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# 1 Introduction

This feature lead (FL) summary (FLS) concerns the following email discussion for the Rel-17 work item (WI) for support of reduced capability (RedCap) NR devices [1].

|  |
| --- |
| [108-e-R17-RRC-RedCap] Email discussion on Rel-17 RRC parameters for RedCap – Johan (Ericsson)   * 1st check point for first LS in [108-e-R17-RRC]: February 24 * Final check point for second LS in [108-e-R17-RRC] if necessary: March 3 |

The FLS for the RAN1#107-e discussion on the RedCap RRC parameter list can be found in [2] and the resulting draft RedCap RRC parameter list is available in [3]. The FLS for the following discussion on the overall RRC parameter list is in [4] and the resulting RRC parameter list in [5]. For recommendations on RRC parameter list preparation, see [6]. Earlier RAN1 agreements for RedCap are summarized in [7].

The issues that are in the focus of this round of the discussion are tagged FL2.

Follow the naming convention in this example:

* *RedCapParamFLS-v000.docx*
* *RedCapParamFLS-v001-CompanyA.docx*
* *RedCapParamFLS-v002-CompanyA-CompanyB.docx*
* *RedCapParamFLS-v003-CompanyB-CompanyC.docx*

If needed, you may “lock” a spreadsheet file for 30 minutes by creating a checkout file, as in this example:

* Assume CompanyC wants to update *RedCapParamFLS-v002-CompanyA-CompanyB.docx*.
* CompanyC uploads an empty file named *RedCapParamFLS-v003-CompanyB-CompanyC.checkout*
* CompanyC checks that no one else has created a checkout file simultaneously, and if there is a collision, CompanyC tries to coordinate with the company who made the other checkout (see, e.g., contact list below).
* CompanyC then has 30 minutes to upload *RedCapParamFLS-v003-CompanyB-CompanyC.docx*
* If no update is uploaded in 30 minutes, other companies can ignore the checkout file.
* Note that the file timestamps on the server are in UTC time.

In file names, please use the hyphen character (not the underline character) and include ‘v’ in front of the version number, as in the examples above and in line with the general recommendation (see slide 10 in [R1-2200852](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_108-e/Docs/R1-2200852.zip)), otherwise the sorting of the files will be messed up (which can only be fixed by the RAN1 secretary).

To avoid excessive email load on the RAN1 email reflector, please note that there is NO need to send an info email to the reflector just to inform that you have uploaded a new version of this document. Companies are invited to enter the contact info in the table below.

**FL2 Question 1-1a: Please consider entering contact info below for the points of contact for this email discussion.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Point of contact** | **Email address** |
| Intel | Debdeep Chatterjee | debdeep.chatterjee@intel.com |
| CATT | Yongqiang FEI | feiyongqiang@catt.cn |
| Spreadtrum | Huayu Zhou | huayu.zhou@unisoc.com |
| FUTUREWEI | Vip Desai | vipul.desai@futurewei.com |
| vivo | Xueming Pan | panxueming@vivo.com |
| LGE | Jay KIM | jaehyung.kim@lge.com |
| ZTE | Youjun Hu | hu.youjun1@zte.com.cn |
| Samsung | Feifei Sun | Feifei.sun@samsung.com |

# 2 Initial round

**FL1 Question 2-1a: Companies are invited to comment on parameters in** [***RedCapParamList-v000***](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_108-e/Inbox/drafts/8.6/ParamList/RedCapParamList-v000.xlsx)**.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Intel | We are wondering if the details of the following configurations can be discussed here or as part of AI 8.6.1.1:   * Details of NCD-SSB following the feedback from RAN2/RAN4. * Details of configuration of CORESET in separate initial DL BWP. |
| CATT | For [RedCap-specific initial DL BWP configuration], it may be updated based on the outcome of the AI 8.6.1.1, for the case when legacy initial DL BWP is larger than the maximum RedCap BW, then whether “separate initial DL BWP must be configured“ or “separate initial DL BWP may or may not be configured, if not, continue reusing CORESET#0“. |
| Spreadtrum | The descriptions of the separat initial DL BWP may be updated. |
| FUTUREWEI | a. The description of [RedCap-specific initial DL BWP configuration] may need to be updated to reflect agreements in 8.6.1.1 (e.g. agreement of some variation of 2-1)  b. The description of [Common PUCCH configuration for RedCap-specific initial UL BWP] and values (which are FFS) may need to be updated to reflect agreements in 8.6.1.1 |
| vivo | We have similar question as Intel, how to capture the RRC parameters relatd to NCD-SSB configurations, e.g. periodicity, power, PositionsInBurst, and potentially time offset, etc, should we wait for RAN2 or should we make them complete as much as possible in AI 8.6.1.1 so that we do not miss the deadline for introducing new RRC parameters.  Regarding initial DL BWP configuration, we agree with CATT/Spreadtrum/FUTUREWEI that the decription may need to be updated based on the outcome of ongoing discussion (i.e. whether the seperate initial DL BWP shall be always configured) |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | * We share the feeling of Intel and vivo and agree with CATT/SPD/FW. * For PUCCH, after more detials are stable, candidate values agreed there can be captured. However, it seems how to mapping the sides is still pending clarification as Docomo commented in 8.6.1.1 and FFS in the excel list. We think it is possible just the IE of row7 for joint signaling the 3 states with one more state reserved, for future use. That is, for *[Intra-slot PUCCH frequency hopping within RedCap-specific initial UL BWP enabled/disabled]*, the ‚‘value range‘ can be modified as {Enabled, DisabledLowerEdge, DisabledUpperEdge}. |
| LGE | We agree with most of the comments above that the descriptions of [RedCap-specific initial DL BWP configuration] and [Common PUCCH configuration for RedCap-specific initial UL BWP] need to be updated along with the agreements to be made in AI 8.6.1.1.  Especially for the [Common PUCCH configuration for RedCap-specific initial UL BWP], it may need to be divided into a few parameters including e.g., additional PRB offset and upper/lower edge indication. |
| Intel2 | We’d like to take this opportunity to clarify our earlier comment on CORESET configuration:  Details of configuration of CORESET in separate initial DL BWP.  This is referring to the details of how the CORESET in separate initial DL BWP is to be configured in SIB1 – if can be sigalled like any other CORESET or should follow CORESET#0 signalling mechanisms (using up to 4 bits), etc.  We think this information still needs to be conveyed to RAN2. |
| ZTE, Sanechips | We agree to make some modifications for the descriptions of [RedCap-specific initial DL BWP configuration] and [Common PUCCH configuration for RedCap-specific initial UL BWP]. For the NCD-SSB, seems we did not introduce any new parameters in RAN1. Maybe RAN2 would decide the related parameter if new function is introduced. |
| FL2 | **Question 2-1b:**   * **Companies are invited to comment on parameters in** [***RedCapParamList-v001***](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_108-e/Inbox/drafts/8.6/ParamList/RedCapParamList-v001.xlsx)**.** |
| Samsung | Fine with the newly added NCD-SSB configuration, detail can be left to RAN 2.  Support Intel’s comment on the signaling of CORESET in separate iDL BWP. In our view, other CORESET like is enough. |
| LGE | Okay with most of the updates.  Just a minor comment on the value range of the additional PRB offset which is {0, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12}. We wonder if the 0 needs to be included in the value range when there is 0 in the column called Default value aspect.  Regarding the question from Intel on the CORESET configuration in the separate initial DL BWP, we slightly prefer to signal it as any other CORESET for flexibility. |
| CMCC | Fine with the newly added parameters. And for the description of *RedCap-specific initial DL BWP configuration,* we share similar view with other companies that it may further be updated depending on the outcome of discussion in AI 8.6.1.1, in case that CORESET#0 can be reused as initial DL BWP when the initial DL BWP for non-RedCap UEs is wider than the maximum RedCap UE bandwidth. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | * We understand the NCD-SSB is configured after RRC connected mode however it still be possible in a cell specific manner? * We think it is possible to joint configure PUCCH FH and the mapping sides, by combining the parameter in Row8 and Row11 ‚ with‘value range‘ modified as {Enabled, DisabledLowerEdge, DisabledUpperEdge}. The potential benefit is there could be one state reserved within 2-bit indication, for potential future use e.g. mapping to two sides. Since we are lack of discussion and signalling can be up to RAN2, we think at least a note can be captured in both Row8 and Row11:   **RAN1 has not discussed the singalling details e.g. whether to joint signal the configuration of PUCCH FH and mapping sides, which can be up to RAN2.** |
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