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# Introduction

A RAN2 LS was sent to RAN1 in R1-2205727, where the following questions was asked:

* On IUC Scheme 1:

**Q1:** For the parameter *sl-TriggerConditionRequest* which is used to determine how to trigger IUC explicit request, does UE-B “has data to be transmitted to UE-A” mean UE-B is to piggyback the IUC Request with SL data transmission?

**Q2:** For the parameter *sl-Condition1-A-2* which is used to disable the usage of condition 1-A-2 in IUC Scheme 1, how will UE-A evaluate the condition “when it is intended receiver of UE-B” and how to capture this in 3GPP language?

* On default CBR configuration:

**Q3**: Is there still a need for the R17 default CBR parameters considering the existing R16 default CBR parameter?

**Q4**: If yes to Q3, how to differentiate the usage of the R16 / R17 default CBR parameters?

RAN1 was requested to provide feedback on the above questions.

# Discussion

## Background and Moderator’s view

Below are summary of contributions and Moderator’s view:

* **On Q1:** 
  + **Summary of contributions**
    - Do not piggyback: Huawei, QC, LG, ZTE, OPPO, CATT, vivo
    - Piggyback: Xiaomi, Samsung, Apple
    - Not in all cases: Ericsson (sometimes, it can and sometimes it cannot, e.g. when SL data has the same source/destination ID pair as the IUC request, they can be transmitted together)
  + **Moderator’s view**
    - Some companies raised a valid point that the resource set provided by UE-A based on the IUC request will be used to determine UE-B’s transmission resources to transmit the data. Thus, UE-B is not supposed to piggyback the data triggering IUC request with the IUC request.
* **On Q2:** 
  + **Summary of contributions**
    - No need to further capture such condition in RAN2, this has already specified in section 8.1.4A TS 38.214.
      * CATT, Xiaomi, ZTE,
    - No need to further capture such condition in RAN2, this has already specified in TS 38.321.
      * Huawei, OPPO
    - This can be restricted to an established unicast link with specific source-destination ID pair, given that the preferred resource set can be transmitted from UE-A to UE-B in a unicast manner only
      * LG, QC, CATT, Ericsson
    - How to capture in spec language RRC/MAC spec is up to RAN2
      * LG, vivo
    - Automatically assumed so if not set to “disable”: Apple
  + **Moderator’s view**
    - Some companies (e.g., OPPO, Huawei) raised a valid point that UE-A is always the intended receiver of UE-B as per TS 38.321 (see cyan part below) and no specification change is required.

|  |
| --- |
| *(below is from TS 38.321)*  3> if configured by RRC, *sl-InterUE-CoordinationScheme1* enabling reception of preferred resource set and non-preferred resource set and when the UE does not have own sensing result as specified in clause 8.1.4 of TS 38.214 [7] and if a preferred resource set is received from a UE:  4> randomly select the time and frequency resources for one transmission opportunity from the resources belonging to the received preferred resource set for a MAC PDU to be transmitted to the UE providing the preferred resource set, according to the amount of selected frequency resources and the remaining PDB of SL data available in the logical channel(s) allowed on the carrier, and/or the latency requirement of the triggered SL CSI reporting.  3> if configured by RRC, *sl-InterUE-CoordinationScheme1* enabling reception of preferred resource set and non-preferred resource set and when the UE has own sensing result as specified in clause 8.1.4 of TS 38.214 [7] and if a preferred resource set is received from a UE:  4> randomly select the time and frequency resources for one transmission opportunity within the intersection of the received preferred resource set and the resources indicated by the physical layer as specified in clause 8.1.4 of TS 38.214 [7] for a MAC PDU to be transmitted to the UE providing the preferred resource set, according to the amount of selected frequency resources and the remaining PDB of SL data available in the logical channel(s) allowed on the carrier, and/or the latency requirement of the triggered SL CSI reporting; |

* **On Q3/Q4:** 
  + **Summary of contributions**
    - These two new R17 default CBR parameters are needed
      * Positive: Huawei, QC, Ericsson
      * Negative: ZTE, CATT, xiaomi, vivo, Samsung, OPPO (update field description to include R17 two cases),
      * Up to RAN2: LG (but need update of field description to reflect partial sensing and random resource selection), Apple
  + **Moderator’s view**
    - Some companies point out the new Rel-17 parameters as provided in RAN1’s RRC list are introduced for new resource allocation schemes, i.e. partial sensing and random resource selection, which is different from the situation of Rel-16 full sensing.
    - Some companies who are negative on introducing these new R17 parameters also point out R16 parameter’s filed description needs to be updated to reflect the usage of these new R17 parameters.
    - To simplify the situation, Moderate suggests to specify these two Rel-17 parameters since they are already provided in RAN1’s RRC list, and clarify the usage of them to RAN2.

Based on above, the following draft RAN1’s reply to each questions are provided. Please provide your comments if any.

## 1st round discussions

### Q1

|  |
| --- |
| **Q1:** For the parameter *sl-TriggerConditionRequest* which is used to determine how to trigger IUC explicit request, does UE-B “has data to be transmitted to UE-A” mean UE-B is to piggyback the IUC Request with SL data transmission? |

RAN1’s reply: No. The resource set provided by UE-A based on the IUC request will be used to determine UE-B’s transmission resources to transmit the data. Thus, UE-B is not supposed to piggyback the data triggering IUC request with the IUC request.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree? | Comment |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | ok |  |
| DCM | Accept | Although our preference is to piggyback always in order to avoid a lot of request TXs, we can be flexible in consideration of the current stage and majority view. |
| Ericsson | See comment | As commented in our contribution, there are some cases where the IUC request can be multiplexed with other data as indicated in the agreement below. In order to provide a full answer to RAN2 we should also include that case.  **Agreement**   * For inter-UE coordination information transmission in Scheme 1,   + Inter-UE coordination information can be multiplexed with other data only if the source/destination ID pair is the same     - Retransmission of the TB carrying inter-UE coordination information is supported * For explicit request transmission in Scheme 1,   + Explicit request can be multiplexed with other data only if the source/destination ID pair is the same     - Retransmission of the TB carrying request is supported   Therefore, we propose to include this text into the answer:  “If the IUC request and the data have the same source/destination ID pair, the IUC request and the data can be multiplexed.” |
| Intel | Ok |  |
| Spreadtrum | OK |  |
| Qualcomm | Comment | We agree with the general direction but share Ericsson’s view that there are cases when the request could be multiplexed with other data.  No. The resource set provided by UE-A based on the IUC request will be used to determine UE-B’s transmission resources to transmit the data. Thus, UE-B is not supposed to piggyback the data triggering IUC request with the IUC request. However, the UE can multiplex the IUC request with other data. |
| ZTE, Sanechips | Comment | Similar view with Ericsson on the precondition for multiplexing, i.e. the destination/source ID pair shall be identical. However, the multiplexing may not necessarily take place given IUC request itself can still be transmitted. |
| CATT, GOHIGH | Yes | We are also fine with Ericsson and QC’s clarification. |
| Nokia, NSB | Comment | Same view as Ericsson and QC |
| Samsung | No | In our understanding, the agreement below (marked in yellow) means that UE-B is to piggyback the IUC Request with SL data transmission. No piggyback was not agreed. Please correct me if there is some misunderstanding…  Agreement  For inter-UE coordination triggered by UE-B’s explicit request in Scheme 1,   * A resource pool level (pre-)configuration can enable one of the following alternatives:   + Alt 1: it is up to UE-B’s implementation whether or not to trigger the request generation   + Alt 2: the request generation can be triggered only when UE-B has data to be transmitted to UE-A   Note: Rel-16 procedure of UL/SL prioritization, LTE SL/NR SL prioritization, and congestion control is applied to the transmission of the request transmission. |

### Q2

|  |
| --- |
| **Q2:** For the parameter *sl-Condition1-A-2* which is used to disable the usage of condition 1-A-2 in IUC Scheme 1, how will UE-A evaluate the condition “when it is intended receiver of UE-B” and how to capture this in 3GPP language? |

RAN1’s reply: UE-A is always the intended receiver of UE-B as per TS 38.321 and no specification change is required.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree? | Comment |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | ok |  |
| DCM | OK |  |
| Ericsson |  | Not sure we are answering RAN2’s question. In our view, we should indicate how the receiver UE knows that it is the intended receiver. |
| Intel | Comment | We think we should respond that this should be based on a established unicast link. |
| Spreadtrum | OK |  |
| Qualcomm |  | We share Intel’s view that UE-B will use an established unicast link to match the source of the IUC as a destination for a data transmission. |
| ZTE,Sanechips | OK |  |
| CATT, GOHIGH | OK |  |
| Nokia, NSB | OK |  |
| Samsung | O.K |  |

### Q3

|  |
| --- |
| **Q3**: Is there still a need for the R17 default CBR parameters considering the existing R16 default CBR parameter? |

RAN1’s reply: Yes. The new Rel-17 parameters as provided in RAN1’s RRC list are introduced for new resource allocation schemes, i.e. partial sensing and random resource selection, which is different from the situation of Rel-16 full sensing.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree? | Comment |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | ok |  |
| DCM | OK |  |
| Ericsson | OK |  |
| Intel | Ok |  |
| Spreadtrum | OK |  |
| Qualcomm | OK |  |
| ZTE,Sanechips | No | Although the situation is different form R16, we think the same default parameters can be used for all of them, the necessity/benefit of using different default parameters for R17 cases is not justified. |
| CATT, GOHIGH | No | We don’t see much added value for the new introduced R17 parameters. so prefer to remove these two new R17 paramenters. |
| Nokia, NSB | OK |  |
| Samsung | Can Accept | If majority want new Rel-17 parameters, we can accept |

### Q4

|  |
| --- |
| **Q4**: If yes to Q3, how to differentiate the usage of the R16 / R17 default CBR parameters? |

RAN1’s reply: The Rel-17 parameters *defaultCbrRandomSelection* and *defaultCbrPartialSensing* are used for UE performing partial sensing and random resource selection, respectively. The existing Rel-16 parameter is used when these two Rel-17 parameters do not apply.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree? | Comment |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | ok |  |
| DCM | OK |  |
| Ericsson | OK |  |
| Intel | OK |  |
| Spreadtrum | OK |  |
| Qualcomm | OK |  |
| Nokia, NSB | OK |  |
| Samsung | OK |  |

# Conclusion

TBD
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