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Introduction

In RAN1#110 meeting, one CR was submitted to clarify PSSCH transmission based on SL CG type 2. This paper aims to collect company views on this issue as per discussed in R1-2207641.

Discussion

## Round 1

In [1], the following issue was identified:

According to the discussion of [109-e-R16-V2X-01] and relevant CR agreed in R1-2205299, the PUCCH resource for reporting the SL HARQ corresponding to the PSSCH transmission(s) of SL CG Type 2 activated by DCI format 3\_0 should be same as that for SL CG PSSCH without corresponding DCI configured by *sl-N1PUCCH-AN-Type2*. However, when a value of the PUCCH resource indicator field and a value of PSFCH-to-HARQ feedback timing indicator field (if present) is zero, the description “a PUCCH resource is not provided” in current specification is misleading given that the PUCCH resource may be already configured by the RRC parameter. Thus, a more appropriate description is that such a (pre-) configured PUCCH resource is deactivated when the values of related fields are set to zero, which implies the PUCCH resource is provided but not used.

The following proposal was to address this issue:

* “a PUCCH resource is not provided” is modified as “a PUCCH resource is deactivated”, with the TP as follows:

|  |
| --- |
| **<Unchanged parts omitted>**  16.5 UE procedure for reporting HARQ-ACK on uplink  For a PSSCH transmission by a UE that is scheduled by a DCI format, the DCI format indicates to the UE that a PUCCH resource is not provided when a value of the PUCCH resource indicator field is zero and a value of PSFCH-to-HARQ feedback timing indicator field, if present, is zero. For a SL configured grant Type 2 PSSCH transmission without a corresponding PDCCH, or for a SL configured grant Type 2 PSSCH transmission activated by a DCI format, the DCI format activating the SL configured grant Type 2 indicates to the UE that a PUCCH resource is deactivated when a value of the PUCCH resource indicator field is zero and a value of PSFCH-to-HARQ feedback timing indicator field, if present, is zero. For a SL configured grant Type 1 PSSCH transmission, a PUCCH resource can be provided by *sl-N1PUCCH-AN* and *sl-PSFCH-ToPUCCH-CG-Type1*. For transmission of HARQ-ACK information corresponding only to a SL configured grant Type 2 PSSCH transmission, including the PSSCH transmission(s) associated with the corresponding activation DCI format 3\_0, a UE can be provided a PUCCH resource by *sl-N1PUCCH-AN-Type2*. If a PUCCH resource is not provided, the UE does not transmit a PUCCH with generated HARQ-ACK information from PSFCH reception occasions.  **<Unchanged parts omitted>** |

**Q: Do you agree the proposed TP for TS 38.213 in [1]?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes or no** | **Comment** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes | If this issue is not addressed, it will mislead UE that whether the PUCCH resource configured by *sl-N1PUCCH-AN-Type2* for sidelink HARQ-ACK reporting is used or not. Specifically, when the PUCCH resource is configured by the RRC parameter, but the DCI signaling indicates the resource is “not provided”, the UE will not know whether to use this resource or not, since it has been “provided” by high layer. |
| vivo | No | Agreements:   * For case of DG and type 2 CG: one combination of “timing and resource for PUCCH” is used to indicate that PUCCH resource is not provided * For type 1 CG: no RRC configuration of PUCCH resources indicates that PUCCH resource is not provided   Current spec is aligned with the above agreement, thus no change is needed |
| ZTE,Sanechips | No | Similar view as vivo. The proposed deactivated change does not seem to have any supporting agreement (or do wo miss anything?) |
| DCM | Yes, with comment | OK to update for the clarification, but the current update is not preferred since basically ‘activated/deactivated(release)’ is not used for PUCCH corresponding to CG.  Rather, we suggest the following update for the last sentence of the above spec.  ‘If a PUCCH resource is not provided or is indicated as not provided, the UE does not transmit a PUCCH with generated HARQ-ACK information from PSFCH reception occasions.’ |

Summary

TBD
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