expected to be experienced by C-link and backhaul link (at least when the NCR-MT and NCR-Fwd operating in same carrier).

For the transmission/reception of C-link and backhaul link by NCR,

* The DL of C-link and DL of backhaul link can be performed simultaneously or in TDM way.
* The UL of C-link and UL of backhaul link can be performed in TDM way

The multiplexing is under the control of gNB with consideration for NCR capability and simultaneous transmission of the UL of C-link and UL of backhaul link is also subject to NCR’s capability

# 6 Side control information

[Editor’s Note: This clause includes the progress for each side control information, which will be captured in sub-clause. Potential analysis for cost for each information can be captured based on the agreement.]

## 6.1 Beam information

For the backhaul link and C-link, both fixed beam and adaptive beam can be considered at NCR for both C-link and backhaul-link, where the fixed beam refers to the case that beam at NCR for both C-link and backhaul-link cannot be changed. The same assumption of the beam correspondence is applied for DL/UL of the backhaul link at NCR-Fwd as the DL/UL of the C-link at NCR-MT.

As baseline, the same TCI states as C-link are assumed for beam at NCR-Fwd for backhaul link if the NCR-MT’s carrier(s) is within the set of carriers forwarded by the NCR-Fwd (FFS: additional indication from gNB to determine the beam at NCR-Fwd for backhaul link or implicit determination of the beam at NCR-Fwd for backhaul link).

For the access link, at least for FR2, beam information is beneficial and recommended as the side control information for network-controlled repeater to control the behaviour of NCR at least for access link.

Regarding the access link beam indication, the beam of access link for NCR-Fwd is indicated by a beam index where both dynamic indication and semi-static indication are considered

The time domain resource corresponding to an access link beam is explicitly determined based on the explicitly indicated time domain resources per beam indication, which is to indicate one or multiple beams in single beam indication. Different parameters may be indicated for semi-static or dynamic beam indication.

The beam correspondence is assumed for the DL/UL of the access link at NCR-Fwd, i.e. a DL beam and a UL beam which are correspondent with each other have the same beam index. The forwarding direction of an indicated beam in access link can be determined based on its corresponding time domain resource and the UL/DL TDD configuration.

## 6.2 Timing information

For the timing of NCR, the following assumption is considered as baseline:

* The DL receiving timing of the NCR-Fwd is aligned with the DL receiving timing of the NCR-MT.
* The UL transmitting timing of the NCR-Fwd is aligned with the UL transmitting timing of the NCR-MT.
* The DL transmitting timing of the NCR-Fwd is delayed after the DL receiving timing of the NCR-MT (or the NCR-Fwd) by the internal delay;
* The UL receiving timing of the NCR-Fwd is advanced before the UL transmitting timing of the NCR-MT (or the NCR-Fwd) by the internal delay.

It’s conclude that legacy UE mechanism is sufficient to achieve DL/UL timing for NCR-MT

## 6.3 Information on UL-DL TDD configuration

For the TDD UL/DL configuration of network controller repeater, at least semi-static TDD UL/DL configuration is needed for network-controlled repeater for links including C-link, backhaul link and access link, further discussion on the behaviour over flexible symbols is expected in normative phase.

The same TDD UL/DL configuration is always assumed for backhaul link and access link. Additional, the same TDD UL/DL configuration is assumed for C-link and backhaul link and access link if NCR-MT and NCR-Fwd are in the same frequency band.

## 6.4 ON-OFF information

ON-OFF information is beneficial and recommended for network-controlled repeater to control the behaviour of NCR-Fwd.

The NCR-Fwd is always expected to be “OFF” unless otherwise explicitly or implicitly indicated by gNB. This applies to the case regardless of the RRC state of NCR-MT. Indication (e.g., received when NCR-MT in RRC-connected) or DRX state of NCR-MT to control the ON-OFF behaviour of NCR-Fwd when the NCR-MT is in RRC-idle/inactive is not precluded.

The following options can be considered to indicate the ON-OFF information from gNB to NCR for controlling the behaviour of NCR-Fwd:

* Option 1: Explicit indication with on-off state (e.g., via dynamic or semi-static signalling) or on-off pattern (e.g., periodic/semi-static ON-OFF pattern or new DRX-like pattern for ON-OFF)
* Option 2: Implicit indication via the signalling for other information (e.g., beam, DL/UL configuration, or PC information)
  + Note: This example does not imply that PC information is necessary or not.
* Other solutions (e.g., potential combination of explicit and implication solution) can be further discussed.

## 6.5 Power control information

The controlling of the amplifying gain of NCR-Fwd is considered to enable the power control of NCR-Fwd if PC is recommended as side control information for NCR in Rel-18.

# 7 L1/L2 signalling for side control information

## 7.1 Signalling for side control information

[Editor’s Note: This clause includes the candidate signalling for each side control information including the required enhancement on other aspects, which will be captured in sub-clause.]

### 7.1.1 Signalling for beam information

From the perspective of signaling design, following mechanisms can be considered for the access link beamforming of the NCR-Fwd.

* Option #2-1: Dynamic beam indication only
* Option #2-2: Semi-static beam indication only
* Option #2-3: Dynamic beam indication and semi-static beam indication

Both dynamic beam indication and semi-static beam indication are recommended for access link. The semi-static beam indication includes the semi-persistent indication.

The time at which the NCR applies an access link beam indication should be considered.

As for the time-domain granularity of the access link beam indication and determination, both slot-level and symbol-level granularity are recommended.

### 7.1.2 Signalling for timing information

For the signaling of the side control information of timing to align transmission / reception boundaries, new signaling is unnecessary

### 7.1.3 Signalling for UL-DL TDD configuration

For the signaling of information on UL-DL TDD configuration, if the NCR-MT can acquire the TDD configuration as legacy UEs or from the OAM, new signaling may not be necessary. The same TDD UL/DL configuration is assumed for C-link and backhaul link and access link if the NCR-MT and the NCR-Fwd are in the same frequency band. Other cases, where new signaling may be necessary, can be further discussed.

### Signalling for ON-OFF information

For indication of NCR-Fwd ON-OFF for efficient interference management and improved energy efficiency, both dynamic and semi-static indication can be considered. It can be further discussed on whether/how to handle the forwarding of broadcast and cell-specific signals/channels.

### 7.1.5 Signalling for power control information

Void

## 7.2 Configuration of signalling

For the configuration of signalling, the NCR-MT can obtain the necessary configuration for receiving the L1/L2 signaling of the side control information.

* Option 1: The necessary configuration is from RRC.
* Option 2: The necessary configuration is from OAM or hard-coded.
* Option 3: The necessary configuration is partially configured by RRC and partially configured by OAM or hard-coded.

The necessary configurations from RRC and/or OAM(or hard-coded) contain:

* The configurations of PHY channels to carry the L1/L2 signaling including
  + The configurations for receiving PDCCH and PDSCH.
  + The configurations for transmitting PUCCH, if needed.
  + The configurations for transmitting PUSCH, if needed.
* The configurations of L1/L2 signaling including
  + The configurations for DCI.
  + The configurations for UCI, if needed.
  + The configurations for MAC CE, if needed.

For the parameters in the necessary configurations for L1/L2 signaling, the existing parameters for PDCCH, PDSCH, PUCCH, PUSCH, DCI, UCI and MAC CE in Rel-17 are the baseline for further discussion.

# 8 Repeater management

[Editor’s Note: This clause includes the aspect related to repeater management (i.e., identification and authorization) including the required assistance on other aspects.]

Editor’s Note: The Uu related part can be updated based on the agreements in RAN2.

## 8.1 Solution on Repeater management

### 8.1.1 Solution 1

In this solution, the identification and authorization/validation of NCR device are done at RAN side. The general procedure of the solution 1 is illustrated in below figure:



**Figure 8.1.1-1. Call flow for solution 1**

Sequence of this solution:

1. The NCR firstly accesses to RAN and CN as a normal UE, no additional impact to NG-C interface. For example, the operator can allocate specific slice for NCR, and further identify the NCR based on the slice information. After authorized the NCR, the CN provides dedicated Allowed NSSAI to the gNB. Based on this information, the gNB be aware the NCR is authorized.
2. NCR identification can be implemented by reporting a NCR indicator in Msg5 or by reporting a NCR indicator in UE’s radio capability signaling.
3. If required, NCR validation is used to further check the validity of NCR, the details can be further discussed. After AS security is established between the gNB and the NCR device, the NCR sends assistance information to the gNB via RRC message (e.g. UAI). The assistance information can be RACS ID or a device serial number which are pre-allocated by the operator. After receiving the assistance information, the gNB validates the NCR device by checking its local stored information.

The validation steps(e.g. step 12 and step 13) are optionally performed based on the operator’s requirement.

### 8.1.2 Solution 2

In this solution, the NCR is identified at RAN side and the authorization/validation are performed by local RAN OAM. CN is absent in this solution. The general procedure of this solution is illustrated in below figure:



**Figure 8.1.2-1. Call flow for solution 2**

Sequence of this solution:

1. NCR establishes RRC connection based on legacy signaling procedure (Msg1~Msg5), but the gNB will not establish NGAP signaling association for the NCR.
2. NCR is identified via Msg5, i.e. by including an explicit NCR indicator in Msg5.
3. Different from normal NR UEs, an OAM container is included in Msg5 and there is no NAS container. After receiving Msg5, the gNB will forward the OAM container to OAM.
4. The NCR authorization and validation is then performed between OAM and NCR. The information exchanged between OAM and NCR can be leveraged by a OAM container. The security of OAM traffic can be provided by application layer security mechanism, such as SSH/TLS between the NCR and OAM. (Note that, the procedure for authorization/validation in OAM can be either specified or left to implementation)

### 8.1.3 Solution 3

In this solution, NCR identification is done at RAN side, and NCR authorization is done at CN side. The general procedure of this solution is illustrated in below figure:



**Figure 8.1.3-1.** **Call flow for solution 3**

Sequence of this solution:

1. During NG-C setup procedure, the AMF should inform the gNB whether it supports NCR, e.g. by including “NCR-supported” indicator in NG SETUP RESPONSE message.
2. NCR establishes RRC connection and includes NCR indicator in Msg5, after receiving the indicator, the gNB selects an AMF which supports NCR function, and forward the NCR indicator to the AMF.
3. AMF and other CN entities do further authorization, and provides “NCR authorized” to the gNB.

### 8.1.4 Solution 4

In this solution, NCR authorization is performed at CN side. The NCR authorization information is sent from the AMF to the gNB. Similarly to the handling of e.g. D2D, V2X, it seems appropriate for NCR authorization information to come from the UE subscription information in the 5GC (a trusted source of information). This information would be stored in the gNB in the UE context for the NCR-MT.The general procedure of this solution is illustrated in below figure:



**Figure 8.1.4-1 call flow for solution 4**

Sequence of this solution:

The NCR first accesses the network as a normal UE.

AMF authorizes the NCR and sends the indication to gNB in the UE CONTEXT SETUP REQUEST message.

## 8.2 Specification impacts

[Editor’s Note: This clause includes the identified specification impacts for each solution based on the inputs from RAN2 and RAN3, it will be captured in sub-clause.]

From RAN3’s perspective, the specification impact for each solution are listed in Table-8.2-1.

**Table 8.2-1 . Comparison of solutions.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | RAN OAM impact | NG-C/NAS impact (Yes/No) | Authorization entity(RAN/ CN/OAM) | Support of full protocol stack in control plane (RRC, NAS)  (Yes/No) | Inter-vendor interoperability | Security |
| Solution 1 | No  NO: If NCR validation is not secured.  YES: If secure NCR validation is needed. | No | CN(Uses slicing signaling to convey authorization information) | Yes | Yes | Uu uses legacy security  Optionaly, NCR validation needs to be performed in RAN. |
| Solution 2 | Yes  Requires new OAM connectivity mechanism over RRC with NG-NR proxy function. | No | OAM | No | No  (Weather a specific OAM for NCR is needed belongs to deployment implementation based on Operator’s policy.) | No security on Uu.  NCR authorization and validation needs to be secured via OAM. |
| Solution 3 | No | Yes  NCR-indication and authorization via NG-C  No NAS impact | CN | Yes | Yes | Uu uses legacy security  CN provides secure NCR validation. |
| Solution 4 | No | Yes  No NAS impact  NCR authorization via NG-C | CN | Yes | Yes | Uu uses legacy security  CN provides secure NCR validation. |

# 9 Performance evaluation

[Editor’s Note: This clause mainly includes the potential simulation results for each side control information based on the agreement.]

Though there is no commonly agreed set of simulation assumptions in the study, in order to identify whether a given side control information is necessary for NCR, evaluation have been performed in the submitted contribution and the following are observed:

* For the beam information used to control the beam of access link:
* [R1-2203237] shows that the NCRs with beam information can improve the SINR performance, especially 5%-tile, 50%-tile SINR. Meanwhile, compared to the conventional RF repeater, the additional interference can be mitigated for the UE above 95%-tile SINR.
* [R1-2203578] shows that when the RU beam is fixed to steer towards the cell edge, the SINR performance of the UEs is improved compared with the case when there is no repeater. For cell edge UEs, the SINR gain is 2.3 dB for the 10%-tile SINR. When the RU beam is steering dynamically towards the UE, the SINR performance of the UEs is further improved compared to the case of the fixed RU beam. For cell edge UEs, the SINR gain is about 6.3 dB for the 10%-tile SINR.
* [R1-2203921] shows that by introducing repeaters with beamforming, 2.34 dB, 6.15 dB, and 6.53 dB gain can be achieved at 5%-tile, 50%-tile, and 95%-tile SINR compared to the NR system without repeaters, respectively. In addition, 2.03 dB, 5.18 dB, and 6.53 dB gains at 5%-tile, 50%-tile, and 95%-tile SINR can be achieved compared to the case with conventional repeaters, respectively.
* [R1-2204653] shows that performance gain on SINR can be achieved by introducing semi-static repeater gain/power configuration, and additional performance gain can be achieved by introducing dynamic repeater gain/power configuration. More than 5 dB gain can be further achieved by using large SCI payload for beam control for large repeater-RU antenna configuration.
* [R1-2205047] shows that adaptive access-link beamforming will offer performance gain by providing a larger beamforming gain and reducing the interference due to use of narrower beams, e.g., the median SINR can improve by 11dB.
* [R1-2206927] The NCR with beamforming has a valid SINR gains over gNB only and conventional RF repeater. Compared with gNB only, NCR has a SINR improvement of about 1.42 dB, 1.44 dB, and 3.06 dB at 5%-tile, 50%-tile, and 95%-tile SINR. NCR could improve the coverage compared with gNB only and deployment with conventional RF repeaters.
* [R1-2206055] When the NCR beam is set adaptively towards the serving UE, the SINR performance of the UEs is further improved compared with the case of the fixed RU beam. Especially for the cell edge UE, UL SINR gain is about 6.3 dB for the 10% UE with the worst SINR, DL SINR gain is 8 dB for the 10% UE with the worst SINR.
* [R1-2206018] shows that with indicated beam information, the SINR performance on FR1 in the O2I scenario are improved with around 5dB for5%-tile SINR and 2dB for 50%-tile SINR after the deployment of NCR, and NCR provides SINR improvement compared to conventional RF repeater in all cases. NCRs with beam information can also improve the SINR performance on FR1 in realistic outdoor scenario with around 7 dB gain as the lowest value of CDF and 3dB gain for 5%-tile SINR.
* [R1-2206957] shows that a small payload of SCI (e.g. 4 bits) can provide SINR gains for more than 80% of indoor UEs. And the side effect from repeater at FR1 can be resolved by a proper CSI feedback and scheduling in the practical environments.
* [R1-2205875] Based on evaluation methodology defined for NR coverage enhancements [TR 38.830], the performance of NCR is evaluated for FR1 assuming target data rates of 10 Mbps for downlink and 1 Mbps for uplink, target ISD is 500m, gNB EIRP 70 dBm, UE EIRP 26 dBm, NCR with DL EIRP 32 dBm and gain 65 dB. The achieved ISD by gNB only can be up to m for both uplink and downlink. The target coverage for FR1 can be achieved with BS only.



* For the ON-OFF information used to control the ON-OFF behaviour of NCR-Fwd:
* [R1-2203237] shows that NCRs with ON-OFF information can mitigate the interference for high SINR UEs while maintain the performance of low SINR UEs, and also ON-OFF information can provide efficient interference management in FR1.
* [R1-2203578] shows that about 9.8dB gain can be achieved for the 10%-tile UEs on the SINR performance after introducing ON-OFF indication.
* [R1-2203921] shows that additional gain is observed for the repeater by both applying beamforming and on/off management compare to the NR system with the repeater only applying beamforming.
* [R1-2205047] shows that about 2 dB gains on median SINR can be achieved by introducing dynamic on-off information.
* For the power control information used to control the behaviour of NCR-Fwd for the DL of access link and/or UL of backhaul link:
* [R1-2203133] shows that for the uplink transmission via NCR, a fixed NCR amplifying gain may lead to interference to the gNB or NCR UL coverage loss. For the downlink transmission via NCR, a fixed NCR amplifying gain may lead to NCR RU saturation or NCR DL coverage loss.
* [R1-2203578] shows that the optimal system performance can be achieved when repeater’s gain is set to a proper value.
* [R1-2204653] shows that dynamic repeater gain/power control can provide additional SINR gain over semi-static repeater gain/power configuration.
* [R1-2204642] mentions that the gain control is needed for self-interference management due to repeater oscillation.

# 10 Conclusion

RAN1 has studies the side control information with corresponding signalling (including its configuration). It has identified that side control information for beam information, ON-OFF information and UL-DL TDD configuration are necessary.

The following are the **only** aspects recommended ***to be specified*** as part of Rel-18 NCR WI from RAN1’s perspective:

* For beam information as side control information
  + The beam index is used to indicate the access link beam of NCR-Fwd in both semi-static and dynamic way.
    - Both slot-level and symbol-level granularity are used for the time-domain resource indication and determination of the access link beam.
    - The time domain resource corresponding to an access link beam is explicitly determined based on the explicitly indicated time domain resources per beam indication, which is to indicate one or multiple beams in single beam indication
  + Options regarding the beam indication for backhaul link with down-selection in normative phase
* For ON-OFF information as side control information
  + Both dynamic and semi-static indication is used to indicate ON-OFF state of NCR-Fwd
  + The indication can be in explicit or implicit way
  + The NCR-Fwd is always expected to be “OFF” unless otherwise explicitly or implicitly indicated by gNB. This applies to the case regardless of the RRC state of NCR-MT.
* For UL-DL TDD configuration
  + Options regarding NCR’s behaviour over flexible symbols with down-selection in normative phase
* For the configuration of signalling:
  + Three options for signalling configuration with down-selection in normative phase

Based on RAN3 analysis, the 4 candidate solutions may be further discussed pending confirmation from SA3 and SA5. With the captured content in TR38.867, RAN3 believe the SI phase is completed. Down selection of all captured solutions may take place in potential WI phase based on the feedback from other groups.Annex <A>: Evaluation of cost for NCR and IAB

In R1-2205875,the costs of NCR-based deployment are evaluated and compared to IAB-based deployment using the following methodology:

* Based on a realistic geographic area, 10 gNBs are deployed to provide the basic coverage as shown in Figure 4 of R1-2205875. Then a number of additional IAB nodes or NCR nodes are added to achieve the target coverage. The location of the additional nodes are chosen such that a minimum number of additional nodes are required for the target coverage.
* The deployment cost is composed of equipment cost and deploying cost. A wide range of cost assumptions are used for evaluation, and the cost assumptions are according to [3] [4].

Based on the evaluation, it is observed that:

* The NCR-based network deployment requires more additional nodes than IAB-based network deployment in order to achieve the same target coverage.

When the equipment and site cost of NCR is significantly lower than IAB node, NCR-based deployment is more cost-efficient compare to IAB based deployment.

Annex <A>:  
Change history

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Change history** | | | | | | | |
| **Date** | **Meeting** | **TDoc** | **CR** | **Rev** | **Cat** | **Subject/Comment** | **New version** |
| 2022-05 | RAN1#109e | R1-2205231 |  |  |  | TR Skeleton | V0.0.0 |
| 2022-05 | RAN1#109e | R1-2205496 |  |  |  | Capture the agreement in RAN1#109e | V0.1.0 |