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# Introduction

As per chairman’s guidance, the email discussion is planned according to the following schedule:

[108-e-R17-IIoT-URLLC-01] Email discussion on UE feedback enhancements for HARQ-ACK – Klaus (Nokia)

* 1st check point: February 25
* Final check point: March 3

**This document is structured as follows:**

* Sections 2 to 6 include the topics to be specified or at least further studied based on previous agreements, including sub-sections for the related email discussion rounds
* Section 7 describes discussions on joint operation with Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing enhancements and some of the HARQ-ACK enhancement features
* There are two appendices, Appendix A containing the RAN1 agreements reached in AI 8.3.1 so far and Appendix B summarizing the companies’ proposals for easier referencing.

# SPS HARQ-ACK deferral for TDD

In this section, the proposed Rel-17 enhancements to prevent SPS HARQ-ACK deferral for TDD operation are summarized. The following related agreements from previous meetings are available on this topic:

**RAN1#102-e (Aug. 2020)**

|  |
| --- |
| Agreements:Support Rel-17 enhancements to avoid SPS HARQ-ACK dropping for TDD due to PUCCH collision with at least one DL or flexible symbol. * This topic is to be considered as high priority
* FFS detailed solution(s)
 |

**RAN1#103-e (Oct./Nov. 2021)**

|  |
| --- |
| Agreements: To address the issue of SPS HARQ-ACK dropping for TDD systems, focus on the following two options: * Option 1: Deferring HARQ-ACK until a next (e.g., first) available PUCCH
	+ FFS: Details including the definition of a next (e.g, first) available PUCCH, CB construction / multiplexing
* Option 2: Dynamic triggering of a one-shot / Type-3 CB type of re-transmission

FFS: Details on triggering and/or CB construction (incl. potential Type-3 CB optimizations) / multiplexing |

**RAN1#104-e (Jan. 2021)**

|  |
| --- |
| Agreements:* Support deferring SPS HARQ-ACK dropped due to TDD specific collisions until a next available PUCCH in Rel-17 based on semi-static configuration of slot format
	+ FFS: Details (including possible conditions for such a deferring, whether or not to consider semi-statically configured flexible symbols for PUCCH availability, etc.)
	+ Aim for minimal standardization efforts and UE complexity in implementation

Agreements:Further down-select between the following two options for SPS HARQ-ACK deferral: * Option 1: Joint RRC configuration of the SPS HARQ-ACK deferral per PUCCH cell group
	+ *Note: any SPS HARQ-ACK within a PUCCH cell group in principle is subject to deferral*
* Option 2: The SPS HARQ-ACK deferral is configured per SPS configuration
	+ *Note: part of sps-config, only HARQ-ACK of SPS PDSCH configurations configured for deferral is in principle subject to deferral*

Agreements: Rel-16 UCI multiplexing  / PUCCH overriding rules are reused for deferred SPS HARQ-ACK in the target slot, if applicable.Agreements: For SPS HARQ-ACK, the deferral from the initial slot/sub-slot determined by *k1* in the activation DCI to the target slot/sub-slot determined by *k1*+ *k1def*, the UE will check the validity of a target slot/sub-slot evaluating from one slot/sub-slot to the next sub/sub-slot (i.e. in principle *k1def* granularity is 1 slot/sub-slot)* FFS: if there is a limit on the minimum deferral considered the required UE processing (*k1def* ≥0)
* FFS: if there is a limit on the maximum deferral

Agreements: For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, for the determination of valid symbols in the initial slot/sub-slot a collision with semi-static DL symbols, SSB and CORESET#0 is regarded as ‘invalid’ or ‘no symbols for UL transmission’. |

**RAN1#104bis-e (April 2021)**

|  |
| --- |
| Agreements: For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, for the determination of valid symbols in the target slot/sub-slot a collision with semi-static DL symbols, SSB and CORESET#0 is regarded as ‘invalid’ or ‘no symbols for UL transmission’.Agreements: For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, support a limit on the maximum deferral of SPS HARQ in terms of *k1def* or *k1*+ *k1def** + FFS: limitation given by a maximum value of *k1def* or a maximum of *k1eff* =*k1*+ *k1def*
	+ FFS how the limitation is determined (e.g. by K1 set(s) or RRC configured limit)

Agreements: For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, there is no lower limit defined for *k1def*Agreement: Restrict the further discussions on the initial slot handling for SPS HARQ-ACK deferral to the identified alternatives Alt. 1, Alt. 1A and 2. Agreement: For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, the limit on the maximum deferral of SPS HARQ is defined in terms of *k1eff =k1*+ *k1def.*Working assumption: To handle the collision for the same HARQ process due to deferred SPS HARQ-ACK the following behaviour is to be specified: * In case the UE receives PDSCH of a certain HARQ Process ID, the deferred SPS HARQ bit(s) for this HARQ Process ID are dropped.

Agreement: For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, the initial HARQ-ACK transmission occasion is considered to determine the out-of-order HARQ condition  |

**RAN1#106-e (Aug. 2021)**

|  |
| --- |
| **Agreement**The SPS HARQ-ACK deferral is enabled per SPS configuration* Note: part of sps-config, only HARQ-ACK of SPS PDSCH configurations enabled for deferral is in principle subject to deferral

**Agreement**Definition of when to defer from the initial slot: * Alt1: Deferral only, if the SPS HARQ-ACK in the initial slot/sub-slot cannot be transmitted as the resulting PUCCH resource for transmission using the PUCCH by SPS-PUCCH-AN-List-r16 or n1PUCCH-AN is not valid

**Agreement** For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, the maximum deferral value in terms of k1+k1def is RRC configured per SPS configuration.**Agreement**For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, only SPS HARQ bits subject to deferral from HARQ-ACK codebook from an initial PUCCH slot are deferred to the target PUCCH slot**Agreement** For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, deferred SPS HARQ bits from more than one ‘initial PUCCH slot’ can be jointly deferred to a target PUCCH slot **Agreement**For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, the target PUCCH slot is defined as the next PUCCH slot where *sps-PUCCH-AN-List-r16* or*n1PUCCH-AN* PUCCH resource is regarded as valid*,*or a PUCCH resource*(from PUCCH-ResourceSet, i.e. DG PDSCH HARQ multiplexed*) is dynamically indicated* The target PUCCH slot determination is based on the total HARQ-ACK payload size including deferred SPS HARQ-ACK information and non-deferred HARQ-ACK information (if any) of a candidate target PUCCH slot
* The final PUCCH resource selection in the target PUCCH slot in terms of PUCCH resource set and PUCCH resource ID follows the Rel-16 procedures.

**Agreement**For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, if after the target PUCCH slot determination the deferred SPS HARQ-ACK cannot be transmitted, the deferred SPS HARQ-ACK bits are not further deferred and are dropped.**Agreement**For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, in the target PUCCH slot the deferred SPS HARQ-ACK bits are appended to the initial HARQ bits / Type 1 or Type 2 codebook.**Agreement**For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, confirm the RAN1#104b-e working assumption with the following updates in RED:(working assumption) To handle the collision for the same HARQ process due to deferred SPS HARQ-ACK the following behaviour is to be specified: * In case the UE is expected to receive~~s~~ PDSCH of a certain HARQ Process ID according to TS 38.214 Sec. 5.1, the deferred SPS HARQ bit(s) for this HARQ Process ID are dropped.
	+ Note: there is no further discussion on specific handling for the case of DG PDSCH with the same HARQ process ID
 |

**RAN1#106bis-e (Oct. 2021)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Conclusion**For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, only SPS HARQ-ACK bits subject to deferral from one or more initial slots which have not reached the maximum deferral value are jointly deferred to the next available PUCCH (other SPS HARQ-ACK is dropped).**Agreement**For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, the bit ordering of deferred SPS HARQ-ACK information from one or more initial slots in the target PUCCH slot is based on the Rel.16 SPS HARQ-ACK bit order principle as in clause 9.1.2 of TS38.213 is applied, i.e., based on serving cell index, SPS configuration index, SPS PDSCH slot index. **Conclusion**For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, the operation in the ‘initial’ slot is further clarified as: * The UE performs first the (Rel-16) UCI multiplexing operation. If after the UCI multiplexing operation into a PUCCH or PUSCH if any, and if the UE would be transmitting SPS HARQ-ACK using the PUCCH SPS-PUCCH-AN-List-r16 or n1PUCCH-AN which is not valid, the SPS HARQ-ACK configured for deferral is deferred.

**Agreement**The RAN1#106-e agreement on the target slot definition is updated as follows (in RED):

|  |
| --- |
| **Agreement (from RAN1#106-e)**For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, the target PUCCH slot is defined as the next PUCCH slot, where after performing the (Rel-16) UCI multiplexing operation into a PUCCH or PUSCH if any, the UE would be either (i) transmitting HARQ-ACK using a PUCCH/PUSCH other than the PUCCH determined from *PUCCH SPS-PUCCH-AN-List-r16* or *n1PUCCH-AN* or (ii) would be transmitting HARQ-ACK using a PUCCH resource configured in *PUCCH SPS-PUCCH-AN-List-r16* or *n1PUCCH-AN* being regarded as valid.  *~~sps-PUCCH-AN-List-r16~~*~~or~~*~~n1PUCCH-AN~~*~~PUCCH resource is regarded as valid~~*~~,~~*~~or a PUCCH resource~~*~~(from PUCCH-ResourceSet, i.e. DG PDSCH HARQ multiplexed~~*~~) is dynamically indicated~~* The target PUCCH slot determination is based on the total HARQ-ACK payload size including deferred SPS HARQ-ACK information and non-deferred HARQ-ACK information (if any) of a candidate target PUCCH slot
* The final PUCCH resource selection in the target PUCCH slot in terms of PUCCH resource set and PUCCH resource ID follows the Rel-16 procedures.
 |

**Conclusion**If the UE is not configured with Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing, SPS HARQ for deferral of different PHY priorities can be separately deferred with the target PUCCHs separately determinated according to their respective PHY priorities.* FFS on the PHY priority handling for SPS HARQ deferral if the UE configured with Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing
 |

**RAN1#107-e (Nov. 2021)**

|  |
| --- |
| **Agreement**The maximum SPS HARQ-ACK deferral value in terms of k1+k1def per SPS configuration is RRC configured from a value range of {1…32}.**Conclusion**For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, if a UE is not configured with Rel-17 intra-UE multiplexing but configured with Rel-16 PHY prioritization, the UE first performs Rel-16 UCI multiplexing and PHY prioritization in both initial slot and target slot and if a LP SPS HARQ-ACK PUCCH is deprioritized, the LP SPS HARQ-ACK is not deferred.* Note: If the SPS HARQ-ACK is deprioritized in any slot, no further deferral.

**Agreement**Support simultaneous configuration of SPS HARQ-ACK deferral and PUCCH cell switching based on the semi-static time domain pattern:For the target slot determination of SPS HARQ-ACK deferral,* Step 1: the UE first determines a next PUCCH slot on the cell for PUCCH transmission using the semi-static time-domain PUCCH cell pattern and the related rules for semi-static PUCCH cell switching, followed by
* Step 2: the UE determines based on the SPS HARQ-ACK deferral rules if this PUCCH slot on the PUCCH cell for transmission is the target PUCCH slot or not.
* Note: In step 1, k is increased on PCell/PScell/PUCCH-Scell. “The next PUCCH slot” represents the slot on the PUCCH cell based on PUCCH cell pattern, which is mapped from the PCell/PScell/PUCCH-Scell slot with increased K1.
* Note: The maximum deferral limitation checking is based on the effective k + kdef value based on the granularity of PCell / PScell/PUSCCH-Scell

**Agreement**The earlier RAN1 agreements on the valid symbol definition in the initial and target PUCCH slot for SPS HARQ-ACK deferral are further clarified as: * For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, for the determination of valid symbols in the initial and target PUCCH slot/sub-slot a collision with semi-static DL symbols, SSB and symbols indicated by *pdcch-ConfigSIB1* in *MIB* for a CORESET for Type0-PDCCH CSS set is regarded as ‘invalid’ or ‘no symbols for UL transmission’.

**Agreement**Support simultaneous configuration of Rel-16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook or enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook triggering and SPS HARQ-ACK deferral.* In case a R16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB or an enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook is triggered for transmission in a PUCCH slot, the UE stops the deferral procedure of pending SPS HARQ-ACK in that PUCCH slot and that PUCCH slot is not considered as a potential target slot for SPS HARQ-ACK deferral anymore.
 |

**RAN1#107bis-e (Jan. 2022)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Conclusion** There is no consensus to support SPS HARQ-ACK deferral for half-duplex CA UEs in Rel-17. **Conclusion**There is no consensus to support joint operation of SPS HARQ-ACK deferral and PUCCH repetition in Rel-17. **Conclusion**The operation of simultaneous configuration of Rel-16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook or enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook triggering and SPS HARQ-ACK deferral is further clarified as:* If the UE detects a DCI format in a PDCCH reception that triggers a PUCCH transmission with a Type-3 or enhanced Type-3 HARQ-ACK codebook in a slot, the UE stops the procedure to determine the earliest second slot in that slot.
* The pending SPS HARQ information for deferral is not appended to the Type-3 or enhanced Type 3 CB in that slot.

**Conclusion**There is no consensus to support joint configuration of PUCCH cell switching based on dynamic indication and SPS HARQ-ACK deferral in Rel-17. **Agreement**The following TP to 38.213 is endorsed for the editor’s CR.

|  |
| --- |
| 9.2.5.4   UE procedure for deferring HARQ-ACK for SPS PDSCH If a UE is provided *spsHARQdeferral* and, after performing the procedures in clauses 9 and 9.2.5 to resolve overlapping among PUCCHs and PUSCHs in a first slot, the UE determines a PUCCH resource for a PUCCH transmission with first HARQ-ACK information bits for SPS PDSCH receptions that the UE would report for a first time, and the PUCCH resource* is provided by *SPS-PUCCH-AN-List* as described in clause 9.2.1, or by *n1PUCCH-AN* if *SPS-PUCCH-AN-List* is not provided
* overlaps with a symbol indicated as downlink by *tdd-UL-DL-ConfigurationCommon* or *tdd-UL-DL-ConfigDedicated*, or indicated for a SS/PBCH block by *ssb-PositionsInBurst*, or belonging to a CORESET associated with a Type0-PDCCH CSS set

the UE * determines an earliest second slot and, after performing the procedures in clauses 9 and 9.2.5 to resolve overlapping among PUCCHs and PUSCHs, a PUSCH or a PUCCH in the earliest second slot to multiplex HARQ-ACK information bits that include second HARQ-ACK information bits from the first HARQ-ACK information bits
* if the UE detects a DCI format in a PDCCH reception that triggers a PUCCH transmission with a Type-3 HARQ-ACK codebook in a slot as described in clause 9.1.4, the UE stops the procedure to determine the earliest second slot **in the slot**
* if the UE is provided a periodic cell switching pattern for PUCCH transmissions by *pucch-sSCellPattern*, the UE determines the earliest second slot and a corresponding cell based on the periodic cell switching pattern as described in clause 9.A
 |

**Agreement**Support the simultaneous configuration of one-shot triggering of HARQ re-transmission and SPS deferral * One-shot HARQ-ACK re-transmission can trigger re-transmission SPS HARQ-ACK enabled with deferring from the initial SPS HARQ deferral slot.
* If the PUCCH slot indicated by the *HARQ\_retx\_offset* is the ‘target’ or earliest ‘second’ slot for SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, the HARQ-ACK CB including the deferred SPS HARQ-ACK bits will be retransmitted in the new retransmission PUCCH triggered by one-shot triggering DCI.
* For the SPS HARQ-ACK deferral procedure, the PUCCH slot with a one-shot triggered HARQ-ACK CB is regarded as a valid potential target PUCCH slot for SPS HARQ-ACK deferral with same PHY priority (at least for operation with Rel-16 PHY prioritization) as the PHY priority of the triggered one-shot HARQ-ACK re-transmission.
	+ - If the PUCCH slot with a one-shot triggered HARQ-ACK CB is determined by the UE as target or earliest second PUCCH slot for SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, the deferred SPS HARQ-ACK in a target slot is appended to the re-transmitted HARQ-ACK CB and initial, new HARQ-ACK (if any) following the operation of SPS HARQ-ACK deferral procedure.
 |

* 1. Summary of companies input in their contributions

**‘Stand-alone’ SPS HARQ deferral**

* **ZTE [6] on Type 1 CB for deferred SPS PDSCH:** If the UE needs to generate a Type1 codebook in slot n, and the target slot of the delayed SPS HARQ-ACK is also slot n, then the following rules are proposed:
	+ If the slot with SPS PDSCH is contained in the slots corresponding to a Type 1 codebook for the DG PDSCHs, then UE only generates the Type 1 codebook.
		- Note the Type 1 codebook can naturally include the deferred SPS HARQ-ACK of the SPS PDSCH and HARQ-ACKs of the DG PDSCHs according to the current Type 1 codebook mechanism.
	+ Otherwise, the UE generates the Type 1 codebook according to the current Type 1 codebook mechanism and concatenates the deferred SPS HARQ-ACK after the Type 1 codebook for DG PDSCHs.
	+ *Moderator comment*: This would overturn earlier RAN1 agreement. Can be checked but would clearly require consensus to have such change.
* **OPPO [7] raises a needed clarification on the timeline for the SPS HARQ dropping in case of HARQ process re-use:**
	+ If SPS HARQ-ACK corresponding to a SPS PDSCH with a certain HARQ process number is deferred to a target PUCCH/PUSCH, and a later PDSCH with the same HARQ process number is received prior to the target PUCCH/PUSCH,
		- If the later PDSCH and the target PUCCH/PUSCH satisfy Rel-15 multiplexing timeline, the deferred SPS HARQ-ACK is dropped;
		- Otherwise, the deferred SPS HARQ-ACK is transmitted in the target PUCCH/PUSCH.
* **ETRI [14]:** It is allowed to multiplex deferred SPS HARQ-ACK bits onto a HARQ codebook from any usage scenario (e.g. multicast broadcast, sidelink, non-terrestrial network, etc can be considered)
	+ *Moderator comment:* Based on the current specifications (38.213 v17.0.0) there seems to be not really any restrictions there. @ETRI, if you think some agreement & related specification change would be needed, please contact the moderator offline to include this in a later email discussion round (with the related needed change to the current specs version).

**SPS deferral and semi-static PUCCH cell switching**

* ZTE [6] on clarification for the initial slot handling:
	+ For the initial slot in PCell, if the UE performs UCI multiplexing to determine whether the SPS HARQ-ACK is deferred, it should consider multiplexing the SPS HARQ-ACK to the overlapping PUCCH slot of the Scell based on the PUCCH cell switching pattern.
		- If the multiplexed PUCCH is valid in Scell slot, the SPS HARQ-ACK is transmitted in the multiplexed PUCCH slot; otherwise, the SPS HARQ-ACK is deferred.
	+ *Moderator comment:* thought it should be clear the target PUCCH cell is applied here directly, but maybe worth clarifying that the initial slot handling is performed on the target PUCCH cell.

**SPS deferral and Type 3 CB operation**

* Change of operation suggested by QC [19]:
	+ In case of joint SPS HARQ Deferral and Rel. 17 Type 3 HARQ CB and after the Rel. 17 Type 3 HARQ CB transmission, the UE
		- Stops/cancels the transmission of pending/ongoing SPS HARQ bits to be deferred at the first available uplink resource, if at least a part of the SPS HARQ bits to be deferred is already transmitted via Rel. 17 Type 3 HARQ CB,
		- transmits the SPS HARQ bits to be deferred at the first available uplink resource after the Rel. 17 Type 3 HARQ CB transmission, if none of the deferred SPS HARQ bits is transmitted via Rel. 17 Type 3 HARQ CB.
	+ *Moderator comment:* this would overturn the RAN1#107bis-e agreement and require additional handling
* Timeline clarification requested by QC [19]: Adopt the existing timeline in terms of uplink cancellation for the SPS HARQ deferral cancellation/stopping: Tproc,2.
	+ *Moderator comment:* As discussed when taking the decision, there is already the timeline for the Type 3 CB triggering present. And the cancelation of the SPS deferral would not happen before the PUCCH slot with the Type 3 CB anyhow. So don’t we have a timeline given already by the minimum processing timeline of the DCI triggering the Type 3 CB transmission and the PUCCH carrying the Type 3 CB?

**SPS deferral and PUCCH repetitions**

* The following is suggested by QC [19]:
	+ Following the #107bis-e conclusion not allowing joint configuration of SPS HARQ Deferral and PUCCH repetitions, the UE is not expecting to be configured with both SPS HARQ deferral and PUCCH repetitions; such a joint configuration is treated as an error case.
	+ RAN 1 to clarify that the maximum deferral time, k1def\_max, is applicable only for SPS configured with deferral and without SPS PUCCH repetitions.
		- *Moderator comment:* If we agree the QC proposal in the earlier bullet, wouldn’t this be then clear already (i.e. there would not be any deferral or maximum deferral value anyhow applicable, as such configuration is not expected)?

**Identified needed specification changes based on available agreements / operation**

***Nokia/NSB [3] on joint operation of SPS deferral and HARQ-ACK re-tx:***

|  |
| --- |
| 9.1.5 HARQ-ACK codebook retransmission ….If in slot $m$ the UE performs a procedure for deferring first HARQ-ACK information for SPS PDSCH receptions, as described in clause 9.2.5.4, and the first HARQ-ACK information has same priority value as a priority value indicated by the DCI format triggering the PUCCH transmission in slot $n+k$, the UE multiplexes in the PUCCH transmission in slot $n+k$ second HARQ-ACK information with the priority value that results in slot $n+k$ according to the procedure in this clause. If the UE would also multiplex in the PUCCH transmission in slot $n+k$ third HARQ-ACK information with the priority value, the UE appends the second HARQ-ACK information to the third HARQ-ACK information before multiplexing the first HARQ-ACK information into the PUCCH transmission as described in clause 9.2.5.4. The UE determines to multiplex the third HARQ-ACK information in the PUCCH transmission in slot $n+k$ as described in clause 9.2.3. |

|  |
| --- |
| 9.2.5.4 UE procedure for deferring HARQ-ACK for SPS PDSCH …- the second HARQ-ACK information bits, generated as described in clause 9.1.2, are appended in a HARQ-ACK codebook the UE generates as described in clauses 9.1.2, 9.1.2.1, ~~or~~ 9.1.3.1 or 9.1.5- if the UE would receive a PDSCH providing a TB for a same HARQ process as a HARQ-ACK information bit from the second HARQ-ACK information bits prior to transmitting the PUCCH or the PUSCH, the UE does not include the HARQ-ACK information bit in the HARQ-ACK information bits. |

***ZTE [6] on the case there is no other UCI in the PUCCH slot and/or no PUSCH overlapping:***

|  |
| --- |
| TS38.213h009.2.5.4 UE procedure for deferring HARQ-ACK for SPS PDSCH If a UE is provided *spsHARQdeferral* and, after performing the procedures in clauses 9 and 9.2.5 to resolve overlapping among PUCCHs and PUSCHs in a first slot if any, the UE determines a PUCCH resource for a PUCCH transmission with first HARQ-ACK information bits for SPS PDSCH receptions that the UE would report for a first time, and the PUCCH resource- is provided by *SPS-PUCCH-AN-List* as described in clause 9.2.1, or by *n1PUCCH-AN* if *SPS-PUCCH-AN-List* is not provided- overlaps with a symbol indicated as downlink by *tdd-UL-DL-ConfigurationCommon* or *tdd-UL-DL-ConfigDedicated*, or indicated for a SS/PBCH block by *ssb-PositionsInBurst*, or belonging to a CORESET associated with a Type0-PDCCH CSS set the UE - determines an earliest second slot and, after performing the procedures in clauses 9 and 9.2.5 to resolve overlapping among PUCCHs and PUSCHs if any, a PUSCH or a PUCCH in the earliest second slot to ~~multiplex~~transmit HARQ-ACK information bits that include second HARQ-ACK information bits from the first HARQ-ACK information bits... |

* 1. 1st round of email approvals

**Remaining issues on SPS HARQ-ACK deferral**

ZTE [6] requesting a change in the SPS HARQ-ACK deferral procedure when being configured with Type 1 HARQ-ACK codebook, namely, to have separate treatment in case the SPS HARQ-ACK for deferral is included already in the Type 1 HARQ-ACK codebook or not. Based on current agreements and the related 38.213, the SPS HARQ-ACK for deferral would be appended independently if all the SPS HARQ-ACK is also already contained in the Type 1 HARQ-ACK codebook.

**Proposal 2.1.1: For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral and Type 1 HARQ-ACK codebook operation, the RAN1#106-e agreement is changed to (with changes in red):**

|  |
| --- |
| AgreementFor SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, in the target PUCCH slot the deferred SPS HARQ-ACK bits are appended to the initial HARQ bits of a ~~/ Type 1 or~~ Type 2 codebook.For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, in the target PUCCH slot the deferred SPS HARQ-ACK bits are appended to a Type 1 codebook, if the deferred SPS HARQ-ACK are not already included in the Type 1 codebook. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Supporting companies  | QC, ZTE |
| Objecting companies | Nokia/NSB, Intel, Ericsson, Lenovo Huawei/Hisi, New H3C, DOCOMO,OPPO, CATT, LG, ETRI, Sony, Samsung |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| Nokia/NSB | No need to change the earlier agreement, unnecessary optimization in the maintenance phase.  |
| Intel | We don’t think this is a typical case for SPS HARQ-ACK deferral scenarios. |
| Ericsson | We understand ZTE’s proposal that tries to resue existing procedures for Type-1 CB constructions involding HARQ-ACK for DL SPS. However, at this stage it is better to leave the existing procedures for DL SPS HARQ-ACK without deferral.That makes less complications by isolating the DL SPS HARQ-ACK deferral and consider it as an “add-on feature” without touching the base feature. Otherwise, we need to add some conditions for Type-1 HARQ-ACK codebook. |
| QC | The case is indeed a corner case and very unlikely to happen. However, if it happens, having the UE transmitting the HARQ feedback for a given HARQ Process in the Type 1 HARQ CB and in the deferred SPS HARQ CB is a total waste of resources. The fact that ZTE’s proposal changes a previous agreement is not an understandable argument. This group has been repeatedly changing/modifying previous agreements in other cases. The treatment is not fair. The next proposal in this document, Proposal 2.1.2, is a proposal for changing a previous agreement. The addressed case in Proposal 2.1.2 is even less likely than this case discussed here. The term “optimization” is unfortunately constantly misused by the moderator. ZTE’s suggestion tries to improve the operation of an inefficient feature with very low likelihood to be used. This group has consistently agreed in several useless/pointless agreements. However, once decision to specify a feature – independently of its value – is taken, the group should be specified in a way that it is implementable and its operation does not waste resources. |
| Huawei/Hisi | We have discussed this issue in 106-e, and achieved consensus that no optimization is applied (refer to Question 2.8/ Proposal CP2.7: of R1-2108546).

|  |
| --- |
| **Agreement** For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, in the target PUCCH slot the deferred SPS HARQ-ACK bits are appended to the initial HARQ bits / Type 1 or Type 2 codebook. |

 |
| DOCOMO | It is not fundamental issue but codebook size reduction optimization issue. Even though the SPS PDSCH can be included in candidate PDSCH occasions for the type 1 HARQ-ACK CB, NACK should be reported for the candidate PDSCH occasion based on existing Rel-16 procedure. Therefore, impact on legacy type 1 HARQ-ACK CB procedure is needed if such optimization is adopted. We don’t prefer such complete handling for optimization. |
| CATT | We share the same view as Huawei that the proposal was discussed but not agreed. There is no point to reopen the discussion at this stage. |
| LG | We think the issue had been discussed already and it was concluded to the current agreement.  |
| ZTE | The motivation as Ericsson pointed out is to reuse procedures for Type-1 CB constructions. The overhead reduction is only a side effect. If majority view is not willing to rediscuss the issue, we can live up with the previous agreement. |
| NEC | We understand the intention of the proposal for Type-1 HARQ-ACK codebook optimization, we are aslo fine with no optimaztion if the time is limited for other critical issues. |
| ETRI | The current agreement already captures this behavior though it might be not very efficient. |
| Sony | I do not think we expect a lot of deferred SPS HARQ-ACK bits to be appended onto a HARQ-ACK CB.Also the reason to use Type 1 CB is for robustness rather than for resource efficiency, where it is expected to feedback HARQ-ACKs for unscheduled PDSCH. So, this optimisation or improvement may not provide substantial gain.  |
| Samsung | There is no meaningful benefit from further optimization for Type-1 & HARQ-ACK deferring as the considered situation is atypical. Also, the proposal from ZTE increases UE implementation complexity since a UE needs to check whether or not deferred SPS HARQ-ACK is included in Type-1 HARQ-ACK CB. |
| Moderator | Looking at the input received, it seems to be not just some minor wording issues, but some more essential disagreement with the proposal. The moderator therefore does not plan to continue discussions on the proposal.  |

OPPO [7] raises a needed clarification on the timeline for the SPS HARQ dropping in case of HARQ process re-use, namely at which point of time the UE should drop the deferred SPS HARQ-ACK based on the earlier RAN1 agreement and current specifications:

|  |
| --- |
| AgreementFor SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, confirm the RAN1#104b-e working assumption with the following updates in RED:(working assumption) To handle the collision for the same HARQ process due to deferred SPS HARQ-ACK the following behaviour is to be specified: * In case the UE is expected to receive~~s~~ PDSCH of a certain HARQ Process ID according to TS 38.214 Sec. 5.1, the deferred SPS HARQ bit(s) for this HARQ Process ID are dropped.
	+ Note: there is no further discussion on specific handling for the case of DG PDSCH with the same HARQ process ID

From 38.213:9.2.5.4 UE procedure for deferring HARQ-ACK for SPS PDSCH ......the UE - determines an earliest second slot and, after performing the procedures in clauses 9 and 9.2.5 to resolve overlapping among PUCCHs and PUSCHs, a PUSCH or a PUCCH in the earliest second slot to multiplex HARQ-ACK information bits that include second HARQ-ACK information bits from the first HARQ-ACK information bits……- the second HARQ-ACK information bits, generated as described in clause 9.1.2, are appended in a HARQ-ACK codebook the UE generates as described in clauses 9.1.2, 9.1.2.1, or 9.1.3.1- if the UE would receive a PDSCH providing a TB for a same HARQ process as a HARQ-ACK information bit from the second HARQ-ACK information bits prior to transmitting the PUCCH or the PUSCH, the UE does not include the HARQ-ACK information bit in the HARQ-ACK information bits. |

The OPPO proposed timeline of satisfying the Rel-15 timeline seems to be reasonable, so let’s check directly if such timeline clarification is acceptable to the group.

**Proposal 2.2.2: For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, further clarify the timeline behavior on top of the earlier RAN1#105-e agreement with the following additions in red:**

|  |
| --- |
| Agreement: To handle the collision for the same HARQ process due to deferred SPS HARQ-ACK the following behaviour is to be specified: * In case the UE is expected to receive PDSCH of a certain HARQ Process ID according to TS 38.214 Sec. 5.1, the deferred SPS HARQ bit(s) for this HARQ Process ID are dropped from a PUCCH or PUSCH in the target /earliest second slot if the PUCCH or PUSCH satisfies the Rel-15 multiplexing timeline for the PDSCH.
	+ Note: there is no further discussion on specific handling for the case of DG PDSCH with the same HARQ process ID
 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Supporting companies  | Nokia/NSB, vivo, OPPO, CATT (in principle), Sony (maybe not PDSCH mux timeline) |
| Objecting companies | Ericsson, QC (clarification needed for the term “Rel. 15 multiplexing timeline for the PDSCH”, Lenovo Huawei/Hisi, New H3C, DOCOMO, LG, ZTE, NEC, Samsung |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| Intel | It would be preferrable to formulate a standalone agreement w/o modifying the old one, for better tracking of the progress.In addition, we are wondering what is meant by “multiplexing timeline for the PDSCH”?We are also wondering what happens if the multiplexing timeline is not met: is it an error case, or the bits are not dropped? |
| Ericsson | We have similar comment as Intel to understand the need for multiplexing timeline.Here, the intention is to construct a CB and whether to include or not, the deferred HARQ-ACK bits, is about CB construction. That is different when a PUCCH transmission with its own CB is subject to drop or its CB to be multiplexed in another channel, PUSCH. Therefore, we don’t see the relevance of timeline issue in this case. |
| QC | The term “if the PUCCH or PUSCH satisfies the Rel-15 multiplexing timeline for the PDSCH” is not clear. Is “PDSCH processing time” targeted?Especially, since this is the last Rel. 17 meeting of this agenda item, a polite request even now not to waste the group’s time with features/mechanisms addressing problems of extremily low likelihoo. |
| Lenovo | In our view, UCI multiplexing timeline is not relevant to the referred agreement. |
| Huawei/Hisi | The R15 timeline is specified for the gNB to perform the scheduling, but not for the UE to generate HARQ-ACK. The UE will perform the PDSCH decoding and HARQ-ACK generation based on its own time order, not necessarily start with the HARQ-ACK generation immediately after the scheduled PDSCH. |
| DOCOMO | In our understanding, the intention of the agreement is to handle HARQ process number collision of deferred SPS HARQ-ACK bit and new **SPS** PDSCH. Therefore, there is no need to define any timeline since UE can predict HPN of new SPS PDSCH before the new SPS PDSCH reception. |
| OPPO | This proposal is to address timeline issue for SPS HARQ-ACK dropping. One example is shown in the following, a SPS PDSCH with HARQ process number X is transmitted in slot n, and the corresponding SPS HARQ-ACK is deferred to slot n+3. Another PDSCH 1 with the same HARQ process number is transmitted in slot n+2. The gap between the ending of PDSCH 1 and the starting of target PUCCH for SPS HARQ-ACK deferral is less than Rel-15 multiplexing timeline. In this case, UE has no time to reconstruct the HARQ-ACK codebook to be transmitted in slot n+3. So the timeline restriction is necessary for SPS HARQ-ACK dropping. Accurately, the timeline refers to HARQ-ACK codebook reconstruction and even PUCCH preparation, however, it is not feasible to define new timeline value at this stage. So we suggest to reuse R15 multiplexing timeline, i.e. Tproc,1, to restrict gap between the ending of latter PDSCH triggering SPS HARQ-ACK dropping and the the starting of PUCCH which would carry the dropping SPS HARQ-ACK.@Intel , QC, Huawei/Hisi “multiplexing timeline for the PDSCH” means reuse Rel15 multiplexing timeline value, i.e. Tproc,1, covering HARQ-ACK codebook contruction and PUCCH preparation, to restrict gap between the ending of latter PDSCH triggering SPS HARQ-ACK dropping and the the starting of PUCCH which would carry the dropping SPS HARQ-ACK.If timeline is not satisfied, we prefer to keep SPS HARQ-ACK transmission, not dropping, to avoid reconstruction of HARQ-ACK codebook. @ Ericsson, timeline restriction is applied to ensure enough time for reconstruction of HARQ-ACK codebook. And existing Rel-15 multiplexing timeline value, i.e. Tproc,1, covering HARQ-ACK codebook contruction and PUCCH preparation, can be reused directly to avoid discussion on new timeline value. |
| CATT | We understand the intention of the proposal and support the proposal in principle.For “the Rel-15 multiplexing timeline for the PDSCH”, our understanding is that it means that the time gap between the end of PDSCH and the start of the earliest overlapping channel should not be shorter than Tproc,1\_mux. But we are wondering what if the PUCCH does not overlap with other PUCCH/PUSCH. |
| LG | The agreement is to handle HARQ process collision in the same way in Rel-15. We are not sure that this case could be a part of cancelation handling. It is almost similar to CGtimer handling, which prohibits to transmit due to prior scheduling on the same HARQ process, but we didn’t need to specify any timeline for the case.  |
| NEC | For the HARQ process collision handling, we don’t see the need for multiplexing timeline.  |
| Huawei/Hisi2 | The time point for the UE to drop the original HARQ-ACK bit can be the PUCCH (PUCCH2 in the figure) corresponding to the received PDSCH (PDSCH2 in the figure), at which time it is clear for UE to have finished generating the new HARQ-ACK. Before that time, the UE will not drop that bit to avoid misalignment with gNB, but can update its information up to implementation (gNB only reads the updated HARQ information at PUCCH2).* In case the UE is expected to receive PDSCH of a certain HARQ Process ID according to TS 38.214 Sec. 5.1, the deferred SPS HARQ bit(s) for this HARQ Process ID are dropped at the PUCCH/PUSCH that would carry the HARQ-ACK associated with the PDSCH expected to be received ~~from a PUCCH or PUSCH in the target /earliest second slot if the PUCCH or PUSCH satisfies the Rel-15 multiplexing timeline for the PDSCH~~.
	+ Note: there is no further discussion on specific handling for the case of DG PDSCH with the same HARQ process ID

 |
| Sony | We support this argument for a need of processing time but we are not sure if it should be the time between end of PDSCH and start of target PUCCH. It should be the time when the UE is aware that a HPN collision has occurred. That may be the start of the DL Grant or whenever UE realise a SPS PDSCH has the same HPN. |
| Samsung | The proposal seems to be trying to define Rel-15 timeline between new PDSCH of a certain HPN and a PUCCH (or a PUSCH) including the deferred SPS HARQ-ACK bit(s). If that is the case, we don’t see at least SPS PDSCH case since a UE already know which SPS PDSCH is associated with a certain HPN. For the DG PDSCH, a DCI including HPN information is transmitted before the PDSCH. A new processing timeline does not need to be defined for this case. Otherwise, the whole meaning of timeline needs to be re-discussed.  |
| Moderator | There seems to be some resistance on this proposal here. Let’s try in the 2nd round to check if the suggested version by HW could maybe be agreeable.  |

**Semi-static PUCCH carrier switching and SPS HARQ-ACK deferral**

We clearly defined the operation for the target slot handling in the last meeting, but ZTE [6] suggests to also still clarify the behaviour in the initial slot. Although it should be clear, having an agreement or at least a related conclusion would not hurt here. The red parts below are additions to the earlier conclusion on the initial slot handling.

**Mod Proposed conclusion 2.1.3: For simultaneous configuration of SPS HARQ-ACK deferral and PUCCH cell switching based on the semi-static time domain pattern, the ‘initial' PUCCH slot (i.e. PUCCH slot determined by n+k1) handling is further clarified as:**

* **The UE performs first the (Rel-16) UCI multiplexing operation based on the determined target PUCCH cell using the semi-static time domain pattern. If after the UCI multiplexing operation into a PUCCH or PUSCH if any, and if the UE would be transmitting SPS HARQ-ACK using the PUCCH SPS-PUCCH-AN-List-r16 or n1PUCCH-AN which is not valid, the SPS HARQ-ACK configured for deferral is deferred.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Supporting companies  | Nokia/NSB, Ericsson Huawei/Hisi, New H3C, vivo, DOCOMO,OPPO, CATT, LG, ZTE, NEC, ETRI, Sony |
| Objecting companies | QC |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| Intel | We are wondering what would be the other interpretation if this part is not clarified? |
| Ericsson | We share the same view as Moderator that although it should have been clear, but it doesn’t hurt to clarify in case there is a risk for misunderstanding. |
| QC | The proposal can not be accepted since it goes against the goal of both i) SPS HARQ deferral and ii) PUCCH cell switching. Both of these two features (SPS HARQ deferral and PUCCH cell switching) are introduced with the goal to reduce latency in URLLC applications. With this proposal, the latency can increase. See example below:Example* first available PUCCH resource in Pcell in slot N
* first available PUCCH resource in Scell in slot N-1; PUCCH resource enough to accommodate new SPS HARQ or new DG HARQ CBs and deferred SPS HARQ CB
* SPS HARQ Collision with DL in Pcell in slot N – K in Pcell; PUCCH resource not sufficient for the total HARQ payload (new and deferred HARQ CBs)
* UE tries to find the target slot in Pcell
* UE switches PUCCH transmission to Scell according to the semi-static PUCCH cell switching pattern
* UE tries to transmit in slot N – 1 in Scell. The UE does not find PUCCH resource for all HARQ bits. The UE defers further the transmission of deferred HARQ bits to slot N + 1 or N + 2.
* The UE could have transmitted new and deferred HARQ bits in slot N in Pcell, if the UE had stayed in the same cell.

The solution would have been in this case above, that the UE looks jointly the available PUCCH resource in both cells. However, since this is not a desired and easily implemented option, the proposal is the UE drops the ongoing deferred HARQ bits. The UE makes use of the #106e agreement**Agreement**For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, if after the target PUCCH slot determination the deferred SPS HARQ-ACK cannot be transmitted, the deferred SPS HARQ-ACK bits are not further deferred and are dropped. |
| Huawei/Hisi | We are fine with the proposal itself (though the previous agreement is clear enough). But as a clarification question for the term ‘initial slot’: does it mean the PUCCH slot as per the k1 value, or any candidate target/intermediate slot during the SPS deferral procedure? In my understanding here it means the candidate target/intermediate slot, right? E.g., the SPS PDSCH is slot n, the ‘first slot’ for SPS HARQ-ACK is n+k1, the ‘earliest second slot’ is n+k1+X; the ‘initial slot’ here means any slot from n+k1 to n+k1+X. |
| DOCOMO | Fine with clarification, but agree with Huawei that it should not be restricted to “initial slot”, but also for candidate and target slot.**@QC**, with regarding your comments, our understanding is that we have already agreed on joint operation of SPS HARQ-ACK deferring and semi-static PUCCH cell switching.  |
| CATT | We think it is a clarification. For QC’s comment, we share the same view as DOCOMO.Please refer to the following agreement.

|  |
| --- |
| **Agreement**Support simultaneous configuration of SPS HARQ-ACK deferral and PUCCH cell switching based on the semi-static time domain pattern:For the target slot determination of SPS HARQ-ACK deferral,* Step 1: the UE first determines a next PUCCH slot on the cell for PUCCH transmission using the semi-static time-domain PUCCH cell pattern and the related rules for semi-static PUCCH cell switching, followed by
* Step 2: the UE determines based on the SPS HARQ-ACK deferral rules if this PUCCH slot on the PUCCH cell for transmission is the target PUCCH slot or not.
* Note: In step 1, k is increased on PCell/PScell/PUCCH-Scell. “The next PUCCH slot” represents the slot on the PUCCH cell based on PUCCH cell pattern, which is mapped from the PCell/PScell/PUCCH-Scell slot with increased K1.
* Note: The maximum deferral limitation checking is based on the effective k + kdef value based on the granularity of PCell / PScell/PUSCCH-Scell
 |

Regarding Huawei’s question, we have a different understanding. Our understanding is that the proposal address the initial slot determined by k1 only. For intermediate slots, our understanding is that it is already covered by the above agreement. |
| Moderator | @HW: initial slot here means the slot determined by n+k1. For all other slots we have the earlier agreement in place on the determination of the earliest second slot, which runs from slot n+k1+1 to the final target slot. Tried to clarify this.  |
| LG | It would be good to clarify, however, we have similar view to intel’s since there seems no other interpretation. Even if SPS deferral is not configured, SPS PUCCH should use PUCCH resources on determined target cell. @Huawai/HiSi, DOCOMO:We had same understanding as Moderator. Our understanding is that ‘initial slot handling’ means determining whether to perform deferral rather than slot itself. Please correct us if we misses something.  |
| ZTE | For the modified version, we are fine.From CATT’s comment, it seems the target slot has supporte the mechanism.@Intel @QC, I try to clarify the motivation matching the intention of PUCCH cell switch t reduce the latency of HARQ-ACK.In above Figure, a semi-static PUCCH cell switching is configured between the PCell and the SCell, and the PUCCH slot is marked in Figure based on the PUCCH cell switching pattern. For the initial slot n in the PCell, when the UE performs UCI multiplexing to determine whether the SPS HARQ-ACK is deferred, it is supported to multiplex the SPS HARQ-ACK in PCell into the PUCCH slot m of the Scell if slot m overlapping with slot n in time domain based on the PUCCH cell switching pattern. If the multiplexing PUCCH is valid in slot m, the SPS HARQ-ACK is transmitted in the multiplexed PUCCH in slot m; otherwise, the SPS HARQ-ACK deferral is determined.This procedure is valid according to the agreement of supporting the joint processing bwtween semi-static PUCCH cell switching and the SPS HARQ deferral determination.If it is not clarified, the HARQ-ACK multiplexing into Scell may be skipped and it is not our original intention to apply the PUCCH cell switching. |
| vivo2 | About Huawei’s question, we share the same understanding with CATT anf Moderator. |
| Huawei/Hisi2 | Thanks for Moderator and other companies’ clarifications. The intention and background is now clear for me. |
| ETRI | We are fine to the modified conclusion. |
| Sony | Thanks ZTE for the explanation. We think the intention is to consider whether SCell can is available for SPS HARQ-ACK transmission before deferring. Perhaps the formulation of the agreement isn’t clear, and gave the wrong impression that it is trying to change an existing agreement. |
| Samsung | We don’t see a need for the conclusion but OK if companies prefer to have it. |
| QC 2 | The group has already agreed to support joint configuration of SPS HARQ deferral and semi-static PUCCH cell switching. This agreement is respected and no intention to revert it. However, since the discussion about the modification of a previous agreement is opened up by other companies, useful to agree the following:**Mod Proposed conclusion 2.1.3 (QC): For simultaneous configuration of SPS HARQ-ACK deferral and PUCCH cell switching based on the semi-static time domain pattern, the ‘initial' PUCCH slot (i.e. PUCCH slot determined by n+k1) handling is further clarified as:*** **The UE performs first the (Rel-16) UCI multiplexing operation based on the determined target PUCCH cell using the semi-static time domain pattern. If after the UCI multiplexing operation into a PUCCH or PUSCH if any, and if the UE would be transmitting SPS HARQ-ACK using the PUCCH SPS-PUCCH-AN-List-r16 or n1PUCCH-AN which is not valid, the SPS HARQ-ACK configured for deferral is deferreddropped.**

This is the only UE behavior resulting in lower latency. If the UE switches PUCCH cell and finds the target PUCCH cell, then, the goal is achieved. If not, the UE simply drops the deferred HARQ. |
| Moderator | Actually based on the other agreed conclusion by email: ***Semi-static PUCCH cell switching, i.e. the PUCCH cell determination based on the time-domain pattern, should be performed before UCI multiplexing/prioritization.*** Which would then also apply for the SPS that used the UCI multiplexing. So maybe no need to clarify this further. Therefore, not handled anymore in this meeting – if you think differently, please let me know offline.  |

**Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB and SPS HARQ-ACK deferral**

We had in the last meeting extensive discussions on the ‘stopping the deferral procedure’ in a PUCCH and a related TP agreed. QC [19] is suggesting further changes to the earlier agreed behavior, namely if none of the deferred SPS HARQ-ACK bits are part of the enhanced Type 3 CB, the SPS HARQ-ACK deferral procedure to determine an earliest second slot continues from the PUCCH slot following the PUCCH slot with the enhanced Type 3 CB transmission.

As this changes an earlier agreement, the proposal here is formulated here directly as a change to the earlier agreement. But let’s check where companies stand here:

**Proposal 2.1.4: The RAN1#107-e agreement is changed to (with changes in red):**

|  |
| --- |
| AgreementSupport simultaneous configuration of Rel-16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook or enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook triggering and SPS HARQ-ACK deferral.* In case a R16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB or an enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook is triggered for transmission in a PUCCH slot, the ~~UE stops the deferral procedure of pending SPS HARQ-ACK in that PUCCH slot and that~~ PUCCH slot is not considered as a potential target slot for SPS HARQ-ACK deferral ~~anymore~~.
	+ If none of the SPS HARQ-ACK bits subject to deferral are included in the enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook, the UE resumes / continues to search for an earliest second slot from the PUCCH slot after the enhanced Type 3 CB transmission.
	+ Otherwise, the UE stops the deferral procedure of pending SPS HARQ-ACK in the PUCCH slot of the enhanced Type 3 CB transmission.
 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Supporting companies  | QC, LG |
| Objecting companies  | Nokia/NSB, Intel Huawei/Hisi, New H3C, vivo, DOCOMO,OPPO, CATT, NEC, ETRI, Sony, Samsung |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| Nokia/NSB | No need to overturn the earlier agreement. This will just complicate the overall deferral procedure |
| Intel | The agreed joint operation of (e)Type 3 CB and SPS HARQ-ACK deferral is already an optimization. Further clarifications overturning prior agreements are complicating spec stabilization, thus we don’t support this change. |
| Ericsson | We have a different understanding of QC proposal. We think the issue is how to interpret “the pending SPS HARQ-ACK”. * Let’s say there is trigger for eType 3 CB is configured for HARQ procees ID 3,4. We have DL SPS deferral for DL SPS transmission occasions corresponding to HARQ process 1,2,3,4. When eType-3 CB is triggered, the pending SPS HARQ-ACK refers to DL SPS HARQ-ACK corresponding to HARQ process ID 3,4. The DL SPS HARQ-ACK corresponding to 1,2 won’t be affected by triggering e Type 3, and continue their deferral.
* Also, “stop the deferral procedure” is for the DL SPS HARQ-ACK bits affected by e/Type 3. But the deferral procedure as such is still considered “enabled” and is applied to other DL SPS transmission occasions.

Therefore, we understood the newly added bullets are clarifcations of the previous agreement.Maybe it is good to check if this understanding is correct. |
| QC | By reading the previous agreement, our understanding is very far from the moderator’s understanding. It seems that QC is not the only company seeing that there is no mentioning of the Rel. 17 Type 3 HARQ CB content. If the moderator’s intention was that the UE stops any ongoing deferral procedure upon reception of Type 3 HARQ CB request, this is also fine. It needs to be clarified though. (Even though the support is the one described in our contribution, QC is fine if the UE stops any ongoing deferral). Hence, no desire to overturn a previous agreement – which apparently is not understood in the same way by several companies.Request to the moderator to really probe the understanding of companies in the previous request and avoid the pre-arranged with collaborating companies conclusion “There is no consensus …”. The UE behaviour for the cases addressed in our contribution should be clear after the Type 3 HARQ CB transmission. Ericsson’s interpretation is correct: our goal was to clarify the behavior with regards to the pending deferral. |
| Huawei/Hisi | It is not desired to revert the agreement which clearly captured the UE behaviour, i.e., ‘that PUCCH slot is not considered as a potential target slot for SPS HARQ-ACK deferral anymore’. In addition, splitting the deferring SPS HARQ-ACK payload with only partial keep on deferring is complex for spec and implementation. |
| vivo | In general, we do not prefer to change previous agreement for any optimization at this stage. Based on the previous discussions, considering the eType 3 HARQ codebook have variable sizes, it depends on gNB’s decision on whether it wants the eType 3 HARQ codebook contain the HARQ-ACK corresponding to the SPS HARQ process for which the SPS HARQ-ACK is derferred. If the indicated eType 3 HARQ codebook does not contain the HARQ-ACK corresponding to deferred SPS HARQ-ACK, the deferred SPS HARQ-ACK is dropped and the derferrl procedure is also stopped. So, we do not think further update the agreement is needed.  |
| DOCOMO | We don’t think overturning previous agreements is needed. In our understanding, it is clear that “stop the deferreal procedure” means the deferring of the pending SPS HARQ-ACK bits is ended. |
| CATT | For Ericsson’s example, we have a different understanding. Our understanding is that HARQ-ACK corresponding to HARQ process ID 1 and 2 are also dropped and are not further deferred. |
| LG | We had similar concern when the agreement was made. We had been convince by the moderator’s assessment, that gNB should ensure no case (e)type-3 codebook doesn’t contain deferred SPS HARQ-ACK. However, there are a lot of different understanding here, we think it would be meaningful to discuss again.  |
| ZTE | As Ericsson mentioned, the agreement need further clarification. In the example from Ericsson, how to handle the DL SPS HARQ-ACK corresponding to 1,2 which is not coverd by eType 3 CB? Continue deferring? Dropping? Or append to the eType 3 CB? From above comments, it seems much of companies think the deferring is ending and the HARQ not coverd by eType 3 CB are dropped? If it is, why not the dropping process to be a clear agreement? |
| vivo2 | For Ericsson’s example, we share the same views with CATT.  |
| NEC | We share same view with vivo and we don’t see the need for overturning the previous agreement.  |
| ETRI | We share same views with CATT and Docomo.  |
| Samsung | Simultaneous configuration of (e)Type 3 CB and SPS HARQ-ACK deferral is to provide HARQ-ACK feedback earlier. There is no motivation such that some HARQ(s) are still deferred or other HARQ(s) are triggered to report via (e)Type 3 CB. This complicate UE implementation for no reason.  |
| QC 2 | Unfortunate misunderstanding from the moderator who constantly changes QC’s intentions: no intention to overturn any previous agreement. The intention was to clarify the UE behavior of the ongoing SPS HARQ deferral. Vivo’s proposal is fine with QC.“Based on the previous discussions, considering the eType 3 HARQ codebook have variable sizes, it depends on Gnb’s decision on whether it wants the eType 3 HARQ codebook contain the HARQ-ACK corresponding to the SPS HARQ process for which the SPS HARQ-ACK is derferred. If the indicated eType 3 HARQ codebook does not contain the HARQ-ACK corresponding to deferred SPS HARQ-ACK, the deferred SPS HARQ-ACK is dropped and the derferrl procedure is also stopped. So, we do not think further update the agreement is needed.”Question to Vivo and to other companies with similar views:Is there any indication in the wording previous agreement that the UE would behave as described by Vivo?The previous agreement is listed below:AgreementSupport simultaneous configuration of Rel-16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook or enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook triggering and SPS HARQ-ACK deferral.* In case a R16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB or an enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook is triggered for transmission in a PUCCH slot, the UE stops the deferral procedure of pending SPS HARQ-ACK in that PUCCH slot and that PUCCH slot is not considered as a potential target slot for SPS HARQ-ACK deferral anymore.

Therefore, the modified proposal:Proposal for modified Agreement:Support simultaneous configuration of Rel-16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook or enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook triggering and SPS HARQ-ACK deferral.* In case a R16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB or an enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook is triggered for transmission in a PUCCH slot, the UE stops the deferral procedure of pending SPS HARQ-ACK in that PUCCH slot. The UE drops all pending SPS HARQ bits.
 |
| Moderator | Looking at the input received, it seems to be not just some minor wording issues, but some more essential disagreement with the proposal. The moderator therefore does not plan to continue discussions on the proposal.  |

* 1. 1st round of email discussion

**SPS deferral and PUCCH repetitions**

The following conclusion was reached last time in RAN1#107bis-e:

|  |
| --- |
| ConclusionThere is no consensus to support joint operation of SPS HARQ-ACK deferral and PUCCH repetition in Rel-17.  |

QC [19] raised, that further clarifications would be needed to clarify what is not supported or expected here. QC in [19] specifically raised the following:

* Following the #107bis-e conclusion not allowing joint configuration of SPS HARQ Deferral and PUCCH repetitions, the UE is not expecting to be configured with both SPS HARQ deferral and PUCCH repetitions; such a joint configuration is treated as an error case.
* RAN 1 to clarify that the maximum deferral time, *k1def\_max*, is applicable only for SPS configured with deferral and without SPS PUCCH repetitions.

At least from moderator perspective, there seems to be no further clarifications needed. But let’s check if companies think further clarifications, e.g., the two issues raised by QC above will need to be clarified.

**Question 2.3.1: Do you see a need for further clarification (in the specifications) regarding the following RAN1#107bis-e Conclusion? (Yes /No). If yes, please provide further details below…**

|  |
| --- |
| ConclusionThere is no consensus to support joint operation of SPS HARQ-ACK deferral and PUCCH repetition in Rel-17.  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Yes  | Intel, QC, vivo, DOCOMO, CATT, LG, NEC, ETRI |
| No | Nokia/NSB, Lenovo,OPPO, Sony, Samsung |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| Intel | We prefer clarification of this precluded joint operation. The first type of clarification is preferred. |
| QC | Simple note that a joint configuration of SPS HARQ deferral and PUCCH repetitions is not accepted and treated as error case, should be enough. |
| Huawei/Hisi | No consensus here implies no simultaneous configuration for the two features, which is the default status unless an explicit agreement supports that simultaneous configuration. |
| vivo | From our understanding, the conclusion actually means that the joint configuration of SPS HARQ deferral and PUCCH repetitions is not allowed. More specifically, our understanding is as long as one PUCCH format is configured with the number of slot that is larger than 1 (for semi-static PUCCH repetition) or the HARQ-ACK PUCCH resource is configured with repetition factor (for dynamic PUCCH repectition) for dynamic PDSCH, SPS HARQ-ACK deferral cannot be configured simultaneously.  |
| DOCOMO | We share same view as Intel that joint operation precluding can be clarified from configuration perspective, i.e. not allowing joint configuration of SPS HARQ Deferral and PUCCH repetitions for PUCCH resource by SPS-PUCCH-AN-List-r16 or n1PUCCH-AN. |
| CATT | Our understanding is also that the two features cannot be configured simultaneously. |
| Moderator | There seems to be interest from companies to clarify this. So let’s check this in 2nd round email approval.  |

2.4 2nd round of email approvals

**Remaining issues on SPS HARQ-ACK deferral**

Update to 2.2.2, based on the suggestion by HW/HiSi.

**Mod Proposal 2.2.2: For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, further clarify the timeline behavior on top of the earlier RAN1#105-e agreement with the following additions in green:**

|  |
| --- |
| Agreement: To handle the collision for the same HARQ process due to deferred SPS HARQ-ACK the following behaviour is to be specified: * In case the UE is expected to receive PDSCH of a certain HARQ Process ID according to TS 38.214 Sec. 5.1, the deferred SPS HARQ bit(s) for this HARQ Process ID are dropped at the PUCCH/PUSCH that would carry the HARQ-ACK associated with the PDSCH expected to be received.
	+ Note: there is no further discussion on specific handling for the case of DG PDSCH with the same HARQ process ID
 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Supporting companies  | Huawei/Hisi |
| Objecting companies |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**SPS deferral and PUCCH repetitions**

Based on the discussions in Question 2.3.1, a majority of companies seem to prefer to have some more clarification there.

Based on this, the following is brought forward:

**NEW Proposal 2.3.1: For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, a UE is not expecting to be configured with both, SPS HARQ deferral for any of the SPS configurations, and PUCCH repetitions for any PUCCH format or associated with any PUCCH resource.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Supporting companies  | Huawei/Hisi |
| Objecting companies |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Retransmission of cancelled HARQ

In this section, the proposed Rel-17 enhancements on retransmission of cancelled HARQ are summarized. The following related agreements from previous meetings are available on this topic:

**Generic agreements**

**RAN1#105-e (May 2021)**

|  |
| --- |
| Working Assumption: For at least HARQ-ACK re-transmission:* Support at least one enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB with smaller size (compared to Rel-16) in Rel-17
	+ *Definition of enhanced Type 3 CB:*
		- *The codebook size of a single triggered enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook at least determined by RRC configuration*
		- *The codebook construction uses HARQ processes as a bases (i.e. ordered according to HARQ-IDs and serving cells)*
* Support one-shot triggering (by a DL assignment) of HARQ-ACK re-transmission on a PUCCH resource other than enhanced Type 2 or (enhanced) Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB (i.e. Alt. 3) in Rel-17
	+ *Details are FFS*
* Enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB and/or one-shot triggering (by a DL assignment) of HARQ-ACK re-transmission on a PUCCH resource other than enhanced Type 2 or (enhanced) Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB are subject to separate UE capabilities
 |

**RAN1#106-e (Aug. 2021)**

|  |
| --- |
| **Agreement** Confirm the following RAN1#105-e working assumption:For at least HARQ-ACK re-transmission:* Support at least one enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB with smaller size (compared to Rel-16) in Rel-17
	+ Definition of enhanced Type 3 CB:
		- The codebook size of a single triggered enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook at least determined by RRC configuration
		- The codebook construction uses HARQ processes as a bases (i.e. ordered according to HARQ-IDs and serving cells)
* Support one-shot triggering (by a DL assignment) of HARQ-ACK re-transmission on a PUCCH resource other than enhanced Type 2 or (enhanced) Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB (i.e. Alt. 3) in Rel-17
	+ Details are FFS
* Enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB and/or one-shot triggering (by a DL assignment) of HARQ-ACK re-transmission on a PUCCH resource other than enhanced Type 2 or (enhanced) Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB are subject to separate UE capabilities
 |

**RAN1#107-e (Nov. 2021)**

|  |
| --- |
| **Conclusion**There is no consensus to support the simultaneous configuration of the Rel-16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB and Rel-17 one-shot re-tx HARQ triggering for a UE in Rel-17.**Conclusion**There is no consensus to support the simultaneous configuration of the Rel-17 Enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB and Rel-17 one-shot HARQ re-tx triggering for a UE in Rel-17.  |

**(Enhanced) Type-3 HARQ-ACK CB related**

**RAN1#106-e (Aug. 2021)**

|  |
| --- |
| **Agreement** Support PHY priority handling for a PUCCH carrying the Rel-17 enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB of smaller size. * The indicated PHY priority in the triggering DCI defines the PHY priority of the PUCCH carrying the Rel-17 enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB of smaller size.
* The A/N of HARQ processes is mapped to the Rel-17 enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB of smaller size irrespective of the PHY priority of the ‘A/N’ of the HARQ processes.
* FFS: If the HARQ-ACK codebook size or structure is dependent on the PHY priority (e.g. separate configuration of CBG/NDI usage, separate configuration of HARQ IDs / CCs per priority, SPS HARQ-ACK process IDs of specific priority only for a SPS HARQ-ACK only codebook, …).

**Agreement** Support PHY priority handling for a PUCCH carrying the Rel-16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB in Rel-17. * The indicated PHY priority in the triggering DCI defines the PHY priority of the PUCCH carrying the Rel-16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB.
* The A/N of HARQ processes is mapped to the Rel-16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB irrespective of the PHY priority of the ‘A/N’ of the HARQ processes.
* The support is subject to a Rel-17 UE capability and a UE supporting this capability can be configured in Rel-17 with Rel-16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB and PHY prioritization.

**Agreement** For the PHY priority handling of the enhanced Type 3 CB(s) of smaller size, the enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK has the same structure, size and content (in terms of HARQ-IDs, CCs) irrespective of the PHY priority. **Agreement** Support Rel-17 enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB of smaller size triggering using DCI format 1\_2 for a UE supporting DCI format 1\_2. * The triggering support for DCI format 1\_2 is independently (from triggering using DCI format 1\_1) RRC configured to the UE.

**Agreement** Support Rel-16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB triggering using DCI format 1\_2 in Rel-17 for a UE supporting DCI format 1\_2. * The support is subject to a Rel-17 UE capability and a UE supporting this capability can be configured with DCI format 1\_2 triggering of the Rel-16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB.

**Agreement** For the enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB of smaller size triggered in a PUCCH slot, the UE is not expecting HARQ-ACK information in a Type 1 or Type 2 HARQ-ACK CB to be transmitted that cannot be mapped to the enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB of smaller size as the HARQ process is not part of the codebook. **Agreement**For enh. Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB(s), support dynamic selection based on indication in the triggering DCI of one of at least one enh. Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB(s). * Each of the at least one enh. Type 3 HARQ-ACK CBs is at least defined by RRC configuration This includes the option to configure all DL HARQ processs of all configured CCs as one enh. Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB (resulting in same structure and size as the Rel-16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB)
* This includes UE capability signaling (value range {1…X}) on the maximum number of supported simultaneously configured enh. Type 3 HARQ-ACK CBs that can be dynamically indicated
* Details including the value of X are FFS

**Agreement**The following enhanced Type 3 CB types of smaller size are supported, the CB to contain either: * the HARQ processes of a subset of configured CCs, or
* a subset of configured HARQ processes (specific to CCs)

FFS: additional enh. Type 3 CB types |

**RAN1#106bis-e (Oct. 2021)**

|  |
| --- |
| **Conclusion**No additional enhanced Type 3 CB ‘types’ (such as activated CCs, of specific SPS configurations, etc.) in terms of RRC configuration are supported. **Agreement**For one enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB, the same CBG and NDI configuration applies to both PHY priorities following the RAN1#106-e agreement. **Agreement**The same set of enhanced Type 3 CBs (incl. CBG and NDI configuration) is applied for triggering using DCI format 1\_1 and 1\_2. **Agreement**Reuse the legacy 1-bit ‘*one-shot HARQ-ACK request*’ for triggering indication of the enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB of smaller size. * At least if only a single enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB is configured, the triggering DCI with the triggering bit set to ‘1’ is also able to schedule PDSCH.

**Agreement**The CBG and NDI usage can be independently configured for different enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CBs.**Agreement**The maximum number of simultaneously configurable enhanced Type 3 CB is indicated by the UE through UE capability signaling from the set of {1, 2, 4, 8}. |

**RAN1#107-e (Nov. 2021)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Agreement**The list enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebooks is configured per PUCCH cell group (i.e., separately configurable for primary and secondary PUCCH cell group).**Agreement**Support simultaneous configuration of enhanced Type 3 CB triggering and PUCCH cell switching. **Agreement**One enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook is RRC configured either as:* + - * 1. a subset of CC, i.e., all HARQ processes of the subset of CCs are part of the codebook, OR

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| pdsch-HARQ-ACK-enhType3perCC | Configure the one enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook using per CC configuration | (1..maxNrofServingCells) of Integer (0,1) |

* + - * 1. a subset of configured HARQ processes per CC, i.e., different subsets of HARQ processes can be configured for each CC.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| pdsch-HARQ-ACK-enhType3perHARQ | Configure the one enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook using a per HARQ process and CC configuration | (1..maxNrofServingCells) of Bit String (Size (16)) |

**Agreement**If more than one (M>1) enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook is configured and the triggering DCI with the *‘one-shot HARQ-ACK request’* set to ‘1’,* If the FDRA field is not valid, i.e. all “1s” or all “0s” as per Rel-16, then PDSCH is not scheduled:
	+ If a new field with N=ceiling(log2 (M)**)** bits is configured in the triggering DCI, the UE uses this new field to indicate one of M configured e-Type 3 HARQ-ACK CBs
	+ If the new field is not configured in the triggering DCI, the UE uses the MCS field to indicate one of M configured e-Type 3 HARQ-ACK CBs
* If the FDRA field is valid, then a PDSCH is scheduled
	+ If a new field with N=ceiling(log2 (M)**)** bits is configured in the triggering DCI, the UE uses this new field to indicate one of M configured e-Type 3 HARQ-ACK CBs
	+ If the new field is not configured in the triggering DCI, the UE selects the 1st indexed e-Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB in the M configured e-Type 3 HARQ-ACK CBs
 |

**RAN1#107bis-e (Jan. 2022)**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Agreement**Re-add the RRC parameter for the DCI field configuration in row 17 of the Enh. Type-3 HARQ-ACK codebook for the primary PUCCH cell group (that was lost when moving from v006 to v007 in the final RRC parameter discussions in RAN1#107-e, currently we only have the configuration for the secondary PUCCH cell group) i.e.,

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| pdsch-HARQ-ACK-enhType3DCIfield | Enables the enhanced Type 3 CB through a new DCI field to indicate the enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook in the primary cell group if the more than one enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook is configured for the primary PUCCH cell group. | Enabled |

**Agreement**Support separate configuration of the DCI field presence for enh. Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB for DCI format 1\_2 (i.e. *pdsch-HARQ-ACK-enhType3DCIfieldDCI-1-2*as discussed in RAN1#107-e) |

**One-shot triggering (by a DL assignment) of HARQ-ACK re-transmission on a PUCCH resource**

**RAN1#106-e (Aug. 2021)**

|  |
| --- |
| **Agreement** A single DCI triggering the Rel-17 one-shot triggering (by a DL assignment) of HARQ-ACK re-transmission on a PUCCH resource other than enhanced Type 2 or (enhanced) Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB can trigger the re-transmission of HARQ-ACK information of only a single HARQ-ACK CB. **Agreement** The Rel-17 one-shot triggering (by a DL assignment) of HARQ-ACK re-transmission on a PUCCH resource other than enhanced Type 2 or (enhanced) Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB is done through an explicit triggering indication in the DCI through a DCI field. **Agreement** Support PHY priority handling for the Rel-17 one-shot triggering (by a DL assignment) of HARQ-ACK re-transmission on a PUCCH resource other than enhanced Type 2 or (enhanced) Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB. * The indicated PHY priority in the triggering DCI defines the PHY priority of the PUCCH carrying the re-transmitted HARQ-ACK information.
* The indicated PHY priority in the triggering DCI is used to determine the HARQ-ACK information to be re-transmitted corresponding to the indicated PHY priority.

**Agreement**For Rel-17 one-shot triggering for HARQ-ACK re-transmission, the UE does not expect more than one triggering DCI for Rel-17 one-shot feedback indicating the same PUCCH slot for the re-transmission of HARQ-ACK CBs of different PUCCH slots to be re-transmitted* Note: i.e. only a single HARQ-ACK codebook / PUCCH occasion can be re-transmitted in a PUCCH slot
 |

**RAN1#106bis-e (Oct. 2021)**

|  |
| --- |
| **Agreement**Support triggering of one-shot HARQ re-transmission on PUCCH using DCI format 1\_2. **Agreement**For one-shot HARQ re-transmission on PUCCH, the triggering DCI dynamically indicates a ‘HARQ re-tx offset’ which is used to define the offset in number of PUCCH slots/sub-slots between the triggering DCI and the PUCCH slot/sub-slot of the HARQ-ACK codebook to be re-transmitted. For the triggering DCI received in slot/sub-slot m, indicating the HARQ-ACK re-tx in slot/sub-slot m+k and indicating HARQ\_retx\_offset, the PUCCH slot/sub-slot n of the HARQ-ACK codebook to be re-transmitted is determined as either: * Alt. 1: n = m - HARQ\_retx\_offset
* Alt. 2: n = m + k - HARQ\_retx\_offset
* FFS: value range of the HARQ-retx\_offset

**Agreement**For one-shot triggering of HARQ-ACK re-transmission on PUCCH, * in case the dynamic Type 2 HARQ-ACK codebook is configured, the HARQ-ACK codebook per PHY priority on the indicated PUCCH is constructed by appending the Type 2 HARQ-ACK codebook to be re-transmitted to the Type 2 HARQ-ACK codebook of the indicated PUCCH (carrying new, initial HARQ-ACK information) per PHY priority.
* in case the semi-static Type 1 HARQ-ACK codebook is configured, the HARQ-ACK codebook per PHY priority on the indicated PUCCH is constructed by appending the Type 1 HARQ-ACK codebook to be re-transmitted to the Type 1 HARQ-ACK codebook of the indicated PUCCH (carrying new, initial HARQ-ACK information) per PHY priority.
 |

**RAN1#107-e (Nov. 2021)**

|  |
| --- |
| **Agreement**The one-shot HARQ re-transmission on PUCCH is configured per PUCCH cell group (i.e., separately configurable for primary and secondary PUCCH cell group).**Agreement**For one-shot HARQ re-transmission on PUCCH, the ‘HARQ re-tx offset’ is determined as Alt. 1: *n = m - HARQ\_retx\_offset***Conclusion**There is no consensus to support the simultaneous configuration of one-shot HARQ-ACK re-transmission and dynamic PUCCH cell switching in Rel-17. **Agreement**Support simultaneous configuration of one-shot HARQ-ACK re-transmission and semi-static PUCCH cell switching:* the ‘backward HARQ-ACK slot-offset’ is interpreted with the granularity of a PUCCH slot of the respective PHY priority of PCell /PSCell / PUCCH SCell

**Agreement**Apply a 1-bit triggering DCI field for triggering indication of one-shot HARQ re-transmission on PUCCH. * The triggering DCI with the triggering bit set to ‘1’ is not able to schedule PDSCH.
* Some unused bit field in the DCI is used to indicate the HARQ slot offset.
* FFS: if the ‘one-shot HARQ-ACK request’ field can be reused
* FFS: which unsed DCI field in the DCI is used for HARQ slot offset indication
* FFS: The indication of whether the PDSCH is not scheduled will reuse Rel-16 type-3 HARQ ACK CB UE behavior

**Agreement**For one-shot triggering of HARQ re-transmission, introduce a new 1-bit DCI field in DCI format 1\_1 and in DCI format 1\_2 (if DCI format 1\_2 is configured with one-shot triggering of HARQ-ACK re-transmission).**Working Assumption** For one-shot triggering of HARQ re-transmission, in addition to one-shot triggering of HARQ re-transmission after the initial PUCCH transmission slot, the triggering is supported before the initial PUCCH transmission slot* Re-transmission triggering does not change processing for the initial PUCCH transmission (i.e., HARQ multiplexing / dropping / transmission)
* The UE expects the PUCCH carrying the HARQ-ACK re-transmission to be scheduled in a slot/sub-slot after the initial PUCCH transmission slot/sub-slot.
* The support for the triggering before the initial PUCCH transmission slot is subject to separate UE capability indication

**Agreement**For one-shot HARQ-ACK re-transmission, the value range for HARQ re-tx offset is fixed in the specification |

**RAN1#107bis-e (Jan. 2022)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Agreement**For one-shot HARQ-ACK re-transmission, the value range for HARQ re-tx offset is given by [*min\_HARQ\_retx\_offset\_value*, *max\_HARQ\_retx\_offset\_value*] with an indication of 1 slot / sub-slot within that range.* FFS the fixed value of *min\_HARQ\_retx\_offset\_value*
* FFS the fixed value of *max\_HARQ\_retx\_offset\_value*

**Conclusion**For one-shot HARQ re-transmission on PUCCH, the UE determines no PDSCH is scheduled when the triggering bit is set to ‘1’ (i.e. the UE does not need to in addition check any specific resource allocation setting).**Agreement**RAN1 confirms the following RAN1#107-e working assumption:

|  |
| --- |
| **Working Assumption** For one-shot triggering of HARQ re-transmission, in addition to one-shot triggering of HARQ re-transmission after the initial PUCCH transmission slot, the triggering is supported before the initial PUCCH transmission slot* Re-transmission triggering does not change processing for the initial PUCCH transmission (i.e., HARQ multiplexing / dropping / transmission)
* The UE expects the PUCCH carrying the HARQ-ACK re-transmission to be scheduled in a slot/sub-slot after the initial PUCCH transmission slot/sub-slot.
* The support for the triggering before the initial PUCCH transmission slot is subject to separate UE capability indication
 |

**Agreement**For one-shot HARQ-ACK re-transmission, * the minimum value for the HARQ re-tx offset *min\_HARQ\_retx\_offset\_value* is -7.
* the maximum value for the HARQ re-tx offset *max\_HARQ\_retx\_offset\_value* is 24.
* *Note: UE capability reporting on the UE supported value range for HARQ\_retx\_offset* *in the scope of [min\_HARQ\_retx\_offset\_value, max\_HARQ\_retx\_offset\_value ]* *that can be indicated by the gNB for the UE can be further discussed in UE capabilities*

**Agreement**For one-shot triggering of HARQ-ACK re-transmission, the *HARQ\_retx\_offset* is indicated by the bits of the MCS field for transport block 1. **Conclusion** There is no consensus on the support of HARQ-ACK CB size indication in the triggering DCI for HARQ-ACK re-transmission**Conclusion** There is no consensus to support the following in Rel-17: * For one-shot HARQ re-transmission on PUCCH, if certain HARQ process IDs of the requested HARQ CB to be retransmitted is replaced by new HARQ bits, the UE transmits the new content of HARQ process(es) being updated.

**Agreement**For PUCCH repetition and one-shot HARQ-ACK re-transmission, if the gNB triggers the HARQ-ACK CB re-transmission from a PUCCH slot indicated by *HARQ\_retx\_offset* where a HARQ-ACK in a first PUCCH is dropped due to overlapping with another, second PUCCH, where the first PUCCH and second PUCCH have the same L1 priority, and at least one of the first PUCCH and the second PUCCH is subject to a repetition, the UE re-transmits the HARQ-ACK CB of the second PUCCH from the slot. |

* 1. Summary of companies input in their contributions

**Enhanced Type 3 CB:**

* HW/HiSi [1]: Joint operation between PUCCH repetition and Type 3 CB / enhanced Type 3 CB can be straightforwardly supported with negligible spec impact
* OPPO [7]: If an eType 3 HARQ-ACK CB is triggered in a given subslot, the HARQ-ACK information in a Type 1/2 HARQ-ACK CB to be transmitted in a slot/subslot overlapping with the given subslot should be mapped to the eType 3 HARQ-ACK CB.
	+ See details in Sec. 3 of [7]
* Intel [15]: For phy prioritization between LP/HP PUCCH carrying (e)Type3 CB and HP/LP PUCCH carrying HARQ-ACK using Release 16 dropping
	+ UE may expect eType3 CB to not contain a HARQ process for a bit overlapping with the same PUCCH resource as the eType3 CB
	+ LP PUCCH is dropped according to Release 16 procedures

**Identified required changes the current specifications (TS 38.213)**

* vivo [5]: The two different ways to configure one enh. Type 3 CB (using either *pdsch-HARQ-ACK-enhType3perCC* or *pdsch-HARQ-ACK-enhType3perHARQ*) are currently not captured:

|  |
| --- |
| **9.1.4 Type-3 HARQ-ACK codebook determination**If a UE is provided *pdsch-HARQ-ACK-OneShotFeedback*, the UE determines $\tilde{o}\_{0}^{ACK},\tilde{o}\_{1}^{ACK},…,\tilde{o}\_{O\_{ACK}-1}^{ACK}$ HARQ-ACK information bits, for a total number of $O\_{ACK}$ HARQ-ACK information bits, of a Type-3 HARQ-ACK codebook according to the following procedure. If the UE is provided *pdsch-HARQ-ACK-enhType3List* and a DCI format scheduling PDSCH reception and triggering the Type-3 HARQ-ACK codebook includes a Type3-subcodebook-index field that provides a value for *pdsch-HARQ-ACK-enhType3Index*, the UE determines for each configured serving cell $c$ if at least one configured HARQ process for it is involved in the Type-3 HARQ-ACK codebook determination, and when applicable, determines if HARQ process number $h$ for serving cell $c$ is involved in the Type-3 HARQ-ACK codebook determination,  ~~a number of indicated serving cells~~ $N\_{cells}^{DL,ind}$ ~~and a number of indicated HARQ processes~~ $N\_{HARQ,c}^{DL,ind}$ ~~for each indicated serving cell~~ $c$from the entry in *pdsch-HARQ-ACK-enhType3List* corresponding to the *pdsch-HARQ-ACK-enhType3Index* value. If the DCI format does not include the Type3-subcodebook-index field, the *pdsch-HARQ-ACK-enhType3Index* value is zero.Set $N\_{cells}^{DL}$ to the number of configured serving cells ~~or, when applicable, to~~ $N\_{cells}^{DL,ind}$Set $N\_{HARQ,c}^{DL}$ to the value of *nrofHARQ-ProcessesForPDSCH* for serving cell $c$, if provided; else, set $N\_{HARQ,c}^{DL}=8$~~. When applicable, set~~ $N\_{HARQ,c}^{DL}$ ~~to~~ $N\_{HARQ,c}^{DL,ind}$Set $N\_{TB,c}^{DL}$ to the value of *maxNrofCodeWordsScheduledByDCI* for serving cell $c$ if *harq-ACK-SpatialBundlingPUCCH* is provided and $NDI\_{HARQ}=0$, or if *harq-ACK-SpatialBundlingPUCCH* is not provided, or if *maxCodeBlockGroupsPerTransportBlock* is provided for serving cell $c$; else, set $N\_{TB,c}^{DL}=1$Set $N\_{HARQ-ACK,c}^{CBG/TB,max}$ to the number of HARQ-ACK information bits per TB for PDSCH receptions on serving cell $c$ as described in clause 9.1.1 if *maxCodeBlockGroupsPerTransportBlock* is provided for serving cell $c$ and *pdsch-HARQ-ACK-OneShotFeedbackCBG* or *pdsch-HARQ-ACK-enhType3CBG* is provided; else, set $N\_{HARQ-ACK,c}^{CBG/TB,max}=0$Set $NDI\_{HARQ}=0$ if *pdsch-HARQ-ACK-OneShotFeedbackNDI* or *pdsch-HARQ-ACK-enhType3NDI* is provided; else set $NDI\_{HARQ}=1$Set $c=0$ – serving cell indexSet $h=0$ – HARQ process numberSet $t=0$ – TB indexSet $g=0$ – CBG indexSet $j=0$while $c<N\_{cells}^{DL}$if the UE is not provided *pdsch-HARQ-ACK-enhType3List*, or, if the UE is provided *pdsch-HARQ-ACK-enhType3List* and the UE determines that for serving cell $c$ at least one configured HARQ process is involved in the Type-3 HARQ-ACK codebook determinationwhile $h<N\_{HARQ,c}^{DL}$if the UE is not provided *pdsch-HARQ-ACK-enhType3List*, or, if the UE is provided *pdsch-HARQ-ACK-enhType3List* and the UE determines that HARQ process number $h$ for serving cell $c$ is involved in the Type-3 HARQ-ACK codebook determination……end if$h=h+1$ end whileend if$h=0$ $c=c+1$ end while……If - a UE detects a DCI format that includes a One-shot HARQ-ACK request field with value 1, and- the CRC of the DCI is scrambled by a C-RNTI or an MCS-C-RNTI, and- *resourceAllocation* = *resourceAllocationType0* and all bits of the frequency domain resource assignment field in the DCI format are equal to 0, or- *resourceAllocation* = *resourceAllocationType1* and all bits of the frequency domain resource assignment field in the DCI format are equal to 1, or- *resourceAllocation = dynamicSwitch* and all bits of the frequency domain resource assignment field in the DCI format are equal to 0 or 1the DCI format provides a request for a Type-3 HARQ-ACK codebook report and does not schedule a PDSCH reception. If the UE is provided *pdsch-HARQ-ACK-enhType3List* and the DCI format includes a Type3-subcodebook-index field that provides a value for *pdsch-HARQ-ACK-enhType3Index*, the UE determines for each configured serving cell $c$ if at least one configured HARQ process for it is involved in the Type-3 HARQ-ACK codebook determination, and when applicable, determines if HARQ process number $h$ for serving cell $c$ is involved in the Type-3 HARQ-ACK codebook determination,~~a number of indicated serving cells~~ $N\_{cells}^{DL,ind}$ ~~and a number of indicated HARQ processes~~ $N\_{HARQ,c}^{DL,ind}$ ~~for each indicated serving cell~~ $c$ from the entry in *pdsch-HARQ-ACK-enhType3List* corresponding to the *pdsch-HARQ-ACK-enhType3Index* value. If the DCI format does not include the Type3-subcodebook-index field, the *pdsch-HARQ-ACK-enhType3Index* value is provided by the value of MCS field in the DCI format. The UE is expected to provide HARQ-ACK information in response to the request for the Type-3 HARQ-ACK codebook after $N$ symbols from the last symbol of a PDCCH providing the DCI format, where the value of $N$ for $μ=0,1,2$ is provided in clause 10.2 by replacing "SPS PDSCH release" with "DCI format".……--------------------------------------------------------End text proposal---------------------------------------------------------- |

**One-shot triggering (by a DL assignment) of HARQ-ACK re-transmission on a PUCCH resource**

**Clarification on invalid / not available CB discussed in RAN1#107bis-e already:**

* vivo [5], Intel [15], QC [19]: Clarify the following as a conclusion:
	+ *When a UE receives a one-shot triggering DCI for HARQ-ACK re-transmission, and did not generate an HARQ-ACK codebook with the indicated PHY priority for corresponding PUCCH transmission in the original PUCCH slot, the UE ignores the triggering DCI, without determining corresponding PUCCH transmission in the PUCCH slot designated for HARQ-ACK re-transmission.*

**Multi-DCI configuration in M-TRP:**

* QC [19]: For “triggered HARQ CB reTx” in case of multi-DCI configuration in multi-TRP, and for multiple HARQ CBs in the same slot, the UE identifies the requested HARQ CB for retransmission through the TRP from which the request originates; the TRP issuing the request for HARQ CB retransmission is identified via the CORESET index used in DCI transmission.

**Further one-shot HARQ re-tx restrictions:**

* The maximum number for keeping HARQ codebooks can be configured: ETRI [14]
* If the content of one or more HARQ process of the requested for retransmission HARQ CB has changed, i.e. is replaced by new HARQ bits, the UE considers the request for HARQ CB retransmission void and transmits nothing: QC [19]
* The CRC of the DCI triggering HARQ CB retransmission is not scrambled with CS-RNTI: QC [19]
* UE capability on how many HARQ-ACK CBs can be stored: LG [20]
	+ UE reports the number X, which indicates the maximum number of HARQ-ACK codebooks stored simultaneously in the UE side.
		- gNB would be able to trigger one-shot HARQ-ACK retransmission for one of latest X scheduled HARQ-ACK codebooks.
	+ *Moderator comment*: better to bring this up in the UE capability session (where the discussions on UE capability components etc. are handled). And one addition here still: wouldn’t it be the same for the UE to indicate the maximum offset (as we have in our agreement with the following note:
		- *Note: UE capability reporting on the UE supported value range for HARQ\_retx\_offset* *in the scope of [min\_HARQ\_retx\_offset\_value, max\_HARQ\_retx\_offset\_value ]* *that can be indicated by the gNB for the UE can be further discussed in UE capabilities*

**Other than enh. Type 3 & One-shot Triggering:**

**NEC [17] on DRX enhancements -** see further details in Sec. 3 of [17]:*Further study the enhancements on current DRX mechanism to better support dynamic requested HARQ-ACK retransmission. E.g.,*

* *Start drx-RetransmissionTimerDL in the first symbol after the corresponding cancelled PUCCH transmission to ensure that UE has chance to receive the PDCCH for triggering HARQ-ACK retransmission.*
	1. 1st round of email approvals

**Joint operation of enhanced Type 3 CB with PUCCH repetition:**

HW/HiSi [1] discusses that joint operation between PUCCH repetition and Type 3 CB / enhanced Type 3 CB can be straightforwardly supported with negligible spec impact. According to moderator understanding, the Rel-16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook re-transmission combined with PUCCH repetition is supported in Rel-16 already. So in case we need some decision here, it seems that the decision would need to be limited to the Rel-17 enhanced Type 3 CB.

**Proposal 3.2.1: Joint operation between PUCCH repetition and Rel-17 enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB triggering is supported in Rel-17.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Supporting companies  | Nokia/NSB, Intel, Ericsson, QC Huawei/Hisi New H3C, vivo, DOCOMO,OPPO, Panasonic, CATT, ZTE, LG, NEC, ETRI, Samsung |
| Objecting companies |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| Intel | Don’t see motivation to preclude this type of joint operation |
| Ericsson | We also share the view the joint operation is straightforward and no neeed for special handling, or tp be precluded. |
| Huawei/Hisi | It can be a conclusion to mark the common understanding, and there is no need of additional RAN1 spec impact. |
| ZTE | Natural consequence, no more open issues are needed. |

**RRC configurable maximum number of HARQ-ACK codebooks to be stored (RRC impact)**

ETRI [14] proposes to make the maximum number of HARQ-ACK codebooks the UE needs to store configurable. They then suggest that the UE stores only the last X HARQ-ACK codebooks (and the assumption is, that the UE does not expect to be triggered for a re-transmission of an earlier HARQ-ACK CB then). Please note, there is also the ongoing parallel UE feature discussions based on the RAN1 agreement, the UE could be indicating the min. and max. supported value (and thereby reduce the storage burden there):

|  |
| --- |
| AgreementFor one-shot HARQ-ACK re-transmission, * the minimum value for the HARQ re-tx offset *min\_HARQ\_retx\_offset\_value* is -7.
* the maximum value for the HARQ re-tx offset *max\_HARQ\_retx\_offset\_value* is 24.
* *Note: UE capability reporting on the UE supported value range for HARQ\_retx\_offset* *in the scope of [min\_HARQ\_retx\_offset\_value, max\_HARQ\_retx\_offset\_value ]* *that can be indicated by the gNB for the UE can be further discussed in UE capabilities*
 |

Therefore, this proposed RRC signaling would be on top of the potential UE capability signaling.

**Proposal 3.2.2 (RRC impact): For one-shot HARQ-ACK re-transmission on PUCCH, the UE can be configured with a maximum number of HARQ-ACK codebooks it needs to keep / store**.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Support companies  | ETRI |
| Objecting companies | Nokia/NSB, Intel, Ericsson, Lenovo Huawei/Hisi New H3C,OPPO, Panasonic, CATT, ZTE, NEC, Samsung |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| Nokia/NSB | No need for such an RRC parameter. If UE can only handle limited number of CBs, this should be taken into account in the UE capability reporting.  |
| Intel | Prefer to discuss such limitations as part of UE capability.  |
| Ericsson | We also believe this discussion belongs to UE feature. |
| QC | The group needs to agree first on what exactly the UE stores. |
| Lenovo | We think UE capability reporting is sufficient. |
| Huawei/Hisi | Maximum number of HARQ-ACK codebooks is reflected by reported range from the minimum value to the maximum value of HARQ re-tx offset |
| vivo | We think UE capability for [min\_HARQ\_retx\_offset\_value, max\_HARQ\_retx\_offset\_value] can achieve similar purpose. So, there is no need for such capability.  |
| DOCOMO | We also think it’s better to be discussed in UE feature. |
| OPPO | Store buffer can be determined by min\_HARQ\_retx\_offset\_value, max\_HARQ\_retx\_offset\_value. No additional parameter is required. |
| Panasonic | We agree to the comments from Nokia and Intel. |
| ZTE | Can be discussed in UE feature. |
| LG | We support this feature but we also think it would be good to discuss in the UE feature.  |
| NEC | We agree to the comments that UE capability is suffiecient to limit the number of HARQ-ACK codebooks. |
| ETRI | For the current formulation based on *HARQ\_retx\_offset\_value,* the UE stores all HARQ-ACK bits for those slots in the range (case 1), or the UE can re-construct the HARQ-ACK codebook in the indicated slot (case 2). We would like to clarify which case 1 of case 2 is applied. If case 1 is considered, then we note that, for easier implementation, the maximum number of HARQ-ACK bits can be also considered to avoid worst case. However, if case 2 is considered, the current *HARQ\_retx\_offset\_value* may be sufficient.We understand the majority view and we might interpret the case 2 (re-construct the codebook only) can be the group’s understanding. |
| Samsung | Can be part of UE capability and can be taken care by the gNB. |
| Moderator | Looking at the input received, it seems to be not just some minor wording issues, but some more essential disagreement with the proposal. There is discussion in UE features already. Do not plan to continue discussing this!  |

**Clarification on invalid / not available CB (discussed in RAN1#107bis-e already):**

In RAN1#107bis-e, we concluded to not support indication of HARQ-ACK CB size in the triggering DCI. We discussed at that time already a potential conclusion on the handling if the HARQ-ACK CB in the initial slot is not valid /has not been generated.

Vivo, Intel & QC again thought a related conclusion would be good to have.

Therefore, the following is brought forward (with slight rewording compared to RAN1#107bis-e):

**Proposed conclusion 3.2.3: When a UE receives a one-shot triggering DCI for HARQ-ACK re-transmission, and did not generate an HARQ-ACK codebook with the indicated PHY priority for corresponding PUCCH transmission in the original PUCCH slot, the UE ignores the triggering DCI, without determining corresponding PUCCH transmission in the PUCCH slot designated for HARQ-ACK re-transmission.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Support companies  | Nokia/NSB, Intel, Ericsson(see comment to change to “Proposed agreement”), QC, Lenovo Huawei/Hisi New H3C, vivo, DOCOMO,OPPO, Panasonic, CATT, LG, NEC, Samsung |
| Objecting companies | ZTE |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| Intel | We consider that the discussion on invalid / unavailable CB is still open since the conclusion in the last meeting is related to CB size signaling enhancement.From that perspective, it is important and straightforward to have such an agreement. Note, we think this needs to be captured somewhere in specs, thus ‘Proposed Conclusion’ should be title as a ‘Proposed Agreement’. |
| Ericsson | We share the same view as Intel and it should be as Proposed agreement to be reflected in specification. Currently, the spec is described as the CB is always present. Therefore, this case is missing and it is important to be captured. |
| Huawei/Hisi | Share the same view that an agreement should be better to align the UE behaviour between gNB and UE. |
| vivo | We think such conclusion is beneficial. Otherwise, we may need to clarify the UE behaviour in future.  |
| Moderator | We discussed this in the last meeting already (where it was proposed as a ‘proposed agreement’). At that time there had been companies saying, that such case would be as other cases by the UE – i.e. DCI is to be regarded as a false-positive DCI detection and neglected by the UE and therefore, at maximum this should be a conclusion (see comments by Samsung in Jan. meeting). Maybe we can go for a conclusion here (to have the behavior identified) in a first place. If this has specification impact is then a secondary issue.  |
| ZTE | The proposal can specify the UE behaviour, but can not solve the ambiguity on gNB. gNB doesn't judge the non reception of HARQ retransmission is due to the one-shot DCI missing or the missing of DCI for generating the orginal codebook. For gNB, how to handle the case? To retransmit the one-shot DCI or retransmit the scheduling DCI? I think we should have a common sense on this.Furthermore, if the retransmitted PUCCH is intended to multiplexing in a PUSCH, but as this proposal, it doesn’t transmit, the gNB should prepare the blind decoding for PUSCH, right?I don’t try to block the proposal, but just think the proposal doesn't solve the issue of gNB, we hope there is an overall agreement/conlcusion both on gNB and UE. Otherwise, we can leave this issue unsolved. |
| Samsung | OK as a conclusion – the specifications already address such issues by the UE ignoring inconsistent DCI. The overall event is marginal as it requires that the UE misses all DCIs and the gNB fails to do DTX detection. |
| QC 2 | Agreement with ZTE, the proposal does not solve the issue. It is just a clarification on the UE behavior. The only solution would have been that the network indicates the requested HARQ CB size. The unfortunate leadership constellation in this group blocked that proposal on the basis of non-technical arguments. Some further work is needed to avoid this in the future. |

**Handling if new HARQ information is available for a certain HARQ process:**

We had in the last meeting discussions on the handling for this case with the following conclusion:

|  |
| --- |
| Conclusion There is no consensus to support the following in Rel-17: * For one-shot HARQ re-transmission on PUCCH, if certain HARQ process IDs of the requested HARQ CB to be retransmitted is replaced by new HARQ bits, the UE transmits the new content of HARQ process(es) being updated.
 |

QC in [19] proposes now that for the case that there is an update, this is to be regarded as an error case and the UE would not the HARQ-ACK codebook for re-transmission.

**Proposal 3.2.4: For one-shot HARQ-ACK re-transmission on PUCCH, if the content of one or more HARQ process of the requested for retransmission HARQ CB has changed, i.e. is replaced by new HARQ bits, the UE considers the request for HARQ CB retransmission void and transmits nothing.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Support companies  | QC |
| Objecting companies | Nokia/NSB, Intel, Ericsson Huawei/Hisi New H3C, vivo, DOCOMO, CATT, LG, NEC, ETRI, Samsung |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| Nokia/NSB | UE can just store the HARQ-ACK CBs and re-transmit them. No need to have such restrictions here (which would somehow defeat the initial purpose of the HARQ-ACK re-transmission operation).  |
| Intel | Our understanding of the conclusion from RAN1#107bis-e is that UE does not replace the old bits with the new ones. No further clarification is needed. |
| Ericsson | With respectto UE implemtations and issues with storage, we cannot comment. However, the fact that the HARQ process can be resued for scheduling even when the feedback is not received yet, was one of the benefit of the feature.  |
| QC | This proposal is the only feasible way for the feature to be implementable. The reasons are 2:1. the UE does not have to store ACK/NACK bits – in addition to storing the list of HARQ Processes per HARQ CB. The UE must store the list of HARQ Processes per HARQ CB to keep track of the relevant DRX timers, which are stored per HARQ process.
2. The network does not have to check the content of each HARQ Process contained in the retransmitted HARQ CB for its validity.

There is no proposal to transmit the new HARQ bits. This is already concluded and no intention to revert it.The modified proposal is the following:“"**For triggered HARQ CB retransmission, it is not mandatory for UEs to store the HARQ CB to be retransmitted**".”The UE and the network will have to do extra processing if the UE “simply retransmits” a copy of the initial HARQ CB. Such a solution is a useless complication. The only technically justified procedure would have been that the UE retransmits the latest copy of each HARQ Process contained in the HARQ CB. This other solution being excluded at the last meeting, the only option is that the UE ignores each request for HARQ CB retransmission when the content of at least one HARQ Process in the retransmitted HARQ CB changes.Nokia’s argument is lacking technical justification and it shows technical ignorance of i) RAN 2 procedures ii) UE processing and iii) gNB processing. * The statement “UE can just store the HARQ-ACK CBs and re-transmit them” is erroneous; the UE cannot simply store ACK/NACK bits per HARQ CB. The UE needs to store the list of HARQ processes contained in the retransmitted HARQ CB. This information is needed since the UE needs to start the drx-HARQ-RTT-TimerDL per HARQ Process after HARQ CB retransmission. See pending agreement from RAN 2 (proposal sent out in RAN 2 last week and to be endorsed/agreed tomorrow or Wednesday)

 **Proposal 3. (13/15) Upon One-shot HARQ-ACK retransmission request, UE starts *drx-HARQ-RTT-TimerDL* for the HARQ process(es) whose ACK/NACK status is reported.*** If the UE retransmits the previous content of a HARQ process with a retransmitted HARQ CB, the extra tasks the network needs to do are the following:
* Keep track of the list of HARQ Processes whose content has changed
* Ignore the feeback (ACK/NACK) for this process upon their reception

Before pre-arranging with the group leadership to push the group into a conclusion of the type “There is no consensus to support …”, a technical discussion with RAN 2 colleagues and with implementation teams is necessary.Despite the incomprehensible statement (“which would somehow defeat the initial purpose of the HARQ-ACK re-transmission operation”), the understanding is that QC’s proposal is the only chance this feature is implementable and be somehow to its initial branding “a simple solution for HARQ retransmission”. Already, the “triggered HARQ CB retransmission” has several issues:1. Extra memory requirements at the UE and at the gNB
2. DCI waste (no option for new PDSCH scheduling)
3. Ambiguity not solved when the requested HARQ CB is not available

Adding another issue such as retransmitting the initial copy of the HARQ CB will kill any chances of the feature being implemented. Moreover, this procedure was marketed as simple, since “the UE needs to store only the HARQ CB, i.e. ACK/NACK bits”, which is obviously false. @Ericson: if the HARQ processes are scheduled to new TBs and the previous content is retransmitted, the gNB needs to perform extra processing. |
| Huawei/Hisi | In our understanding, a new buffer needs to be introduced for saving the content of the whole CB, instead of the list of the HARQ IDs of that CB. |
| vivo | Our underatnding for the conclusion is UE does not replace the old bits with the new ones and just transmits the PUCCH as it is in the original PUCCH slot.  |
| DOCOMO | We share same view as Intel/vivo that the old bits are not replaced for such case.  |
| CATT | We share the same view as Intel, vivo and DOCOMO and prefer not to repeat the discussion. |
| ZTE | Not quite sure about “Nothing”. Does the nothing means the old HARQ or include the new HARQ?From my understanding, if gNB schedules a new HARQ sharing the same ID with old HARQ, it means gNB will sacrifice the old HARQ.Then if the nothing only means old HARQ, we can support the proposal. |
| ETRI | Our interpretation of the conclusion is that it is up to gNB whether to ignore the (un)updated HARQ-ACK bit. In this case, this HARQ-ACK bit can be of no use. However, it is still possible to retransmit the HARQ codebook with some incorrect HARQ-ACK bits. |
| Samsung | Discussed and concluded in RAN1#107bis-e. |
| QC 2 | There is no proposal in replacing the old HARQ bits with new HARQ bits when the content of the HARQ CB changes. The conclusion from the previous meeting is respected. The proposal is to introduce a limitation at the network not to change the HARQ CB content of a requested for retransmission HARQ CB. This is the only way with which the complexity of “triggered HARQ CB retransmission” can be kept low. |
| Moderator | Looking at the input received, it seems to be not just some minor wording issues, but some more essential disagreement with the proposal. The moderator therefore does not plan to continue discussions on the proposal.  |

**Limitation of RNTI usage:**

QC [19] suggesting to not support the HARQ-ACK re-tx triggering using the CS-RNTI (used for SPS operation) in order to not getting conflicts with the SPS release procedure. Therefore, the following proposal is brought forward:

**Proposal 3.2.5: For one-shot HARQ-ACK re-transmission on PUCCH, triggering the HARQ-ACK CB re-transmission with a triggering DCI with CRC scrambled with the CS-RNTI is not supported.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Support companies  | Nokia/NSB, Intel, Ericsson, QC, Lenovo Huawei/Hisi New H3C, vivo, DOCOMO,OPPO, Panasonic, CATT, ZTE, LG, NEC, Samsung |
| Objecting companies |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Multi-DCI configuration in M-TRP:**

Qualcomm discussed the joint operation of one-shot HARQ-ACK retransmission on PUCCH and multi-DCI in M-TRP operation, where more than one HARQ-ACK CB in a PUCCH slot is transmitted and therefore additional clarification on the TRP would be needed.

Let’s see if the QC proposal could be agreeable for the joint operation here:

**Proposal 3.2.6: Support joint operation of multi-DCI configuration in multi-TRP and one-shot HARQ-ACK re-transmission on PUCCH in Rel-17**

* **For “triggered HARQ CB reTx” in case of multi-DCI configuration in multi-TRP, and for multiple HARQ CBs in the same slot, the UE identifies the requested HARQ CB for retransmission through the TRP from which the request originates; the TRP issuing the request for HARQ CB retransmission is identified via the CORESET index used in DCI transmission.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Support companies  | Intel, Ericsson, QC, [Samsung] |
| Objecting companies | Nokia/NSB, DOCOMO |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| Nokia/NSB | Should be handled in multi-TRP (if they think HARQ re-transmission would be useful with multi-DCI configuration) |
| Intel | We are open to considerations of joint multi-TRP and HARQ CB retransmission operation. Differently to Nokia, we think it is R17 URLLC/IIOT responsibility to decide whether to enable/expect such joint operation. |
| Ericsson | Similarly to Intel, we see the benefit in the proposal and ar eopen to discuss if there is any details missing.  |
| QC | The fact that the proposal should be handled by another group is not a justification for objecting the proposal here. Lack of technical knowledge to judge if the mTRP – mDCI scenario in URLLC/IIOT is relevant does not justify the objection of the proposal. The group can educate the moderator. Indication of irresponsible/immature behavior by the group leadership.  |
| Huawei/Hisi | We can understand the motivation, but more time may be needed to analyse further potential impact to spec as it is a cross-topic issue, so it is preferred to postpone the decision of this proposal rather than making decision in haste. |
| DOCOMO | We are open to discuss joint operation of multi-DCI configuration in multi-TRP and one-shot HARQ-ACK re-transmission on PUCCH. But we don’t support the sub-bullet for the detailed handling. Joint HARQ feedback and separate HARQ feedback can be supported for multi-DCI based M-TRP case. In our understanding, for joint HARQ feedback case, a PUCCH can include HARQ-ACK information bits for PDSCHs from both TRPs. If HARQ-ACK CB retransmission is triggred, it is straightforward that all HARQ-ACK information bits in the HARQ-ACK CB can be retransmitted.  |
| ZTE | We are open to this issue. My primitive thinking is the processing of M-TRP and One-shot retransmission are orthogonal, and no more specific issues to be discussed. |
| LG | Same as Nokia’s view.  |
| Samsung | Seems like a straightforward combination of M-TRP and “one-shot”. However, it should be clarified that this is applicable for the case of separate HARQ-ACK reporting to TRPs (separate PUCCHs), it is not applicable for joint reporting (single PUCCH). Either the MIMO WI or this WI is OK for the discussion/conclusion. |
| QC 2 | It is understood that some companies might need more time to discuss the issue. This is not a reason for objecting the proposal though. |
| Moderator | @QC / Konstantinous: the moderator brought this (single company) proposal forward, so I don’t get the complain here about ‘moderator’ or ‘leadership’. Companies are encouraged to check if there are any details missing till RAN1#109 (… to give companies a bit more time to check with their M-TRP / MIMO colleagues, as suggested by HW) and bring detailed proposals to the next meeting.  |

* 1. 1st round of email discussions

**Enhanced Type 3 CB operation with PHY prioritization:**

Intel [15] suggests specific Rel-16 PHY prioritization handling for the Type 3 and enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB. The current agreements are not fully clear in terms of PHY priority, where multiplexing of Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB with initial HARQ is not supported that cannot be mapped to the enhanced Type 3 CB. So would be maybe good to clarify this. The following agreement we have in place here:

|  |
| --- |
| Agreement For the enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB of smaller size triggered in a PUCCH slot, the UE is not expecting HARQ-ACK information in a Type 1 or Type 2 HARQ-ACK CB to be transmitted that cannot be mapped to the enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB of smaller size as the HARQ process is not part of the codebook.  |

Intel is suggesting some specific handling – another option could simply be, that no overlapping PUCCH is to be expected for simplicity (i.e. the UE does not expect a (e)Type 3 CB transmission to be cancelled due to overlapping PUCCH containing HARQ-ACK of a higher or lower priority.

OPPO [7] (see Sec. 3) further discusses, that if an eType 3 HARQ-ACK CB is triggered in a given subslot, the HARQ-ACK information in a Type 1/2 HARQ-ACK CB to be transmitted in a slot/subslot overlapping with the given subslot should be mapped to the eType 3 HARQ-ACK CB.

**Question 3.3.1: For PHY prioritization between LP/HP PUCCH carrying Rel-16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB or Rel-17 enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB and HP/LP PUCCH carrying HARQ-ACK using the Rel-16 PHY prioritization operation:**

* **Alt. 1 (from Intel):**
	+ **UE may expect eType3 CB to not contain a HARQ process for a bit overlapping with the same PUCCH resource as the eType3 CB**
	+ **LP PUCCH is dropped according to Release 16 procedures**
* **Alt. 2 (from OPPO)**
	+ **If an eType 3 HARQ-ACK CB is triggered in a given subslot, the HARQ-ACK information in a Type 1/2 HARQ-ACK CB to be transmitted in a slot/subslot overlapping with the given subslot should be mapped to the eType 3 HARQ-ACK CB.**
* **Alt. 3:**
	+ **For enhanced Type 3 CB, the restriction on the Type 1 / Type 2 HARQ-ACK CB mapping from the earlier agreement is only applicable to the same PHY priority of the Type 1 / Type 2 CB as the PUCCH for the enhanced Type 3 CB re-transmission. LP PUCCH is dropped according to the Rel-16 PHY prioritization procedures.**
	+ **For Rel-16 Type3 CB, as all the HARQ-ACK information is already included in the Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB, there would not be any HP PUCCH overlapping with HARQ-ACK information only that could cancel a potential Type 3 CB transmission using the 1st (LP) PUCCH configuration.**
* **Alt. 4:**
	+ **For the enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB of smaller size triggered in a PUCCH slot, the UE is not expecting HARQ-ACK information in a Type 1 or Type 2 HARQ-ACK CB of any PHY priority to be transmitted that cannot be mapped to the enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB of smaller size as the HARQ process is not part of the codebook.**
		- ***Note: There would not be any cases of the related overlapping with HP HARQ-ACK only.***
	+ **For Rel-16 Type3 CB, as all the HARQ-ACK information is already included in the Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB, there would not be any HP PUCCH overlapping with HARQ-ACK information only that could cancel a potential Type 3 CB transmission using the 1st (LP) PUCCH configuration.**
* **Other:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alt. 1 | Support | Intel |
| Not support |  |
| Alt. 2 | Support | DOCOMO,OPPO |
| Not support |  |
| Alt. 3 | Support | Intel Huawei/Hisi (Alt.3’) |
| Not support |  |
| Alt. 4 | Support | Nokia/NSB, Intel, QC, New H3C, DOCOMO, CATT, ZTE, LG, Samsung |
| Not support |  |
| Other | Support | vivo |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| Nokia/NSB  | It seems to be not really good, that e.g. a LP PUCCH with Type 3 CB to be canceled by a HP HARQ operation 🡪 Alt. 4 should be chosen.  |
| Intel | We think all alternatives would work. At this point prefer Alt.1 > Alt.3 > Alt.4 |
| Huawei/Hisi | We prefer the 1st bullet of Alt.3, but for the 2nd bullet (which seems a clarification of R16 behaviour), we think the same rule should be applied for Type 3. The reason is that, for a LP PUCCH Type 3 CB, though it includes all HARQ IDs, the reliability of the transmitted LP PUCCH maynot satisfy the HP requrirement, so in that case the UE should still transmit HP PUCCH to cancel the LP Type 3 CB.* **Alt. 3’:**
* **For enhanced Type 3 CB, the restriction on the Type 1 / Type 2 HARQ-ACK CB mapping from the earlier agreement is only applicable to the same PHY priority of the Type 1 / Type 2 CB as the PUCCH for the enhanced Type 3 CB re-transmission. LP PUCCH is dropped according to the Rel-16 PHY prioritization procedures.**
* **For Rel-16 Type3 CB, the restriction on the Type 1 / Type 2 HARQ-ACK CB mapping from the earlier agreement is only applicable to the same PHY priority of the Type 1 / Type 2 CB as the PUCCH for the Type 3 CB re-transmission. LP PUCCH is dropped according to the Rel-16 PHY prioritization procedures ~~as all the HARQ-ACK information is already included in the Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB, there would not be any HP PUCCH overlapping with HARQ-ACK information only that could cancel a potential Type 3 CB transmission using the 1~~~~st~~ ~~(LP) PUCCH configuration~~.**

The clarification of R16 behaviour is in the following, where it can be seen that the Type 3 CB overriding Type 1/2 CB only applies for the same priority, while the prioritization between different priorities is performed without taking CB type into account.

|  |
| --- |
| 9 UE procedure for reporting control information…When a UE determines overlapping for PUCCH and/or PUSCH transmissions of different priority indexes other than PUCCH transmissions with SL HARQ-ACK reports before considering limitations for UE transmission as described in clause 11.1, including repetitions if any, the UE first resolves the overlapping for PUCCH and/or PUSCH transmissions of smaller priority index as described in clauses 9.2.5 and 9.2.6. Then, - if a transmission of a first PUCCH of larger priority index scheduled by a DCI format in a PDCCH reception would overlap in time with a repetition of a transmission of a second PUSCH or a second PUCCH of smaller priority index, the UE cancels the repetition of a transmission of the second PUSCH or the second PUCCH before the first symbol that would overlap with the first PUCCH transmission…In the remaining of this clause, the multiplexing or prioritization for overlapping channels are for overlapping channels with same priority index or for overlapping channels with a PUCCH carrying SL HARQ-ACK information..…9.1.4 Type-3 HARQ-ACK codebook determination …If a UE detects a DCI format that includes a One-shot HARQ-ACK request field with value 1, the UE determines a PUCCH or a PUSCH to multiplex a Type-3 HARQ-ACK codebook for transmission in a slot as described in clauses 9.2.3 and 9.2.5. The UE multiplexes only the Type-3 HARQ-ACK codebook in the PUCCH or the PUSCH for transmission in the slot. |

 |
| vivo | Our preference is Alt.5 as below* **Alt. 5:**
	+ **For enhanced Type 3 CB and Rel-16 Type3 CB, the restriction on the Type 1 / Type 2 HARQ-ACK CB mapping from the earlier agreement is only applicable to the same PHY priority of the Type 1 / Type 2 CB as the PUCCH for the enhanced Type 3 CB re-transmission. LP PUCCH is dropped according to the Rel-16 PHY prioritization procedures.**

We think the previous agreements apply to the same priority; Besides, if the there is resource overlapping between the Rel-17 enhanced Type 3 CB/ Rel-16 Type3 CB and Type 1 / Type 2 HARQ-ACK CB, Rel-16 PHY prioritization operation is used to protect the HP channel. We do not see the need to have special treatment for Rel-16 Type3 CB.In addition, we would like to clarify the meaning and reations of the Note with main bullet for Alt.4. Does it mean it does not allow the overlapping between the LP enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB/Rel-16 HARQ-ACK CB and HP Type 1 / Type 2 CB? In addition, the overlapping means resource overlapping and/or slot/sub-slot overlapping? |
| DOCOMO | According to discussions above, we suggest to split discussions for enhanced type 3 CB and Rel-16 type 3 CB.Regarding enhanced typte 3 CB, our understanding is that Alt 2 is the same as the first bullet of Alt 4.Regarding Rel-16 type 3 CB, we are fine to have the restriction as the second bullet of Alt 4. But Huawei’s proposal is also acceptable to us that LP Rel-16 type 3 CB can be cancelled by HP PUCCH.**@HW**, could you elaborate the motivation of “**For Rel-16 Type3 CB, the restriction on the Type 1 / Type 2 HARQ-ACK CB mapping from the earlier agreement is only applicable to the same PHY priority of the Type 1 / Type 2 CB as the PUCCH for the Type 3 CB re-transmission.**”? In our understanding, Rel-16 type 3 CB includes all HARQ process IDs. Therefore, there is no need to restrict mapping of type 1/2 CB. |
| OPPO | Our proposal intends to clarify on “Type 1 or Type 2 HARQ-ACK CB” in the following agreement, especially when different slot lengths are configured for eType 3/Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB and Type 1 or Type2 HARQ-ACK CB:Agreement For the enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB of smaller size triggered in a PUCCH slot, the UE is not expecting HARQ-ACK information in a Type 1 or Type 2 HARQ-ACK CB to be transmitted that cannot be mapped to the enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB of smaller size as the HARQ process is not part of the codebook.As shown examples in the following Figure 1, whether slot-based Type1/2 HARQ-ACK CB for case a and case b is “Type 1 or Type 2 HARQ-ACK CB” menthioned in the agreement? In our understanding, * For case a, slot-based Type1/2 HARQ-ACK CB does **NOT** overlap with subslot 1, so slot-based Type1/2 HARQ-ACK CB is not Type 1 or Type 2 HARQ-ACK CB in the agreement. In other words, UE **MAY** expect HARQ-ACK information in a slot-based Type1/2 HARQ-ACK CB to be transmitted that cannot be mapped to the enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB of smaller size.
* For case b, slot-based Type1/2 HARQ-ACK CB **overlaps** with subslot 1, so slot-based Type1/2 HARQ-ACK CB is Type 1 or Type 2 HARQ-ACK CB in the agreement. In other words, UE is **NOT** expecting HARQ-ACK information in a slot-based Type1/2 HARQ-ACK CB to be transmitted that cannot be mapped to the enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB of smaller size.

1. (b)

Figure1: A subslot-based eType 3 CB and a slot-based Type1/2 CBIn short, if an eType 3 HARQ-ACK CB is triggered in a given subslot, the HARQ-ACK information in a Type 1/2 HARQ-ACK CB to be transmitted in a slot/subslot **overlapping with the given subslot** should be mapped to the eType 3 HARQ-ACK CB.Alt 1 overturns the previous agreement and seems to focus on overlapping channel only.Alt 3 can also address our concern but according to discussion in previous meeting, some companies regarded that it overturns the previous agreement, i.e. content in eType 3 HARQ-ACK CB is independent with priority.Alt 4 does not address our concern. And clarification is required. For the case a and b in aboving examples, Q1: Whether should eType 3 HARQ-ACK CB contain HARQ-ACK process in Type 1/2 CB? Q2: Whether does PUCCH for Type 1/2 CB transmit or not?In addition, if Alt 2 is applied, there is no overlapping between LP/HP PUCCH carrying Rel-16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB or Rel-17 enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB and HP/LP PUCCH carrying HARQ-ACK due to HP/LP PUCCH carrying HARQ-ACK is always dropped. No further discussion on handling PHY prioritization between LP/HP PUCCH carrying Rel-16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB or Rel-17 enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB and HP/LP PUCCH carrying HARQ-ACK |
| Moderator | @vivo & Huawei on mod. Alt. 3 (by HW) or Alt. 5 (by vivo) for the R16 Type 3 CB: Please note, that the Type 3 CB contains all the HARQ processes of all serving cells – i.e. there cannot be any Type 1 or Type 2 HARQ-ACK CB that contains PDSCH HARQ which is not mapped to the Rel-16 Type 3 CB!? This was the reason to separate the formulations for R17 enh. Type 3 CB and R16 Type 3 CB there.  |
| vivo2 | Thanks a lot moderator’s explanation. This is the Rel-16 NR-U behaviour for the same priority between the Rel-16 Type 3 CB and Type 1/2 CB. But for Rel-17, the Rel-16 Type 3 CB includes all the HARQ processes of all serving cells can be indicated as LP and cancelled by HP Type 1/2 CB with smaller CB size for reliability.  |
| LG | We support Alt. 4. We think type-3 or e-type-3 HARQ-ACK codebook are a kind of irrespective to priority.  |
| Huawei/Hisi2 | @DCM: It is correct that Rel-16 type 3 CB includes all HARQ process IDs. But when the type 3 CB is subject to LP, its reliability is weak, let say, 99%; if a HP type-1/2 CB subject to high reliability of 99.999% colliding with LP type 3 CB has to be dropped due to the NRU rule, then how can the UE guarantee the 5x9s reliability of URLLC? It also deviates the R16 HP/LP prioritization principle.@Moderator: For the same priority, yes, as UE cannot generate two CBs in one slot. But for two priorities, firstly, R16 URLLC can support two CB generation within one slot separately for HP and LP, so there is no issue for UE to generate both HP CB and LP CB; secondly, the LP type 3 CB cannot satisfy the reliability/latency requirement of URLLC if the HP type 1/2 has to be dropped on the same slot/subslot. So we believe for URLLC, it should follow a unified rule, that HP cancels the LP regardless of CB type. |
| Moderator | Continue the discussion focusing on Alt. 3 and Alt. 4 in round 2.  |

3.4 2nd round of email discussions

**Enhanced Type 3 CB operation with PHY prioritization:**

Based on the input to Question 3.3.1, there is a strong majority supporting Alt. 4. But some companies prefer the handling withina single PHY priority only, and then apply the Rel-16 PHY prioritization on top (Alt. 3 without special handling for R16 Type 3 CB, which seems to be also Alt. 1 by Intel) Still some moderator comments:

* @DOCOMO / OPPO: as DOCOMO noted, there seems to be some similarity of Alt. 2 and Alt. 4!?
* @vivo & Huawei: There is no agreed restriction on Type 1 or Type 2 CB overlapping with a full Type 3 CB for the same priority as far as I know. Reformulated Alt. 3 accordingly.

So let’s see if we could focus on Alt. 4 and 3’ by Huawei, and see where companies stand here, in the first round it looked as Alt. 4 would be the winner, but better to check still based on the updates to Alt. 3 below:

**Proposal 3.3.1 : For PHY prioritization between LP/HP PUCCH carrying Rel-16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB or Rel-17 enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB and HP/LP PUCCH carrying HARQ-ACK using the Rel-16 PHY prioritization operation, down-select from:**

* **Mod Alt. 3:**
	+ **For enhanced Type 3 CB, the restriction on the Type 1 / Type 2 HARQ-ACK CB mapping from the earlier agreement is only applicable to the same PHY priority of the Type 1 / Type 2 CB as the PUCCH for the enhanced Type 3 CB re-transmission. LP PUCCH is dropped according to the Rel-16 PHY prioritization procedures in case of overlapping HP channel.**
	+ **For Rel-16 Type CB, the UE creates the Rel-16 Type 3 CB for transmission on a PUCCH of the indicated priority. LP PUCCH is dropped according to the Rel-16 PHY prioritization procedures in case of overlapping HP channel.**
* **Alt. 4:**
	+ **For the enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB of smaller size triggered in a PUCCH slot, the UE is not expecting HARQ-ACK information in a Type 1 or Type 2 HARQ-ACK CB of any PHY priority to be transmitted that cannot be mapped to the enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB of smaller size as the HARQ process is not part of the codebook.**
		- ***Note: There would not be any cases of the related overlapping with HP HARQ-ACK only.***
	+ **For Rel-16 Type3 CB, as all the HARQ-ACK information is already included in the Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB, there would not be any HP PUCCH overlapping with HARQ-ACK information only that could cancel a potential Type 3 CB transmission using the 1st (LP) PUCCH configuration.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Support the proposal to down select | Huawei/Hisi |
| Objecting companies |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Mod Alt. 3 | Huawei/Hisi |
| Alt. 4 |  |
| Other |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| Huawei/Hisi | As the clarification to the R16 spec in the 1st round (also pasted in below), when the R16 Type 3 CB is configured in together with R16 HP/LP prioritization, the UE behaviour is: **the rule of R16 Type 3 CB overriding Type 1/2 CB only applies to the same priority**, **while LP PUCCH of Type 3 HARQ has to be cancelled by an overlapping HP channel**, i.e., the HP/LP prioritization rule will be performed regardless of the CB type. Thus the R16 behavior of Alt.2 is inconsistent with the spec; we think proponent companies of Alt.4, if still stick to that understanding, may rather submit R16 CR than debate in R17.Moreover, it is weird for the other way around that a LP channel would drop a HP channel, which jeopardizes the URLLC latency/reliability (e.g., reliability reduced from 99.999% to 99%). The R17 enhanced Type 3 can follow the same spirit of R16, that the HP/LP prioritization is performed regardless of CB type.

|  |
| --- |
| - if a transmission of a first PUCCH of larger priority index scheduled by a DCI format in a PDCCH reception would overlap in time with a repetition of a transmission of a second PUSCH or a second PUCCH of smaller priority index, the UE cancels the repetition of a transmission of the second PUSCH or the second PUCCH before the first symbol that would overlap with the first PUCCH transmission…In the remaining of this clause, the multiplexing or prioritization for overlapping channels are for overlapping channels with same priority index or for overlapping channels with a PUCCH carrying SL HARQ-ACK information..…9.1.4 Type-3 HARQ-ACK codebook determination …If a UE detects a DCI format that includes a One-shot HARQ-ACK request field with value 1, the UE determines a PUCCH or a PUSCH to multiplex a Type-3 HARQ-ACK codebook for transmission in a slot as described in clauses 9.2.3 and 9.2.5. The UE multiplexes only the Type-3 HARQ-ACK codebook in the PUCCH or the PUSCH for transmission in the slot. |

 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# PUCCH repetition enhancements (at least for HARQ-ACK), e.g., sub-slot based, etc.

In this section, the company positions on the support of PUCCH repetition enhancements (incl. sub-slot type of PUCCH repetition) are summarized. At RAN#90, the following clarification on the focus was done:

***RAN conclusion on IIoT scope:***

* *For handling of the PUCCH repetitions it is proposed to proceed as follows:*
1. *RAN1 to continue discussion on PUCCH repetition, whether to specify or not, in the IIoT/URLLC WI for single TRP.*
	1. *The following items are not within scope of the continued discussions in the IIoT/URLLC WI:*
		1. *DMRS-less PUCCH with UCI payload up to 11 bits*
		2. *PUSCH-repetition-Type-B like PUCCH repetition*
		3. *DMRS bundling across PUCCH repetitions*
2. *PUCCH repetition issues with multi-TRP to be handled in Fe-MIMO WI.*
* *For the UE CSI/HARQ-ACK feedback enhancements in the IIoT/URLLC WI, RAN1 work to continue the discussions. Status to be checked in March if any RAN level guidance needed.*
	+ *RAN1 to continue discussion on A-CSI on PUCCH, whether to specify or not.*

The following related agreements were achieved:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Agreements: Support sub-slot based PUCCH repetition for HARQ-ACK based on the Rel-16 PUCCH procedure for slot-based PUCCH applied to sub-slot based PUCCH* Note: the intention is to take the Rel-16 slot-based PUCCH by replacing with “sub-slot” appropriately, without further optimization unless necessary
* FFS whether or not there is any restriction for the applicability of sub-slot based PUCCH repetition for HARQ-ACK
* Dynamic repetition indication is supported also for sub-slot based PUCCH in Rel-17
	+ FFS: if the method to be specified in Cov. Enh WI for slot-based PUCCH repetition can be directly applied to sub-slot PUCCH or if changes are needed

Agreements: Support PUCCH repetition for PUCCH formats 0 and 2 at least for sub-slot based PUCCH repetition. * FFS: Support for slot-based PUCCH repetition

**Conclusion**The dynamic repetition indication solution for slot-based PUCCH repetition from the RAN1#105-e working assumption from Cov. Enh. WI can be directly applied for dynamic repetition indication for sub-slot based PUCCH repetition.**Agreement** For sub-slot based PUCCH repetition for HARQ-ACK, semi-static configured PUCCH repetition (i.e. using *nrofSlots*) and dynamic repetition factor based operation is supported. * Sub-slot based PUCCH repetition based on semi-static configuration (i.e. using *nrofSlots*) and based on dynamic indication is subject to separate UE capabilities

**Agreement**Support slot-based PUCCH repetition for PUCCH Format 0 and Format 2 also for single TRP operation. The support is subject to independent UE capability indication**Agreement**To align with Rel-16 slot-based PUCCH repetition operation, support sub-slot based PUCCH repetition configured with / using *nrofSlots* (i.e., not using dynamic indication) of all UCI types (incl. HARQ, SR & CSI). **Agreement**For sub-slot based PUCCH repetition, the following agreement from Cov. Enh. WI for slot-based PUCCH repetition is adopted also for sub-slot based PUCCH repetition:

|  |
| --- |
| *Agreement* * *for a PUCCH resource, if both a new repetition parameter corresponding to Rel-17 dynamic PUCCH repetition factor indication and the Rel-15/16 nrofSlots are configured, the new repetition parameter overrides nrofSlots.*
 |

**Agreement**For sub-slot based PUCCH repetition, the following agreement from Cov. Enh. WI for slot-based PUCCH repetition is adopted also for sub-slot based PUCCH repetition:

|  |
| --- |
| *Agreement: Dynamic PUCCH repetition factor indication for SR or P/SP-CSI on PUCCH is not supported in Rel-17.* |

**Agreement**For PUCCH repetition enhancements:* Support *inter-slotFrequencyHopping* for PUCCH repetition operation of PUCCH Format 0 and Format 2 for slot-based PUCCH configurations.
* Support inter-subslot Frequency Hopping for PUCCH repetition operation of PUCCH Formats 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4 for 7OS slot-based PUCCH configurations.
	+ The UE applies the inter-subslot FH operation from sub-slot to sub-slot, if configured with *inter-slotFrequencyHopping* in the respective PUCCH\_config.
* (Working Assumption) Support inter-subslot Frequency Hopping for PUCCH repetition operation of PUCCH Format 0 and Format 2 for 2OS slot-based PUCCH configurations.
	+ The UE applies the inter-subslot FH operation from sub-slot to sub-slot, if configured with *inter-slotFrequencyHopping* in the respective PUCCH\_config.
* Note: As for Rel-15, the configuration / enabling of *inter-slotFrequencyHopping* and *intraSlotFrequencyHopping* is not supported.

**Agreement**For sub-slot based PUCCH repetition, the following agreement from Cov. Enh. WI for slot-based PUCCH repetition is adopted also for sub-slot based PUCCH repetition:

|  |
| --- |
| Agreement* In Rel-17, reuse the Rel-16 PUCCH repetition factors 2, 4, 8.
* Do not support PUCCH repetition factor larger than 8 In Rel-17.
 |

**Agreement**1. Confirm the following RAN1 working assumption from RAN1#106bis-e with the additional agreement on UE capability (in RED):

|  |
| --- |
| * (Working Assumption) Support inter-subslot Frequency Hopping for PUCCH repetition operation of PUCCH Format 0 and Format 2 for 2OS slot-based PUCCH configurations.
	+ The UE applies the inter-subslot FH operation from sub-slot to sub-slot, if configured with *inter-slotFrequencyHopping* in the respective PUCCH\_config.
 |

* Support single UE capability indication of inter-subslot FH for PUCCH repetition operation.
 |

* 1. Summary of companies input in their contributions

**No input on PUCCH repetition enhancements received.**

***Moderator comment: therefore, no discussions planned during RAN1#108-e.***

# Type 1 HARQ CB based on sub-slot PUCCH config

In this section, the Type 1 HARQ-ACK codebook support for sub-slot based PUCCH configuration is discussed. The following related agreements from previous meetings are available on this topic:

|  |
| --- |
| Agreement: Support Type-1 HARQ-ACK codebook for sub-slot based PUCCH configuration in Rel-17.* The properties of the Type-1 HARQ-ACK codebook for sub-slot PUCCH at least includes that a PDSCH TDRA is associated with a UL /PUCCH sub-slot if the end of the PDSCH overlaps with the associated sub-slot determined by a k1 in the set of sub-slot timing values K1.
* FFS: whether the PDSCH TDRA grouping is performed per DL slot or sub-slot
	+ Decide between PDSCH TDRA grouping per DL slot and sub-slot during RAN1#105-e

**Agreement**For Type-1 HARQ-ACK codebook for sub-slot based PUCCH configuration in Rel-17, the TDRA pruning/grouping is performed per DL slot after TDRA determination per sub-slot.* Strive to minimize the impact on relevant pseudo-code
 |

* 1. Summary of companies input in their contributions

**No input on Type 1 HARQ CB based on sub-slot PUCCH config received.**

***Moderator comment: therefore, no discussions planned during RAN1#108-e.***

# PUCCH carrier switching for HARQ feedback

In this section, PUCCH carrier switching (at least) for HARQ-ACK feedback is discussed. The following related agreements from previous meetings are available on this topic:

**Generic agreements (applicable to both, dynamic & semi-static PUCCH cell switching)**

**RAN1#103-e (Oct./Nov. 2021)**

|  |
| --- |
| **Agreements:** In the studies on PUCCH carrier switching for HARQ-ACK, PUCCH carrier switching for different cells operated is considered only for cells that are part of the active UL CA configuration. |

**RAN1#104-e (Jan. 2021)**

|  |
| --- |
| Agreements: For further study on whether and how to support PUCCH carrier switching in a PUCCH group, focus on the following three alternatives:* Alt. 1: PUCCH carrier switching is based dynamic indication in DCI
* Alt. 2B: PUCCH carrier switching is based on certain (semi-static) rules
* Alt. 2C: PUCCH carrier switching is based on RRC configured PUCCH cell timing pattern of applicable PUCCH cells
* *Note: In above alternatives, it is assumed that HARQ-ACK corresponding to PDSCH received on a Pcell/PScell or an Scell in a PUCCH group, can be sent on a PUCCH on an Scell also instead of only on Pcell/PScell/PUCCH-SCell in the same PUCCH group, as opposed to Rel-16 where HARQ-ACK corresponding to PDSCH received on a Pcell/PScell or an Scell in a PUCCH group can only be sent on Pcell/PScell/PUCCH-SCell in the same PUCCH group.*
* *Note: Realistic deployment scenarios including TDD configurations should be considered for the study*
 |

**RAN1#105-e (May 2021)**

|  |
| --- |
| Agreement: Support PUCCH carrier switching based on dynamic indication in DCI scheduling a PUCCH and semi-static configuration * Details are FFS (including applicability of dynamic and/or semi-static means)
* Aim for minimum specification impact
* Dynamic indication and/or semi-static configuration are subject to separate UE capabilities
* The semi-static PUCCH carrier switching configuration operation is based on RRC configured PUCCH cell timing pattern of applicable PUCCH cells and supports PUCCH carrier switching across cells with different numerologies.
	+ FFS whether additional rules are needed to support PUCCH carrier switching across cells with different numerologies
* FFS the maximum number of PUCCH cells
* FFS whether and how to support joint operation of dynamic and semi-static carrier switching for a UE
* FFS whether and how to support joint operation of PUCCH carrier switching and SPS HARQ-ACK deferral

Agreement: For PUCCH carrier switching, the PUCCH resource configuration is per UL BWP (i.e. per candidate cell and UL BWP of that specific candidate cell).  |

**RAN1#106-e (Aug. 2021)**

|  |
| --- |
| **Agreement**Update the following RAN1#105-e agreement as (RED): * RAN1#105-e Agreement: For PUCCH carrier switching, the PUCCH ~~resource~~ configuration (i.e. *pucch-Config / PUCCH-ConfigurationList*) is per UL BWP (i.e. per candidate cell and UL BWP of that specific candidate cell).
	+ FFS: CSI and SR
 |

**RAN1#106bis-e (Oct. 2021)**

|  |
| --- |
| **Agreement**For PUCCH carrier switching, support PUCCH carrier switching only among different TDD cells with PUCCH configured on the NUL carrier in Rel-17**Agreement**For PUCCH cell switching, support independent TPC per PUCCH cell including* Separate P0 / TPC configuration per PUCCH cell
	+ Note: This flexibility is already provided as PUCCH-config is per UL BWP of a PUCCH cell
* Accumulating closed loop power control commands only within the same PUCCH target cell by reusing Rel-15 procedure, i.e.
	+ For dynamic PUCCH cell indication, the TPC command in the DCI scheduling the PUCCH only applies for the dynamically indicated PUCCH target cell
	+ For semi-static / time-domain pattern, the TPC command in the DCI scheduling the PUCCH only applies for the determined PUCCH target (using the time-domain pattern)
* Separate TPC command indication using DCI format 2\_2 for the individual PUCCH cells
	+ Note: this requires configuration of individual TPC command starting points for each PUCCH cell within DCI format 2\_2

**Agreement**For semi-static and dynamic indication of PUCCH cell switching, the PUCCH repetition factor is determined based on the PUCCH format or PUCCH resource on the target PUCCH cell for the first repetition. **Agreement**PUCCH cell switching between 2 cells is supported in Rel-17.  |

**RAN1#107-e (Nov. 2021)**

|  |
| --- |
| **Conclusion**For PUCCH cell switching DCI field size alignment is done by:* For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the bit width of the PDSCH-to-HARQ\_feedback timing indicator in DCI format 1\_1 and 1\_2 is determined by the largest K1 set among the K1 sets of all candidate PUCCH cells for PUCCH cell switching based on dynamic indication
	+ i.e., a number of most significant bits with value set to '0' are inserted to smaller field until the bit width of the field for all the PUCCH cells are the same
	+ *Note: for semi-static PUCCH cell switching only the K1 set of PCell is needed*
* For semi-static and dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the bit width of the PRI field in DCI format 1\_2 is determined by the largest value of *numberOfBitsForPUCCH-ResourceIndicatorDCI-1-2* of all PUCCH cells
	+ i.e., a number of most significant bits with value set to '0' are inserted to smaller field until the bit width of the field for all the PUCCH cells are the same
* FFS: If similar handling is applied for *ChannelAccess-CPext* DCI field (0 or 2 bit)

**Agreement**For PUCCH cell switching and a PUCCH transmission on the alternative PUCCH cell, the alternative PUCCH cell is used to derive the downlink pathloss estimate PLb,f,c(qd), i.e., replace in the main bullet of the PLb,f,c(qd) determination in Sec. 7.2.1 of 38.213 the ‘primary cell’ with ‘cell for PUCCH transmission’ **Conclusion**There is no consensus to support simultaneous configuration of semi-static PUCCH cell switching and dynamic PUCCH cell switching in Rel-17. |

**RAN1#107bis-e (Jan. 2022)**

|  |
| --- |
| **Conclusion**There is no consensus for introducing further specification support for the following* PUCCH cell switching between cells with shared spectrum channel access (in any mode)
* PUCCH cell switching between a cell with licensed spectrum and a cell with shared spectrum channel access (in any mode)

**Conclusion**There is no consensus to support MAC CE activation indicating a set of values of *pucch-SpatialRelationInfoId* applicable to the alternative PUCCH sSCell for PUCCH cell switching in Rel-17. |

**Semi-static PUCCH cell switching**

**RAN1#106-e (Aug. 2021)**

|  |
| --- |
| **Agreement**Semi-static PUCCH carrier switching is applicable to all UCI types incl. HARQ-ACK, SR and CSI.  |

**RAN1#106bis-e (Oct. 2021)**

|  |
| --- |
| **Agreement**For semi-static PUCCH cell switching, PCell / PSCell / PUCCH-SCell is reference cell:* The time domain pattern configurations are based on the numerology of the reference cell.
* The PDSCH to HARQ-ACK offset k1 is interpreted based on the numerology and PUCCH configuration of a reference cell to be able to apply the time-domain PUCCH cell switching pattern.
* Note: There may not be a need to define a ‘reference cell’ in the specification. This terminology is used for further clarifications of the procedure.

**Agreement**For semi-static PUCCH cell switching, the time-domain pattern configuration is based on the following properties:* A single time-domain pattern is configured per PUCCH cell group
* The granularity of the time-domain pattern is one slot of the PCell / PSCell / PUCCH-SCell ~~reference cell~~
* The time-domain pattern is applied periodically
	+ FFS on period / pattern length (e.g., 10ms, RRC configured, …).
* The pattern defines for each slot of the PCell / PSCell / PUCCH-SCell ~~reference cell~~ at least the applicable target PUCCH cell

**Agreement**For semi-static PUCCH cell switching, the PUCCH resource indicator (PRI) is interpreted based on the PUCCH configuration of determined target PUCCH cell. **Agreement**The periodicity / length of the time-domain pattern for semi-static PUCCH cell switching is directly determined by the RRC configuraton of the time domain pattern *pucchCellPattern* * Note: *pucchCellPattern* has a variable length of (1… *maxNrofSlots*)

**Agreement**Down-select in RAN1#107-e from Alt. 1 & Alt. 3 below:For PUCCH carrier switching based on semi-static operation, for the case the PCell slot to be longer than the target PUCCH cell slot or sub-slot (i.e. multiple target PUCCH cell slots overlapping with a single PCell slot),  the following PUCCH cell slot is used for UCI transmission:* Alt. 1: the first target PUCCH slot overlapping with the PCell slot
* Alt. 3: using a relative slot-offset within the reference cell slot, the relative slot offset is configured in the time domain pattern (i.e. time domain pattern contains ‘cell index’ & ‘slot\_offset’ for each reference cell slot)
	+ Note: different relative slot offset can be configured for each reference cell slot in the time domain pattern, details see R1-2108829

**Agreement**Down-select in RAN1#107-e from Alt. 2 & Alt. 4 below:For PUCCH carrier switching based on semi-static operation, for the case the PCell slot to be shorter than the target PUCCH cell slot,  * Alt. 2: the UE does not expect the same UCI type (i.e. HARQ-ACK, SR or CSI) from more than one PCell PUCCH slot to be overlapping with a single dynamically indicated PUCCH cell slot
	+ *Note: there can be e.g. HARQ-ACK only be present in either of the overlapping slots, but not in more than one overlapping slot.*
* Alt. 4: the UE does not expect a semi-static PUCC cell configuration, where a single target PUCCH slot / sub-slot would be overlapping with more than one PCell slot/sub-slot.
 |

**RAN1#107-e (Nov. 2021)**

|  |
| --- |
| **Agreement**For PUCCH cell switching based on semi-static operation, for the case the PCell slot to be longer than the target PUCCH cell slot or sub-slot (i.e., multiple target PUCCH cell slots overlapping with a single PCell slot), adopt Alt 1, i.e., the first target PUCCH slot overlapping with the PCell slot is used for UCI transmission.**Agreement**The time-domain pattern for semi-static PUCCH cell switching is separately configurable for the primary and secondary PUCCH cell group.**Agreement**The time-domain pattern for semi-static PUCCH cell switching is based on the reference SCS configuration provided by *tdd-UL-DL-ConfigurationCommon* and is common to every configured UL BWP (of PCell / SPCell / PUCCH SCell).**Agreement**For PUCCH cell switching based on semi-static operation, adopt Alt. 4, i.e., the UE does not expect a semi-static PUCCH cell configuration, where a single target PUCCH slot / sub-slot would be overlapping with more than one PCell slot/sub-slot. **Agreement**For semi-static PUCCH cell switching, if the alternative PUCCH cell (i.e. PUCCH sCell) is deactivated or the alternative PUCCH Cell is dormant, the UE does not apply time-domain pattern and the UCI is to be transmitted on PCell / SPCell / PUCCH SCell. |

**RAN1#107bis-e (Jan. 2022)**

|  |
| --- |
| **Agreement**For PUCCH cell switching based on semi-static time domain pattern, the Type 1 HARQ-ACK codebook construction is based on the k1 set(s) of the PCell / SPCell / PUCCH SCell. |

**PUCCH cell switching based on dynamic indication**

**RAN1#105-e (May 2021)**

|  |
| --- |
| Agreement: For PUCCH carrier switching based on dynamic indication in DCI scheduling a PUCCH (i.e. Alt. 1), the PDSCH to HARQ-ACK offset k1 is interpreted based on the numerology of the dynamically indicated target PUCCH cell. |

**RAN1#106-e (Aug. 2021)**

|  |
| --- |
| **Agreement**In addition to HARQ-Ack of PDSCH dynamically scheduled by a DCI indicating a PUCCH carrier, the dynamic target carrier indication also applies to:* HARQ-ACK corresponding to the first SPS PDSCH activated by Activation DCI based on the indication in the activation DCI
* HARQ-ACK corresponding to the SPS Release DCI based on the indication in the release DCI
* triggered PUCCH for Rel-16 Type 3 CB, Rel-17 enh. Type 3 CB of smaller size and Rel-17 one-shot triggering for HARQ-Ack retransmission based on the indication in the triggering DCI
* FFS: Additional cases
 |

**RAN1#106bis-e (Oct. 2021)**

|  |
| --- |
| **Agreement**UE does not expect overlapping PUCCH slots with dynamic PUCCH cell indication on more than one cell, i.e., gNB should only dynamically indicate a single PUCCH cell for a final PUCCH slot. **Agreement**For PUCCH cell switching based on dynamic indication in the DCI,  introduce a new, dedicated DCI field for the DCI scheduling PDSCH to indicate the target PUCCH cell. **Agreement**In addition, the dynamic target PUCCH cell indication also applies to HARQ-ACK corresponding to SCell dormancy indication without scheduling PDSCH.**Agreement**Support PUCCH cell switching based on dynamic indication in the DCI using DCI format 1\_2 for a UE supporting DCI format 1\_2. * The presence of the ‘PUCCH carrier switching’ bitfield in DCI format 1\_2 is RRC configured.

**Conclusion**There is no consensus to support multiplexing of HARQ-ACK (without dynamic PUCCH cell indication), SR and P/SP-CSI on the dynamically indicated PUCCH cell (other than PCell / PSCell / PUCCH-SCell) in Rel-17.* FFS: further handling, incl. e.g., UE does not expect overlapping HARQ-ACK (without dynamic PUCCH cell indication), SR and P/SP-CSI or overlapping HARQ-ACK (without dynamic PUCCH cell indication), SR and P/SP-CSI is to be dropped
* FFS: overlapping definition for SR and P/SP-CSI in terms of PUCCH slot or PUCCH resource
 |

**RAN1#107-e (Nov. 2021)**

|  |
| --- |
| **Conclusion**For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the UE does not expect a PUCCH slot with UCI on PCell /SPCell / PUCCH SCell to overlap with a PUCCH slot with HARQ-ACK on the dynamically indicated alternative PUCCH PUCCH cell.* The UCI on PCell /SPCell / PUCCH SCell dropped due to collision with semi-static DL symbols, SSB, and symbols indicated by pdcch-ConfigSIB1 in MIB for a CORESET for Type0-PDCCH CSS set is exempted and is not multiplexed on the PUCCH on the alternative PUCCH cell.
 |

**RAN1#107bis-e (Jan. 2022)**

|  |
| --- |
| **Agreement**For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the Type 1 HARQ-ACK codebook construction is based on the k1 set(s) of the dynamically indicated PUCCH cell.  |

* 1. Summary of companies input in their contributions

**Generic for PUCCH carrier switching:**

**Type 1 CB construction by ZTE [6]:** The Type 1 HARQ-ACK codebook construction for PUCCH cell switching is based on the k1 set(s)

* of the PCell / SPCell / PUCCH Scell for semi-static PUCCH cell switching
	+ If the determined PUCCH cell for transmitting the Type 1 codebook is PCell, the determined PUCCH slot is regarded as "slot n", and then UE completes the Type 1 codebook construction based on "slot n" by reusing the current Type 1 codebook construction mechanism.
	+ Otherwise, the slot of the PCell that overlaps the determined PUCCH slot is regarded as "slot n", then UE completes the Type 1 codebook construction based on "slot n" by reusing the current Type 1 codebook construction mechanism.
* of the dynamically indicated PUCCH cell for dynamic PUCCH cell switching
	+ If the indicated PUCCH cell for transmitting Type 1 codebook is PCell, then UE completes the Type 1 codebook construction based on indicated PUCCH slot by reusing the current Type 1 codebook construction mechanism.
	+ Otherwise, the indicated PUCCH cell is regarded as "Nominal PCell", the PCell is regarded as "Nominal Scell", and the indicated PUCCH slot is regarded as "Nominal slot n", then UE completes the Type 1 codebook construction based on "Nominal slot n" by reusing the current Type 1 codebook construction mechanism between the "Nominal PCell" and the "Nominal Scell".
* *Moderator comment*: Did ZTE the change in the latest editor draft CR to 38.214 after RAN1#107bis-e. It seems this is captured there already, or do you see something missing there? If you still see something missing, please contact the moderator offline with a potential TP on what is missing to potentially bring this up in a later email discussion round.

**Clarification on dormant UL/DL active BWP by ETRI [14]:**

* Alt 1: … the PUCCH-sSCell is activated and does not switch into a dormant UL/DL active BWP.
* Alt 2: … the PUCCH-sSCell is activated and is not configured to have a dormant UL/DL active BWP.
* 🡪 Either dormant BWP is allowed to configure or is prohibited to configure to the PUCCH-sSCell.

**Final points on DCI field size alignment by Intel [15]:**

* For PUCCH carrier switching based on dynamic indication and/or semi-static pattern, a UE does not expect the size/presence of ‘ChannelAccess-CPext’ DCI field to vary across PCell / SPCell / PUCCH SCell and sSCell
* For PUCCH carrier switching based on dynamic indication and/or semi-static pattern,pply the same handling for ‘Second TPC command for scheduled PUCCH’ field size determination and zero-bit padding as for PRI and PDSCH-to-HARQ\_feedback

**PUCCH carrier switching based on dynamic indication:**

**SPS operation:**

* **Option 1:** **For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the HARQ-ACK of SPS PDSCH without associated DCI is to be transmitted on PCell / SPCell / PUCCH-SCell independently of the dynamically indicated PUCCH cell in the SPS activation DCI. Only the HARQ-ACK corresponding to the first SPS PDSCH activated by Activation DCI uses the PUCCH cell based on the indication in the activation DCI (based on the earlier agreement).**
	+ ***The UE does not expected to be dynamically indicated for PUCCH transmission on the PUCCH sSCell in the SPS activation DCI in a slot overlapping with a PUCCH slot with UCI on PCell / SPCell / PUCCH-SCell slot.***
		- **The UCI on PCell /SPCell / PUCCH SCell dropped due to collision with semi-static DL symbols, SSB, and symbols indicated by pdcch-ConfigSIB1 in MIB for a CORESET for Type0-PDCCH CSS set is exempted and is not multiplexed on the PUCCH on the PUCCH sScell.**
	+ **Support:** HW/HiSi [1], New H3C [4],vivo [5], CATT [8], CAICT [12], Panasonic [13], Intel [15], NEC [17],
	+ **Further details:**
		- HW/HiSi [1]: For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, if the HARQ-ACK for the first SPS PDSCH is indicated on the PUCCH sSCell based on the activation DCI,
			* the UE determines for the first SPS PDSCH a k1 value from the PUCCH sSCell’s K1 set according to the K1 indicator field in the activation DCI
			* the UE determines for the other SPS PDSCHs without associated DCI a k1 value from PCell’s K1 set according to the K1 indicator field in the activation DCI
		- vivo [5]: When HARQ-ACK corresponding to the PDSCH scheduled by an SPS activation DCI is indicated to be reported on the PUCCH sSCell, the K1 value for SPS PDSCH(s) corresponding to the SPS activation DCI is determined based on the K1 indicator field in the SPS activation DCI, as well as the K1 set for the PCell/PSCell/PUCCH-SCell.
		- CAICT [12]: When dynamic PUCCH cell switching is configured, if the DCI is for SPS PDSCH activation, the PDSCH-to-HARQ\_feedback timing indicator field value maps to the Pcell’s K1 set.
* **Option 2: For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the HARQ-ACK of SPS PDSCH without associated DCI is to be transmitted on PCell / SPCell / PUCCH-SCell independently of the dynamically indicated PUCCH cell in the SPS activation DCI. Only the HARQ-ACK corresponding to the first SPS PDSCH activated by Activation DCI uses the PUCCH cell based on the indication in the activation DCI (based on the earlier agreement).**
	+ **The UE does not expected to be dynamically indicated for PUCCH transmission on the PUCCH sSCell in the SPS activation DCI.**
	+ **Support:** Nokia/NSB [3] (2nd preference), CATT [8], Spreadtrum [10], Intel [15], LG [20]
* **Option 3: For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the HARQ-ACK of SPS PDSCH without associated DCI (including the first SPS PDSCH activated by Activation DCI) is to be transmitted on PCell / SPCell / PUCCH-SCell independently of the dynamically indicated PUCCH cell in the SPS activation DCI**
	+ ***Note: This changes an earlier agreement in terms of handling for the first SPS PDSCH activated by Activation DCI***
	+ **Yes:** Nokia/NSB [3] (1st preference), DOCOMO [9], Samsung [18] (2nd preference, ‘It is also OK…’)
* **Option 4: For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, all PUCCH transmissions with HARQ-ACK for SPS PDSCH receptions of a SPS configuration are on the cell indicated by the DCI format activating the SPS PDSCH receptions.**
	+ **Support:** Samsung [18]

**Other:**

* HW/HiSi [1], Intel [15]: Joint operation between PUCCH repetition and dynamic PUCCH carrier switching can be supported
	+ HW/HiSi [1]: with negligible spec impact
	+ Intel [15]: the cell for PUCCH repetitions transmission is fixed to the same cell as the initial PUCCH repetition
* vivo [5]: Clarify that SR resource configuration(s) and/or CSI report configuration(s) cannot be configured in the PUCCH Config(s) for the PUCCH sSCell (as SR and/or CSI transmission on PUCCH sSCell is not possible).
* Intel [15] suggesting further clarification on the PCell / PUCCH sSCell overlapping as:
	+ Clarify that the valid PUCCH resource on Pcell means PUCCH resources before multiplexing on Pcell
	+ Clarify that for different priority UCI, any PUCCH resource before multiplexing/prioritization is considered

**PUCCH carrier switching based on semi-static configuration / time-domain pattern:**

**PUCCH repetition operation:**

* **Alt. 1:** **The target cell is determined for each PUCCH repetition individually (i.e., switching within the repetition bundle supported):**
	+ **Support (4):** ZTE [6], Panasonic [13] (1st preference), Samsung [18], QC [19]
	+ **Details:**
		- ZTE [6]
			* Supported at least for equal PUCCH length on PCell and PUCCH sSCell
			* PUCCH resources corresponding to PUCCH repetitions other than the first PUCCH repetition are determined from the determined PUCCH cell based on the PRI in the (activated) DCI corresponding to the PUCCH.
			* UE expects that PUCCH resources from PCell/SPCell/PUCCH SCell and PUCCH sScell have the same number of symbols for each PUCCH repetition.
		- Panasonic [13]:
			* The required number of repetition is derived according to the defined number of repetition associated to the cell initiating the PUCCH repetitions.
			* For the other cell, the effective PUCCH transmission is counted towards the required number of repetitions.
		- NEC [17]:
			* UE determines the PUCCH resource for repetition on each target cell based on the same PUCCH resource indicator value from the different PUCCH resource sets of the corresponding PUCCH cells
			* In case of more than one overlapping PUCCH slot on the PUCCH SCell with a single PUCCH slot on PCell, PUCCH repetitions are mapped to each of the overlapping PUCCH slot on the PUCCH sSCell.
		- Samsung [18]
			* If more than one slot on the PUCCH-sSCell overlaps with a slot on the PCell, all slots where the PUCCH can be transmitted on the PUCCH-sSCell are used.
		- QC [19]
			* A UE does not expect different number of REs in the PUCCH resources to transmit the repetitions.
* **Alt. 2A – RAN1#107bis-e Mod Proposal 11:** **For semi-static PUCCH cell switching, a PUCCH repetition transmission on a different target PUCCH cell from the PUCCH cell of the first PUCCH repetition is not supported**
	+ ***A PUCCH slot mapped to different PUCCH cell is considered as invalid for PUCCH repetition and is not counted towards the total number of PUCCH repetitions, i.e., the repetition is post-poned as in Rel-16.***
	+ **Support (12):** HW/HiSi [1], Ericsson [2], Nokia/NSB [3], New H3C [4], vivo [5] (to retain reliability), CATT [8], Spreadtrum [10], CAICT [12], Panasonic [13] (2nd preference), NEC [17], QC [19], LG [20]
* **Alt. 2B – same cell but drop PUCCH: For semi-static PUCCH cell switching, a PUCCH repetition transmission on a different target PUCCH cell from the PUCCH cell of the first PUCCH repetition is not supported.**
	+ ***A PUCCH slot mapped to different PUCCH cell is considered as invalid for PUCCH repetition and the PUCCH repetition is dropped (i.e. total number of repetitions not guaranteed).***
	+ **Support (3):** vivo [5] (to retain latency), DOCOMO [9], Intel [15]
* **Alt. 2C – switching within bundle not expected: For semi-static PUCCH cell switching, a PUCCH repetition transmission on a different target PUCCH cell from the PUCCH cell of the first PUCCH repetition is not supported.**
	+ ***A UE does not expect the cell switching pattern to indicate a different cell for a PUCCH repetition comparing to the initial PUCCH repetition***
	+ **Support (1):** Intel [15]

**SPS PUCCH resource using n1PUCCH-AN (potential RRC impact)**

* vivo [5] raises the issue of *n1PUCCH-AN* being configured in SPS-Config and therefore is not separately available for PCell and PUCCH sSCell. Two alternatives on the handling are presented (where Alt. 2 is having RRC impact):
	+ *Alt. 1: SPS-Config->n1PUCCH-AN corresponds to a configured PUCCH resource on the PCell/PSCell/PUCCH-SCell, as well as a configured PUCCH resource on the PUCCH sSCell, where the two configured PUCCH resources have the same resource ID.*
	+ *Alt. 2: SPS-Config->n1PUCCH-AN can be extended so that two resource IDs can be configured independently for the PCell/PSCell/PUCCH-SCell and the PUCCH sSCell, respectively.*

**Operation for SR & CSI**

* CSI reporting:
	+ When CSI reporting on PUCCH is configured on both PCell/PScell/PUCCH-Scell and alternate Scell, PUCCH cell pattern is applied to determine whether CSI PUCCH will be transmitted or not: DOCOMO [9]
		- *Check discussion in Sec. 2.1.1 of [9] describing the problem / issue*
	+ When CSI reporting on PUCCH is configured on both the PCell/PSCell/PUCCH-SCell and the PUCCH sSCell, corresponding CSI PUCCH resource(s) on a PUCCH cell will be validated based on the time domain pattern: vivo [5]
* When an SR configuration is triggered, PUCCH resource(s) of the associated SR resource configuration(s) on corresponding PUCCH cell(s) will be validated based on the time domain pattern: vivo [5]

**Order of semi-static PUCCH cell switching and UCI multiplexing:**

* CATT [8]: Semi-static PUCCH cell switching should be performed before UCI multiplexing/prioritization.

**Time point clarification on the activation / deactivation of Scell:**

* CATT [8]:
	+ If UE receives in a PDSCH an activation command for the SCell ending in slot n, UE applies the PUCCH cell switching time-domain pattern from the first slot after SCell is active, where the active timing is determined based on the minimum requirement defined in [10, TS 38.133].
	+ If UE receives in a PDSCH a deactivation command for the SCell ending in slot n, the UE would not apply the PUCCH cell switching time-domain pattern from slot n$+k$, where slot $n+k$ is defined in section 4.3 of TS38.213.
	+ If the sCellDeactivationTimer associated with the SCell expires in slot n, the UE would not apply the PUCCH cell switching time-domain pattern from the first slot that is after slot  where  is the SCS configuration for PDSCH reception on the secondary cell.
	+ If UE detects a DCI indicating SCell dormancy, the UE would not apply the PUCCH cell switching time-domain pattern from the first slot after slot $n+3N\_{slot}^{subframe,µ}$, where slot $n$ is the slot indicated for PUCCH transmission with HARQ-ACK information corresponding to the DCI and is the SCS configuration for the PUCCH.
	+ *Moderator comment:* Are you proposing any additional time point clarifications on top of the current specs, when an Scell is considered as active? If not, is there a need for any additional agreement (i.e. if we don’t agree any additional time point clarification, the ones from Rel-16 should automatically apply – i.e. no further agreements needed)? If still thinking some additional agreement would be needed, please contact the moderator offline.

**Other:**

* Huawei/HiSi [1]: For PUCCH cell switching based on semi-static operation, for the case the PCell slot/sub-slot to be longer than the target PUCCH cell sub-slot and the earliest target PUCCH cell sub-slot is partially overlapping with the PCell slot/sub-slot, the first target PUCCH slot fully overlapping with the PCell slot is used for UCI transmission
	+ *Moderator comment:* based on the latest decisions, it seems that such scenario is not supported. The following agreement here is in place that basically removes such cases (see below). If HW thinks still further clarifications are needed, please contact the Moderator offline.
	*Agreement*

*For PUCCH cell switching based on semi-static operation, adopt Alt. 4, i.e., the UE does not expect a semi-static PUCCH cell configuration, where a single target PUCCH slot / sub-slot would be overlapping with more than one PCell slot/sub-slot.*

* DOCOMO [9]: Keep the same sub-slot/slot configuration for corresponding priority on the multiple PUCCH cells
	+ *Moderator comment:* Do we need further any restrictions /decisions – as we have related restrictions already in place? If DOCOMO thinks still further clarifications are needed, please contact the Moderator offline.
	*Agreement*

*For PUCCH cell switching based on semi-static operation, adopt Alt. 4, i.e., the UE does not expect a semi-static PUCCH cell configuration, where a single target PUCCH slot / sub-slot would be overlapping with more than one PCell slot/sub-slot.*

* QC [19]
	+ Support semi-static PUCCH carrier switching per PHY priority.
	+ Support semi-static PUCCH carrier switching for SPS HARQ corresponding to SPS occasion about to expire, i.e. N slots prior to the arrival of the new SPS occurrence.

**Identified needed specs changes based on available decisions:**

***HW/HiSi [1] raising the issue of the missing restrictions based on the following agreements:***

|  |
| --- |
| **Agreement:** UE does not expect overlapping PUCCH slots with dynamic PUCCH cell indication on more than one cell, i.e., gNB should only dynamically indicate a single PUCCH cell for a final PUCCH slot.**Conclusion:** For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the UE does not expect a PUCCH slot with UCI on PCell /SPCell / PUCCH SCell to overlap with a PUCCH slot with HARQ-ACK on the dynamically indicated alternative PUCCH PUCCH cell.* The UCI on PCell /SPCell / PUCCH SCell dropped due to collision with semi-static DL symbols, SSB, and symbols indicated by pdcch-ConfigSIB1 in MIB for a CORESET for Type0-PDCCH CSS set is exempted and is not multiplexed on the PUCCH on the alternative PUCCH cell.
 |

… and suggest the following TP:

|  |
| --- |
| **------------------ Text Proposal for 38.213 Clause 9.A ------------------**9.A PUCCH Cell SwitchingThis clause is applicable when a UE is provided a PUCCH-sSCell by *pucch-sSCell* and the PUCCH-sSCell is activated and does not have a dormant UL/DL active BWP. …If a UE is provided *pucch-sSCellDyn* or *pucch-sSCellDynDCI-1-2*, a corresponding DCI format associated with generation of HARQ-ACK information by the UE can include a PUCCH cell indicator field, as described in [5, TS 38.212], that indicates whether the PUCCH transmission with the HARQ-ACK information by the UE is on the Pcell or on the PUCCH-sSCell.The UE does not expect to be indicated by a DCI with the PUCCH cell indicator field to transmit HARQ-ACK information on a slot for the active UL BWP of the PUCCH-sSCell to overlap with a slot including another UCI on the active UL BWP of the PCell, unless the UCI on the active UL BWP of the PCell overlaps with a symbol indicated as downlink by tdd-UL-DL-ConfigurationCommon or tdd-UL-DL-ConfigDedicated, or indicated for a SS/PBCH block by ssb-PositionsInBurst, or belonging to a CORESET associated with a Type0-PDCCH CSS set, and is cancelled according to clause 11.1.… |

***NEC [17] on the missing UL BWP change on PUCCH sSCell for Type 1 CB construction:***

* While when the target cell is PUCCH-sSCell for Type-1 HARQ-ACK codebook transmission, if the active UL BWP of PUCCH-sSCell changes, the HARQ-ACK for PDSCH reception(s) on the DL BWP prior to the UL BWP switching should be not transmitted. It seems that impact of the active BWP change of PUCCH-sSCell on Type-1 HARQ-ACK codebook construction is not captured in the specification yet. Therefore, we give the following TP to capture impact of the active BWP change of PUCCH-sSCell on Type-1 HARQ-ACK codebook construction.

|  |
| --- |
| 9.1.2.1 Type-1 HARQ-ACK codebook in physical uplink control channelFor a serving cell $c$, an active DL BWP, and an active UL BWP, as described in clause 12, the UE determines a set of $M\_{A,c}$ occasions for candidate PDSCH receptions for which the UE can transmit corresponding HARQ-ACK information in a PUCCH in slot $n\_{U}$. If serving cell $c$ is deactivated, the UE uses as the active DL BWP for determining the set of $M\_{A,c}$ occasions for candidate PDSCH receptions a DL BWP provided by *firstActiveDownlinkBWP-Id*. The determination is based:a) on a set of slot timing values $K\_{1}$ associated with the active UL BWP on the primary cell or, if the PUCCH transmission is indicated by a DCI format to be on the PUCCH-sSCell as described in clause 9A, on a set of slot timing values $K\_{1}$ associated with the active UL BWP on the PUCCH-sSCell [….]Set  - index of occasion for candidate PDSCH reception or SPS PDSCH releaseSet Set Set  to the cardinality of set Set *k* =0 – index of slot timing values , in descending order of the slot timing values, in set  for serving cell If a UE is not provided *ca-SlotOffset* for any serving cell of PDSCH receptions and for the serving cell of corresponding PUCCH transmission with HARQ-ACK informationwhile  if or *subslotLengthForPUCCH* is provided for the HARQ-ACK codebook Set  – index of a DL slot overlapping with an UL slotSet $N\_{k}$ to a number of DL slots overlapping with UL slot $n\_{U}-K\_{1,k}$ if *subslotLengthForPUCCH* is provided for the HARQ-ACK codebook; otherwise, $N\_{k}=max\left(2^{μ\_{DL}-μ\_{UL}},1\right)$while $n\_{D}<N\_{k}$ Set  to the set of rowsSet  to the cardinality of Set  – index of row in set if slot  starts at a same time as or after a slot for an active DL BWP change on serving cell  or an active UL BWP change on the PCell or an active UL BWP change on the PUCCH-sSCell and slot $n\_{0,k}+n\_{D}$ is before the slot for the active DL BWP change on serving cell  or the active UL BWP change on the PCell or an active UL BWP change on the PUCCH-sSCell, or *subslotLengthForPUCCH* is provided for the HARQ-ACK codebook and slot $n\_{0,k}+n\_{D}$ overlaps with UL slot $n\_{U}-K\_{1,k-1}$, $k>0$, where $n\_{0,k}$ is a DL slot with a smallest index among DL slots overlapping with UL slot $n\_{U}-K\_{1,k}$,$n\_{D}=n\_{D}+1$; else  [….] |

* 1. 1st round of email approvals

**Final points on DCI field size alignment**

Intel discusses that it should still be clarified, that for NR-U, where no further specification impact has been concluded, it would be good to still conclude that a DCI field size variation is not expected by the UE.

**Proposed conclusion 6.2.1: For PUCCH carrier switching based on dynamic indication and/or semi-static pattern, a UE does not expect the size/presence of ‘*ChannelAccess-CPext*’ DCI field to vary across PCell / SPCell / PUCCH SCell and PUCCH sSCell.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Support companies  | Nokia/NSB, Intel, Ericsson, QC, Lenovo New H3C, DOCOMO, Panasonic, LG, NEC, ETRI, Samsung |
| Objecting companies | Huawei/Hisi |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| Intel | We think that the conclusion from the last meeting on NR-U + PUCCH carrier switching scenarios does not fully cover the spec and UE behaviour expectation from such a combination. Thus the proposal 6.2.1 is important to have. |
| Huawei/Hisi | The application of PUCCH carrier switching on NRU (which is present only on shared spectrum) is not justified. Thus the conclusion could be modified as:**Proposed conclusion 6.2.1: For PUCCH carrier switching based on dynamic indication and/or semi-static pattern, a UE does not expect the ~~size/~~presence of ‘*ChannelAccess-CPext*’ DCI field ~~to vary across~~ for PCell / SPCell / PUCCH SCell and PUCCH sSCell**38.212

|  |
| --- |
| - ChannelAccess-CPext – 2 bits indicating combinations of channel access type and CP extension as defined in Table 7.3.1.1.1-4, or Table 7.3.1.1.1-4A if *ChannelAccessMode-r16* = "*semistatic*" is provided, for operation in a cell with shared spectrum channel access; 0 bit otherwise. |

 |
| Samsung | OK as a conclusion without specification impact as otherwise it would be a gNB misconfiguration and the UE does not need to care. |
| Moderator | I guess re-iterating this may not really help. Intel / Sergey & Yuan / HW, could you please try to coordinate offline on a potentially agreeable proposal for HW? (to not spam the whole group if the situation continues to be like that)?I can bring this back later on, when having some ‘acceptable version’ by Sergey Yuan.  |

Intel also raises the issue of the 2nd TPC command for scheduled PUCCH for M-TRP operation. Let’s simply check if this is agreeable or not:

**Proposal 6.2.2: For PUCCH carrier switching based on dynamic indication and/or semi-static pattern, apply the same handling for ‘Second TPC command for scheduled PUCCH’ field size determination and zero-bit padding as for PRI and PDSCH-to-HARQ\_feedback.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Support companies  | Intel, QC, Panasonic Huawei/Hisi |
| Objecting companies |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| Intel | In general, we prefer not to artificially limit combinations of features, which can be straightforwardly supported. This is also applicable to m-TRP enhanced PUCCH transmission and PUCCH carrier switching. Thus, our preference is to handle the DCI field size of ‘Second TPC command for scheduled PUCCH’ alignment same way as for PRI and k1 set. Note, in different PUCCH-Config structures for different cells the presence of this field may be separately configured. |
| Samsung | OK as a conclusion without specification impact – gNB can handle. |
| Moderator | There was an objection by Samsung. @Intel / Sergey could you please try to coordinate offline on a potentially agreeable proposal with Samsung / Aris? (to not spam the whole group if the situation continues to be like that)?I can bring this back later on, when having some versionby Sergey which is also acceptable to Aris / Samsung? |
|  |  |

**PUCCH repetition with dynamic PUCCH cell switching**

Maybe it would be worth agreeing to support this as proposed by Intel & HW/HiSi - as the discussions on the PUCCH repetition only focused on semi-static operation, assuming all the PUCCH repetitions are on the indicated PUCCH cell.

**Proposal 6.2.3: Support joint operation of PUCCH repetition and dynamic PUCCH carrier switching in Rel-17.**

* **The PUCCH cell indication in the DCI scheduling the PUCCH is applicable for all the PUCCH repetitions.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Supporting companies  | Nokia/NSB, Intel, Ericsson, QC, Lenovo Huawei/Hisi New H3C, vivo, DOCOMO, Panasonic, CATT, ZTE, LG, NEC, ETRI |
| Objecting companies | Samsung |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| Samsung | As it has been repeatedly explained, there is no need and it is actually highly detrimental to have all PUCCH repetitions on the PUCCH-sSCell. The case of PUCCH repetitions is a primary use case for PUCCH cell switching.  |
| Moderator  | Not sure what to do with this, except discussing this in GTW session (if having time). Not bringing this to 2nd round again – but directly to GTW.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Further clarifications on dynamic PUCCH cell switching:**

vivo [5] raises the issue, that SR resource configurations and CSI report configurations should not be configured in the PUCCH config(s) for the PUCCH sSCell, as SR and CSI transmission on the PUCCH sSCell is not supported.

**Proposal 6.2.4: A UE configured with dynamic PUCCH cell switching is not expecting to be configured with SR resource configuration(s) and/or CSI report configuration(s) in the PUCCH configuration(s) of the PUCCH sSCell.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Supporting companies  | Nokia/NSB, Intel, Ericsson (but see the comment) Huawei/Hisi New H3C, vivo, DOCOMO, Panasonic, CATT, ZTE, LG, NEC, ETRI, [Samsung] |
| Objecting companies | QC |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| Ericsson | We are Ok with the intention, but we are not sure if the proposal is really needed. SR and CSI are not subject to dynamic PUCCH cell switching. Therefore, their configuration is not using the PUCCH sSCell at all. Then, when dynamic PUCCH cell switching is kicked in for HARQ-ACK, in slots that there is SR and CSI, the dynamic indication should follow the cell (Pcell/PsCell/..) of the SR or CSI. Because we agreed that there will be only one cell with PUCCH at the time. |
| QC | Similar comment as Ericsson, we are not sure if this proposal is needed. It is obvious that CSI or SR does not follow dynamic DCI or the dynamic PUCCH cell switch has nothing to do with CSI and SR. Given that the RRC might reconfigure this UE to semi-static PUCCH cell switch, it is also a little stringent to prohibit SR or CSI to be “configured” on Scell.  |
| ZTE | Share some views as Ericsson. We think the sentence can be a proposal:When dynamic PUCCH cell switching is kicked in for HARQ-ACK, in slots that there is SR and CSI, the dynamic indication should follow the cell (Pcell/PsCell/..) of the SR or CSI. |
| Samsung | Agree to the proposed statement as a conclusion. It would be a gNB misconfiguration since there are no specified UE procedures for it.  |
| Moderator | Seems that some companies think there is no need for such restriction. @vivo (as originating company), companies may have a point that anyhow if you are configured with dynamic PUCCH cell switching, these configurations would anyhow not be used – so why to prevent the related configuration. If combing back to this, there would be a need to say why such restriction is needed. @all: If having good suggestion on how to proceed on this in a later round (e.g. as a conclusion or which some changed description), please let the moderator to know offline. Do not plan to continue the discussion directly in the 2nd round.  |

Intel [15] raises the issue on which PUCCH resource is to be considered in the limitations given by the dynamic PUCCH cell switching. Intel is suggesting to clarify the following:

* Clarify that the valid PUCCH resource on Pcell means PUCCH resources before multiplexing on Pcell
* Clarify that for different priority UCI, any PUCCH resource before multiplexing/prioritization is considered

**Proposed Conclusion 6.2.5: The earlier RAN1 conclusion from RAN1#107-e is further clarified as (with the additions in red):**

|  |
| --- |
| **Conclusion**For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the UE does not expect a PUCCH slot with UCI on PCell /SPCell / PUCCH SCell to overlap with a PUCCH slot with HARQ-ACK on the dynamically indicated alternative PUCCH ~~PUCCH~~ cell.* The UCI on PCell /SPCell / PUCCH SCell dropped due to collision with semi-static DL symbols, SSB, and symbols indicated by pdcch-ConfigSIB1 in MIB for a CORESET for Type0-PDCCH CSS set is exempted and is not multiplexed on the PUCCH on the alternative PUCCH cell.
	+ A valid PUCCH resource on Pcell means PUCCH resources before multiplexing on Pcell
* For different priority UCI, any PUCCH resource before multiplexing/prioritization is considered
 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Supporting companies  | Intel Huawei/Hisi, DOCOMO (with further clarification), Panasonic, CATT, LG, NEC |
| Objecting companies | Ericsson (see comment), QC, Lenovo, ZTE, Samsung |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| Ericsson | Is it really necessary? The feature is about PUCCH cell switching, so what is important to determine the cell for PUCCH. After that is determined, everything else follows as if it was a PCell, and that also include the PUCCH resource, etc. |
| QC | We also do not see the need for this proposal. The UCI dropping on PCell/SPCell/PUCCH SCell follows whatever existing rule in spec. The key of the past agreement is that the dropped UCI is exempted for the condition check in the main text of the conclusion. We don’t see the conclusion has any ambiguity.  |
| Lenovo | We think the existing conclusion is sufficient. |
| Huawei/Hisi | Agree with the intention, as it is simple for the UE not to handle/multiplex the potential UCIs on PCell when it receives the DCI indicating PUCCH on SCell.  |
| vivo | About the PUCCH resources that can be exempted for dynamic PUCCH carrier switching, there can be two understandings for above conlusion, Alt.1: the PUCCH resource carrying the UCI after multiplexing on PCell /SPCell / PUCCH SCell dropped due to collision with semi-static DL symbols, SSB, and symbols indicated by pdcch-ConfigSIB1 in MIB for a CORESET for Type0-PDCCH CSS set is exempted, this is more aligned with Rel-16 intra-UE multiplexing rule.Alt.2: the PUCCH resource carrying the UCI before multiplexing on PCell /SPCell / PUCCH SCell dropped due to collision with semi-static DL symbols, SSB, and symbols indicated by pdcch-ConfigSIB1 in MIB for a CORESET for Type0-PDCCH CSS set is exempted, this option is NOT aligned with Rel-16 intra-UE multiplexing rule, but it maybe simpler. We slightly prefer Alt.1.  |
| DOCOMO | We think the issue is valid, that the PUCCH slot collision checking is for PUCCH resource before or after multiplexing/prioritization. We are fine with current proposal that PUCCH resource on PCell for the collision checking is before UCI multiplexing/prioritization on Pcell. Vivo’s mofication (Alt 1 or Alt 2) is also fine.Additionally, we think UCI multiplexing on PUSCH should also be clarified, as following examples.  |
| CATT | We think the proposal is simpler from implementation perspective. |
| ZTE | The current specification describes the the collision checking is after UCI multiplexing. What's the benefit to revert the behaviour with much cost? |
| LG | We believe the agreement was made to avoid any collision/multiplexing handling between configured UCI transmission and dynamically switched HARQ-ACK transmission. Thus current agreement can be read as Alt. 2 in vivo’s comment. |
| NEC | We think the issue is valid and agree with the intention. |
| Samsung | A main reason for the agreement was to avoid additional complications in determining timelines, expecially for different SCS (as that would likely be the case). The proposed amendment overturns that. |
| Moderator | Let’s try to clarify Alt. 1 or Alt. 2 by vivo in the 2nd round. Clearly, the current agreement seems to be more hinting towards Alt. 1.  |

**PUCCH carrier switching based on semi-static pattern**

**SPS PUCCH resource using n1PUCCH-AN (potential RRC impact)**

vivo [5] raises the issue of *n1PUCCH-AN* being configured in SPS-Config and therefore is not separately available for PCell and PUCCH sSCell. Two alternatives on the handling are presented (where Alt. 2 is having RRC impact):

* *Alt. 1: SPS-Config->n1PUCCH-AN corresponds to a configured PUCCH resource on the PCell/PSCell/PUCCH-SCell, as well as a configured PUCCH resource on the PUCCH sSCell, where the two configured PUCCH resources have the same resource ID.*
* *Alt. 2: SPS-Config->n1PUCCH-AN can be extended so that two resource IDs can be configured independently for the PCell/PSCell/PUCCH-SCell and the PUCCH sSCell, respectively.*

Based on moderator understanding, Alt. 1 is basically what the current 38.213 would imply (so Alt. 1 would not require any specification or RRC changes). And if Alt. 1 would be adopted, gNB could still be the appropriate configuration of PUCCH resource ID mapping to PUCCH resources in PCell & PUCCH sSCell have the intended mapping on the two cells. In addition, gNB could also still configure the UE with *SPS-PUCCH-AN-List* to provide the UE with a different PUCCH resources for PUCCH cell and PUCCH sSCell, which solves the problem as this is configured within PUCCH-config of each of the PUCCH cells and is used instead of *n1PUCCH-AN* if configured. In contrast, Alt. 2 would first of all have RRC impact (i.e. we need to define an additional RRC parameter in SPS-Config) and would also require corresponding changes to 38.213.

So let’s see if we could agree the Alt. 1 interpretation as a conclusion below. I bring this conclusion forward (i.e. going for Alt. 1) as this is the current specifications and for a change there (e.g. going for Alt. 1) would require an agreement to the currently specified behavior (which seems to be less likely).

In case you do not support the proposed conclusion below, please indicate if either Alt. 2 or any different interpretation is according to your views applicable.

**Proposed Conclusion 6.2.6: For PUCCH cell switching, *n1PUCCH-AN* in *SPS-Config* corresponds to a configured PUCCH resource on the PCell/PSCell/PUCCH-SCell, as well as a configured PUCCH resource on the PUCCH sSCell, where the two configured PUCCH resources have the same resource ID.**

* ***Note: this seems the operation based on the current version of 38.213***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Supporting companies  | Nokia/NSB, DOCOMO, LG |
| Objecting companies | Intel, Ericsson, Lenovo Huawei/Hisi New H3C, Panasonic, ZTE, NEC, ETRI, Samsung |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| Intel | vivo arguments are understood, we would like to see if Alt.2 would be acceptable |
| Ericsson | It seems Alt-2 is a cleaner approach. The same way that the configuration of dedicated PUCCH resource sets are extended to the PUCCH sSCell, it would be cleaner to do the same extension for PUCCh resource for DL SPS. Also, maybe applying the same configuration for both cells are not suitable, since the configuration involves payload range and PUCCh resource. **We acknowledge this is high priority since it has RRc impact.** |
| QC | Either Alt-1 or Alt 2 can work. We slightly prefer Alt-2, but we can accept Alt-1 as well.  |
| Lenovo | The proposed conclusion overly limits scheduling flexibility. We prefer Alt. 2. |
| Huawei/Hisi | We prefere Alt.2 as a more flexible solution, as the PUCCH resource configurations of the two PUCCH cells may be different. |
| vivo | We prefer Alt.2 for better flexibility. We understand at this stage, the bar for introducing the RRC parameter is high, but it would be good to check whether Alt.2 is acceptable. |
| Panasonic | The possibility of having separate PUCCH resource IDs improves the flexibility. |
| CATT | We also prefer Alt. 2 for better flexibility. |
| LG | We think Alt. 1 is clearly aligned with current framework of PUCCH cell switching. We prefer Alt. 1 for consistency.  |
| NEC | Both two alternatives are fine to us. Alt.2 is slightly preferred for flexibility. |
| Huawei/Hisi2  | Note that *CSI-ReportConfig -> PUCCH-CSI-Resource* may also be extended to SCell following the same principle of Alt.2. |
| ETRI | We understand the intention, and the gNB may not allocate an appropriorate index to *n1PUCCH-AN.* |
| Samsung | There are separate *PUCCH-Config*s and no reason for that to not apply to *n1PUCCH-AN* |
| Moderator | Let’s try in the 2nd round to introduce a new RRC parameter.  |

**PUCCH repetition and semi-static PUCCH cell switching**

On the PUCCH repetition operation, there are 14 companies suggesting keeping the PUCCH repetition on the cell of the first PUCCH repetition (Alt. 2A, 2B or 2C) whereas 4 companies think there should be PUCCH carrier switching within a PUCCH repetition bundle to be supported (Alt. 1).

12 companies support Options 2A (post-pone a repetition from a PUCCH slot on a different PUCCH cell), 3 companies prefer 2B (drop a repetition from a PUCCH slot on a different PUCCH cell) and one company suggests Alt. 2C (UE does not expect the PUCCH switching pattern to indicate a different cell).

And maybe we could further reduce the number of options to be further discussed in the first round, by focusing on Alt. 1 (with details being FFS) and Alt. 2A (which seems the most popular option from the Alt. 2 ‘camp) for further discussions. I don’t expect that we can resolve (down-select) by email from 4 to 1 option, but having only two options left would be at least one tiny step closer to getting this resolved:

**Proposal 6.2.7: For semi-static PUCCH cell switching with PUCCH repetition, further down-select from the following two alternatives:**

* **Alt. 1: The target cell is determined for each PUCCH repetition individually (i.e., switching within the repetition bundle supported)**
	+ **FFS further details and/or restrictions**
* **Alt. 2A: For semi-static PUCCH cell switching, a PUCCH repetition transmission on a different target PUCCH cell from the PUCCH cell of the first PUCCH repetition is not supported**
	+ **A PUCCH slot mapped to different PUCCH cell is considered as invalid for PUCCH repetition and is not counted towards the total number of PUCCH repetitions, i.e., the repetition is postponed as in Rel-16.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Supporting companies  | Nokia/NSB, Ericsson, QC Huawei/Hisi New H3C, vivo, Panasonic, CATT, ZTE, LG, NEC, ETRI |
| Objecting companies | Samsung |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| Nokia/NSB | From the two remaining, we support Alt. 2A.  |
| Intel | We think Alt. 2C is also a viable option. But we won’t object limiting the discussion to Alt 1 vs 2A |
| Ericsson  | Support 2A. |
| Lenovo | Okay with Alt 2A. |
| Huawei/Hisi | Support 2A.  |
| New H3C | Support 2A |
| vivo | Our preference is Alt.2A. Alt.1 requires more discussions and spec efforts.  |
| DOCOMO | We think Alt. 2C is the simplest one. But no objection. Prefer Alt 2A to Alt 1. |
| Panasonic | Alt. 1 can achieve a lower latency. |
| CATT | Support Alt. 2A. |
| ZTE | Support 1 |
| LG | Support Alt. 2A |
| NEC | Both two alternatives are fine to us. Alt.2A is slightly preferred for simplicity. |
| ETRI | Support 2A |
| Samsung | We do not support 2A, there is no reason for it as it has been repeatedly explained, and do not agree to a proposal that includes it as a possibility. |
| Moderator | Samsung objected to the down-selection, even though Alt. 1 is included. Need to take this in GTW (seems not possible to proceed here further).  |

**SR operation with semi-static PUCCH cell switching**

vivo [5] discusses SR operation. Let’s check if their proposed handling would be agreeable.

**Proposal 6.2.8: When an SR configuration is triggered, PUCCH resource(s) of the associated SR resource configuration(s) on corresponding PUCCH cell(s) will be validated based on the time domain pattern.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Supporting companies  | Vivo, DOCOMO, Panasonic, ZTE |
| Objecting companies |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| Intel | We would like to clarify what would the spec impact for this potential agreement? |
| Ericsson | Same comment as Intel. |
| QC | What proposed in the proposal seems obvious. Same comment as above companies that on whether there is any spec impact? If not, then the proposal seems not needed.  |
| Huawei/Hisi | It looks the intention has been captured in the spec.

|  |
| --- |
| A UE can be provided a periodic cell switching pattern for PUCCH transmissions by *pucch-sSCellPattern.* Each bit of the pattern corresponds to a slot for a reference SCS configuration provided by *tdd-UL-DL-ConfigurationCommon* for the PCell with a value of '0' or a value of '1' indicating, respectively, the PCell or the PUCCH-sSCell as the cell for PUCCH transmissions during the slot of the reference SCS configuration. |

 |
| vivo | In our opinion, the spec impact is we need to define UE behaviour or define the available PUCCH resource for SR PUCCH trasmissions for semi-static PUCCH cell switching For example, in TS38.331.for a *PUCCH-Config*, in the description for parameter *schedulingRequestResourceToAddModList*, it should be stated that a PUCCH resource configured by the parameter *resource* in a *SchedulingRequestResourceConfig* configured in *schedulingRequestResourceToAddModList* is valid only when the PUCCH cell corresponding to the *PUCCH-Config* is applicable based on the time domain pattern if semi-static PUCCH cell switching is enabled or its validation can be referred to TS 38.213. Furthermore, when an SR configuration is triggered, only if a PUCCH resource of the associated SR resource configuration(s) is valid, corresponding SR PUCCH transmission will be performed by the UE. Besides, in the clause 9.A of TS38.213, a general description for SR PUCCH resource validation based on the time domain pattern when semi-static PUCCH cell switching is enabled should also be added to clarify UE behaviour in terms of SR PUCCH trasmissions for semi-static PUCCH cell switching. |
| DOCOMO | Agree with the intention, and same principle should be applied for the case of CSI PUCCH reporting configured on multiple PUCCH cells. |
| ZTE | There is only one general agreement on SR and CSI before, so we need this detailed proposal for specification. |
| LG | Based on Huawei’s comment, it would be Ok to leave since UE knows which slot/cell combination is valid for UL transmission. If further clarification is needed, it could be MAC issue to choose which slot for SR transmission. |
| Samsung | No apparent need for the proposal. |
| Moderator | It seems that several companies think there is no need for such agreement, as this is captured in the specifications already. @vivo (as originating company), if you still think a specs change is needed, could you maybe bring a related TP to the next meeting (or showing some more details where changes will be needed regarding SR)? But based on this situation, continuing the discussions here seems to be not really helping.  |

**Order of semi-static PUCCH cell switching and UCI multiplexing:**

CATT [8] discusses, that it would be good to clarify the order of cell switching and UCI multiplexing / prioritization. Clearly, this should not hurt so let’s see if we could have a related conclusion.

**Proposed Conclusion 6.2.9: Semi-static PUCCH cell switching, i.e. the PUCCH cell determination based on the time-domain pattern, should be performed before UCI multiplexing/prioritization.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Supporting companies  | Nokia/NSB, Intel, Ericsson, QC, Lenovo Huawei/Hisi New H3C, vivo, CATT, ZTE, LG, NEC, Samsung |
| Objecting companies | DOCOMO |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| Intel | Should this be discussed together with 6.2.5? |
| Huawei/Hisi | Same understanding with Intel, that the two proposals are clarifying the same issue. |
| DOCOMO | We don’t think Question 6.2.9 and Question 6.2.5 are discussing the same thing. Since Question 6.2.5 is for dynamic cell switching case, and for inter-cell PUCCH collision checking. However, Question 6.2.9 is for semi-static PUCCH cell switching case, and for intra-cell UCI multiplexing or multiplexing of UCI to PUSCH.We don’t think the conclusion is needed for Question 6.2.9. UE behavior is clear enough.We had an agreement in RAN1#106bis-e that PRI is interpreatetd based on target PUCCH cell for PUCCH resource determination. In our understanding, the agreement implies that PUCCH resource is determined after PUCCH cell switching, i.e. no PUCCH resource is determined before cell switching. Since UCI multiplexing/prioritization is based on determined PUCCH resource, it’s natural that UCI multiplexing/prioritization is after cell switching.**Agreement**For semi-static PUCCH cell switching, the PUCCH resource indicator (PRI) is interpreted based on the PUCCH configuration of determined target PUCCH cell.  |
| CATT | For the relationship with proposal 6.2.5, we share the same view as DOCOMO.For the comment from DOCOMO, we think the agreement is not clear enough. It is also possible that UE determine the PUCCH resources twice based on PCell and target cell respectively. Regardless, if DOCOMO share the same understanding, a conclusion for clarification should not harm. |
| Moderator | @DCM: Based on your reply, it seems clear that you first determine the target PUCCH cell (i.e. the cell switching) and then apply the multiplexing including the related PUCCH resource selection (e.g. based on PRI). The intention here was exactly to clarify that one. Is there something wrong with the proposed conclusion or do you prefer some wording change here?? |

* 1. 1st round of email discussions

**Clarification on dormant UL/DL active BWP**

ETRI raises the issue that it is not fully clear how to handle dormant UL/DL active BWP.

|  |
| --- |
| AgreementFor semi-static PUCCH cell switching, if the alternative PUCCH cell (i.e. PUCCH sCell) is deactivated or the alternative PUCCH Cell is dormant, the UE does not apply time-domain pattern and the UCI is to be transmitted on PCell / SPCell / PUCCH SCell. **TS38.213-h00**9.A PUCCH Cell Switching…This clause is applicable when a UE is provided a PUCCH-sSCell by *pucch-sSCell* and the PUCCH-sSCell is activated and does not have a dormant UL/DL active BWP. … |

According to agreement, the activated serving cell with non-dormant BWP can be used for PUCCH transmissions. The specification describes that the PUCCH-sSCell does not have a dormant BWP. In ETRI’s understanding, this serving cell can have a dormant BWP but does not fall into a dormant BWP, otherwise, the PUCCH-sSCell is not configured to have a dormant BWP. ETRI would like to clarify either alternative below.

**Question 6.3.1: For active dormant UL/DL active BWP handling and PUCCH cell switching, PUCCH cell switching is supported, if**

* **Alt 1: … the PUCCH-sSCell is activated and does not switch into a dormant UL/DL active BWP.**
* **Alt 2: … the PUCCH-sSCell is activated and is not configured to have a dormant UL/DL active BWP.**
* **Other:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Alt. 1 | QC, DOCOMO, ETRI |
| Alt. 2 | vivo, Nokia/NSB |
| Other | vivo, Nokia/NSB, Samsung |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| vivo | We are fine with Alt.2 simialr as PUCCH SCell. Alternatively, we are also fine with that UE can just fallback to PCell to transmit the PUCCH same as Rel-15/16. |
| DOCOMO | We think Alt 1 provides more flexibility for PUCCH Scell configuration.  |
| Nokia/NSB | Agree with vivo. The fallback to PCell could be an option as well. On Alt. 1: especially with semi-static PUCCH cell switching it will be hard to prevent this (if you want to get the power saving of dormancy as well).  |
| LG | We would like to check what common understanding of current agreement and specification is. Our understanding is that configuring PUCCH cell switching itself doesn’t affect to UE behavior related to dormant BWP.  |
| ETRI | According to the current specification, the PUCCH-SCell, SpCell does not have a dormant DL BWP, and it is about the search space monitoring. Anyway, the previous agreement does not allow dormant BWP in the activated PUCCH-sSCell, though our understanding is that PUCCH could be transmitted for the UL BWP in the PUCCH-sSCell. We think the intention of the previous agreement is to transmit PUCCH to the PUCCH-sSCell based on the semi-static pattern. In this sense, we think Alt 1 is more flexible but fine to Alt 2 as well. |
| Samsung | No apparent need for a conclusion or restriction. It is a gNB issue. |

**PUCCH carrier switching based on dynamic indication**

**SPS operation with dynamic PUCCH cell switching**

Basically the same options as discussed last time – are again on the table. The input from different companies is summarized below:

|  |
| --- |
| * **Option 1 (8): For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the HARQ-ACK of SPS PDSCH without associated DCI is to be transmitted on PCell / SPCell / PUCCH-SCell independently of the dynamically indicated PUCCH cell in the SPS activation DCI. Only the HARQ-ACK corresponding to the first SPS PDSCH activated by Activation DCI uses the PUCCH cell based on the indication in the activation DCI (based on the earlier agreement).**
	+ ***The UE does not expected to be dynamically indicated for PUCCH transmission on the PUCCH sSCell in the SPS activation DCI in a slot overlapping with a PUCCH slot with UCI on PCell / SPCell / PUCCH-SCell slot.***
		- **The UCI on PCell /SPCell / PUCCH SCell dropped due to collision with semi-static DL symbols, SSB, and symbols indicated by pdcch-ConfigSIB1 in MIB for a CORESET for Type0-PDCCH CSS set is exempted and is not multiplexed on the PUCCH on the PUCCH sScell.**
	+ **Support:** HW/HiSi [1], New H3C [4],vivo [5], CATT [8], CAICT [12], Panasonic [13], Intel [15], NEC [17],
	+ **Further details:**
		- HW/HiSi [1]: For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, if the HARQ-ACK for the first SPS PDSCH is indicated on the PUCCH sSCell based on the activation DCI,
			* the UE determines for the first SPS PDSCH a k1 value from the PUCCH sSCell’s K1 set according to the K1 indicator field in the activation DCI
			* the UE determines for the other SPS PDSCHs without associated DCI a k1 value from PCell’s K1 set according to the K1 indicator field in the activation DCI
		- vivo [5]: When HARQ-ACK corresponding to the PDSCH scheduled by an SPS activation DCI is indicated to be reported on the PUCCH sSCell, the K1 value for SPS PDSCH(s) corresponding to the SPS activation DCI is determined based on the K1 indicator field in the SPS activation DCI, as well as the K1 set for the PCell/PSCell/PUCCH-SCell.
		- CAICT [12]: When dynamic PUCCH cell switching is configured, if the DCI is for SPS PDSCH activation, the PDSCH-to-HARQ\_feedback timing indicator field value maps to the Pcell’s K1 set.
* **Option 2 (5): For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the HARQ-ACK of SPS PDSCH without associated DCI is to be transmitted on PCell / SPCell / PUCCH-SCell independently of the dynamically indicated PUCCH cell in the SPS activation DCI. Only the HARQ-ACK corresponding to the first SPS PDSCH activated by Activation DCI uses the PUCCH cell based on the indication in the activation DCI (based on the earlier agreement).**
	+ **The UE does not expected to be dynamically indicated for PUCCH transmission on the PUCCH sSCell in the SPS activation DCI.**
	+ **Support:** Nokia/NSB [3] (2nd preference), CATT [8], Spreadtrum [10], Intel [15], LG [20]
* **Option 3 (3): For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the HARQ-ACK of SPS PDSCH without associated DCI (including the first SPS PDSCH activated by Activation DCI) is to be transmitted on PCell / SPCell / PUCCH-SCell independently of the dynamically indicated PUCCH cell in the SPS activation DCI**
	+ ***Note: This changes an earlier agreement in terms of handling for the first SPS PDSCH activated by Activation DCI***
	+ **Yes:** Nokia/NSB [3] (1st preference), DOCOMO [9], Samsung [18] (2nd preference)
* **Option 4 (1): For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, all PUCCH transmissions with HARQ-ACK for SPS PDSCH receptions of a SPS configuration are on the cell indicated by the DCI format activating the SPS PDSCH receptions.**
	+ **Support:** Samsung [18]
 |

Clearly, Option 1 has the largest support between the options, but as discussed by HW/HiSi, vivo & CAICT, we still would need to define which K1 set is to be used by the UE for the first SPS PDSCH – namely the one of the indicated PUCCH cell (PCell or PUCCH sSCell, depending on the indication) as proposed by HW/HiSi, or if independently of the PUCCH cell indication always the set the K1 set(s) from PCell/PSCell/PUCCH-SCell are always used as suggested by vivo & CAICT.

The moderator would like to note here, that there are ongoing Rel-15 maintenance discussions in AI 7.1 on how to handle the HARQ-ACK of the first SPS PDSCH. Therefore, the discussions on the understanding there may also influence finally which Option is to be chosen. Therefore, it seems to better to wait still here a bit having slightly more clarity on the intended Rel-15 UE operation here.

Let’s therefore maybe try in the first round (before the having more clarity on the Rel-15 operation) to see, in case we go for Option 1 above, which K1 set to use for the first SPS PDSCH. Please note, that for the Option 2 & Option 3, clearly the K1 set from PCell/PSCell/PUCCH-SCell is to be used for all SPS HARQ and for Option 4, as the HARQ is always carried on the indicated PUCCH cell, the K1 set(s) of the indicated PUCCH cell would apply here.

**Question 6.3.2: If Option 1 for SPS HARQ-ACK handling with dynamic PUCCH cell switching would be supported,**

* **Alt. 1:**
	+ **For the HARQ-ACK first SPS PDSCH, the UE determines a k1 value from K1 set(s) of the indicated PUCCH cell (PCell/PSCell/PUCCH-SCell or PUCCH sSCell’s ) according to the PDSCH-to-HARQ\_feedback timing indicator field in the activation DCI**
	+ **For all other SPS PDSCHs except the first SPS PDSCH, the UE determines a k1 value from K1 set(s) of the PCell/PSCell/PUCCH-SCell according to the PDSCH-to-HARQ\_feedback timing indicator field in the activation DCI**
* **Alt. 2: For all SPS PDSCHs including the first SPS PDSCH, the UE determines a k1 value from K1 set(s) of the PCell/PSCell/PUCCH-SCell according to the PDSCH-to-HARQ\_feedback timing indicator field in the activation DCI.**
* **Other:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Alt. 1 | Intel, QC Huawei/Hisi, vivo, DOCOMO, Panasonic, Nokia/NSB, LG, NEC, ETRI |
| Alt. 2 |  |
| Other |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| Huawei/Hisi | If the first activated SPS HARQ-ACK can be indicated on SCell, Alt.2 is problematic since the PCell K1 set may not include k1 values of SCell when constructing the Type 1 CB.In addition, we agree with Moderator to hold on the decision among the options till the clarification in AI 7.1 and think the preference of the option here should be aligned with the AI 7.1 conclusion. |
| DOCOMO | Though Opt 1 is not our preference, but if adopted, we prefer Alt 1. It is more reasonable that appliable K1 set is consistent with corresponding PUCCH transmission cell. |
| Nokia/NSB | Agree with DCM.  |
| ZTE | Option 1 is not our choice, our intention is Option 2 or Option 3  |
| LG | If supported, Alt. 1 is reasonable to keep consistency.  |
| Samsung | It would be good to first resolve the main issue. We do not agree to option 1. |
| Moderator | Seems Alt. 1 is the favorite of companies. Let’s use this to clarify the Alt. 1 of the SPS operation.  |

**PUCCH repetition and semi-static PUCCH cell switching**

On parallel to trying to prune the number of options here from 4 to 2, it would be good to also discuss some needed clarifications for Alt. 1 (if this is to be supported).

**On Alt. 1**, the following operation additions has been provided:

* **(A)** The required number of repetition is derived according to the defined number of repetition associated to the cell initiating the PUCCH repetitions (Panasonic)
* **(B)** If more than one slot on the PUCCH-sSCell overlaps with a slot on the PCell, all slots where the PUCCH can be transmitted on the PUCCH-sSCell are used (Samsung)
	+ **(C)** For the other cell, the effective PUCCH transmission is counted towards the required number of repetitions (Panasonic)
* **(D)** PUCCH resources corresponding to PUCCH repetitions other than the first PUCCH repetition are determined from the determined PUCCH cell based on the PRI in the (activated) DCI corresponding to the PUCCH (ZTE)
* On ‘alignment’ of PUCCH resources:
	+ **(E)** UE expects that PUCCH resources from PCell/SPCell/PUCCH SCell and PUCCH sScell have the same number of symbols for each PUCCH repetition (ZTE)
	+ **(F)** A UE does not expect different number of REs in the PUCCH resources to transmit the repetition (QC)

**Question 6.3.3: If Alt. 1, i.e. the target cell is determined for each PUCCH repetition individually, is supported, which additional clarifications do you think would be needed? Please also check the input below – you can refer here also to (A) to (F) from the company inputs.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| QC | F is needed otherwise UE has to do recoding for each repetition and gNB cannot combine repetitions with different coding rate with Polar encoding. B & D also need to be discussed. |
| ZTE | We think A/D/E/F can be considered to simplify the issue of this joint processing. |
| Samsung | Only D is necessary. B can also be considered to maximize the benefit from switching in case of different SCS. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**CSI operation with semi-static PUCCH cell switching**

DOCOMO & vivo on how to operate CSI reporting, and have slightly different proposed operation here. So let’s see if companies are more towards the DOCOMO or vivo proposal.

**Question 6.3.4: When CSI reporting on PUCCH is configured on both the PCell/PSCell/PUCCH-SCell and the PUCCH sSCell, which of the following formulations for a potential agreement do you prefer:**

* **Alt. 1 (DCM): the PUCCH cell pattern is applied to determine whether CSI PUCCH will be transmitted or not.**
* **Alt. 2 (vivo): corresponding CSI PUCCH resource(s) on a PUCCH cell will be validated based on the time domain pattern.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Alt. 1 | Vivo, DOCOMO, ZTE, Samsung |
| Alt. 2 | Vivo, DOCOMO, ZTE, LG |
| Other |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| Intel | Would be good to understand first how Alt.2 is going to be captured in specs. |
| Huawei/Hisi | It looks the intention has been captured in the spec.

|  |
| --- |
| A UE can be provided a periodic cell switching pattern for PUCCH transmissions by *pucch-sSCellPattern.* Each bit of the pattern corresponds to a slot for a reference SCS configuration provided by *tdd-UL-DL-ConfigurationCommon* for the PCell with a value of '0' or a value of '1' indicating, respectively, the PCell or the PUCCH-sSCell as the cell for PUCCH transmissions during the slot of the reference SCS configuration. |

 |
| vivo | We think our intention is the same as DCM, just wording is different. We would like to clarify that although the CSI reporting on PUCCH is configured on both the PCell/PSCell/PUCCH-SCell and the PUCCH sSCell, based on the PUCCH cell pattern, the CSI PUCCH resources are valid/invalid for CSI transmission. Similar as for SR, the potential spec impacts are following:For RRC parameters, e.g. in TS38.331. For example, for the csi-MeasConfig of a PUCCH cell, in the description for parameter csi-ReportConfigToAddModList, it may be stated that a PUCCH resource configured by the parameter pucch-Resource in a CSI-ReportConfig configured in csi-ReportConfigToAddModList is valid only when the PUCCH cell is applicable based on the time domain pattern if semi-static PUCCH cell switching is enabled. Furthermore, only if a CSI PUCCH resource is valid, corresponding CSI PUCCH transmission will be performed by the UE. Besides, in the clause 9.A of TS38.213, a general description for CSI PUCCH resource validation based on the time domain pattern when semi-static PUCCH cell switching is enabled can also be added to clarify UE behaviour in terms of CSI PUCCH trasmissions for semi-static PUCCH cell switching. |
| DOCOMO | Our understanding of Alt 1 and Alt 2 are similar, that the PUCCH cell pattern determines whether a CSI PUCCH can be transmitted, as in the following example. If it is common understanding on Huawei’s highlighted part, we are fine with no further clarification. |
| LG | For our understaning, Alt. 1 needs to configure alternative CSI configuration in PUCCH-sSCell. Meanwhile, Alt 2 would require to configure alternative PUCCH resource for CSI configuration in PUCCH-sSCell. We think alt.2 is more aligned with current framework.  |
| Moderator | As for the proposal on SR in Sec. 6.2, it seems that several companies think there is no need for such agreement, as this is captured in the specifications already. @vivo /DoCoMo (as originating company), if you still think a specs change is needed, could you maybe bring a related TP to the next meeting (or showing some more details where changes will be needed regarding SR)? But based on this situation, continuing the discussions here seems to be not really helping.  |

* 1. 2nd round of approvals discussions

**Proposal 6.4.1: For PUCCH cell switching, introduce a new RRC parameter in SPS-Config to allow configuring a separate ‘*n1PUCCH-AN’*  (i.e. PUCCH resource ID) for SPS HARQ operation.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Supporting companies  | Huawei/Hisi |
| Objecting companies |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| Huawei/Hisi | If this proposal is agreed, shall we also consider *CSI-ReportConfig -> pucch-CSI-ResourceList* (single CSI report) to be extended to SCell, following the same principle of *n1PUCCH-AN? PUCCH-CSI-Resource is not included by PUCCH-config.* Note there is RRC impact if considered. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Based on the input in the first round, clarifying the PUCCH repetition for Alt. 1 better based on the input in Question 6.3.3.

**Mod Proposal 6.2.7: For semi-static PUCCH cell switching with PUCCH repetition, further down-select from the following two alternatives:**

* **Alt. 1: The target cell is determined for each PUCCH repetition individually (i.e., switching within the repetition bundle supported)**
	+ **If more than one slot on the PUCCH-sSCell overlaps with a slot on the PCell, all slots where the PUCCH can be transmitted on the PUCCH-sSCell are used**
	+ **PUCCH resources corresponding to PUCCH repetitions other than the first PUCCH repetition are determined from the determined PUCCH cell based on the PRI in the (activated) DCI corresponding to the PUCCH**
	+ **A UE does not expect different number of REs in the PUCCH resources to transmit the repetition**
	+ **~~FFS further details and/or restrictions~~**
* **Alt. 2A: For semi-static PUCCH cell switching, a PUCCH repetition transmission on a different target PUCCH cell from the PUCCH cell of the first PUCCH repetition is not supported**
	+ **A PUCCH slot mapped to different PUCCH cell is considered as invalid for PUCCH repetition and is not counted towards the total number of PUCCH repetitions, i.e., the repetition is postponed as in Rel-16.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Supporting companies | Huawei/Hisi |
| Objecting companies |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Alt. 1 |  |
| Alt. 2A | Huawei/Hisi |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| Huawei/Hisi | It is too restrictive for gNB to configure PUCCHs over the two PUCCH cells associated with the same PRI with the same RE number for ensuring the soft combining.Moreover, the processing functionalities are per carrier operated for gNB, which means it is highly challenging to perform TTI level combination over carriers, not to say it is not clear how it can work under the cross-site CA mode.In addition, Alt.1 may suffer reliability/coverage loss if the SCell is with short slot length as shown in below (Alt.1 actually transmits repetitions over 1.5ms, while it is supposed to achieve the coverage goal by transmitting 2ms as Alt.2A). |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

* 1. 2nd round of email discussions

**Further clarifications on dynamic PUCCH cell switching:**

**Question 6.5.1: For dynamic PUCCH cell switching the following RAN1 conclusion**

|  |
| --- |
| **Conclusion**For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the UE does not expect a PUCCH slot with UCI on PCell /SPCell / PUCCH SCell to overlap with a PUCCH slot with HARQ-ACK on the dynamically indicated alternative PUCCH cell.* The UCI on PCell /SPCell / PUCCH SCell dropped due to collision with semi-static DL symbols, SSB, and symbols indicated by pdcch-ConfigSIB1 in MIB for a CORESET for Type0-PDCCH CSS set is exempted and is not multiplexed on the PUCCH on the alternative PUCCH cell.
 |

**is applicable for:**

* **Alt. 1: … the PUCCH resource carrying the UCI after multiplexing on PCell /SPCell / PUCCH Scell…**
* **Alt. 2: … the PUCCH resource carrying the UCI before multiplexing on PCell /SPCell / PUCCH Scell…**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Alt. 1 | Huawei/Hisi(2nd) |
| Alt. 2 | Huawei/Hisi(1st) |
| Other |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| Huawei/Hisi | Our thinking is the UE does not to handle/multiplex the potential UCIs on PCell when it receives the DCI indicating PUCCH on SCell, by assuming the potential UCIs on PCell must be conflict the DL symbols. But we are also fine with Alt.1 as it is more close to the description of the agreement, i.e., UE has to finish multiplexing before judging the collision with DL symbols as the procedure in R15/16. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**SPS operation with dynamic PUCCH cell switching**

In the first round, it seems that could further clarify based on Question 1, that the K1 set(s) of the indicated PUCCH cell are to be used for the first SPS PDSCH. Which basically leaves us with the current status:

|  |
| --- |
| * **Option 1 (8): For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the HARQ-ACK of SPS PDSCH** **without associated DCI is to be transmitted on PCell / SPCell / PUCCH-SCell independently of the dynamically indicated PUCCH cell in the SPS activation DCI. Only the HARQ-ACK corresponding to the first SPS PDSCH activated by Activation DCI uses the PUCCH cell based on the indication in the activation DCI (based on the earlier agreement).**
	+ ***The UE does not expected to be dynamically indicated for PUCCH transmission on the PUCCH sSCell in the SPS activation DCI in a slot overlapping with a PUCCH slot with UCI on PCell / SPCell / PUCCH-SCell slot.***
		- **The UCI on PCell /SPCell / PUCCH SCell dropped due to collision with semi-static DL symbols, SSB, and symbols indicated by pdcch-ConfigSIB1 in MIB for a CORESET for Type0-PDCCH CSS set is exempted and is not multiplexed on the PUCCH on the PUCCH sScell.**
	+ **For the HARQ-ACK first SPS PDSCH, the UE determines a k1 value from K1 set(s) of the indicated PUCCH cell (PCell/PSCell/PUCCH-SCell or PUCCH sSCell’s ) according to the PDSCH-to-HARQ\_feedback timing indicator field in the activation DCI**
	+ **For all other SPS PDSCHs except the first SPS PDSCH, the UE determines a k1 value from K1 set(s) of the PCell/PSCell/PUCCH-SCell according to the PDSCH-to-HARQ\_feedback timing indicator field in the activation DCI**
	+ **Support:** HW/HiSi [1], New H3C [4],vivo [5], CATT [8], CAICT [12], Panasonic [13], Intel [15], NEC [17],
* **Option 2 (5): For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the HARQ-ACK of SPS PDSCH without associated DCI is to be transmitted on PCell / SPCell / PUCCH-SCell independently of the dynamically indicated PUCCH cell in the SPS activation DCI. Only the HARQ-ACK corresponding to the first SPS PDSCH activated by Activation DCI uses the PUCCH cell based on the indication in the activation DCI (based on the earlier agreement).**
	+ **The UE does not expected to be dynamically indicated for PUCCH transmission on the PUCCH sSCell in the SPS activation DCI.**
	+ **Support:** Nokia/NSB [3] (2nd preference), CATT [8], Spreadtrum [10], Intel [15], LG [20]
* **Option 3 (3): For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the HARQ-ACK of SPS PDSCH without associated DCI (including the first SPS PDSCH activated by Activation DCI) is to be transmitted on PCell / SPCell / PUCCH-SCell independently of the dynamically indicated PUCCH cell in the SPS activation DCI**
	+ ***Note: This changes an earlier agreement in terms of handling for the first SPS PDSCH activated by Activation DCI***
	+ **Yes:** Nokia/NSB [3] (1st preference), DOCOMO [9], Samsung [18] (2nd preference)
* **Option 4 (1): For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, all PUCCH transmissions with HARQ-ACK for SPS PDSCH receptions of a SPS configuration are on the cell indicated by the DCI format activating the SPS PDSCH receptions.**
	+ **Support:** Samsung [18]
 |

The moderator had hoped that the discussion in *[108-e-NR-CRs-02] Issue#3 SPS PDSCH activation and PUCCH resource selection for the 1st SPS PDSCH* would be progressing a bit more, but currently there seems to be no real convergence there.

Therefore, it is unclear for the moderator on how to proceed here. As this is only a very short round (1day), let’s check first on how to proceed here:

**Question 6.5.2: How do you think we should proceed on the SPS HARQ operation with dynamic PUCCH cell switching:**

* **Alt. 1: Wait for the output or more clarity from the *[108-e-NR-CRs-02]***
* **Alt. 2: Try to take a decision here in 8.3.1 independently of the outcome on *[108-e-NR-CRs-02]***
* **Other**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Alt. 1 | Huawei/Hisi |
| Alt. 2 |  |
| Other |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| Huawei/Hisi | We prefer to clarify firstly at R15 (*[108-e-NR-CRs-02]*), and we follow the same principle in R17. Seems this issue does not have RRC impact, it is no need to rush to a conclusion now.Make the following changes to avoid duplicate discussions in R15 and R17. Hope it is aligned with other companies choosing Alt.1 if any.**Alt. 1: Wait for the output or more clarity from the *[108-e-NR-CRs-02]**** **If “PUCCH corresponding to an SPS-PDSCH (following the RRC *SPS-Config*)” *in [108-e-NR-CRs-02]* is agreed, Option 2/3 is adopted.**
* **If “PUCCH corresponding to of a dynamically granted PDSCH (ignoring the RRC *SPS-Config)*” *in [108-e-NR-CRs-02]* is agreed, Option 1 is adopted.**
 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Joint operation with Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing

In this section, the discussions on joint operation of Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing (i.e. configured with (i.e. *UCI-MuxWithDifferentPriority*) and Rel-17 URLLC/IIoT HARQ-ACK feedback enhancements are discussed.

7.1 Summary of companies input in their contributions

### 7.1.1 Joint Operation of R17 Intra-UE multiplexing and SPS HARQ deferral

* **Support joint operation of Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing and SPS HARQ deferral**
	+ Yes: HW/HiSi [1], Ericsson [2], vivo [5], ZTE [6], CATT [8] (?), Sony [11], Intel [15], Apple [16], LG [20] (?)
	+ No:
	+ FFS: Nokia/NSB [3]
	+ Details:
		- Apple [16]: In some cases, the payload size may exceed the capacity of UCI part 1 or UCI part 2, then some dropping rules can be defined to handle those cases. For example, with HP HARQ-ACK mapped to UCI part 1, and LP HARQ-ACK which includes DG HARQ-ACK and SPS HARQ-ACK is mapped to UCI part 2, then SPS HARQ-ACK can be dropped if UCI part 2’s capacity is not sufficient.
		- LG [20]:
			* At least the following conditions are kept for SPS HARQ deferral in case configured with intra-UE multiplexing.
				+ SPS HARQ-ACK deferral is enabled in RRC
				+ PUCCH given by n1PUCCH or SPS-PUCCH-AN-List-r16 is considered as final PUCCH after intra-UE UL multiplexing
				+ PUCCH resource are overlaps in time with semi-static DL symbol, SSB and/or CORESET#0
			* To determine the priority of deferred SPS HARQ-ACK from the PUCCH multiplexed with different priority, HARQ-ACK priority is given by corresponding SPS configuration regardless of deferred PUCCH resource in initial slot.
* **Initial slot handling, i.e. the decision to defer SPS HARQ-ACK is performed after**
	+ **Step 1 of Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing:** HW/HiSi [1] (?), LG [20](condition after step 1 & cannot be transmitted after step 2)
	+ **Step 2 of Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing:**, Ericsson [2], Nokia/NSB [3], vivo [5], ZTE [6[, CATT [8], Intel [15]
	+ **Other:**
	+ **Details:**
		- HW/HiSi [1]: If after the inter-priority multiplexing operation, and if the UE would be transmitting the SPS HARQ-ACK of hybrid priorities on SPS PUCCH, and the SPS PUCCH is not valid in the initial/next PUCCH slot, both HP SPS HARQ-ACK and LP SPS HARQ-ACK are dropped without further deferral.
		- Spreadtrum [10]: If a LP SPS HARQ-ACK PUCCH is dropped according to Rel-17 intra-UE multiplexing, the LP SPS HARQ-ACK is not deferred.
		- Intel [15]: If the resultant UL channel is a PUCCH resource for SPS PUCCH for priority i, then, defer the SPS HARQ-ACK for priority i. That means, if LP SPS HARQ-ACK is multiplexed with HP SPS HARQ-ACK, and the resultant channel is HP SPS PUCCH resource which is invalid, only deferral of HP SPS HARQ-ACK is allowed, and LP SPS HARQ-ACK is dropped.
* **Target / earliest second slot handling, i.e. the decision on a PUCCH slot being the earliest second PUCCH slot is performed after:**
	+ **Step 1 of Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing:** HW/HiSi [1] (?), Ericsson [2] (?), Nokia/NSB [3]
	+ **Step 2 of Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing:** vivo [5], ZTE [6],CATT [8] (?), Intel [15], LG [20],
	+ **Other:**
	+ **Details:**
		- HW/HiSi [1]: Some handling is needed if having parallel deferral of HP & LP SPS HARQ with different PUCCH lengths / time units.
			* The target slot/sub-slot for the LP SPS HARQ-ACK and HP SPS HARQ-ACK are separately determined based on separate LP/HP time units without considering the existence of the other priority, if they are both subject to deferral.
			* If after the inter-priority multiplexing operation, and if the UE would be transmitting the SPS HARQ-ACK of hybrid priorities on SPS PUCCH, and the SPS PUCCH is not valid in the initial/next PUCCH slot, both HP SPS HARQ-ACK and LP SPS HARQ-ACK are dropped without further deferral.
		- Ericsson [2]: SPS HARQ-ACK of different PHY priorities can be separately deferred with the target PUCCHs separately determined according to their respective PHY priorities. Then depending on where the target slot(s) is/are located, Rel-17 intra UE multiplexing can be applied when applicable.
		- Nokia/NSB [3]: After step 2 would lead to iterative / recursive step 1 and step 2 operation. Too high UE & gNB complexity
		- vivo [5]: Note when deferred SPS HARQ-ACK of two PHY priorities are involved in the above case (i) or case (ii), the target PUCCH slot for deferred SPS HARQ-ACK of each PHY priority is determined respectively at the same time. It can also be understood that PUCCH slots for different PHY priorities may has different length.
		- CATT [8]: SPS HARQ-ACK of different PHY priorities are separately deferred with target PUCCH slots separately determined according to their respective PHY priorities
		- Spreadtrum [10]: SPS HARQ for deferral of different PHY priorities can be separately deferred with the target PUCCHs separately be determinated according to their respective PHY priorities. If a LP SPS HARQ-ACK PUCCH is dropped according to Rel-17 intra-UE multiplexing, the LP SPS HARQ-ACK is not deferred.
		- Sony [11]: When Rel-17 intra-UE UCI multiplexing is enabled and if the deferred SPS HARQ-ACKs contain HP HARQ-ACKs, the resource for the target PUCCH is selected from the 2nd PUCCH Config, regardless of the L1 priority of the originally scheduled target PUCCH.
			* *Moderator comment:* It is moderator’s understanding that for a deferred HP SPS HARQ due to step 2.1 always a PUCCH resource from the 2nd PUCCH config is selected. This is not different to the case of HP SPS HARQ in the initial slot.
		- Intel [15]: If the resultant UL channel is a PUCCH resource for SPS PUCCH for priority i, then, defer the SPS HARQ-ACK for priority i. That means, if LP SPS HARQ-ACK is multiplexed with HP SPS HARQ-ACK, and the resultant channel is HP SPS PUCCH resource which is invalid, only deferral of HP SPS HARQ-ACK is allowed, and LP SPS HARQ-ACK is dropped.

### 7.1.2 Joint Operation of R17 Intra-UE multiplexing and One-shot HARQ re-transmission

* **Support joint operation of Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing and one-shot HARQ re-tx**
	+ Yes: HW/HiSi [1], Nokia/NSB [3], vivo [5], CATT [8] (?), Intel [15], Apple [16], LG [20]
	+ No:
	+ FFS:
	+ Details:
		- HW/HiSi [1]:
			* UE does not expect the overlapping between LP HARQ-ACK subject to one-shot retransmission and HP HARQ-ACK (… due to ambiguity issue of LP DCI missing)
				+ *Moderator comment*: the ambiguity issue is mainly for the gNB, so couldn’t the gNB by implementation just prevent any HP HARQ-ACK to collide / overlap with a re-tx of a LP HARQ-ACK re-transmission? i.e. do we need to handle this by specification, or could this not be a gNB implementation / operation choice?
		- Nokia/NSB [3]:
			* A single DCI triggering the Rel-17 one-shot triggering (by a DL assignment) of HARQ-ACK re-transmission on a PUCCH resource other than enhanced Type 2 or (enhanced) Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB can trigger the re-transmission of HARQ-ACK information of only a single HARQ-ACK CB of a single PHY priority.
			* The UE does not expect more than one triggering DCI for Rel-17 one-shot feedback indicating the same PUCCH slot of a certain PHY priority in step 1 for the re-transmission of HARQ-ACK CBs of different PUCCH slots to be re-transmitted.
				+ *Note: In step 2, there could be still multiplexing of LP and HP HARQ-ACK CBs to be retransmitted on PUCCH or PUSCH.*
			* The ‘backward HARQ-ACK slot-offset’ is interpreted with the granularity of a PUCCH slot of the respective PHY priority of step 1 of PCell /PSCell / PUCCH SCell
		- Vivo [5]:
			* In our opinion, even if a HP HARQ-ACK codebook and a LP HARQ-ACK codebook are multiplexed into a PUCCH or PUSCH based on the rules of Rel-17 intra-UE multiplexing, when a re-transmission of either of the two HARQ-ACK codebooks is required, gNB can simply issue a triggering DCI indicating the PHY priority of the HARQ-ACK codebook required to be re-transmitted, and indicating the original PUCCH conveying the HARQ-ACK codebook by HARQ\_retx\_offset. If both of the two HARQ-ACK codebooks are required to be re-transmitted, gNB can simply issue two separate triggering DCIs, from which one is used for each HARQ-ACK codebook, respectively.
		- CATT [8]:
			* only HARQ-ACK with same priority as the triggering DCI indication can be triggered for one-shot HARQ-ACK re-transmission
		- Intel [15]:
			* For one-shot triggering of a PUCCH which is a mix of LP and HP HARQ-ACK according to R17 multiplexing, the one-shot trigger only instructs to retransmit HARQ-ACK of priority j which is indicated in the triggering DCI
		- Apple [16]:
			* One-short HARQ retransmission can be handled per physical layer priority follows existing agreements, the payload at LP or HP with retransmitted one-shot HARQ-ACK can be handled by the intra-UE MUX framework
			* In some cases, the payload size may exceed the capacity of UCI part 1 or UCI part 2, then some dropping rules can be defined to handle those cases. For example, with HP HARQ-ACK mapped to UCI part 1, and LP HARQ-ACK which includes initial DG HARQ-ACK and retransmitted one-shot HARQ-ACK is mapped to UCI part 2, then one-shot HARQ retransmission can be dropped if UCI part 2’s capacity is not sufficient.
		- LG [20]
			* For One-shot HARQ-ACK retransmission of the PUCCH multiplexed with different priority, only the HARQ-ACK codebook having indicated priority index is to be retransmitted.
				+ HARQ offset of triggering DCI is determined based on slot length of the indicated priority in the triggering DCI.

### 7.1.3 Joint Operation of R17 Intra-UE multiplexing and Type 3 / Enh. Type 3 CB

* **Support joint operation of Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing and enh. Type 3 CB**
	+ Yes: HW/HiSi [1], [Nokia/NSB [3], vivo [5], CATT [8], Intel [15]
	+ No:
	+ FFS:
	+ Details:
		- HW/HiSi [1]:
			* The UE is not expecting HARQ-ACK information in a Type 1 or Type 2 HARQ-ACK CB with the same priority index as the enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB to be transmitted that cannot be mapped to the enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB.
			* UE does not expect the overlapping between LP HARQ-ACK subject to Type 3 CB/enhanced Type 3 CB and HP HARQ-ACK.
		- Nokia/NSB [3]:
			* The indicated PHY priority in the triggering DCI defines the PHY priority of the PUCCH carrying the Rel-17 enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB of smaller size.
			* The A/N of HARQ processes is mapped to the Rel-17 enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB of smaller size irrespective of the PHY priority of the ‘A/N’ of the HARQ processes.
			* The enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK has the same structure, size and content (in terms of HARQ-IDs, CCs) irrespective of the PHY priority.
			* The UE is not expecting HARQ-ACK information in a Type 1 or Type 2 HARQ-ACK CB to be transmitted that cannot be mapped to the enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB of smaller size as the HARQ process is not part of the codebook in neither step 1 nor step 2 of the Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing framework.
		- Intel [15]: For phy prioritization between LP/HP PUCCH carrying (e)Type3 CB and HP/LP PUCCH carrying HARQ-ACK using Release 17 multiplexing, follow the agreed behavior
		- LG [20]
			* Alternative 1: UE does not expect that type-3 or enhanced type-3 triggering DCI indicates lower priority transmission
			* Alternative 2: UE assumes that type-3 or enhanced type-3 triggering DCI corresponds to higher priority transmission (regardless of the value of priority indication field)

### 7.1.4 Joint Operation of R17 Intra-UE multiplexing and semi-static PUCCH cell switching

* **Support joint Operation of R17 Intra-UE multiplexing and semi-static PUCCH cell switching**
	+ **Yes:** HW/HiSi [1], Ericsson [2], Nokia/NSB [3]. vivo [5], CATT [8]
	+ **No:**
	+ **FFS:**
	+ **Details:**
		- HW/HiSi [1]: can be straightforwardly supported with negligible spec impact
		- Ericsson [2]:
			* When dynamic or semi-static PUCCH cell switching is enabled for a PUCCH group, at any given time the PUCCH resources would be allocated to a same cell (either PCell /PSCell / PUCCH SCell or PUCCH sScell).
			* Once a target cell is determined based on a dynamic indication or time-domain pattern due to dynamic or semi-static PUCCH cell switching, respectively, the intra-UE multiplexing procedures can be applied to resolve collision in case of overlapping PUCCH resources on the target cell.
		- Nokia/NSB [3]: The Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing operation including step 1 and step 2 are performed on the applicable target PUCCH cell.

### 7.1.5 Joint Operation of R17 Intra-UE multiplexing and dynamic PUCCH cell switching

* **Support joint Operation of R17 Intra-UE multiplexing and dynamic PUCCH cell switching**
	+ **Yes:** HW/HiSi [1], Ericsson [2], vivo [5],CATT [8], Intel [15]
	+ **No:** Nokia/NSB [3],
	+ **FFS:**
	+ **Details:**
		- HW/HiSi [1]: can be straightforwardly supported with negligible spec impact
		- Ericsson [2]:
			* When dynamic or semi-static PUCCH cell switching is enabled for a PUCCH group, at any given time the PUCCH resources would be allocated to a same cell (either PCell /PSCell / PUCCH SCell or PUCCH sScell).
			* Once a target cell is determined based on a dynamic indication or time-domain pattern due to dynamic or semi-static PUCCH cell switching, respectively, the intra-UE multiplexing procedures can be applied to resolve collision in case of overlapping PUCCH resources on the target cell.
		- Nokia/NSB [3]: Further clarifications would be needed (still not available) 🡪 do not support in Rel-17.
		- CATT [8]: LP HARQ-ACK would not be multiplexed with HP UCI if they are on different PUCCH cells
		- Intel [15]: For the conclusion that “For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the UE does not expect a PUCCH slot with UCI on PCell /SPCell / PUCCH SCell to overlap with a PUCCH slot with HARQ-ACK on the dynamically indicated alternative PUCCH cell”,
			* Clarify that the valid PUCCH resource on Pcell means PUCCH resources before multiplexing on Pcell
			* Clarify that for different priority UCI, any PUCCH resource before multiplexing/prioritization is considered

### 7.1.6 Joint Operation of simultaneous PUCCH/PUSCH and Rel-17 HARQ-ACK enhancements

* **Support joint Operation of R17 simultaneous PUSCH/PUCCH and any of the HARQ-ACK enhancements**
	+ **Yes:** vivo [5] (any of the Rel-17 HARQ enhancements), QC [19] (for PUCCH cell switching)
	+ **No:**
	+ **FFS:**
	+ **Details:**

7.2 1st round of email approvals

Joint Operation of R17 Intra-UE multiplexing and One-shot HARQ re-transmission

Several companies also provided input on the support of this joint operation. And based on the input, it seems that all input receiving is hinting, that for the indicated priority in one-shot HARQ re-transmission triggering DCI only the HARQ-ACK CB of that priority should be triggered.

So let’s try to see if we are able to find a complete solution proposal to support this feature combination in Rel-17.

**Mod Proposal 7.2.1: Support joint operation of Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing and one-shot HARQ re-tx in Rel-17**

* **One-shot HARQ retransmission is be handled per physical layer priority following the existing agreements in step 1, i.e.**
	+ **Only the HARQ-ACK CB of the indicated PHY priority in one-shot HARQ-ACK triggering DCI is triggered for one-shot HARQ-ACK CB re-transmission**
	+ **The ‘backward HARQ-ACK slot-offset’ is interpreted with the granularity of a PUCCH slot of the respective PHY priority of step 1 of PCell /PSCell / PUCCH SCell**
	+ **The UE does not expect more than one triggering DCI for Rel-17 one-shot feedback indicating the same PUCCH slot of a certain PHY priority in step 1 for the re-transmission of HARQ-ACK CBs of different PUCCH slots to be re-transmitted.**
* **After the one-shot HARQ-ACK re-transmission operation in step1, the UE continues in step 2 of the Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing framework**
	+ **There are no further restrictions imposed, e.g. multiplexing of one or a combination of LP HARQ-ACK CBs, including LP Type1 CB/Type2 CB /one-shot ~~triggered for~~ re-transmission CB, with one or a combination of HP HARQ-ACK CBs, including LP Type1 CB/Type2 CB /one-shot ~~triggered for~~ re-transmission CB~~, and a HP Type 1 or HP Type 2 HARQ-ACK CB~~ in step 2 is not precluded.**
	+

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Supporting companies  | Ericsson Huawei/Hisi (see comments), vivo, DOCOMO, CATT, Nokia/NSB, ZTE, Intel, LG, ETRI, Samsung |
| Objecting companies |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| QC | The statement“**The UE does not expect more than one triggering DCI for Rel-17 one-shot feedback indicating the same PUCCH slot of a certain PHY priority in step 1 for the re-transmission of HARQ-ACK CBs of different PUCCH slots to be re-transmitted.**”Is not needed since it is already the agreement from #106e**Agreement**For Rel-17 one-shot triggering for HARQ-ACK re-transmission, the UE does not expect more than one triggering DCI for Rel-17 one-shot feedback indicating the same PUCCH slot for the re-transmission of HARQ-ACK CBs of different PUCCH slots to be re-transmittedNote: i.e. only a single HARQ-ACK codebook / PUCCH occasion can be re-transmitted in a PUCCH slot |
| Huawei/Hisi | One clarification question for the last subbullet: why the multiplexing of “HP HARQ-ACK CB triggered for re-transmission, and a HP Type 1 or HP Type 2 HARQ-ACK CB in step 2”should appear in Step 2? Does it mean the following?**There are no further restrictions imposed, e.g. multiplexing of one or a combination of LP HARQ-ACK CBs, including LP Type1 CB/Type2 CB /one-shot ~~triggered for~~ re-transmission CB, with one or a combination of HP HARQ-ACK CBs, including LP Type1 CB/Type2 CB /one-shot ~~triggered for~~ re-transmission CB~~, and a HP Type 1 or HP Type 2 HARQ-ACK CB~~ in step 2 is not precluded**Basically we donot support the multiplexing of LP one shot CB with HP PUCCH since the LP T-DAI in HP DCI, even if introduced, cannot indicate the CB size of one shot retransmission. But we can live with the subbullet for progress. |
| Moderator | @QC: The earlier agreement to moderator understanding was not to concatenate more than one HARQ-ACK re-tx codebook to be appended within a single PHY priority which is important for the multiplexing and PUCCH resource selection procedure. For R16 PHY prioritization, there is still the PHY prioritization operation that follows after that. Now here, having the same restrictions in step 1, what do we do or allow in step 2. @HW: yes – what you describe above was the moderator’s intention . Thanks for the related edits, change that in **Mod Proposal 7.2.1**. On the ambiguity: I guess this can be prevented by gNB implementation (i.e. gNB just preventing any other HARQ to be multiplexed).  |
| ZTE | I think no more open issue for this joint processing. The subbullets in the proposal are all agreement and common sense in the individual function. |
| vivo2 | There seems typo on the modified proposal? **LP** should be changed to HP?**There are no further restrictions imposed, e.g. multiplexing of one or a combination of LP HARQ-ACK CBs, including LP Type1 CB/Type2 CB /one-shot ~~triggered for~~ re-transmission CB, with one or a combination of HP HARQ-ACK CBs, including LP Type1 CB/Type2 CB /one-shot ~~triggered for~~ re-transmission CB~~, and a HP Type 1 or HP Type 2 HARQ-ACK CB~~ in step 2 is not precluded** |
| LG | We also think **LP** should be changed to HP in the comment from vivo2.  |
| Samsung | With the LP 🡪 HP update mentioned above. |

Joint Operation of R17 Intra-UE multiplexing and semi-static PUCCH cell switching

There seems to also good interest in trying to support this combination.

The moderator tries to formulate a complete proposal based on the details from the companies’ inputs here. Let’s start from checking this proposal:

**Proposal 7.2.2: Support joint Operation of R17 Intra-UE multiplexing and semi-static PUCCH cell switching**

* **The Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing operation of step 1 and step 2 are performed using the determined target PUCCH cell based on the semi-static time domain pattern,**
	+ **i.e., once a target cell is determined based on the time-domain pattern due, the intra-UE multiplexing procedures can be applied to resolve collision in case of overlapping PUCCH resources on the target PUCCH cell.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Supporting companies  | Ericsson Huawei/Hisi, vivo, DOCOMO,OPPO, CATT, Nokia/NSB, ZTE, Intel, LG, ETRI |
| Objecting companies |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| QC | OK to support this joint feature. But a new UE capability signaling for this joint operation is needed. A UE can support R17 Intra-UE multiplexing and semi-static PUCCH cell switching does not automatically can support this joint feature. |
| Samsung | It is not clear how to handle the case where a PUSCH overlaps with multiple PUCCHs on different PUCCH cells |
|  |  |
|  |  |

7.3 1st and 2nd round of email discussions

Joint Operation of R17 Intra-UE multiplexing and SPS HARQ deferral

There had been rather good input in TDocs on this feature combination. As for other agreed supported features, it will be needed to not just agree to support something in general, but at the same time also agree the intended baseline operation of the feature combination.

Therefore, the following three discussions are planned here:

* Get an input on the overall support from companies for this feature combination – to check if detailed discussions may pay off or not in the end.
* Have some general procedures clarified (e.g. based on the input by LG [20])
* Trying to get an idea on the ‘initial slot’ SPS deferral handling/operation with the Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing framework
* Trying to get an idea on the ‘target slot / earliest second slot’ determination with the Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing framework

So let’s first check the general intention of companies to have the joint operation supported (as in case there is strong resistance, it may not be worth the effort to discuss the potential details as well).

**Proposal 7.3.1: Support joint operation of Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing and SPS HARQ deferral in Rel-17**

* **FFS detailed operation including ‘initial slot’ handling and ‘earliest second slot’ determination**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Supporting companies  | Intel [Huawei/Hisi], Samsung |
| Objecting companies | QC |
| FFS | Vivo, CATT, Nokia/NSB ,ZTE, LG |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| QC | In general, the whole feaure gets too complicated with this joint configuration. UE need to check if a target slot is valid for deferred LP SPS A/N with intra-UE mux, and check if a target slot is valid for deferred HP SPS A/N with intra-UE mux, then check if a target slot is valid for deferred HP and LP A/N jointly. The interation between valid slot checking and the two step intra-UE mux procedure is super complicated. But at the end, the benefit is not expected to be that impressive, given there are tools to retransmit SPS A/N already. With the above, we don’t support this proposal, which creates too many new open issue at late stage of Rel-17.  |
| vivo | Whether to support the joint operation highly depends on the decision for the initial and target slot handling.  |
| Nokia/NSB | Agree with vivo |
| Intel | We support the general intention and do not see big issues in finalization of this joint operation. |
| LG | We have similar view to vivo.  |
| Huawei/Hisi | To address the concern too complicated procedure for handling two deferring SPS HARQ-ACKs with different priorities, can we make some restrictions to allow only one SPS deferral subject to deferral (either HP SPS deferral or LP SPS deferral but not both)? Thus the deferring SPS HARQ-ACK can take multiplexing in Step 1 and Step 2 only with DG PUCCH/PUSCH if any. The SPS deferral is mainly targeted for IIoT with periodic services, so it is reasonable and typical that SPS deferral is only configured for HP. The expense is some RRC impact to enable SPS deferral for a specific priority. Changes could be:**Proposal 7.3.1: Support joint operation of Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing and SPS HARQ deferral in Rel-17, with the limitation that UE expects the SPS HARQ-ACK subject to most one priority is configured with SPS HARQ-ACK deferral when intra-UE multiplexing is configured.** |
|  |  |

Moreover, it would be good to have some things in general clarified on the operation. Based on companies inputs the following baseline principles could be applied:

**Proposal 7.3.2: If joint operation of Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing and SPS HARQ deferral is supported in Rel-17**

* **The decision of deferral in the initial slot or the determination of the target slot is still based on:**
	+ **PUCCH given by n1PUCCH or SPS-PUCCH-AN-List-r16 which is regarded as invalid**
	+ **The determination of valid symbols in the initial and target PUCCH slot/sub-slot a collision with semi-static DL symbols, SSB and symbols indicated by *pdcch-ConfigSIB1* in *MIB* for a CORESET for Type0-PDCCH CSS set is regarded as ‘invalid’ or ‘no symbols for UL transmission’.**
* **To determine the priority of deferred SPS HARQ-ACK from the PUCCH multiplexed with different priority, HARQ-ACK priority is given by the corresponding SPS configuration regardless of deferred PUCCH resource in initial slot.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Supporting companies  | Vivo, DOCOMO, Nokia/NSB, ZTE, Intel, LG |
| Objecting companies | QC [Huawei/Hisi], Samsung |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| QC | Firstly, it would be better to separate the discussion for initial and target slot.Secondly, a question for clarification: is the decision of deferral made separately for HP SPS A/N and LP SPS A/N? Is the decision made before step1, after step 1 but before step 2, or after step 2? All the details need to be discussed before agreeing on this proposal |
| ZTE | My understanding is to reuse the 2 step procedure for this joint processing. |
| Huawei/Hisi | We are fine with the first bullet.For the 2nd bullet, what is the purpose of defining the priority for SPS HARQ-ACK within a hybrid priority PUCCH/PUSCH? If it is used for one shot retransmission, we do not agree with this bullet, since in our understanding, the one shot retx triggering DCI can indicate the retx of the single priority PUCCH before the multiplexing of Step 2.  |
| Samsung | First, the order of UCI multiplexing of different priorities and the decision of deferral should be clarified. We understand that UCI multiplexing of different priorities is performed before the decision of deferral. Then, the decision of deferral in the initial slot or the determination of the target slot should be separately discussed.For the decision of deferral, another issue is whether a PUCCH resource given by *n1PUCCH* or *SPS-PUCCH-AN-List-r16* of a different priority can be used for the decision.For the determination of the target slot, both PUCCH and PUSCH should be considered. For example, SPS HARQ-ACK in an invalid PUCCH can be multiplexed in a PUSCH and PUCCH slot is considered as the target slot.The second sub-bullet is not clear. The priority issue can be decoupled from SPS HARQ-ACK deferral. |

Next, let’s discuss how to handle the initial slot handling, i.e. how to determine if some SPS HARQ-ACK bits configured with SPS deferral in the initial slot are determined to be deferred. For this operation, we have the following related behavior defined:

|  |
| --- |
| **Conclusion**For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, the operation in the ‘initial’ slot is further clarified as: * The UE performs first the (Rel-16) UCI multiplexing operation. If after the UCI multiplexing operation into a PUCCH or PUSCH if any, and if the UE would be transmitting SPS HARQ-ACK using the PUCCH SPS-PUCCH-AN-List-r16 or n1PUCCH-AN which is not valid, the SPS HARQ-ACK configured for deferral is deferred.

**Conclusion**For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, if a UE is not configured with Rel-17 intra-UE multiplexing but configured with Rel-16 PHY prioritization, the UE first performs Rel-16 UCI multiplexing and PHY prioritization in both initial slot and target slot and if a LP SPS HARQ-ACK PUCCH is deprioritized, the LP SPS HARQ-ACK is not deferred.* Note: If the SPS HARQ-ACK is deprioritized in any slot, no further deferral.
 |

In principle two options can be considered here: that the decision is done after the UCI multiplexing operation already after step 1 (within the same PHY priority) or after the overall step 2 procedure.

* Taking the decision to defer already after step 1 would be aligned with the Rel-16 PHY prioritization operation (from implementation point of view) but as pointed out by some companies could lead to the case that the SPS HARQ is determined to be deferred but would still be transmitted due to the Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing operation of different priorities in step 2.
* Taking the decision to defer after step 2 prevents this ‘double SPS HARQ-ACK transmission’ but could lead to more cases that LP SPS HARQ-ACK is not actually transmitted as e.g. the LP SPS HARQ-ACK in step 2 is to be dropped (e.g. in case of overlap with positive HP PUCCH with SR only, or overlapping with HP PUSCH with HP HARQ-ACK and 2 part HP CSI).

Please provide your views below, also in the comments table if you think some additional handling or conditions would needed.

**Mod Question 7.3.3: If joint operation of Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing and SPS HARQ deferral is supported in Rel-17, the determination if SPS HARQ-ACK is to be deferred in the initial slot is performed:**

* **Alt. 1: After the step 1 of the Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing operation within the same PHY priority only, i.e.,**
	1. ***If after the step 1 of Rel-17 UCI multiplexing operation into a PUCCH or PUSCH if any, and if the UE would be transmitting LP SPS HARQ-ACK using the PUCCH SPS-PUCCH-AN-List-r16 or n1PUCCH-AN which is not valid, the LP SPS HARQ-ACK configured for deferral is deferred.***
	2. ***If after the step 1 of Rel-17 UCI multiplexing operation into a PUCCH or PUSCH if any, and if the UE would be transmitting HP SPS HARQ-ACK using the PUCCH SPS-PUCCH-AN-List-r16 or n1PUCCH-AN which is not valid, the HP SPS HARQ-ACK configured for deferral is deferred.***
	3. ***Independent of the deferral decision of LP SPS HARQ-ACK and HP SPS HARQ-ACK after step 1 of the Rel-17 UCI multiplexing operation into a PUCCH or PUSCH if any, the UE performs step 2 of the Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing framework.***
* **Alt. 2: After step 2 (in case of overlap) of the Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing operation ~~within the same PHY priority only~~, i.e.,**
	1. ***If after the Rel-17 UCI multiplexing operation (including step 1 and step 2) into a PUCCH or PUSCH if any, and if the UE would be transmitting LP SPS HARQ-ACK using the PUCCH SPS-PUCCH-AN-List-r16 or n1PUCCH-AN from***
		+ ***Alt. 2A (Intel): the first PUCCH configuration***
		+ ***Alt. 2B (Nokia): the first or second PUCCH configuration***

***which is not valid, the LP SPS* HARQ*-ACK configured for deferral is deferred.***

* 1. ***If after the Rel-17 UCI multiplexing operation (including step 1 and step 2) into a PUCCH or PUSCH if any, and if the UE would be transmitting HP SPS HARQ-ACK using the PUCCH SPS-PUCCH-AN-List-r16 or n1PUCCH-AN from the second PUCCH configuration which is not valid, the HP SPS HARQ-ACK configured for deferral is deferred.***
	2. ***LP SPS HARQ-ACK that cannot be mapped to a HP PUCCH or HP PUSCH based on the Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing framework and is therefore dropped in step 2.1 or step 2.2, is not subject to deferral.***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Alt. 1 | vivo (2nd prefernce), DOCOMO, Nokia/NSB (2nd) |
| Alt. 2A  | Intel (1st pref) |
| Alt. 2B | vivo (1st prefernce), Nokia/NSB, ZTE, Intel (2nd pref), LG(except for step.3) Huawei/Hisi (if supported), Samsung |
| Other |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| QC | The formulation of proposal just for initial slot is already a show of the complication level of supporting this feature. Like we commented already, due to the high complexity and marginal benefit, it is not worthwhile to spend effort on this. With the above, we don’t support this proposal |
| vivo | For Alt.2, we suggest following update for the main bullet to avoid the confusion. **Alt. 2: After step 2 (in case of overlap) of the Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing operation ~~within the same PHY priority only~~.**In addition, we prefer unified handling for initial slot and target slot.  |
| DOCOMO | Alt 1 is the simplest. Moreover, we think Question 7.3.3 and Question 7.3.4 should be discussed together since aligned behavior in initial slot and target slot is desidered. |
| Intel | Alt.1 may introduce redundant SPS HARQ-ACK transmission, thus we prefer Alt. 2A or Alt. 2B, wherein 2A looks simpler but 2B may have lower LP dropping probability. |
| LG | We think Alt. 2B could be baseline since it could rescue LP SPS HARQ-ACK by intra-UE multiplexing. However, step 3 could be reconsidered since it brings side effect to drop SPS HARQ-ACK which can be transmitted if there is no intra-UE multiplexing. For dropped LP HARQ-ACK, It could fallback in to Alt. 1-1.  |
| Huawei/Hisi | Alt. 2 is preferred, while Alt.1 should not be considered since the UE may receive DCI scheduling DG PUCCH/PUSCH on the slot, so it is not reasonable that the SPS deferral HARQ-ACK makes the deferral decision immediately after Step 1 and do not perform the multiplexing at Step 2 taking into account the deferred SPS HARQ-ACK.For Alt.2, a key question is, if two sliding SPS HARQ-ACK deferral are supported, whether/how they will consider the existence of each other when doing the multiplexing at Step 2.1. E.g., as both HP/LP SPS HARQ-ACKs are sliding, for a HP SPS HARQ-ACK at subslot#3, whether it will consider the existence of another LP SPS HARQ-ACK at Slot#2? If not, then if the HP SPS HARQ-ACK determines an invalid slot for PUCCH transmission at subslot#4 while the LP SPS HARQ-ACK determines a valid slot for PUCCH transmission at Slot#2, should the HP SPS HARQ-ACK redo the multiplexing?If it will consider the existence of another LP SPS HARQ-ACK at each slot, then it is unavoidable to multiplex them into a hybrid SPS PUCCH for each slot, thus Alt.2A seems not work well, since UE has to drop the LP SPS HARQ-ACK as early as Slot#1.  |
| Samsung | “or PUSCH” should be removed. If the resulting channel is a PUSCH, there is no further deferral. The initial slot should focus on PUCCH only while the target slot should consider both PUCCH and PUSCH. |
| Moderator | @LG: the third bullet is there, as there could be SPS HARQ-ACK dropping with R17 intra-UE multiplexing also, in case the slot is ‘all UL’ (i.e. not TDD specific dropping) that the LP SPS HARQ-ACK is to be dropped. Preventing such dropping would be somehow against the idea of the deferral in the first place. @HW: this is the initial slot (i.e. slot determined by n+k1), there should no be any issue there with HP & LP SPS HARQ-ACK. The problem seems to just come in the the determination of the target slot in slots n+k1+1…@Samsung: the ‘PUCCH or PUSCH if any’ is from the earlier conclusion, please check above. Let’s continue discussing here in the 2nd round…  |
| Huawei/Hisi | @Moderator: yes, the cases we presented above is applied to a candidate target slot. But we think the unified rule should be considered for both initial slot and candidate target slot, considering the case where a slot m could be an initial slot (m=n\_HP+k1) for HP SPS, but not necessarily an initial slot for LP SPS (m=n\_LP+k1+X), when, e.g., the initial slot of n\_LP+k1 is earlier than n\_HP+k1. |
|  |  |

Similarly, for the target slot determination either after step 1 or step 2 can be considered. The following issues have been raised by different companies:

* Again, when having the determination of the target slot after step 1 already would align the operation with PHY prioritization. Based on moderator assessment this would lead to the simpler specification & implementation as the target slot determination is already done before starting step 2 of the Intra-UE multiplexing operation (i.e. there are not several hypothesis on deferred SPS HARQ-ACK presence needed in step 2). On the other hand, this may lead to longer latency as potentially the SPS HARQ-ACK could still be transmitted in a slot based on the step 2 multiplexing decisions.
* For different PUCCH slot/sub-slot configurations, there could be different time units for HP PUCCH and LP PUCCH. Therefore, having the determination after step 2 will require specific handling of such cases. For companies supporting to make the deferral decision after step 2, please explain how to handle such operation.
* But even for the same time unit, when performing the determination of the target slot for HP and LP SPS HARQ-ACK which are pending for deferral after step 2, we would need to define the operation there:
	+ Is there some joint determination for that case (i.e. joint decision if HP and LP SPS HARQ for deferral)? This would simplify as the UE would only need to perform step 2 with two hypothesis (namely having both LP & HP deferred SPS HARQ present or not) but again may lead to higher HP SPS HARQ-ACK latency as potentially only having the deferred SPS HARQ-ACK mapped could lead to successful transmission there.
	+ Or is the determination done in a certain order (e.g. first check for HP SPS HARQ-ACK target slot condition and then check for LP SPS HARQ-ACK target slot condition)? This could lead to higher probability of mapping HP SPS HARQ-ACK subject to deferral but would lead to running step 2 with more than two hypothesis.
	+ For companies supporting to make the deferral decision after step 2, please explain how to handle this here, assuming there is both pending LP and HP SPS HARQ-ACK pending for deferral.

**Mod Question 7.3.4: If joint operation of Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing and SPS HARQ deferral is supported in Rel-17, the determination of the ‘target’ or ‘earliest second slot’ for the SPS HARQ-ACK deferral procedure is performed:**

* **Alt. 1: After the step 1 of the Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing operation within the same PHY priority only, i.e.,**
	+ ***The target PUCCH slot of a certain PHY priority is defined as the next PUCCH slot, where after performing step 1 of the Rel-17 UCI multiplexing operation into a PUCCH or PUSCH of that PHY priority if any, the UE would be either (i) transmitting HARQ-ACK using a PUCCH/PUSCH other than the PUCCH determined from PUCCH SPS-PUCCH-AN-List-r16 or n1PUCCH-AN of that PHY priority or (ii) would be transmitting HARQ-ACK using a PUCCH resource configured in PUCCH SPS-PUCCH-AN-List-r16 or n1PUCCH-AN for that PHY priority being regarded as valid.***
	+ ***If after the target slot determination for LP SPS HARQ and/or HP SPS HARQ subject to deferral followed by step 2 of the Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing operation, the deferred LP and/or HP SPS HARQ-ACK cannot be transmitted, the LP and/or HP SPS HARQ-ACK is dropped without further deferral.***
* **Alt. 2: After step 2 (in case of overlap) of the Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing operation ~~within the same PHY priority only~~, i.e.,**
	+ ***The target PUCCH slot  for a certain PHY priority is defined as the next PUCCH slot of that priority, where after performing step 1 and step 2 of the Rel-17 UCI multiplexing operation into a PUCCH or PUSCH if any, the UE would be either (i) transmitting HARQ-ACK using a PUCCH/PUSCH other than the PUCCH determined from PUCCH SPS-PUCCH-AN-List-r16 or n1PUCCH-AN from the first or second PUCCH configuration or (ii) would be transmitting HARQ-ACK using a PUCCH resource configured in PUCCH SPS-PUCCH-AN-List-r16 or n1PUCCH-AN from the first or second PUCCH configuration being regarded as valid.***
	+ ***FFS further details e.g. handling of different time unit handling, joint versus separate deferral (and related order of deferral processing)***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Alt. 1 | vivo (2nd prefernce), DOCOMO, Nokia/NSB, LG |
| Alt. 2  | vivo (1st prefernce) , ZTE, Intel Huawei/Hisi (in principle) |
| Other | Samsung |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| QC | The formulation of proposal just for initial slot is already a show of the complication level of supporting this feature. Like we commented already, due to the high complexity and marginal benefit, it is not worthwhile to spend effort on this.With the above, we don’t support this proposal |
| vivo | For Alt.2, we suggest following update for the main bullet to avoid the confusion. **Alt. 2: After step 2 (in case of overlap) of the Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing operation ~~within the same PHY priority only~~.**In addition, we prefer unified handling for initial slot and target slot.  |
| DOCOMO | Prefer Alt 1 due to simplicity.Moreover, we think Question 7.3.3 and Question 7.3.4 should be discussed together since aligned behavior in initial slot and target slot is desidered. |
| Intel | It doesn’t matter whether the same or different time unit for LP and HP PUCCH is configured. The unified procedure (Alt 2 under question 7.3.3) is applied. For each priority, UE finds next time unit with PUCCH resource for this priority (it does not mean UE checks validity). The time unit can be a slot or a sub-slot, depending on HARQ-ACK PUCCH configuration for each priority. If the PUCCH resource for different priorities overlaps, then UE performs Rel-17 intra-UE multiplexing accordingly. Under intra-UE AI, RAN1 is defining how to associate a LP PUCCH into a HP time unit, which handles the case of different time unit of LP and HP.If we go with joint determination, it seems more feasible to always treat deferred LP and HP as one UCI, e.g., as HP UCI. Or, target slot is separaetey determined for each priority, but not necessarily in certain order. Figures below provide examples for separate determination.  |
| LG | We prefer Alt. 1 to support parallel deferral operation per priority.  |
| Huawei/Hisi | If the joint operation between cross-priority SPS HARQ-ACK deferral is supported, we tend to go with the direction of Alt.2, while as captured by Moderator, there are still a couple of FFS issues.Otherwise, we can make some restrictions to allow only one SPS deferral subject to deferral as we mentioned in Proposal 7.3.1. |
| Samsung | If the resulting UL channel is a PUSCH, or a PUCCH using a resource other than a resource provided by *PUCCH SPS-PUCCH-AN-List-r16* or *n1PUCCH-AN*, there is no further deferral. |
| Moderator  | @Samsung: Please not, this is just using the formulations of existing agreements – i.e. there is no change there in the above (except priority & first / 2nd PUCCH config, step 1 and/or step2)!? |
| Moderator for 2nd round | Companies supporting Alt. 2, could you please provide further details on the handling if both LP & HP SPS are subject to deferral?If restricting this to single PHY priority only (as suggested by Huawei) seems to somehow defeat the purpose? Or could we limit this to HP SPS HARQ-ACK only?? Please provide your further input below.  |
| Huawei/Hisi | **Two sliding SPS HARQ-ACKs of different priorities under Alt.2:*****handling of different time unit:*** HP SPS follows HP time unit (subslot); LP SPS follows LP time unit (slot). As we agreed the time unit of handling overlapping is per HP time unit, i.e. subslot here, for each HP time unit, the UE performs the intra-UE multiplexing by always assuming the LP SPS HARQ-ACK is present at the slot. ***joint versus separate deferral:*** For each candidate subslot of HP, after Step 2.2, if the eventual channel carrying the HP HARQ-ACK is PUCCH/PUSCH other than SPS PUCCH or a valid SPS PUCCH, the current subslot is the target slot for HP. If the eventual channel carrying the HP HARQ-ACK is an invalid SPS PUCCH, defer HP SPS HARQ-ACK to the next subslot.For the slot of LP, if the LP SPS HARQ-ACK is multiplexed in the slot or any subslot of the slot, and after Step 2.2, the eventual channel of the subslot/slot carrying LP SPS HARQ-ACK is PUCCH/PUSCH other than SPS PUCCH or a valid HP/LP SPS PUCCH, the current subslot/slot is the target slot for LP. If the eventual channel carrying the LP HARQ-ACK is an invalid HP SPS PUCCH, LP SPS HARQ-ACK is kept still in the slot; if the eventual channel carrying the LP HARQ-ACK is an invalid LP SPS PUCCH, defer LP SPS HARQ-ACK to the next slot.**Two sliding SPS HARQ-ACKs of different priorities under Alt.2:****One sliding SPS HARQ-ACKs of a single priority:**The intention of limiting the SPS deferral to single priority is due to the concern that the complicated design for handling two “sliding” SPS deferrals cannot be quickly converged, as a result of which, the joint configuration of both features cannot be supported. With such limitation, we may at least warrant the two features can be simultaneously configured with a simple way out. We are also fine with configuring only HP with SPS deferral.**Proposal 7.3.1: Support joint operation of Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing and SPS HARQ deferral in Rel-17, with the limitation that UE expects the SPS HARQ-ACK subject to [Alt.1: at most one priority; Alt.2: HP] is configured with SPS HARQ-ACK deferral when intra-UE multiplexing is configured.** |
|  |  |

Joint Operation of R17 Intra-UE multiplexing and enhanced Type 3 CB

Several companies also suggest supporting the combination of R17 Intra-UE multiplexing and enhanced Type 3 CB operation.

Looking at the input received, there are several different options discussed by companies on how to handle the enhanced Type 3 CB restrictions, that the UE does not expect HARQ-ACK to be mapped in a Type 1 or Type 2 CB.

* HW proposes,
	+ that restriction is only applicable to the same PHY priority as the indicated PUCCH priority for the enh. Type 3 transmission (i.e. restriction applied only in step 1)
	+ UE does not expect a ‘LP’ Type 3 / enh. Type 3 CB in step 2 to be overlapping with HP HARQ-ACK in step 2
* Nokia proposes that the restriction is applicable to step 1 and step 2 (i.e. within the same and across priorities).
* Intel proposes, to follow the agreed behavior for PHY prioritization between LP/HP PUCCH carrying (e)Type3 CB and HP/LP PUCCH carrying HARQ-ACK using Release 17 multiplexing.
* LG proposes two solutions:
	+ Alternative 1: UE does not expect that type-3 or enhanced type-3 triggering DCI indicates lower priority transmission
	+ Alternative 2: UE assumes that type-3 or enhanced type-3 triggering DCI corresponds to higher priority transmission (regardless of the value of priority indication field)

Let’s check companies’ views in case the combination is supported on how to handle the two PHY priorities here. **In case you have a good suggestion on how a complete proposal on the operation could be formulated, please provide your suggestion in the comments table as well.**

For the 2nd round, as for Type 3 CB without R17 mux, let’s try to prune the options here and align with the discussions there. Please note, that the modification to Alt. 1 seems to cover also the earlier Alt. 3.

**Mod Question 7.3.5: If joint Operation of R17 Intra-UE multiplexing and enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB is supported in Rel-17, which of the following alternatives do you prefer:**

* **Alt. 1 (HW):**
	+ **The UE is not expecting HARQ-ACK information in a Type 1 or Type 2 HARQ-ACK CB with the same priority index (i.e. in step 1) as the enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB to be transmitted that cannot be mapped to the enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB. The UE performs Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing step 2 as defined.**
	+ **For Rel-16 Type 3 CB, the UE creates the Rel-16 Type 3 CB with a PUCCH based on the indicated priority in step 1, and performs step 2 of the Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing of potential HARQ-ACK of different priority afterwards.**
	+ **~~UE does not expect the overlapping between LP HARQ-ACK subject to Type 3 CB/enhanced Type 3 CB and HP HARQ-ACK.~~**
* **Alt. 2 (Nokia):**
	+ **The UE is not expecting HARQ-ACK information in a Type 1 or Type 2 HARQ-ACK CB to be transmitted that cannot be mapped to the enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB of smaller size as the HARQ process is not part of the codebook in neither step 1 (i.e., of the same PHY priority) nor step 2 (i.e., of a different priority) of the Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing framework.**
		- ***i.e. only Rel-16 Type 3 or Rel-17 enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB of the indicated priority is to be multiplexed in step 2***
* **~~Alt. 3 (Intel):~~**
	+ **~~For PHY prioritization between LP/HP PUCCH carrying (e)Type3 CB and HP/LP PUCCH carrying HARQ-ACK using Release 17 multiplexing, follow the agreed behavior~~**
* **~~Alt. 4 (LG):~~**
	+ **~~The UE does not expect that type-3 or enhanced type-3 triggering DCI indicates a lower PHY priority.~~**
* **~~Alt. 5 (LG):~~**
	+ **~~The UE assumes that type-3 or enhanced type-3 triggering DCI corresponds to higher priority transmission (regardless of the value of priority indication field~~**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Alt. 1 | DOCOMO (accpetable) Huawei/Hisi (move 2nd bullet to FFS) |
| Alt. 2  | DOCOMO (1st preference), Nokia/NSB, Intel (2nd), LG(2nd) |
| ~~Alt. 3~~ | ~~Vivo, Intel (1~~~~st~~~~)~~ |
| ~~Alt. 4~~ | ~~LG~~ |
| ~~Alt. 5~~ | ~~LG~~ |
| Other |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| QC | Isn’t it logical to agree first on Proposal 7.3.6? |
| Moderator | @QC: as for other agreements during the WI phase, the moderator prefers to have a single agreement with the details included. To prevent later discussions on what we agreed to be supported…  |
| LG | Our proposal is to handle type-3 codebook freely from prioritization with some level of flexibility. We are also fine with Alt. 2. |
| Huawei/Hisi | As clarified in Question 3.3.1, in R16, the HP/LP prioritization is performed without considering the HARQ-ACK CB type. Same principle should be adopted in R17, where the UE multiplex HP/LP HARQ-ACKs regardless of the HARQ CB type. Consider a case where a HP Type 1 HARQ-ACK CB collides with LP enh. Type 3 in the same slot, it is not reasonable for UE to drop the HP HARQ-ACK with high reliable PUCCH and give priority to the LP PUCCH of Type 3 CB with low reliability; that will result in reliability loss and cripple the performance of URLLC.We can set the second bullet as FFS, as whether/how to schedule the collision may also be up to gNB implementation.* **Alt. 1 (HW):**
	+ **The UE is not expecting HARQ-ACK information in a Type 1 or Type 2 HARQ-ACK CB with the same priority index as the enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB to be transmitted that cannot be mapped to the enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB.**
	+ **FFS: UE does not expect the overlapping between LP HARQ-ACK subject to Type 3 CB/enhanced Type 3 CB and HP HARQ-ACK.**
 |
| Moderator(start of 2nd round) | Tried to clarify Alt. 1 and Alt. 2 based on the comments and the discussion in Sec. 3.2 also. Let’s see if we can somehow converge here.  |
| Huawei/Hisi | We think the same principle of Proposal 3.3.1 should be applied in this proposal, i.e., the HP/LP intra-UE multiplexing is applied regardless of the CB type, so the rule of type 3/enh. type 3 CB overriding type 1/2 CB applies only to the same priority.For the reliability/latency warrant of HP, it is not reasonable to allow a LP channel to drop a HP channel, even if the LP channel contains all information of the HP channel, since that will lower the bottom line of the URLLC performance. |

So let’s also check the general intention of companies to have the joint operation supported (as in case there is strong resistance, it may not be worth the effort to discuss the potential details as well).

**Proposal 7.3.6: Support joint operation of Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing and enhanced Type 3 CB in Rel-17.**

* **FFS details**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Supporting companies  | QC, vivo, DOCOMO, ZTE, Intel, LG ~~Huawei/Hisi~~ |
| Objecting companies |  |
| FFS | Nokia/NSB, Samsung Huawei/Hisi |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| Nokia/NSB | Depends on the details.  |
| Samsung | Agree with Nokia. 99% of the functionality from the features has been achieved and further enhancements, if any, should have an analogous specification/complexity impact. |
| Huawei/Hisi | If no consensus, we prefer not to support the joint operation. |
|  |  |

Joint Operation of R17 Intra-UE multiplexing and dynamic PUCCH cell switching

There was also good input on the joint operation of R17 intra-UE mux and dynamic PUCCH cell switching. Clearly more clarifications will be needed for dynamic PUCCH cell switching compare to semi-static PUCCH cell switching (as there the cell is defined by the time-domain pattern for both LP and HP PUCCH), as there could be potentially UCI of different priorities on different PUCCH cells we would need to clarify the related handing here.

So let’s see what companies think how the operation could be enabled. I hereby just list the input provided here and based on the feedback we would maybe in a better position in another round to have a clear proposal on the overall operation of this joint operation. **In case you have a good suggestion on how a complete proposal on the operation could be formulated, please provide your suggestion in the comments table as well.**

**Question 7.3.7: If joint Operation of R17 Intra-UE multiplexing and dynamic PUCCH cell switching is supported in Rel-17, which of the following alternatives do you prefer:**

* **Alt. 1 (Ericsson):**
	+ **When dynamic PUCCH cell switching is enabled for a PUCCH group, at any given time the PUCCH resources would be allocated to a same cell (either PCell /SPCell / PUCCH SCell or PUCCH sSCell).**
	+ **Once a PUCCH target cell is determined based on a dynamic indication, the Rel-17 intra-UE multiplexing procedures can be applied to resolve collision in case of overlapping PUCCH resources on the target cell.**
* **Alt. 2 (CATT):**
	+ **LP HARQ-ACK would not be multiplexed with HP UCI if they are on different PUCCH cells**
* **Alt. 3 (Intel):**
	+ **For the conclusion that “*For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the UE does not expect a PUCCH slot with UCI on PCell /SPCell / PUCCH SCell to overlap with a PUCCH slot with HARQ-ACK on the dynamically indicated alternative PUCCH cell*”,**
		- **Clarify that the valid PUCCH resource on Pcell means PUCCH resources before multiplexing on Pcell**
		- **Clarify that for different priority UCI, any PUCCH resource before multiplexing/prioritization is considered**
* **Other**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alt. 1 | Support | DOCOMO, ZTE, Intel (2nd), LG(1st) |
| Not support |  |
| Alt. 2 | Support |  |
| Not support |  |
| Alt. 3 | Support | DOCOMO, Intel (1st) Huawei/Hisi |
| Not support |  |
| Other | QC | See comments below |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| QC | With the restriction that “For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the UE does not expect a PUCCH slot with UCI on PCell /SPCell / PUCCH SCell to overlap with a PUCCH slot with HARQ-ACK on the dynamically indicated alternative PUCCH cell”, it seems the Rel-17 intra-UE mux procedure can be simplified: if a slot has HARQ-ACK transmission on Scell (follow dynamic switch indication), then the slot does not have PUCCH transmit on Pcell. Based on this observation, Ericsson’s proposal seems reasonable, if RAN1 support this joint feature. But we think this discussion can be deprioritized as we view this a low priority issue.  |
| DOCOMO | We support both Alt 1 and Alt 3.Alt 1 is discussing about intra-UE multiplexing/prioritization on the target cell. Alt 3 is discussing about inter-cell UCI overlapping.As discussed for **Proposed Conclusion 6.2.5**, we think the point of Alt 3 is valid that multiplexing/priorization should be clarified for inter-cell PUCCH collision checking. And we think UCI multiplexing on PUSCH also needs to clarified. |
| LG | For Alt. 3, we think it is necessary to conclude first for the same priority case.  |
| Huawei/Hisi | As discussed in 6.2.5, the UE only performs the intra-UE multiplexing at the dynamically indicated sSCell, while all the UCIs/PUCCHs without dynamic cell indication on PCell would be regarded as conflict with TDD DL (and there is no need to perform multiplexing among them on PCell); otherwise it is considered as an error case. |
|  |  |

So let’s also check the general intention of companies to have the joint operation supported (as in case there is strong resistance, it may not be worth the effort to discuss the potential details as well).

**Proposal 7.3.8: Support joint operation of Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing and dynamic PUCCH cell switching in Rel-17.**

* **FFS details**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Supporting companies  | DOCOMO, ZTE, Intel Huawei/Hisi |
| Objecting companies | Nokia/NSB, Samsung |
| FFS | QC, vivo, LG |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *Comments*  |
| QC | This can be deprioritized, given Rel-17 intra-UE mux is still under discussion. Designing how it works with cell switch seems a secondary issue to us.  |
| Samsung | Minor/no benefit with substantial specification/implementation impact.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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# Appendix A: RAN1 agreements on HARQ-ACK feedback enhancements for NR Rel-17 URLLC/IIoT

**RAN1#102-e (Aug. 2020)**

Agreements:

Support Rel-17 enhancements to avoid SPS HARQ-ACK dropping for TDD due to PUCCH collision with at least one DL or flexible symbol.

* This topic is to be considered as high priority
* FFS detailed solution(s)

Agreements:

* Simultaneous PUSCH / PUCCH within a cell group (of Sec. 6.13 of R1-2007216) and enhanced (sub-slot) HARQ-ACK multiplexing on PUSCH (of Sec. 4.3 of R1-2007216) can be further discussed as part of AI 8.3.3 in this WI (but not as part of AI 8.3.1.1).

Agreements:

Study further at least the following schemes:

* SPS HARQ skipping for ‘skipped’ SPS PDSCH
* PUCCH repetition enhancements (at least for HARQ-ACK), e.g., sub-slot based, etc.
* Retransmission of cancelled HARQ
* SPS HARQ payload size reduction and / or skipping for ‘non-skipped’SPS PDSCH
* Type 1 HARQ codebook based on sub-slot PUCCH config
* PUCCH carrier switching for HARQ feedback

**RAN1#103-e (Oct/Nov. 2020)**

Agreements: To address the issue of SPS HARQ-ACK dropping for TDD systems, focus on the following two options:

* Option 1: Deferring HARQ-ACK until a next (e.g., first) available PUCCH
	+ FFS: Details including the definition of a next (e.g, first) available PUCCH, CB construction / multiplexing
* Option 2: Dynamic triggering of a one-shot / Type-3 CB type of re-transmission
	+ FFS: Details on triggering and/or CB construction (incl. potential Type-3 CB optimizations) / multiplexing

**Agreements: In the studies on PUCCH carrier switching for HARQ-ACK, PUCCH carrier switching for different cells operated is considered only for cells that are part of the active UL CA configuration.**

**Agreements: For the studies on SPS HARQ skipping for skipped SPS PDSCH, the further discussions should focus on the following reduced sets methods:**

* **‘NACK skipping’ for (skipped) SPS PDSCH (Alt. 1)**
	+ **FFS: details including at least when to skip the HARQ-ACK as well as NACK skipping configuration details (per SPS or group of SPS configurations etc.)**
	+ Note: this alternative assumes inherently no identification of a skipped SPS PDSCH by the UE
* **Dynamic indication of skipped SPS PDSCH occasions (Alt. 3)**
	+ **FFS: details including dynamic indication methods such as e.g. DCI, MAC CE, specific DM-RS instead of SPS DM-RS, …**

**Agreements:** For the studies on SPS HARQ payload size reduction (of non-skipped SPS PDSCH), the further discussions should focus on the following reduced sets of methods:

1. ACK skipping (NACK-only) (Alt. 1)
	1. FFS: Details
2. NACK skipping (ACK-only) (Alt. 2)
	1. FFS: Details
3. HARQ bundling / compression (Alt. 3)
	1. FFS: Details including HARQ bundling / compression window, bundling / compression technique
4. HARQ-ACK disabling /skipping for certain SPS configurations (Alt. 4)
	1. The skipping / disabling is higher-layer configured per SPS configuration
	2. FFS: HARQ-ACK skipping behaviour for Type 1 CB

**RAN#89 (Dec. 2020) – see agreed conclusion from** [RP-202872](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/TSG_RAN/TSGR_90e/Docs/RP-202872.zip)

**RAN conclusion on IIoT scope:**

* For handling of the PUCCH repetitions it is proposed to proceed as follows:
1. RAN1 to continue discussion on PUCCH repetition, whether to specify or not, in the IIoT/URLLC WI for single TRP.
	1. The following items are not within scope of the continued discussions in the IIoT/URLLC WI:
		1. DMRS-less PUCCH with UCI payload up to 11 bits
		2. PUSCH-repetition-Type-B like PUCCH repetition
		3. DMRS bundling across PUCCH repetitions
2. PUCCH repetition issues with multi-TRP to be handled in Fe-MIMO WI.
* For the UE CSI/HARQ-ACK feedback enhancements in the IIoT/URLLC WI, RAN1 work to continue the discussions. Status to be checked in March if any RAN level guidance needed.
	+ RAN1 to continue discussion on A-CSI on PUCCH, whether to specify or not.

**RAN1#104-e (Jan/Feb. 2021)**

Agreements:

* Support deferring SPS HARQ-ACK dropped due to TDD specific collisions until a next available PUCCH in Rel-17 based on semi-static configuration of slot format
	+ FFS: Details (including possible conditions for such a deferring, whether or not to consider semi-statically configured flexible symbols for PUCCH availability, etc.)
	+ Aim for minimal standardization efforts and UE complexity in implementation

Agreements:

Further down-select between the following two options for SPS HARQ-ACK deferral:

* Option 1: Joint RRC configuration of the SPS HARQ-ACK deferral per PUCCH cell group
	+ *Note: any SPS HARQ-ACK within a PUCCH cell group in principle is subject to deferral*
* Option 2: The SPS HARQ-ACK deferral is configured per SPS configuration
	+ *Note: part of sps-config, only HARQ-ACK of SPS PDSCH configurations configured for deferral is in principle subject to deferral*

Agreements: Support sub-slot based PUCCH repetition for HARQ-ACK based on the Rel-16 PUCCH procedure for slot-based PUCCH applied to sub-slot based PUCCH

* Note: the intention is to take the Rel-16 slot-based PUCCH by replacing with “sub-slot” appropriately, without further optimization unless necessary
* FFS whether or not there is any restriction for the applicability of sub-slot based PUCCH repetition for HARQ-ACK
* Dynamic repetition indication is supported also for sub-slot based PUCCH in Rel-17
	+ FFS: if the method to be specified in Cov. Enh WI for slot-based PUCCH repetition can be directly applied to sub-slot PUCCH or if changes are needed

Agreements: Support PUCCH repetition for PUCCH formats 0 and 2 at least for sub-slot based PUCCH repetition.

* FFS: Support for slot-based PUCCH repetition

Agreements: Rel-16 UCI multiplexing  / PUCCH overriding rules are reused for deferred SPS HARQ-ACK in the target slot, if applicable.

Agreements: For SPS HARQ-ACK, the deferral from the initial slot/sub-slot determined by *k1* in the activation DCI to the target slot/sub-slot determined by *k1*+ *k1def*, the UE will check the validity of a target slot/sub-slot evaluating from one slot/sub-slot to the next sub/sub-slot (i.e. in principle *k1def* granularity is 1 slot/sub-slot)

* FFS: if there is a limit on the minimum deferral considered the required UE processing (*k1def* ≥0)
* FFS: if there is a limit on the maximum deferral

Agreements: For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, for the determination of valid symbols in the initial slot/sub-slot a collision with semi-static DL symbols, SSB and CORESET#0 is regarded as ‘invalid’ or ‘no symbols for UL transmission’.

Agreements: **For further study on** **whether and how to support** **PUCCH carrier switching** **in a PUCCH group, focus on the following three alternatives:**

* **Alt. 1: PUCCH carrier switching is based dynamic indication in DCI**
* **Alt. 2B: PUCCH carrier switching is based on certain (semi-static) rules**
* **Alt. 2C: PUCCH carrier switching is based on RRC configured PUCCH cell timing pattern of applicable PUCCH cells**
* Note: In above alternatives, it is assumed that HARQ-ACK corresponding to PDSCH received on a Pcell/PScell or an Scell in a PUCCH group, can be sent on a PUCCH onan Scellalso instead ofonly onPcell/PScell/PUCCH-SCellin the same PUCCH group, as opposed to Rel-16 where HARQ-ACK corresponding to PDSCH received on a Pcell/PScell or an Scell in a PUCCH group can only be sent on Pcell/PScell/PUCCH-SCell in the same PUCCH group.
* ***Note: Realistic deployment scenarios including TDD configurations should be considered for the study***

**RAN1#104b-e (April 2021)**

Agreements: For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, for the determination of valid symbols in the target slot/sub-slot a collision with semi-static DL symbols, SSB and CORESET#0 is regarded as ‘invalid’ or ‘no symbols for UL transmission’.

Agreements: For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, support a limit on the maximum deferral of SPS HARQ in terms of *k1def* or *k1*+ *k1def*

* + FFS: limitation given by a maximum value of *k1def* or a maximum of *k1eff* =*k1*+ *k1def*
	+ FFS how the limitation is determined (e.g. by K1 set(s) or RRC configured limit)

Agreements: For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, there is no lower limit defined for *k1def*

**Conclusion:**

No support for dynamic indication of skipped SPS PDSCH occasions in Rel-17 as part of this WI.

Agreement: Restrict the further discussions on the initial slot handling for SPS HARQ-ACK deferral to the identified alternatives Alt. 1, Alt. 1A and 2.

Agreement: For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, the limit on the maximum deferral of SPS HARQ is defined in terms of *k1eff =k1*+ *k1def.*

Working assumption: To handle the collision for the same HARQ process due to deferred SPS HARQ-ACK the following behaviour is to be specified:

* In case the UE receives PDSCH of a certain HARQ Process ID, the deferred SPS HARQ bit(s) for this HARQ Process ID are dropped.

Agreement: For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, the initial HARQ-ACK transmission occasion is considered to determine the out-of-order HARQ condition

Agreement: Support Type-1 HARQ-ACK codebook for sub-slot based PUCCH configuration in Rel-17.

* The properties of the Type-1 HARQ-ACK codebook for sub-slot PUCCH at least includes that a PDSCH TDRA is associated with a UL /PUCCH sub-slot if the end of the PDSCH overlaps with the associated sub-slot determined by a k1 in the set of sub-slot timing values K1.
* FFS: whether the PDSCH TDRA grouping is performed per DL slot or sub-slot
	+ Decide between PDSCH TDRA grouping per DL slot and sub-slot during RAN1#105-e

**RAN1#105-e (May 2021)**

Working Assumption: For at least HARQ-ACK re-transmission:

* Support at least one enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB with smaller size (compared to Rel-16) in Rel-17
	+ *Definition of enhanced Type 3 CB:*
		- *The codebook size of a single triggered enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook at least determined by RRC configuration*
		- *The codebook construction uses HARQ processes as a bases (i.e. ordered according to HARQ-IDs and serving cells)*
* Support one-shot triggering (by a DL assignment) of HARQ-ACK re-transmission on a PUCCH resource other than enhanced Type 2 or (enhanced) Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB (i.e. Alt. 3) in Rel-17
	+ *Details are FFS*
* Enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB and/or one-shot triggering (by a DL assignment) of HARQ-ACK re-transmission on a PUCCH resource other than enhanced Type 2 or (enhanced) Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB are subject to separate UE capabilities

Agreement: Support PUCCH carrier switching based on dynamic indication in DCI scheduling a PUCCH and semi-static configuration

* Details are FFS (including applicability of dynamic and/or semi-static means)
* Aim for minimum specification impact
* Dynamic indication and/or semi-static configuration are subject to separate UE capabilities
* The semi-static PUCCH carrier switching configuration operation is based on RRC configured PUCCH cell timing pattern of applicable PUCCH cells and supports PUCCH carrier switching across cells with different numerologies.
	+ FFS whether additional rules are needed to support PUCCH carrier switching across cells with different numerologies
* FFS the maximum number of PUCCH cells
* FFS whether and how to support joint operation of dynamic and semi-static carrier switching for a UE
* FFS whether and how to support joint operation of PUCCH carrier switching and SPS HARQ-ACK deferral

Agreement: For PUCCH carrier switching, the PUCCH resource configuration is per UL BWP (i.e. per candidate cell and UL BWP of that specific candidate cell).

Agreement: For PUCCH carrier switching based on dynamic indication in DCI scheduling a PUCCH (i.e. Alt. 1), the PDSCH to HARQ-ACK offset k1 is interpreted based on the numerology of the dynamically indicated target PUCCH cell.

**RAN#92-e (June 2021) – *see section 3.2 of*** [***RP-211569***](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/TSG_RAN/TSGR_92e/Docs/RP-211569.zip)

During the GTW session the following recommendations with further revisions were endorsed.

* **……**
* **Revised Recommendation2**: Provide the following RAN guidance on *HARQ-ACK enhancement [RAN1]*
	+ No further discussions on SPS HARQ-ACK skipping and size reduction~~bundling/compression~~.

**RAN1#106-e (Aug. 2021)**

**Agreement**

The SPS HARQ-ACK deferral is enabled per SPS configuration

* Note: part of sps-config, only HARQ-ACK of SPS PDSCH configurations enabled for deferral is in principle subject to deferral

**Agreement**

Definition of when to defer from the initial slot:

* Alt1: Deferral only, if the SPS HARQ-ACK in the initial slot/sub-slot cannot be transmitted as the resulting PUCCH resource for transmission using the PUCCH by SPS-PUCCH-AN-List-r16 or n1PUCCH-AN is not valid

**Agreement**

Update the following RAN1#105-e agreement as (RED):

* RAN1#105-e Agreement: For PUCCH carrier switching, the PUCCH ~~resource~~ configuration (i.e. *pucch-Config / PUCCH-ConfigurationList*) is per UL BWP (i.e. per candidate cell and UL BWP of that specific candidate cell).
	+ FFS: CSI and SR

**Agreement**

For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, the maximum deferral value in terms of k1+k1def is RRC configured per SPS configuration.

**Agreement**

For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, only SPS HARQ bits subject to deferral from HARQ-ACK codebook from an initial PUCCH slot are deferred to the target PUCCH slot

**Agreement**

For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, deferred SPS HARQ bits from more than one ‘initial PUCCH slot’ can be jointly deferred to a target PUCCH slot

**Agreement**

Confirm the following RAN1#105-e working assumption:

For at least HARQ-ACK re-transmission:

* Support at least one enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB with smaller size (compared to Rel-16) in Rel-17
	+ Definition of enhanced Type 3 CB:
		- The codebook size of a single triggered enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook at least determined by RRC configuration
		- The codebook construction uses HARQ processes as a bases (i.e. ordered according to HARQ-IDs and serving cells)
* Support one-shot triggering (by a DL assignment) of HARQ-ACK re-transmission on a PUCCH resource other than enhanced Type 2 or (enhanced) Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB (i.e. Alt. 3) in Rel-17
	+ Details are FFS
* Enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB and/or one-shot triggering (by a DL assignment) of HARQ-ACK re-transmission on a PUCCH resource other than enhanced Type 2 or (enhanced) Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB are subject to separate UE capabilities

**Agreement**

Support PHY priority handling for a PUCCH carrying the Rel-17 enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB of smaller size.

* The indicated PHY priority in the triggering DCI defines the PHY priority of the PUCCH carrying the Rel-17 enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB of smaller size.
* The A/N of HARQ processes is mapped to the Rel-17 enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB of smaller size irrespective of the PHY priority of the ‘A/N’ of the HARQ processes.
* FFS: If the HARQ-ACK codebook size or structure is dependent on the PHY priority (e.g. separate configuration of CBG/NDI usage, separate configuration of HARQ IDs / CCs per priority, SPS HARQ-ACK process IDs of specific priority only for a SPS HARQ-ACK only codebook, …).

**Agreement**

Support PHY priority handling for a PUCCH carrying the Rel-16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB in Rel-17.

* The indicated PHY priority in the triggering DCI defines the PHY priority of the PUCCH carrying the Rel-16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB.
* The A/N of HARQ processes is mapped to the Rel-16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB irrespective of the PHY priority of the ‘A/N’ of the HARQ processes.
* The support is subject to a Rel-17 UE capability and a UE supporting this capability can be configured in Rel-17 with Rel-16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB and PHY prioritization.

**Agreement**

For the PHY priority handling of the enhanced Type 3 CB(s) of smaller size, the enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK has the same structure, size and content (in terms of HARQ-IDs, CCs) irrespective of the PHY priority.

**Agreement**

Support Rel-17 enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB of smaller size triggering using DCI format 1\_2 for a UE supporting DCI format 1\_2.

* The triggering support for DCI format 1\_2 is independently (from triggering using DCI format 1\_1) RRC configured to the UE.

**Agreement**

Support Rel-16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB triggering using DCI format 1\_2 in Rel-17 for a UE supporting DCI format 1\_2.

* The support is subject to a Rel-17 UE capability and a UE supporting this capability can be configured with DCI format 1\_2 triggering of the Rel-16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB.

**Agreement**

For the enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB of smaller size triggered in a PUCCH slot, the UE is not expecting HARQ-ACK information in a Type 1 or Type 2 HARQ-ACK CB to be transmitted that cannot be mapped to the enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB of smaller size as the HARQ process is not part of the codebook.

**Agreement**

The DCI triggering (by a DL assignment) the one-shot HARQ-ACK re-transmission on a PUCCH resource other than enhanced Type 2 or (enhanced) Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB dynamically indicates the HARQ-ACK codebook(s) / PUCCH occasions to be re-transmitted.

* FFS details

**Agreement**

A single DCI triggering the Rel-17 one-shot triggering (by a DL assignment) of HARQ-ACK re-transmission on a PUCCH resource other than enhanced Type 2 or (enhanced) Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB can trigger the re-transmission of HARQ-ACK information of only a single HARQ-ACK CB.

**Agreement**

The Rel-17 one-shot triggering (by a DL assignment) of HARQ-ACK re-transmission on a PUCCH resource other than enhanced Type 2 or (enhanced) Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB is done through an explicit triggering indication in the DCI through a DCI field.

**Agreement**

Support PHY priority handling for the Rel-17 one-shot triggering (by a DL assignment) of HARQ-ACK re-transmission on a PUCCH resource other than enhanced Type 2 or (enhanced) Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB.

* The indicated PHY priority in the triggering DCI defines the PHY priority of the PUCCH carrying the re-transmitted HARQ-ACK information.
* The indicated PHY priority in the triggering DCI is used to determine the HARQ-ACK information to be re-transmitted corresponding to the indicated PHY priority.

**Conclusion**

The dynamic repetition indication solution for slot-based PUCCH repetition from the RAN1#105-e working assumption from Cov. Enh. WI can be directly applied for dynamic repetition indication for sub-slot based PUCCH repetition.

**Agreement**

For sub-slot based PUCCH repetition for HARQ-ACK, semi-static configured PUCCH repetition (i.e. using *nrofSlots*) and dynamic repetition factor based operation is supported.

* Sub-slot based PUCCH repetition based on semi-static configuration (i.e. using *nrofSlots*) and based on dynamic indication is subject to separate UE capabilities

**Agreement**

For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, the target PUCCH slot is defined as the next PUCCH slot where *sps-PUCCH-AN-List-r16* or*n1PUCCH-AN* PUCCH resource is regarded as valid*,*or a PUCCH resource*(from PUCCH-ResourceSet, i.e. DG PDSCH HARQ multiplexed*) is dynamically indicated

* The target PUCCH slot determination is based on the total HARQ-ACK payload size including deferred SPS HARQ-ACK information and non-deferred HARQ-ACK information (if any) of a candidate target PUCCH slot
* The final PUCCH resource selection in the target PUCCH slot in terms of PUCCH resource set and PUCCH resource ID follows the Rel-16 procedures.

**Agreement**

For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, if after the target PUCCH slot determination the deferred SPS HARQ-ACK cannot be transmitted, the deferred SPS HARQ-ACK bits are not further deferred and are dropped.

**Agreement**

For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, in the target PUCCH slot the deferred SPS HARQ-ACK bits are appended to the initial HARQ bits / Type 1 or Type 2 codebook.

**R1-2108546** Moderator summary #3 on HARQ-ACK feedback enhancements for NR Rel-17 URLLC/IIoT Moderator (Nokia)

**Agreement**

For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, confirm the RAN1#104b-e working assumption with the following updates in RED:

(working assumption) To handle the collision for the same HARQ process due to deferred SPS HARQ-ACK the following behaviour is to be specified:

* In case the UE is expected to receive~~s~~ PDSCH of a certain HARQ Process ID according to TS 38.214 Sec. 5.1, the deferred SPS HARQ bit(s) for this HARQ Process ID are dropped.
	+ Note: there is no further discussion on specific handling for the case of DG PDSCH with the same HARQ process ID

**Agreement**

For enh. Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB(s), support dynamic selection based on indication in the triggering DCI of one of at least one enh. Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB(s).

* Each of the at least one enh. Type 3 HARQ-ACK CBs is at least defined by RRC configuration This includes the option to configure all DL HARQ processs of all configured CCs as one enh. Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB (resulting in same structure and size as the Rel-16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB)
* This includes UE capability signaling (value range {1…X}) on the maximum number of supported simultaneously configured enh. Type 3 HARQ-ACK CBs that can be dynamically indicated
* Details including the value of X are FFS

**Agreement**

The following enhanced Type 3 CB types of smaller size are supported, the CB to contain either:

* the HARQ processes of a subset of configured CCs, or
* a subset of configured HARQ processes (specific to CCs)

FFS: additional enh. Type 3 CB types

**Agreement**

For Rel-17 one-shot triggering for HARQ-ACK re-transmission, the UE does not expect more than one triggering DCI for Rel-17 one-shot feedback indicating the same PUCCH slot for the re-transmission of HARQ-ACK CBs of different PUCCH slots to be re-transmitted

* Note: i.e. only a single HARQ-ACK codebook / PUCCH occasion can be re-transmitted in a PUCCH slot

**Agreement**

Support slot-based PUCCH repetition for PUCCH Format 0 and Format 2 also for single TRP operation.

* The support is subject to independent UE capability indication

**Agreement**

In addition to HARQ-Ack of PDSCH dynamically scheduled by a DCI indicating a PUCCH carrier, the dynamic target carrier indication also applies to:

* HARQ-ACK corresponding to the first SPS PDSCH activated by Activation DCI based on the indication in the activation DCI
* HARQ-ACK corresponding to the SPS Release DCI based on the indication in the release DCI
* triggered PUCCH for Rel-16 Type 3 CB, Rel-17 enh. Type 3 CB of smaller size and Rel-17 one-shot triggering for HARQ-Ack retransmission based on the indication in the triggering DCI
* FFS: Additional cases

**Agreement**

Semi-static PUCCH carrier switching is applicable to all UCI types incl. HARQ-ACK, SR and CSI.

**RAN1#106bis-e (Oct. 2021)**

**Agreement**

For PUCCH carrier switching, support PUCCH carrier switching only among different TDD cells with PUCCH configured on the NUL carrier in Rel-17

**Agreement**

For semi-static PUCCH cell switching, PCell / PSCell / PUCCH-SCell is reference cell:

* The time domain pattern configurations are based on the numerology of the reference cell.
* The PDSCH to HARQ-ACK offset k1 is interpreted based on the numerology and PUCCH configuration of a reference cell to be able to apply the time-domain PUCCH cell switching pattern.
* Note: There may not be a need to define a ‘reference cell’ in the specification. This terminology is used for further clarifications of the procedure.

**Agreement**

For PUCCH cell switching, support independent TPC per PUCCH cell including

* Separate P0 / TPC configuration per PUCCH cell
	+ Note: This flexibility is already provided as PUCCH-config is per UL BWP of a PUCCH cell
* Accumulating closed loop power control commands only within the same PUCCH target cell by reusing Rel-15 procedure, i.e.
	+ For dynamic PUCCH cell indication, the TPC command in the DCI scheduling the PUCCH only applies for the dynamically indicated PUCCH target cell
	+ For semi-static / time-domain pattern, the TPC command in the DCI scheduling the PUCCH only applies for the determined PUCCH target (using the time-domain pattern)
* Separate TPC command indication using DCI format 2\_2 for the individual PUCCH cells
	+ Note: this requires configuration of individual TPC command starting points for each PUCCH cell within DCI format 2\_2

**Agreement**

UE does not expect overlapping PUCCH slots with dynamic PUCCH cell indication on more than one cell, i.e., gNB should only dynamically indicate a single PUCCH cell for a final PUCCH slot.

**Agreement**

For semi-static PUCCH cell switching, the time-domain pattern configuration is based on the following properties:

* A single time-domain pattern is configured per PUCCH cell group
* The granularity of the time-domain pattern is one slot of the PCell / PSCell / PUCCH-SCell ~~reference cell~~
* The time-domain pattern is applied periodically
	+ FFS on period / pattern length (e.g., 10ms, RRC configured, …).
* The pattern defines for each slot of the PCell / PSCell / PUCCH-SCell ~~reference cell~~ at least the applicable target PUCCH cell

**Agreement**

For semi-static PUCCH cell switching, the PUCCH resource indicator (PRI) is interpreted based on the PUCCH configuration of determined target PUCCH cell.

**Conclusion**

For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, only SPS HARQ-ACK bits subject to deferral from one or more initial slots which have not reached the maximum deferral value are jointly deferred to the next available PUCCH (other SPS HARQ-ACK is dropped).

**Agreement**

For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, the bit ordering of deferred SPS HARQ-ACK information from one or more initial slots in the target PUCCH slot is based on the Rel.16 SPS HARQ-ACK bit order principle as in clause 9.1.2 of TS38.213 is applied, i.e., based on serving cell index, SPS configuration index, SPS PDSCH slot index.

**Conclusion**

No additional enhanced Type 3 CB ‘types’ (such as activated CCs, of specific SPS configurations, etc.) in terms of RRC configuration are supported.

**Agreement**

For one enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB, the same CBG and NDI configuration applies to both PHY priorities following the RAN1#106-e agreement.

**Agreement**

The same set of enhanced Type 3 CBs (incl. CBG and NDI configuration) is applied for triggering using DCI format 1\_1 and 1\_2.

**Agreement**

Reuse the legacy 1-bit ‘*one-shot HARQ-ACK request*’ for triggering indication of the enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB of smaller size.

* At least if only a single enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB is configured, the triggering DCI with the triggering bit set to ‘1’ is also able to schedule PDSCH.

**Agreement**

Support triggering of one-shot HARQ re-transmission on PUCCH using DCI format 1\_2.

**Agreement**

To align with Rel-16 slot-based PUCCH repetition operation, support sub-slot based PUCCH repetition configured with / using *nrofSlots* (i.e., not using dynamic indication) of all UCI types (incl. HARQ, SR & CSI).

**Agreement**

For sub-slot based PUCCH repetition, the following agreement from Cov. Enh. WI for slot-based PUCCH repetition is adopted also for sub-slot based PUCCH repetition:

|  |
| --- |
| *Agreement* * *for a PUCCH resource, if both a new repetition parameter corresponding to Rel-17 dynamic PUCCH repetition factor indication and the Rel-15/16 nrofSlots are configured, the new repetition parameter overrides nrofSlots.*
 |

**Agreement**

For sub-slot based PUCCH repetition, the following agreement from Cov. Enh. WI for slot-based PUCCH repetition is adopted also for sub-slot based PUCCH repetition:

|  |
| --- |
| *Agreement: Dynamic PUCCH repetition factor indication for SR or P/SP-CSI on PUCCH is not supported in Rel-17.* |

**Agreement**

For PUCCH cell switching based on dynamic indication in the DCI,  introduce a new, dedicated DCI field for the DCI scheduling PDSCH to indicate the target PUCCH cell.

**Agreement**

In addition, the dynamic target PUCCH cell indication also applies to HARQ-ACK corresponding to SCell dormancy indication without scheduling PDSCH.

**Agreement**

The periodicity / length of the time-domain pattern for semi-static PUCCH cell switching is directly determined by the RRC configuraton of the time domain pattern *pucchCellPattern*

* Note: *pucchCellPattern* has a variable length of (1… *maxNrofSlots*)

**Agreement**

For semi-static and dynamic indication of PUCCH cell switching, the PUCCH repetition factor is determined based on the PUCCH format or PUCCH resource on the target PUCCH cell for the first repetition.

**Agreement**

The CBG and NDI usage can be independently configured for different enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CBs.

**Agreement**

For Type-1 HARQ-ACK codebook for sub-slot based PUCCH configuration in Rel-17, the TDRA pruning/grouping is performed per DL slot after TDRA determination per sub-slot.

* Strive to minimize the impact on relevant pseudo-code

**Conclusion**

For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, the operation in the ‘initial’ slot is further clarified as:

* The UE performs first the (Rel-16) UCI multiplexing operation. If after the UCI multiplexing operation into a PUCCH or PUSCH if any, and if the UE would be transmitting SPS HARQ-ACK using the PUCCH SPS-PUCCH-AN-List-r16 or n1PUCCH-AN which is not valid, the SPS HARQ-ACK configured for deferral is deferred.

**Agreement**

The maximum number of simultaneously configurable enhanced Type 3 CB is indicated by the UE through UE capability signaling from the set of {1, 2, 4, 8}.

**Agreement**

PUCCH cell switching between 2 cells is supported in Rel-17.

**Agreement**

For PUCCH repetition enhancements:

* Support *inter-slotFrequencyHopping* for PUCCH repetition operation of PUCCH Format 0 and Format 2 for slot-based PUCCH configurations.
* Support inter-subslot Frequency Hopping for PUCCH repetition operation of PUCCH Formats 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4 for 7OS slot-based PUCCH configurations.
	+ The UE applies the inter-subslot FH operation from sub-slot to sub-slot, if configured with *inter-slotFrequencyHopping* in the respective PUCCH\_config.
* (Working Assumption) Support inter-subslot Frequency Hopping for PUCCH repetition operation of PUCCH Format 0 and Format 2 for 2OS slot-based PUCCH configurations.
	+ The UE applies the inter-subslot FH operation from sub-slot to sub-slot, if configured with *inter-slotFrequencyHopping* in the respective PUCCH\_config.
* Note: As for Rel-15, the configuration / enabling of *inter-slotFrequencyHopping* and *intraSlotFrequencyHopping* is not supported.

**Agreement**

For sub-slot based PUCCH repetition, the following agreement from Cov. Enh. WI for slot-based PUCCH repetition is adopted also for sub-slot based PUCCH repetition:

|  |
| --- |
| Agreement* In Rel-17, reuse the Rel-16 PUCCH repetition factors 2, 4, 8.
* Do not support PUCCH repetition factor larger than 8 In Rel-17.
 |

**Agreement**

The RAN1#106-e agreement on the target slot definition is updated as follows (in RED):

|  |
| --- |
| **Agreement (from RAN1#106-e)**For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, the target PUCCH slot is defined as the next PUCCH slot, where after performing the (Rel-16) UCI multiplexing operation into a PUCCH or PUSCH if any, the UE would be either (i) transmitting HARQ-ACK using a PUCCH/PUSCH other than the PUCCH determined from *PUCCH SPS-PUCCH-AN-List-r16* or *n1PUCCH-AN* or (ii) would be transmitting HARQ-ACK using a PUCCH resource configured in *PUCCH SPS-PUCCH-AN-List-r16* or *n1PUCCH-AN* being regarded as valid.  *~~sps-PUCCH-AN-List-r16~~*~~or~~*~~n1PUCCH-AN~~*~~PUCCH resource is regarded as valid~~*~~,~~*~~or a PUCCH resource~~*~~(from PUCCH-ResourceSet, i.e. DG PDSCH HARQ multiplexed~~*~~) is dynamically indicated~~* The target PUCCH slot determination is based on the total HARQ-ACK payload size including deferred SPS HARQ-ACK information and non-deferred HARQ-ACK information (if any) of a candidate target PUCCH slot
* The final PUCCH resource selection in the target PUCCH slot in terms of PUCCH resource set and PUCCH resource ID follows the Rel-16 procedures.
 |

**Agreement**

Support PUCCH cell switching based on dynamic indication in the DCI using DCI format 1\_2 for a UE supporting DCI format 1\_2.

* The presence of the ‘PUCCH carrier switching’ bitfield in DCI format 1\_2 is RRC configured.

**Conclusion**

If the UE is not configured with Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing, SPS HARQ for deferral of different PHY priorities can be separately deferred with the target PUCCHs separately determinated according to their respective PHY priorities.

* FFS on the PHY priority handling for SPS HARQ deferral if the UE configured with Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing

**Agreement**

For one-shot HARQ re-transmission on PUCCH, the triggering DCI dynamically indicates a ‘HARQ re-tx offset’ which is used to define the offset in number of PUCCH slots/sub-slots between the triggering DCI and the PUCCH slot/sub-slot of the HARQ-ACK codebook to be re-transmitted. For the triggering DCI received in slot/sub-slot m, indicating the HARQ-ACK re-tx in slot/sub-slot m+k and indicating HARQ\_retx\_offset, the PUCCH slot/sub-slot n of the HARQ-ACK codebook to be re-transmitted is determined as either:

* Alt. 1: n = m - HARQ\_retx\_offset
* Alt. 2: n = m + k - HARQ\_retx\_offset
* FFS: value range of the HARQ-retx\_offset

**Agreement**

Down-select in RAN1#107-e from Alt. 1 & Alt. 3 below:

For PUCCH carrier switching based on semi-static operation, for the case the PCell slot to be longer than the target PUCCH cell slot or sub-slot (i.e. multiple target PUCCH cell slots overlapping with a single PCell slot),  the following PUCCH cell slot is used for UCI transmission:

* Alt. 1: the first target PUCCH slot overlapping with the PCell slot
* Alt. 3: using a relative slot-offset within the reference cell slot, the relative slot offset is configured in the time domain pattern (i.e. time domain pattern contains ‘cell index’ & ‘slot\_offset’ for each reference cell slot)
	+ Note: different relative slot offset can be configured for each reference cell slot in the time domain pattern, details see R1-2108829

**Agreement**

Down-select in RAN1#107-e from Alt. 2 & Alt. 4 below:

For PUCCH carrier switching based on semi-static operation, for the case the PCell slot to be shorter than the target PUCCH cell slot,

* Alt. 2: the UE does not expect the same UCI type (i.e. HARQ-ACK, SR or CSI) from more than one PCell PUCCH slot to be overlapping with a single dynamically indicated PUCCH cell slot
	+ *Note: there can be e.g. HARQ-ACK only be present in either of the overlapping slots, but not in more than one overlapping slot.*
* Alt. 4: the UE does not expect a semi-static PUCC cell configuration, where a single target PUCCH slot / sub-slot would be overlapping with more than one PCell slot/sub-slot.

**Conclusion**

There is no consensus to support multiplexing of HARQ-ACK (without dynamic PUCCH cell indication), SR and P/SP-CSI on the dynamically indicated PUCCH cell (other than PCell / PSCell / PUCCH-SCell) in Rel-17.

* FFS: further handling, incl. e.g., UE does not expect overlapping HARQ-ACK (without dynamic PUCCH cell indication), SR and P/SP-CSI or overlapping HARQ-ACK (without dynamic PUCCH cell indication), SR and P/SP-CSI is to be dropped
* FFS: overlapping definition for SR and P/SP-CSI in terms of PUCCH slot or PUCCH resource

**Agreement**

For one-shot triggering of HARQ-ACK re-transmission on PUCCH,

* in case the dynamic Type 2 HARQ-ACK codebook is configured, the HARQ-ACK codebook per PHY priority on the indicated PUCCH is constructed by appending the Type 2 HARQ-ACK codebook to be re-transmitted to the Type 2 HARQ-ACK codebook of the indicated PUCCH (carrying new, initial HARQ-ACK information) per PHY priority.
* in case the semi-static Type 1 HARQ-ACK codebook is configured, the HARQ-ACK codebook per PHY priority on the indicated PUCCH is constructed by appending the Type 1 HARQ-ACK codebook to be re-transmitted to the Type 1 HARQ-ACK codebook of the indicated PUCCH (carrying new, initial HARQ-ACK information) per PHY priority.

**RAN1#107-e (Nov. 2021)**

**Agreement**

The maximum SPS HARQ-ACK deferral value in terms of k1+k1def per SPS configuration is RRC configured from a value range of {1…32}.

**Agreement**

The list enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebooks is configured per PUCCH cell group (i.e., separately configurable for primary and secondary PUCCH cell group).

**Agreement**

The one-shot HARQ re-transmission on PUCCH is configured per PUCCH cell group (i.e., separately configurable for primary and secondary PUCCH cell group).

**Agreement**

For one-shot HARQ re-transmission on PUCCH, the ‘HARQ re-tx offset’ is determined as Alt. 1: *n = m - HARQ\_retx\_offset*

**Conclusion**

There is no consensus to support the simultaneous configuration of one-shot HARQ-ACK re-transmission and dynamic PUCCH cell switching in Rel-17.

**Conclusion**

For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, if a UE is not configured with Rel-17 intra-UE multiplexing but configured with Rel-16 PHY prioritization, the UE first performs Rel-16 UCI multiplexing and PHY prioritization in both initial slot and target slot and if a LP SPS HARQ-ACK PUCCH is deprioritized, the LP SPS HARQ-ACK is not deferred.

* Note: If the SPS HARQ-ACK is deprioritized in any slot, no further deferral.

**Agreement**

Support simultaneous configuration of SPS HARQ-ACK deferral and PUCCH cell switching based on the semi-static time domain pattern:

For the target slot determination of SPS HARQ-ACK deferral,

* Step 1: the UE first determines a next PUCCH slot on the cell for PUCCH transmission using the semi-static time-domain PUCCH cell pattern and the related rules for semi-static PUCCH cell switching, followed by
* Step 2: the UE determines based on the SPS HARQ-ACK deferral rules if this PUCCH slot on the PUCCH cell for transmission is the target PUCCH slot or not.
* Note: In step 1, k is increased on PCell/PScell/PUCCH-Scell. “The next PUCCH slot” represents the slot on the PUCCH cell based on PUCCH cell pattern, which is mapped from the PCell/PScell/PUCCH-Scell slot with increased K1.
* Note: The maximum deferral limitation checking is based on the effective k + kdef value based on the granularity of PCell / PScell/PUSCCH-Scell

**Agreement**

Support simultaneous configuration of one-shot HARQ-ACK re-transmission and semi-static PUCCH cell switching:

* the ‘backward HARQ-ACK slot-offset’ is interpreted with the granularity of a PUCCH slot of the respective PHY priority of PCell /PSCell / PUCCH SCell

**Agreement**

Confirm the following RAN1 working assumption from RAN1#106bis-e with the additional agreement on UE capability (in RED):

|  |
| --- |
| * (Working Assumption) Support inter-subslot Frequency Hopping for PUCCH repetition operation of PUCCH Format 0 and Format 2 for 2OS slot-based PUCCH configurations.
	+ The UE applies the inter-subslot FH operation from sub-slot to sub-slot, if configured with *inter-slotFrequencyHopping* in the respective PUCCH\_config.
 |

* Support single UE capability indication of inter-subslot FH for PUCCH repetition operation.

**Agreement**

Apply a 1-bit triggering DCI field for triggering indication of one-shot HARQ re-transmission on PUCCH.

* The triggering DCI with the triggering bit set to ‘1’ is not able to schedule PDSCH.
* Some unused bit field in the DCI is used to indicate the HARQ slot offset.
* FFS: if the ‘one-shot HARQ-ACK request’ field can be reused
* FFS: which unsed DCI field in the DCI is used for HARQ slot offset indication
* FFS: The indication of whether the PDSCH is not scheduled will reuse Rel-16 type-3 HARQ ACK CB UE behavior

**Agreement**

The earlier RAN1 agreements on the valid symbol definition in the initial and target PUCCH slot for SPS HARQ-ACK deferral are further clarified as:

* For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, for the determination of valid symbols in the initial and target PUCCH slot/sub-slot a collision with semi-static DL symbols, SSB and symbols indicated by *pdcch-ConfigSIB1* in *MIB* for a CORESET for Type0-PDCCH CSS set is regarded as ‘invalid’ or ‘no symbols for UL transmission’.

**Conclusion**

There is no consensus to support the simultaneous configuration of the Rel-16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB and Rel-17 one-shot re-tx HARQ triggering for a UE in Rel-17.

**Conclusion**

There is no consensus to support the simultaneous configuration of the Rel-17 Enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB and Rel-17 one-shot HARQ re-tx triggering for a UE in Rel-17.

**Agreement**

Support simultaneous configuration of enhanced Type 3 CB triggering and PUCCH cell switching.

**Conclusion**

For PUCCH cell switching DCI field size alignment is done by:

* For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the bit width of the PDSCH-to-HARQ\_feedback timing indicator in DCI format 1\_1 and 1\_2 is determined by the largest K1 set among the K1 sets of all candidate PUCCH cells for PUCCH cell switching based on dynamic indication
	+ i.e., a number of most significant bits with value set to '0' are inserted to smaller field until the bit width of the field for all the PUCCH cells are the same
	+ *Note: for semi-static PUCCH cell switching only the K1 set of PCell is needed*
* For semi-static and dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the bit width of the PRI field in DCI format 1\_2 is determined by the largest value of *numberOfBitsForPUCCH-ResourceIndicatorDCI-1-2* of all PUCCH cells
	+ i.e., a number of most significant bits with value set to '0' are inserted to smaller field until the bit width of the field for all the PUCCH cells are the same
* FFS: If similar handling is applied for *ChannelAccess-CPext* DCI field (0 or 2 bit)

**Agreement**

For PUCCH cell switching and a PUCCH transmission on the alternative PUCCH cell, the alternative PUCCH cell is used to derive the downlink pathloss estimate PLb,f,c(qd), i.e., replace in the main bullet of the PLb,f,c(qd) determination in Sec. 7.2.1 of 38.213 the ‘primary cell’ with ‘cell for PUCCH transmission’

**Agreement**

For PUCCH cell switching based on semi-static operation, for the case the PCell slot to be longer than the target PUCCH cell slot or sub-slot (i.e., multiple target PUCCH cell slots overlapping with a single PCell slot),  adopt Alt 1, i.e., the first target PUCCH slot overlapping with the PCell slot is used for UCI transmission.

 **Agreement**

Support simultaneous configuration of Rel-16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook or enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook triggering and SPS HARQ-ACK deferral.

* In case a R16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB or an enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook is triggered for transmission in a PUCCH slot, the UE stops the deferral procedure of pending SPS HARQ-ACK in that PUCCH slot and that PUCCH slot is not considered as a potential target slot for SPS HARQ-ACK deferral anymore.

**Agreement**

One enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook is RRC configured either as:

* + - * 1. a subset of CC, i.e., all HARQ processes of the subset of CCs are part of the codebook, OR

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| pdsch-HARQ-ACK-enhType3perCC | Configure the one enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook using per CC configuration | (1..maxNrofServingCells) of Integer (0,1) |

* + - * 1. a subset of configured HARQ processes per CC, i.e., different subsets of HARQ processes can be configured for each CC.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| pdsch-HARQ-ACK-enhType3perHARQ | Configure the one enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook using a per HARQ process and CC configuration | (1..maxNrofServingCells) of Bit String (Size (16)) |

**Agreement**

For one-shot triggering of HARQ re-transmission, introduce a new 1-bit DCI field in DCI format 1\_1 and in DCI format 1\_2 (if DCI format 1\_2 is configured with one-shot triggering of HARQ-ACK re-transmission).

**Agreement**

The time-domain pattern for semi-static PUCCH cell switching is separately configurable for the primary and secondary PUCCH cell group.

**Agreement**

The time-domain pattern for semi-static PUCCH cell switching is based on the reference SCS configuration provided by *tdd-UL-DL-ConfigurationCommon* and is common to every configured UL BWP (of PCell / SPCell / PUCCH SCell).

**Agreement**

For PUCCH cell switching based on semi-static operation, adopt Alt. 4, i.e., the UE does not expect a semi-static PUCCH cell configuration, where a single target PUCCH slot / sub-slot would be overlapping with more than one PCell slot/sub-slot.

**Agreement**

For semi-static PUCCH cell switching, if the alternative PUCCH cell (i.e. PUCCH sCell) is deactivated or the alternative PUCCH Cell is dormant, the UE does not apply time-domain pattern and the UCI is to be transmitted on PCell / SPCell / PUCCH SCell.

**Conclusion**

There is no consensus to support simultaneous configuration of semi-static PUCCH cell switching and dynamic PUCCH cell switching in Rel-17.

**Working Assumption**

For one-shot triggering of HARQ re-transmission, in addition to one-shot triggering of HARQ re-transmission after the initial PUCCH transmission slot, the triggering is supported before the initial PUCCH transmission slot

* Re-transmission triggering does not change processing for the initial PUCCH transmission (i.e., HARQ multiplexing / dropping / transmission)
* The UE expects the PUCCH carrying the HARQ-ACK re-transmission to be scheduled in a slot/sub-slot after the initial PUCCH transmission slot/sub-slot.
* The support for the triggering before the initial PUCCH transmission slot is subject to separate UE capability indication

**Agreement**

If more than one (M>1) enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook is configured and the triggering DCI with the *‘one-shot HARQ-ACK request’* set to ‘1’,

* If the FDRA field is not valid, i.e. all “1s” or all “0s” as per Rel-16, then PDSCH is not scheduled:
	+ If a new field with N=ceiling(log2 (M)**)** bits is configured in the triggering DCI, the UE uses this new field to indicate one of M configured e-Type 3 HARQ-ACK CBs
	+ If the new field is not configured in the triggering DCI, the UE uses the MCS field to indicate one of M configured e-Type 3 HARQ-ACK CBs
* If the FDRA field is valid, then a PDSCH is scheduled
	+ If a new field with N=ceiling(log2 (M)**)** bits is configured in the triggering DCI, the UE uses this new field to indicate one of M configured e-Type 3 HARQ-ACK CBs
	+ If the new field is not configured in the triggering DCI, the UE selects the 1st indexed e-Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB in the M configured e-Type 3 HARQ-ACK CBs

**Agreement**

For one-shot HARQ-ACK re-transmission, the value range for HARQ re-tx offset is fixed in the specification

**Conclusion**

For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the UE does not expect a PUCCH slot with UCI on PCell /SPCell / PUCCH SCell to overlap with a PUCCH slot with HARQ-ACK on the dynamically indicated alternative PUCCH PUCCH cell.

* The UCI on PCell /SPCell / PUCCH SCell dropped due to collision with semi-static DL symbols, SSB, and symbols indicated by pdcch-ConfigSIB1 in MIB for a CORESET for Type0-PDCCH CSS set is exempted and is not multiplexed on the PUCCH on the alternative PUCCH cell.

**RAN1#107bis-e (Jan. 2022)**

**Conclusion**

There is no consensus for introducing further specification support for the following

* PUCCH cell switching between cells with shared spectrum channel access (in any mode)
* PUCCH cell switching between a cell with licensed spectrum and a cell with shared spectrum channel access (in any mode)

**Agreement**

For one-shot HARQ-ACK re-transmission, the value range for HARQ re-tx offset is given by [*min\_HARQ\_retx\_offset\_value*, *max\_HARQ\_retx\_offset\_value*] with an indication of 1 slot / sub-slot within that range.

* FFS the fixed value of *min\_HARQ\_retx\_offset\_value*
* FFS the fixed value of *max\_HARQ\_retx\_offset\_value*

**Conclusion**

For one-shot HARQ re-transmission on PUCCH, the UE determines no PDSCH is scheduled when the triggering bit is set to ‘1’ (i.e. the UE does not need to in addition check any specific resource allocation setting).

**Agreement**

For PUCCH cell switching based on semi-static time domain pattern, the Type 1 HARQ-ACK codebook construction is based on the k1 set(s) of the PCell / SPCell / PUCCH SCell.

**Agreement**

Re-add the RRC parameter for the DCI field configuration in row 17 of the Enh. Type-3 HARQ-ACK codebook for the primary PUCCH cell group (that was lost when moving from v006 to v007 in the final RRC parameter discussions in RAN1#107-e, currently we only have the configuration for the secondary PUCCH cell group) i.e.,

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| pdsch-HARQ-ACK-enhType3DCIfield | Enables the enhanced Type 3 CB through a new DCI field to indicate the enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook in the primary cell group if the more than one enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook is configured for the primary PUCCH cell group. | Enabled |

**Agreement**

Support separate configuration of the DCI field presence for enh. Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB for DCI format 1\_2 (i.e. *pdsch-HARQ-ACK-enhType3DCIfieldDCI-1-2*as discussed in RAN1#107-e)

**Conclusion**

There is no consensus to support SPS HARQ-ACK deferral for half-duplex CA UEs in Rel-17.

**Agreement**

RAN1 confirms the following RAN1#107-e working assumption:

|  |
| --- |
| **Working Assumption** For one-shot triggering of HARQ re-transmission, in addition to one-shot triggering of HARQ re-transmission after the initial PUCCH transmission slot, the triggering is supported before the initial PUCCH transmission slot* Re-transmission triggering does not change processing for the initial PUCCH transmission (i.e., HARQ multiplexing / dropping / transmission)
* The UE expects the PUCCH carrying the HARQ-ACK re-transmission to be scheduled in a slot/sub-slot after the initial PUCCH transmission slot/sub-slot.
* The support for the triggering before the initial PUCCH transmission slot is subject to separate UE capability indication
 |

**Conclusion**

There is no consensus to support MAC CE activation indicating a set of values of *pucch-SpatialRelationInfoId* applicable to the alternative PUCCH sSCell for PUCCH cell switching in Rel-17.

**Conclusion**

There is no consensus to support joint operation of SPS HARQ-ACK deferral and PUCCH repetition in Rel-17.

**Conclusion**

The operation of simultaneous configuration of Rel-16 Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook or enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK codebook triggering and SPS HARQ-ACK deferral is further clarified as:

* If the UE detects a DCI format in a PDCCH reception that triggers a PUCCH transmission with a Type-3 or enhanced Type-3 HARQ-ACK codebook in a slot, the UE stops the procedure to determine the earliest second slot in that slot.
* The pending SPS HARQ information for deferral is not appended to the Type-3 or enhanced Type 3 CB in that slot.

**Conclusion**

There is no consensus to support joint configuration of PUCCH cell switching based on dynamic indication and SPS HARQ-ACK deferral in Rel-17.

**Agreement**

For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the Type 1 HARQ-ACK codebook construction is based on the k1 set(s) of the dynamically indicated PUCCH cell.

**Agreement**

The following TP to 38.213 is endorsed for the editor’s CR.

|  |
| --- |
| 9.2.5.4   UE procedure for deferring HARQ-ACK for SPS PDSCH If a UE is provided *spsHARQdeferral* and, after performing the procedures in clauses 9 and 9.2.5 to resolve overlapping among PUCCHs and PUSCHs in a first slot, the UE determines a PUCCH resource for a PUCCH transmission with first HARQ-ACK information bits for SPS PDSCH receptions that the UE would report for a first time, and the PUCCH resource* is provided by *SPS-PUCCH-AN-List* as described in clause 9.2.1, or by *n1PUCCH-AN* if *SPS-PUCCH-AN-List* is not provided
* overlaps with a symbol indicated as downlink by *tdd-UL-DL-ConfigurationCommon* or *tdd-UL-DL-ConfigDedicated*, or indicated for a SS/PBCH block by *ssb-PositionsInBurst*, or belonging to a CORESET associated with a Type0-PDCCH CSS set

the UE * determines an earliest second slot and, after performing the procedures in clauses 9 and 9.2.5 to resolve overlapping among PUCCHs and PUSCHs, a PUSCH or a PUCCH in the earliest second slot to multiplex HARQ-ACK information bits that include second HARQ-ACK information bits from the first HARQ-ACK information bits
* if the UE detects a DCI format in a PDCCH reception that triggers a PUCCH transmission with a Type-3 HARQ-ACK codebook in a slot as described in clause 9.1.4, the UE stops the procedure to determine the earliest second slot **in the slot**
* if the UE is provided a periodic cell switching pattern for PUCCH transmissions by *pucch-sSCellPattern*, the UE determines the earliest second slot and a corresponding cell based on the periodic cell switching pattern as described in clause 9.A
 |

**Agreement**

For one-shot HARQ-ACK re-transmission,

* the minimum value for the HARQ re-tx offset *min\_HARQ\_retx\_offset\_value* is -7.
* the maximum value for the HARQ re-tx offset *max\_HARQ\_retx\_offset\_value* is 24.
* *Note: UE capability reporting on the UE supported value range for HARQ\_retx\_offset* *in the scope of [min\_HARQ\_retx\_offset\_value, max\_HARQ\_retx\_offset\_value ]* *that can be indicated by the gNB for the UE can be further discussed in UE capabilities*

**Agreement**

For one-shot triggering of HARQ-ACK re-transmission, the *HARQ\_retx\_offset* is indicated by the bits of the MCS field for transport block 1.

**Agreement**

Support the simultaneous configuration of one-shot triggering of HARQ re-transmission and SPS deferral

* One-shot HARQ-ACK re-transmission can trigger re-transmission SPS HARQ-ACK enabled with deferring from the initial SPS HARQ deferral slot.
* If the PUCCH slot indicated by the *HARQ\_retx\_offset* is the ‘target’ or earliest ‘second’ slot for SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, the HARQ-ACK CB including the deferred SPS HARQ-ACK bits will be retransmitted in the new retransmission PUCCH triggered by one-shot triggering DCI.
* For the SPS HARQ-ACK deferral procedure, the PUCCH slot with a one-shot triggered HARQ-ACK CB is regarded as a valid potential target PUCCH slot for SPS HARQ-ACK deferral with same PHY priority (at least for operation with Rel-16 PHY prioritization) as the PHY priority of the triggered one-shot HARQ-ACK re-transmission.
	+ - If the PUCCH slot with a one-shot triggered HARQ-ACK CB is determined by the UE as target or earliest second PUCCH slot for SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, the deferred SPS HARQ-ACK in a target slot is appended to the re-transmitted HARQ-ACK CB and initial, new HARQ-ACK (if any) following the operation of SPS HARQ-ACK deferral procedure.

**Conclusion**

There is no consensus on the support of HARQ-ACK CB size indication in the triggering DCI for HARQ-ACK re-transmission

**Conclusion**

There is no consensus to support the following in Rel-17:

* For one-shot HARQ re-transmission on PUCCH, if certain HARQ process IDs of the requested HARQ CB to be retransmitted is replaced by new HARQ bits, the UE transmits the new content of HARQ process(es) being updated.

**Agreement**

For PUCCH repetition and one-shot HARQ-ACK re-transmission, if the gNB triggers the HARQ-ACK CB re-transmission from a PUCCH slot indicated by *HARQ\_retx\_offset* where a HARQ-ACK in a first PUCCH is dropped due to overlapping with another, second PUCCH, where the first PUCCH and second PUCCH have the same L1 priority, and at least one of the first PUCCH and the second PUCCH is subject to a repetition, the UE re-transmits the HARQ-ACK CB of the second PUCCH from the slot.

# Appendix B: Summary of companies’ proposals

In here, the proposals and some example figures are collected for easier referencing.

### R1-2200959 UE feedback enhancements for HARQ-ACK Huawei, HiSilicon

***Proposal 1: The following joint operations can be straightforwardly supported with negligible spec impact:***

* ***Joint operation between dynamic PUCCH carrier switching and intra-UE multiplexing***
* ***Joint operation between semi-static PUCCH carrier switching and intra-UE multiplexing***
* ***Joint operation between PUCCH repetition and dynamic PUCCH carrier switching***
* ***Joint operation between PUCCH repetition and Type 3 CB / enhanced Type 3 CB***

***Proposal 2: Support simultaneous configuration of Rel-17 intra-UE multiplexing of different priorities and SPS HARQ-ACK deferral***

* ***The target slot/sub-slot for the LP SPS HARQ-ACK and HP SPS HARQ-ACK are separately determined based on separate LP/HP time units without considering the existence of the other priority, if they are both subject to deferral.***
* ***If after the inter-priority multiplexing operation, and if the UE would be transmitting the SPS HARQ-ACK of hybrid priorities on SPS PUCCH, and the SPS PUCCH is not valid in the initial/next PUCCH slot, both HP SPS HARQ-ACK and LP SPS HARQ-ACK are dropped without further deferral***

***Proposal 3: For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the HARQ-ACK of SPS PDSCH without associated DCI is to be transmitted on PCell / SPCell / PUCCH-SCell independently of the dynamically indicated PUCCH cell in the SPS activation DCI. Only the HARQ-ACK corresponding to the first SPS PDSCH activated by activation DCI uses the PUCCH cell based on the indication in the activation DCI (based on the earlier agreement).***

* ***The UE does not expect to be dynamically indicated for PUCCH transmission on the PUCCH sSCell in the SPS activation DCI in a slot overlapping with a PCell / SPCell / PUCCH-SCell slot where SPS HARQ-ACK subject to another SPS config would be transmitted.***

***Proposal 4: For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, if the HARQ-ACK for the first SPS PDSCH is indicated on the PUCCH sSCell based on the activation DCI,***

* ***the UE determines for the first SPS PDSCH a k1 value from the PUCCH sSCell’s K1 set according to the K1 indicator field in the activation DCI***
* ***the UE determines for the other SPS PDSCHs without associated DCI a k1 value from PCell’s K1 set according to the K1 indicator field in the activation DCI***

***Proposal 5: RAN1 should adopt the following TP to capture the agreement on the not expected overlapping between dynamically indicated PUCCH slot on SCell and another UCI on PCell:***

|  |
| --- |
| **------------------ Text Proposal for 38.213 Clause 9.A ------------------**9.A PUCCH Cell SwitchingThis clause is applicable when a UE is provided a PUCCH-sSCell by *pucch-sSCell* and the PUCCH-sSCell is activated and does not have a dormant UL/DL active BWP. …If a UE is provided *pucch-sSCellDyn* or *pucch-sSCellDynDCI-1-2*, a corresponding DCI format associated with generation of HARQ-ACK information by the UE can include a PUCCH cell indicator field, as described in [5, TS 38.212], that indicates whether the PUCCH transmission with the HARQ-ACK information by the UE is on the Pcell or on the PUCCH-sSCell.The UE does not expect to be indicated by a DCI with the PUCCH cell indicator field to transmit HARQ-ACK information on a slot for the active UL BWP of the PUCCH-sSCell to overlap with a slot including another UCI on the active UL BWP of the PCell, unless the UCI on the active UL BWP of the PCell overlaps with a symbol indicated as downlink by tdd-UL-DL-ConfigurationCommon or tdd-UL-DL-ConfigDedicated, or indicated for a SS/PBCH block by ssb-PositionsInBurst, or belonging to a CORESET associated with a Type0-PDCCH CSS set, and is cancelled according to clause 11.1.… |

***Proposal 6: For semi-static PUCCH cell switching, all PUCCH repetitions should be transmitted on the PUCCH cell of the first PUCCH repetition.***

* ***A PUCCH slot mapped to different PUCCH cell is considered as invalid for PUCCH repetition and is not counted towards the total number of PUCCH repetitions, i.e., the repetition is postponed as in Rel-16.***

***Proposal 7:* *For PUCCH cell switching based on semi-static operation, for the case the PCell slot/sub-slot to be longer than the target SCell sub-slot and the earliest sub-slot of the target SCell is partially overlapping with the PCell slot/sub-slot, the first sub-slot of the target SCell fully overlapping with the PCell slot/sub-slot is used for UCI transmission.***

***Proposal 8: Support simultaneous configuration of intra-UE multiplexing and Type 3 CB/enhanced Type 3 CB.***

* ***The UE is not expecting HARQ-ACK information in a Type 1 or Type 2 HARQ-ACK CB with the same priority index as the enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB to be transmitted that cannot be mapped to the enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB.***
* ***UE does not expect the overlapping between LP HARQ-ACK subject to Type 3 CB/enhanced Type 3 CB and HP HARQ-ACK.***

***Proposal 9: Support simultaneous configuration between intra-UE multiplexing and one-shot HARQ-ACK retransmission.***

* ***UE does not expect the overlapping between LP HARQ-ACK subject to one-shot retransmission and HP HARQ-ACK.***

### R1-2201002 HARQ-ACK Enhancements for IIoT/URLLC Ericsson

[Observation 1 When dynamic or semi-static PUCCH cell switching is enabled for a PUCCH group, at any given time the PUCCH resources would be allocated to a same cell (either PCell /PsCell / PUCCH SCell or PUCCH sScell).](#_Toc94824672)

[Observation 2 Once a target cell is determined based on a dynamic indication or time-domain pattern due to dynamic or semi-static PUCCH cell switching, respectively, the intra-UE multiplexing procedures can be applied to resolve collision in case of overlapping PUCCH resources on the target cell.](#_Toc94824673)

[Observation 3 Some limitations on PUCCH resource configurations and/or indication are needed to support PUCCH repetition on target PUCCH cells determined individually for each PUCCH repetition.](#_Toc94824674)

Based on the discussion in the previous sections we propose the following:

[Proposal 1 If after the Rel-17 multiplexing operation into a PUCCH or PUSCH if any, and if the UE would be transmitting SPS HARQ-ACK using the PUCCH SPS-PUCCH-AN-List-r16 or n1PUCCH-AN which is not valid, the SPS HARQ-ACK configured for deferral is deferred.](#_Toc94824675)

[Proposal 2 SPS HARQ-ACK of different PHY priorities can be separately deferred with the target PUCCHs separately determined according to their respective PHY priorities. Then depending on where the target slot(s) is/are located, Rel-17 intra UE multiplexing can be applied when applicable.](#_Toc94824676)

[Proposal 3 Joint configuration of dynamic/semi-static PUCCH cell switching and Rel-16/Rel-17 intra-UE multiplexing is supported.](#_Toc94824677)

[Proposal 4 For semi-static PUCCH cell switching, a PUCCH repetition transmission on a different target PUCCH cell from the PUCCH cell of the first PUCCH repetition is not supported. A PUCCH slot mapped to different PUCCH cell is considered as invalid for PUCCH repetition and is not counted towards the total number of PUCCH repetitions / the repetition is postponed as in Rel-16.](#_Toc94824678)

### R1-2201017 HARQ-ACK Feedback Enhancements for URLLC/IIoT Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell

On the **remaining issues of PUCCH cell switching** in Sec. 2, we have the following proposals:

* **Proposal 2.1:** **For semi-static PUCCH cell switching, a PUCCH repetition transmission on a different target PUCCH cell from the PUCCH cell of the first PUCCH repetition is not supported**
	+ ***A PUCCH slot mapped to different PUCCH cell is considered as invalid for PUCCH repetition and is not counted towards the total number of PUCCH repetitions***
* **Proposal 2.2: For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the HARQ-ACK feedback for all SPS PDSCH(s), including the first SPS PDSCH after activation, are sent on PCell/ PSCell/PUCCH SCell.**

On the **interaction of Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing and Rel-17 HARQ-ACK enhancements** in Sec. 3, we have the following observations and proposals:

**On SPS deferral**

* ***Observation 3.1.1: If joint operation of Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing and SPS deferral is supported, performing the decision on the valid target slot / earliest second slot for SPS deferral after the full Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing procedure (i.e. after step 2) requires recursive operation of step 1 and step 2 of the Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing framework.***
* ***Observation 3.1.2:*** ***If joint operation of Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing and SPS deferral is supported, performing the decision on the valid target slot / earliest second slot for SPS deferral after the full Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing procedure (i.e. after step 2), may require more than 4 hypotheses on deferred SPS HARQ-ACK presence in step 2 which increases UE (& gNB implementation) complexity.***
* ***Observation 3.1.3: If joint operation of Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing and SPS deferral is supported, performing the decision on the valid target slot / earliest second slot for SPS deferral after the full Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing procedure (i.e. after step 2), an order of the LP & HP SPS HARQ-ACK deferral procedure for the earliest second slot determination would need to be defined (e.g. HP SPS HARQ-ACK considered first, followed by LP SPS HARQ-ACK).***
* ***Observation 3.1.4: If joint operation of Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing and SPS deferral is supported, performing the decision on the valid target slot / earliest second slot for SPS deferral already after step 1 of the Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing procedure would simplify UE & gNB implementation, avoids recursive processing of step 1 and step 2 hypothesis and allows to reuse the same implementation for the earliest second slot determination for Rel-16 PHY prioritization and Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing.***
* **Proposal 3.1.1: If joint operation of Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing and SPS deferral is supported in Rel-17, the determination of the valid target slot / earliest second slot for SPS deferral should be performed already after step 1 of the Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing procedure.**
* ***Observation 3.1.5: If joint operation of Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing and SPS deferral is supported, performing the decision on the SPS deferral in the initial slot already after step 1 of the Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing procedure could lead to SPS HARQ-ACK deferral even though the SPS HARQ is transmitted in the initial slot (after step 2 multiplexing).***
* **Proposal 3.1.2: If joint operation of Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing and SPS deferral is supported in Rel-17, the decision on SPS HARQ-ACK deferral in the initial SPS HARQ-ACK slot should be performed after step 2 of the Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing procedure (i.e. after the full Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing procedure).**
	+ **If after the Rel-17 UCI multiplexing operation (including step 1 and step 2) into a PUCCH or PUSCH if any, and if the UE would be transmitting LP SPS HARQ-ACK using the PUCCH SPS-PUCCH-AN-List-r16 or n1PUCCH-AN from the first or second PUCCH configuration which is not valid, the LP SPS HARQ-ACK configured for deferral is deferred.**
	+ **If after the Rel-17 UCI multiplexing operation (including step 1 and step 2) into a PUCCH or PUSCH if any, and if the UE would be transmitting HP SPS HARQ-ACK using the PUCCH SPS-PUCCH-AN-List-r16 or n1PUCCH-AN from the second PUCCH configuration which is not valid, the HP SPS HARQ-ACK configured for deferral is deferred.**
	+ **LP SPS HARQ-ACK in step 2 that cannot be mapped to a HP PUCCH or HP PUSCH based on the Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing framework and is therefore dropped in step 2.1 or step 2.2, is not subject to deferral.**

**On One-shot HARQ-ACK re-tx**

* ***Observation 3.2: Joint operation of R17 Intra-UE multiplexing and One-shot HARQ re-transmission could be operated using the One-shot HARQ re-transmission framework by enabling independent triggering of LP HARQ CB re-transmission and HP HARQ CB re-transmission without any large changes by assuming the agreed restrictions are applicable per PHY priority.***
* **Proposal 3.2: Support joint operation of R17 Intra-UE multiplexing and One-shot HARQ re-transmission based on the following operation:**
	+ **A single DCI triggering the Rel-17 one-shot triggering (by a DL assignment) of HARQ-ACK re-transmission on a PUCCH resource other than enhanced Type 2 or (enhanced) Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB can trigger the re-transmission of HARQ-ACK information of only a single HARQ-ACK CB of a single PHY priority.**
	+ **The UE does not expect more than one triggering DCI for Rel-17 one-shot feedback indicating the same PUCCH slot of a certain PHY priority in step 1 for the re-transmission of HARQ-ACK CBs of different PUCCH slots to be re-transmitted.**
		- ***Note: In step 2, there could be still multiplexing of LP and HP HARQ-ACK CBs to be retransmitted on PUCCH or PUSCH.***
	+ **The ‘backward HARQ-ACK slot-offset’ is interpreted with the granularity of a PUCCH slot of the respective PHY priority of step 1 of PCell /PSCell / PUCCH SCell**

**On enhanced Type 3 CB:**

* **Proposal 3.3: Support simultaneous configuration of enhanced Type 3 CB triggering and Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing (i.e. *UCI-MuxWithDifferentPriority*)**
	+ **The indicated PHY priority in the triggering DCI defines the PHY priority of the PUCCH carrying the Rel-17 enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB of smaller size.**
	+ **The A/N of HARQ processes is mapped to the Rel-17 enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB of smaller size irrespective of the PHY priority of the ‘A/N’ of the HARQ processes.**
	+ **The enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK has the same structure, size and content (in terms of HARQ-IDs, CCs) irrespective of the PHY priority.**
	+ **The UE is not expecting HARQ-ACK information in a Type 1 or Type 2 HARQ-ACK CB to be transmitted that cannot be mapped to the enhanced Type 3 HARQ-ACK CB of smaller size as the HARQ process is not part of the codebook in neither step 1 nor step 2 of the Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing framework.**

**On PUCCH cell switching:**

* **Proposal 3.4.1: Support joint operation of semi-static PUCCH cell switching and Rel-17 Intra-UE prioritization.**
	+ **The Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing operation including step 1 and step 2 are performed on the applicable target PUCCH cell.**
* **Proposal 3.4.2: Joint operation of dynamic PUCCH carrier switching and Intra-UE Multiplexing is not supported in Rel-17.**

In Sec. 4 presents the following **two TPS on joint operation of SPS deferral and one-shot HARQ-ACK re-transmission** on top of the draft 38.213 editor CR:

|  |
| --- |
| 9.1.5 HARQ-ACK codebook retransmission ….If in slot $m$ the UE performs a procedure for deferring first HARQ-ACK information for SPS PDSCH receptions, as described in clause 9.2.5.4, and the first HARQ-ACK information has same priority value as a priority value indicated by the DCI format triggering the PUCCH transmission in slot $n+k$, the UE multiplexes in the PUCCH transmission in slot $n+k$ second HARQ-ACK information with the priority value that results in slot $n+k$ according to the procedure in this clause. If the UE would also multiplex in the PUCCH transmission in slot $n+k$ third HARQ-ACK information with the priority value, the UE appends the second HARQ-ACK information to the third HARQ-ACK information before multiplexing the first HARQ-ACK information into the PUCCH transmission as described in clause 9.2.5.4. The UE determines to multiplex the third HARQ-ACK information in the PUCCH transmission in slot $n+k$ as described in clause 9.2.3. |

|  |
| --- |
| 9.2.5.4 UE procedure for deferring HARQ-ACK for SPS PDSCH …- the second HARQ-ACK information bits, generated as described in clause 9.1.2, are appended in a HARQ-ACK codebook the UE generates as described in clauses 9.1.2, 9.1.2.1, ~~or~~ 9.1.3.1 or 9.1.5- if the UE would receive a PDSCH providing a TB for a same HARQ process as a HARQ-ACK information bit from the second HARQ-ACK information bits prior to transmitting the PUCCH or the PUSCH, the UE does not include the HARQ-ACK information bit in the HARQ-ACK information bits. |

### R1-2201021 Remaining issues for HARQ-ACK feedback enhancements New H3C Technologies Co., Ltd.

**Proposal 1: For semi-static PUCCH cell switching, a PUCCH repetition transmission on a different target PUCCH cell from the PUCCH cell of the first PUCCH repetition is not supported**

* **A PUCCH slot mapped to different PUCCH cell is considered as invalid for PUCCH repetition and is not counted towards the total number of PUCCH repetitions / the repetition is postponed as in Rel-16. Example figure for 4 repetitions:**

**Proposal 2: For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the HARQ-ACK of SPS PDSCH without associated DCI is to be transmitted on PCell / SPCell / PUCCH-SCell independently of the dynamically indicated PUCCH cell in the SPS activation DCI. Only the HARQ-ACK corresponding to the first SPS PDSCH activated by Activation DCI uses the PUCCH cell based on the indication in the activation DCI (based on the earlier agreement).**

* **The UE does not expected to be dynamically indicated for PUCCH transmission on the PUCCH sSCell in the SPS activation DCI in a slot overlapping with a PCell / SPCell / PUCCH-SCell slot with UCI.**

### R1-2201090 Remaining issues on HARQ-ACK enhancements for Rel-17 URLLC vivo

*Observation 1: Interaction between enhanced Type-3 codebook and PUCCH repetition can be supported naturally without any clarification.*

***Proposal 1:*** ***Consider the text proposal for enhanced Type-3 codebook determination in TS38.213.***

***Proposal 2:*** ***Clarify the following as a conclusion:***

* ***When a UE receives a one-shot triggering DCI for HARQ-ACK re-transmission, and did not generate an HARQ-ACK codebook with the indicated PHY priority for corresponding PUCCH transmission in the original PUCCH slot, the UE ignores the triggering DCI, without determining corresponding PUCCH transmission in the PUCCH slot designated for HARQ-ACK re-transmission.***

***Proposal 3:*** ***For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, SPS HARQ-ACK is always transmitted on the PCell/PSCell/PUCCH-SCell, and HARQ-ACK corresponding to the PDSCH scheduled by an SPS activation DCI is reported on the target PUCCH cell indicated by the SPS activation DCI.***

* ***The UE does not expect to be dynamically indicated for PUCCH transmission on the PUCCH sSCell in the SPS activation DCI in a PUCCH slot overlapping with a PUCCH slot on the PCell/PSCell/PUCCH-SCell where SPS HARQ-ACK corresponding to another SPS Config, as well as other UCI, if any, would be transmitted.***

***Proposal 4:*** ***For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, when HARQ-ACK corresponding to the PDSCH scheduled by an SPS activation DCI is indicated to be reported on the PUCCH sSCell, the K1 value for SPS PDSCH(s) corresponding to the SPS activation DCI is determined based on the K1 indicator field in the SPS activation DCI, as well as the K1 set for the PCell/PSCell/PUCCH-SCell.***

***Proposal 5:*** ***For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, further clarify that SR resource configuration(s) and/or CSI report configuration(s) cannot be configured in the PUCCH Config(s) for the PUCCH sSCell.***

***Proposal 6: For semi-static PUCCH cell switching in conjunction with PUCCH repetition, a PUCCH repetition mapping to a different target PUCCH cell from the target PUCCH cell of the first PUCCH repetition is not supported.***

***Proposal 7: For semi-static PUCCH cell switching, where there are potentially two PUCCH cells providing SPS HARQ-ACK PUCCH resources for an SPS Config, how to understand or extend SPS-Config->n1PUCCH-AN should be determined, and the following two alternatives can be considered:***

* ***Alt. 1: SPS-Config->n1PUCCH-AN corresponds to a configured PUCCH resource on the PCell/PSCell/PUCCH-SCell, as well as a configured PUCCH resource on the PUCCH sSCell, where the two configured PUCCH resources have the same resource ID.***
* ***Alt. 2: SPS-Config->n1PUCCH-AN can be extended so that two resource IDs can be configured independently for the PCell/PSCell/PUCCH-SCell and the PUCCH sSCell, respectively.***

***Proposal 8: For semi-static PUCCH cell switching, when an SR configuration is triggered, PUCCH resource(s) of the associated SR resource configuration(s) on corresponding PUCCH cell(s) will be validated based on the time domain pattern.***

***Proposal 9: For semi-static PUCCH cell switching, when CSI reporting on PUCCH is configured on both the PCell/PSCell/PUCCH-SCell and the PUCCH sSCell, corresponding CSI PUCCH resource(s) on a PUCCH cell will be validated based on the time domain pattern.***

***Proposal 10: Support*** ***simultaneous configuration of Rel-17 intra-UE multiplexing of different PHY priorities and SPS HARQ-ACK deferral.***

***Proposal 11: Support*** ***simultaneous configuration of Rel-17 intra-UE multiplexing of different PHY priorities and enhanced Type-3 codebook.***

***Proposal 12: Support*** ***simultaneous configuration of Rel-17 intra-UE multiplexing of different PHY priorities and one-shot triggering.***

***Proposal 13: Support*** ***simultaneous configuration of Rel-17 intra-UE multiplexing of different PHY priorities and dynamic PUCCH cell switching.***

***Proposal 14: Support*** ***simultaneous configuration of Rel-17 intra-UE multiplexing of different PHY priorities and semi-static PUCCH cell switching.***

*Observation 2: Each feature of HARQ-ACK enhancements can be configured jointly with Rel-17 simultaneous PUCCH/PUSCH transmission without additional complexity.*

### R1-2201161 Discussion on HARQ-ACK enhancements for eURLLC ZTE

***Proposal 1:*** *For SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, RAN1 should clarify the UE behavior in the initial slot and the target slot where there is only one SPS HARQ-ACK* *provided and no other UCIs and PUSCH (i.e., no UCI multiplexing being performed).*

***Proposal 2:*** *Adopt the TP as below:*

|  |
| --- |
| TS38.213h009.2.5.4 UE procedure for deferring HARQ-ACK for SPS PDSCH If a UE is provided *spsHARQdeferral* and, after performing the procedures in clauses 9 and 9.2.5 to resolve overlapping among PUCCHs and PUSCHs in a first slot if any, the UE determines a PUCCH resource for a PUCCH transmission with first HARQ-ACK information bits for SPS PDSCH receptions that the UE would report for a first time, and the PUCCH resource- is provided by *SPS-PUCCH-AN-List* as described in clause 9.2.1, or by *n1PUCCH-AN* if *SPS-PUCCH-AN-List* is not provided- overlaps with a symbol indicated as downlink by *tdd-UL-DL-ConfigurationCommon* or *tdd-UL-DL-ConfigDedicated*, or indicated for a SS/PBCH block by *ssb-PositionsInBurst*, or belonging to a CORESET associated with a Type0-PDCCH CSS set the UE - determines an earliest second slot and, after performing the procedures in clauses 9 and 9.2.5 to resolve overlapping among PUCCHs and PUSCHs if any, a PUSCH or a PUCCH in the earliest second slot to ~~multiplex~~transmit HARQ-ACK information bits that include second HARQ-ACK information bits from the first HARQ-ACK information bits... |

***Proposal 3:*** *If the UE needs to generate a Type1 codebook in slot n, and the target slot of the delayed SPS HARQ-ACK is also slot n*, *then the following rules are proposed:*

* *If the slot with SPS PDSCH is contained in the slots corresponding to a Type 1 codebook for the DG PDSCHs, then UE only generates the Type 1 codebook.*
	+ *Note the Type 1 codebook can naturally include the deferred SPS HARQ-ACK of the SPS PDSCH and HARQ-ACKs of the DG PDSCHs according to the current Type 1 codebook mechanism.*
* *Otherwise, the UE generates the Type 1 codebook according to the current Type 1 codebook mechanism and concatenates the deferred SPS HARQ-ACK after the Type 1 codebook for DG PDSCHs.*

***Proposal 4:*** *Support simultaneous configuration of Rel-17 intra-UE multiplexing of different priorities and SPS HARQ-ACK deferral.*

* *If after the Rel-17 multiplexing operation into a PUCCH or PUSCH if any, and if the UE would be transmitting SPS HARQ-ACK using the PUCCH provided by SPS-PUCCH-AN-List-r16 or n1PUCCH-AN of any priority,*
	+ *which is not valid in the initial slot, the SPS HARQ-ACK configured for deferral is deferred.*
* *SPS HARQ-ACK deferral of a given priority, the target PUCCH slot is defined as the next PUCCH slot, where after performing the (Rel-17) UCI multiplexing operation into a PUCCH or PUSCH if any, the UE would be either (i) transmitting HARQ-ACK using a PUCCH corresponding to high priority UCI or PUSCH other than the PUCCH determined from SPS-PUCCH-AN-List-r16 or n1PUCCH-AN or (ii) transmitting HARQ-ACK using a PUCCH resource configured in SPS-PUCCH-AN-List-r16 or n1PUCCH-AN of any priority being regarded as valid.*

***Proposal 5:*** *Support simultaneous configuration of semi-static PUCCH cell switching and SPS HARQ-ACK deferral.*

* *For the initial slot in PCell, if the UE performs UCI multiplexing to determine whether the SPS HARQ-ACK is deferred, it should consider multiplexing the SPS HARQ-ACK to the overlapping PUCCH slot of the Scell based on the PUCCH cell switching pattern.*
	+ *If the multiplexed PUCCH is valid in Scell slot, the SPS HARQ-ACK is transmitted in the multiplexed PUCCH slot; otherwise, the SPS HARQ-ACK is deferred.*

***Proposal 6:*** *Support the simultaneous configuration of semi-static PUCCH cell switching and PUCCH repetition transmission at least for the case where the slots of PCell/SPCell/PUCCH SCell and PUCCH sScell are of equal length.*

* *For a PUCCH that is repeatedly transmitted, PUCCH cells and PUCCH slots corresponding to PUCCH repetitions other than the first PUCCH repetition are determined based on the semi-static PUCCH cell switching pattern.*
	+ *PUCCH resources corresponding to PUCCH repetitions other than the first PUCCH repetition are determined from the determined PUCCH cell based on the PRI in the (activated) DCI corresponding to the PUCCH.*
	+ *UE expects that PUCCH resources from PCell/SPCell/PUCCH SCell and PUCCH sScell have the same number of symbols for different PUCCH repetitions.*

***Proposal 7:*** *The Type 1 HARQ-ACK codebook construction for PUCCH cell switching is based on the k1 set(s)*

* *of the PCell / SPCell / PUCCH Scell for semi-static PUCCH cell switching*
* *If the determined PUCCH cell for transmitting the Type 1 codebook is PCell, the determined PUCCH slot is regarded as "slot n", and then UE completes the Type 1 codebook construction based on "slot n" by reusing the current Type 1 codebook construction mechanism.*
* *Otherwise, the slot of the PCell that overlaps the determined PUCCH slot is regarded as "slot n", then UE completes the Type 1 codebook construction based on "slot n" by reusing the current Type 1 codebook construction mechanism.*
* *of the dynamically indicated PUCCH cell for dynamic PUCCH cell switching*
* *If the indicated PUCCH cell for transmitting Type 1 codebook is PCell, then UE completes the Type 1 codebook construction based on indicated PUCCH slot by reusing the current Type 1 codebook construction mechanism.*
* *Otherwise, the indicated PUCCH cell is regarded as "Nominal PCell", the PCell is regarded as "Nominal Scell", and the indicated PUCCH slot is regarded as "Nominal slot n", then UE completes the Type 1 codebook construction based on "Nominal slot n" by reusing the current Type 1 codebook construction mechanism between the "Nominal PCell" and the "Nominal Scell".*

### R1-2201295 HARQ-ACK enhancements for Rel-17 URLLC/IIoT OPPO

***Proposal 1: If SPS HARQ-ACK corresponding to a SPS PDSCH with a certain HARQ process number is deferred to a target PUCCH/PUSCH, and a later PDSCH with the same HARQ process number is received prior to the target PUCCH/PUSCH,***

* + ***If the later PDSCH and the target PUCCH/PUSCH satisfy Rel-15 multiplexing timeline, the deferred SPS HARQ-ACK is dropped;***
	+ ***Otherwise, the deferred SPS HARQ-ACK is transmitted in the target PUCCH/PUSCH.***

***Proposal 2: If an eType 3 HARQ-ACK CB is triggered in a given subslot, the HARQ-ACK information in a Type 1/2 HARQ-ACK CB to be transmitted in a slot/subslot overlapping with the given subslot should be mapped to the eType 3 HARQ-ACK CB.***

### R1-2201356 UE feedback enhancements for HARQ-ACK CATT

***Observation 1: It is straightforward to support simultaneous configuration of Rel-17 intra-UE multiplexing and enhanced Type 3 CB without any impact.***

***Observation 2: It is straightforward to support simultaneous configuration of Rel-17 intra-UE multiplexing and semi-static PUCCH cell switching without any impact.***

***Proposal 1: For semi-static PUCCH carrier switching, UE applies PUCCH cell switching pattern based on the following time point:***

* ***If UE receives in a PDSCH an activation command for the SCell ending in slot n, UE applies the PUCCH cell switching time-domain pattern from the first slot after SCell is active, where the active timing is determined based on the minimum requirement defined in [10, TS 38.133].***
* ***If UE receives in a PDSCH a deactivation command for the SCell ending in slot n, the UE would not apply the PUCCH cell switching time-domain pattern from slot n***$+k$***, where slot*** $n+k$ ***is defined in section 4.3 of TS38.213.***
* ***If the sCellDeactivationTimer associated with the SCell expires in slot n, the UE would not apply the PUCCH cell switching time-domain pattern from the first slot that is after slot  where  is the SCS configuration for PDSCH reception on the secondary cell.***
* ***If UE detects a DCI indicating SCell dormancy, the UE would not apply the PUCCH cell switching time-domain pattern from the first slot after slot*** $n+3N\_{slot}^{subframe,µ}$***, where slot*** $n$ ***is the slot indicated for PUCCH transmission with HARQ-ACK information corresponding to the DCI and is the SCS configuration for the PUCCH.***

***Proposal 2: Semi-static PUCCH cell switching should be performed before UCI multiplexing/prioritization.***

***Proposal 3: For simultaneous configuration of PUCCH cell switching and PUCCH repetition, a PUCCH repetition transmission on a different target PUCCH cell from the PUCCH cell of the first PUCCH repetition is not supported, and a PUCCH slot mapped to a different PUCCH cell is considered as invalid for PUCCH repetition and the PUCCH repetition is deferred.***

***Proposal 4: For joint operation of dynamic PUCCH cell switching and SPS HARQ-ACK, either one of the following proposals can be agreed.***

|  |
| --- |
| Mod Proposal 5: For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the HARQ-ACK of SPS PDSCH without associated DCI is to be transmitted on PCell / SPCell / PUCCH-SCell independently of the dynamically indicated PUCCH cell in the SPS activation DCI. Only the HARQ-ACK corresponding to the first SPS PDSCH activated by Activation DCI uses the PUCCH cell based on the indication in the activation DCI (based on the earlier agreement). * The UE does not expected to be dynamically indicated for PUCCH transmission on the PUCCH sSCell in the SPS activation DCI.

Alternative Proposal 5 (from HW): For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the HARQ-ACK of SPS PDSCH without associated DCI is to be transmitted on PCell / SPCell / PUCCH-SCell independently of the dynamically indicated PUCCH cell in the SPS activation DCI. Only the HARQ-ACK corresponding to the first SPS PDSCH activated by Activation DCI uses the PUCCH cell based on the indication in the activation DCI (based on the earlier agreement). * The UE does not expected to be dynamically indicated for PUCCH transmission on the PUCCH sSCell in the SPS activation DCI in a slot overlapping with a PUCCH slot with UCI on PCell / SPCell / PUCCH-SCell ~~slot where SPS HARQ-ACK subject to another SPS config would be transmitted.~~
	+ The UCI on PCell /SPCell / PUCCH SCell dropped due to collision with semi-static DL symbols, SSB, and symbols indicated by pdcch-ConfigSIB1 in MIB for a CORESET for Type0-PDCCH CSS set is exempted and is not multiplexed on the PUCCH on the PUCCH sScell.
 |

***Proposal 5: For simultaneous configuration of Rel-17 intra-UE multiplexing and SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, a target PUCCH slot for SPS HARQ-ACK deferral is determined after performing the Rel-17 intra-UE multiplexing operation. In addition, SPS HARQ-ACK of different PHY priorities are separately deferred with target PUCCH slots separately determined according to their respective PHY priorities.***

***Proposal 6: For simultaneous configuration of Rel-17 intra-UE multiplexing and one-shot HARQ-ACK retransmission, for a PUCCH carrying HARQ-ACK with different priorities, only HARQ-ACK with same priority as the triggering DCI indication can be triggered for one-shot HARQ-ACK re-transmission.***

***Proposal 7: For simultaneous configuration of Rel-17 intra-UE multiplexing and dynamic PUCCH cell switching, LP HARQ-ACK would not be multiplexed with HP UCI if they are on different PUCCH cells.***

### R1-2201475 Discussion on HARQ-ACK feedback enhancements for Rel.17 URLLC NTT DOCOMO, INC.

**Proposal 1: Keep the same sub-slot/slot configuration for corresponding priority on the multiple PUCCH cells.**

**Proposal 2: When CSI reporting on PUCCH is configured on both PCell/PScell/PUCCH-Scell and alternate Scell, PUCCH cell pattern is applied to determine whether CSI PUCCH will be transmitted or not.**

**Proposal 3: Support HARQ-ACK of SPS PDSCH without associated DCI (including the first SPS PDSCH activated by Activation DCI) to be transmitted on PCell / SPCell / PUCCH-SCell.**

**Proposal 4: For semi-static PUCCH cell switching, a PUCCH repetition transmission on a different target PUCCH cell from the PUCCH cell of the first PUCCH repetition is not supported. A PUCCH slot mapped to different PUCCH cell is considered as invalid for PUCCH repetition and the PUCCH repetition is dropped (i.e. total number of repetitions not guaranteed).**

### R1-2201544 Discussion on HARQ-ACK feedback enhancements for Rel-17 URLLC Spreadtrum Communications

In this contribution, we made the following proposals.

1. ***If the UE is configured with Rel-17 Intra-UE multiplexing, SPS HARQ for deferral of different PHY priorities can be separately deferred with the target PUCCHs separately be determinated according to their respective PHY priorities.***
2. ***If a LP SPS HARQ-ACK PUCCH is dropped according to Rel-17 intra-UE multiplexing, the LP SPS HARQ-ACK is not deferred.***
3. ***Support Mod Proposal 11:***

 ***For semi-static PUCCH cell switching, a PUCCH repetition transmission on a different target PUCCH cell from the PUCCH cell of the first PUCCH repetition is not supported***

***A PUCCH slot mapped to different PUCCH cell is considered as invalid for PUCCH repetition and is not counted towards the total number of PUCCH repetitions / the repetition is post-poned as in Rel-16.***

1. **Support Alt 1 in Mod proposal 5.**

***Mod Proposal 5: For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the HARQ-ACK of SPS PDSCH without associated DCI is to be transmitted on PCell / SPCell / PUCCH-SCell independently of the dynamically indicated PUCCH cell in the SPS activation DCI. Only the HARQ-ACK corresponding to the first SPS PDSCH activated by Activation DCI uses the PUCCH cell based on the indication in the activation DCI (based on the earlier agreement).***

* ***Alt. 1 (proposed by ZTE, Nokia online):***
	+ ***The UE does not expected to be dynamically indicated for PUCCH transmission on the PUCCH sSCell in the SPS activation DCI.***

### R1-2201579 Remaining issues on HARQ-ACK enhancements for URLLC Sony

**Observation 1: When Rel-17 intra-UE UCI multiplexing is enabled, if the deferred SPS HARQ-ACKs contain HP HARQ-ACKs, a first available PUCCH that is LP may not provide the required reliability for the HP HARQ-ACKs. However, avoiding the first available LP PUCCH leads to increase in latency.**

**Proposal 1: Support joint operations of SPS HARQ-ACK deferral and Rel-17 intra-UE UCI multiplexing of different L1 priorities.**

**Proposal 2: When Rel-17 intra-UE UCI multiplexing is enabled and if the deferred SPS HARQ-ACKs contain HP HARQ-ACKs, the resource for the target PUCCH is selected from the 2nd PUCCH Config, regardless of the L1 priority of the originally scheduled target PUCCH.**

### R1-2201599 UE feedback enhancements for HARQ-ACK CAICT

***Proposal 1: For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the HARQ-ACK of SPS PDSCH without associated DCI is to be transmitted on PCell / SPCell / PUCCH-SCell independently of the dynamically indicated PUCCH cell in the SPS activation DCI. Only the HARQ-ACK corresponding to the first SPS PDSCH activated by Activation DCI uses the PUCCH cell based on the indication in the activation DCI (based on the earlier agreement).***

* ***The UE does not expected to be dynamically indicated for PUCCH transmission on the PUCCH sSCell in the SPS activation DCI in a slot overlapping with a PCell / SPCell / PUCCH-SCell slot where SPS HARQ-ACK subject to another SPS config would be transmitted.***

***Proposal 2: When dynamic PUCCH cell switching is configured, if the DCI is for SPS PDSCH activation, the PDSCH-to-HARQ\_feedback timing indicator field value maps to the Pcell’s K1 set.***

***Proposal 3: For semi-static PUCCH cell switching, a PUCCH repetition transmission on a different target PUCCH cell from the PUCCH cell of the first PUCCH repetition is not supported.***

* ***A PUCCH slot mapped to different PUCCH cell is considered as invalid for PUCCH repetition and is not counted towards the total number of PUCCH repetitions / the repetition is post-poned as in Rel.16.***

### R1-2201608 Discussion on remaining issues on PUCCH carrier switching Panasonic

**Proposal 1: For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the HARQ-ACK of SPS activation is transmitted on the indicated Cell, while the HARQ-ACK for SPS without a DCI is carried on PCell / PSCell.**

**Proposal 2: The carrier switching should be supported over a PUCCH repetition bundle in order to reduce the latency. The required number of repetition is derived according to the defined number of repetition associated to the cell initiating the PUCCH repetitions. For the other cell, the effective PUCCH transmission is counted towards the required number of repetitions.**

**Proposal 3: If the carrier switching is not supported over the PUCCH repetition bundle, a PUCCH slot mapped to different PUCCH cell is considered as invalid for PUCCH repetition and is not counted towards the total number of PUCCH repetitions.**

### R1-2201611 UE feedback enhancements for HARQ-ACK ETRI

Regarding HARQ-ACK deferral,

**Proposal 1: It is allowed to multiplex deferred SPS HARQ-ACK bits onto a HARQ codebook from any usage scenario.**

Regarding HARQ-ACK retransmissions,

**Proposal 2: Support multiplexing HARQ codebooks where one HARQ codebook is retransmitted.**

**Proposal 3: The maximum number for keeping HARQ codebooks can be configured.**

Regarding PUCCH carrier switching,

**Proposal 4: Either dormant BWP is allowed to configure or is prohibited to configure to the PUCCH-sSCell.**

### R1-2201693 Open issues on UE HARQ feedback enhancements Intel Corporation

**Proposal 1**

* *The UE ignores the one-shot triggering DCI for new PUCCH determination if it schedules a re-transmission of HARQ-ACK information from a PUCCH slot/sub-slot on which the UE did not generate a valid HARQ-ACK CB*

**Proposal 2**

* *For PUCCH carrier switching based on dynamic indication and/or semi-static pattern,*
	+ *When the indicated PUCCH resource is associated with > 1 repetitions, the cell for PUCCH repetitions transmission is fixed to the same cell as the initial PUCCH repetition*
	+ *Alt. 1:*
		- *A PUCCH slot mapped to different PUCCH cell is considered as invalid for PUCCH repetition and the PUCCH repetition is dropped*
	+ *Alt.2:*
		- *A UE does not expect the cell switching pattern to indicate a different cell for a PUCCH repetition comparing to the initial PUCCH repetition*

**Proposal 3**

* *For PUCCH carrier switching based on dynamic indication and/or semi-static pattern, a UE does not expect the size/presence of ‘ChannelAccess-CPext’ DCI field to vary across PCell / SPCell / PUCCH SCell and sSCell*

**Proposal 4**

* *For PUCCH carrier switching based on dynamic indication and/or semi-static pattern,*
	+ *Apply the same handling for ‘Second TPC command for scheduled PUCCH’* *field size determination and zero-bit padding as for PRI and PDSCH-to-HARQ\_feedback*

**Proposal 5**

* *For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the HARQ-ACK of SPS PDSCH without associated DCI is to be transmitted on PCell / SPCell / PUCCH-SCell independently of the dynamically indicated PUCCH cell in the SPS activation DCI. Only the HARQ-ACK corresponding to the first SPS PDSCH activated by Activation DCI uses the PUCCH cell based on the indication in the activation DCI*
	+ *Alt. 1 The UE does not expect to be dynamically indicated for PUCCH transmission on the PUCCH sSCell in the SPS activation DCI in a slot overlapping with a PCell / SPCell / PUCCH-SCell slot where SPS HARQ-ACK subject to another SPS config would be transmitted.*
	+ *Alt.2 The UE does not expect to be dynamically indicated for PUCCH transmission on the PUCCH sSCell in the SPS activation DCI*

**Proposal 6**

* *For the case when R17 intra-UE multiplexing is configured together with SPS HARQ-ACK deferral, the deferral is checked after resolving overlapped UL channels between different priorities (i.e., deferral is determined after step 2, if any).*
	+ *If the resultant UL channel is a PUCCH resource for SPS PUCCH for priority i, then, defer the SPS HARQ-ACK for priority i*

**Proposal 7**

* *For the conclusion that “For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the UE does not expect a PUCCH slot with UCI on PCell /SPCell / PUCCH SCell to overlap with a PUCCH slot with HARQ-ACK on the dynamically indicated alternative PUCCH cell”,*
	+ *Clarify that the valid PUCCH resource on Pcell means PUCCH resources before multiplexing on Pcell*
	+ *Clarify that for different priority UCI, any PUCCH resource before multiplexing/prioritization is considered*

**Proposal 8**

* *For phy prioritization between LP/HP PUCCH carrying (e)Type3 CB and HP/LP PUCCH carrying HARQ-ACK using Release 16 dropping*
	+ *UE may expect eType3 CB to not contain a HARQ process for a bit overlapping with the same PUCCH resource as the eType3 CB*
	+ *LP PUCCH is dropped according to Release 16 procedures*
* *For phy prioritization between LP/HP PUCCH carrying (e)Type3 CB and HP/LP PUCCH carrying HARQ-ACK using Release 17 multiplexing, follow the agreed behavior*

**Proposal 9**

* *For one-shot triggering of a PUCCH which is a mix of LP and HP HARQ-ACK according to R17 multiplexing, the one-shot trigger only instructs to retransmit HARQ-ACK of priority j which is indicated in the triggering DCI*

### R1-2201769 Remaining issues in UE feedback enhancements for HARQ-ACK Apple

**Observation 1: joint operation of intra-UE MUX and SPS HARQ deferral can be supported.**

**Observation 2: joint operation of intra-UE MUX and one-shot HARQ retransmission can be supported.**

**Proposal 1: When UCI part 1 or UCI part 2’s capacity is exceeded, part of the HARQ-ACK feedback (initial HARQ bits / Type 1 or Type 2 codebook/deferred SPS HARQ-ACK) can be dropped.**

**Proposal 2: When UCI part 1 or UCI part 2’s capacity is exceeded, part of the HARQ-ACK feedback (initial HARQ codebook/retransmitted HARQ codebook) can be dropped.**

### R1-2201903 UE feedback enhancements for HARQ-ACK NEC

**Proposal 1:**

* *For joint operation of semi-static PUCCH cell switching and PUCCH repetition, Alt.2A is preferred.*
	+ *A PUCCH slot mapped to different PUCCH cell is considered as invalid for PUCCH repetition and is not counted towards the total number of PUCCH repetitions / the repetition is postponed as in Rel-16.*

**Proposal 2:**

* *For joint operation of semi-static PUCCH cell switching and PUCCH repetition, if Alt.1 that PUCCH cell switching within the repetition bundle is supported,*
	+ *UE determines the PUCCH resource for repetition on each target cell based on the same PUCCH resource indicator value from the different PUCCH resource sets of the corresponding PUCCH cells.*

**Proposal 3:**

* *For joint operation of semi-static PUCCH cell switching and PUCCH repetition, if Alt.1 that PUCCH cell switching within the repetition bundle is supported,*
	+ *In case of more than one overlapping PUCCH slot on the PUCCH SCell with a single PUCCH slot on PCell, PUCCH repetitions are mapped to each of the overlapping PUCCH slot on the PUCCH sSCell.*

**Proposal 4:**

* *When UE is configured with PUCCH cell switching based on dynamic indication,*
	+ *the HARQ-ACK of SPS PDSCH without associated DCI is to be transmitted on PCell / SPCell / PUCCH-SCell independently of the dynamically indicated PUCCH cell in the SPS activation DCI.*
	+ *Only the HARQ-ACK corresponding to the first SPS PDSCH activated by activation DCI uses the PUCCH cell based on the indication in the activation DCI (based on the earlier agreement).*

**Proposal 5:**

* *Adopt following text change for clause 9.1.2.1 in TS 38.213.*

|  |
| --- |
| 9.1.2.1 Type-1 HARQ-ACK codebook in physical uplink control channelFor a serving cell $c$, an active DL BWP, and an active UL BWP, as described in clause 12, the UE determines a set of $M\_{A,c}$ occasions for candidate PDSCH receptions for which the UE can transmit corresponding HARQ-ACK information in a PUCCH in slot $n\_{U}$. If serving cell $c$ is deactivated, the UE uses as the active DL BWP for determining the set of $M\_{A,c}$ occasions for candidate PDSCH receptions a DL BWP provided by *firstActiveDownlinkBWP-Id*. The determination is based:a) on a set of slot timing values $K\_{1}$ associated with the active UL BWP on the primary cell or, if the PUCCH transmission is indicated by a DCI format to be on the PUCCH-sSCell as described in clause 9A, on a set of slot timing values $K\_{1}$ associated with the active UL BWP on the PUCCH-sSCell [….]Set  - index of occasion for candidate PDSCH reception or SPS PDSCH releaseSet Set Set  to the cardinality of set Set *k* =0 – index of slot timing values , in descending order of the slot timing values, in set  for serving cell If a UE is not provided *ca-SlotOffset* for any serving cell of PDSCH receptions and for the serving cell of corresponding PUCCH transmission with HARQ-ACK informationwhile  if or *subslotLengthForPUCCH* is provided for the HARQ-ACK codebook Set  – index of a DL slot overlapping with an UL slotSet $N\_{k}$ to a number of DL slots overlapping with UL slot $n\_{U}-K\_{1,k}$ if *subslotLengthForPUCCH* is provided for the HARQ-ACK codebook; otherwise, $N\_{k}=max\left(2^{μ\_{DL}-μ\_{UL}},1\right)$while $n\_{D}<N\_{k}$ Set  to the set of rowsSet  to the cardinality of Set  – index of row in set if slot  starts at a same time as or after a slot for an active DL BWP change on serving cell  or an active UL BWP change on the PCell or an active UL BWP change on the PUCCH-sSCell and slot $n\_{0,k}+n\_{D}$ is before the slot for the active DL BWP change on serving cell  or the active UL BWP change on the PCell or an active UL BWP change on the PUCCH-sSCell, or *subslotLengthForPUCCH* is provided for the HARQ-ACK codebook and slot $n\_{0,k}+n\_{D}$ overlaps with UL slot $n\_{U}-K\_{1,k-1}$, $k>0$, where $n\_{0,k}$ is a DL slot with a smallest index among DL slots overlapping with UL slot $n\_{U}-K\_{1,k}$,$n\_{D}=n\_{D}+1$; else  [….] |

**Proposal 6:**

* *Further study the enhancements on current DRX mechanism to better support dynamic requested HARQ-ACK retransmission. E.g.,*
	+ *Start drx-RetransmissionTimerDL in the first symbol after the corresponding cancelled PUCCH transmission to ensure that UE has chance to receive the PDCCH for triggering HARQ-ACK retransmission.*

### R1-2202009 Maintenance on HARQ-ACK feedback enhancements Samsung

**Proposal 1: RRC-based cell switching is supported for repetitions of a PUCCH transmission using a same PUCCH resource on the PCell and PUCCH-sSCell. If more than one slot on the PUCCH-sSCell overlaps with a slot on the PCell, all slots where the PUCCH can be transmitted on the PUCCH-sSCell are used.**

**Proposal 2: For DCI-based PUCCH cell switching, all PUCCH transmissions with HARQ-ACK for SPS PDSCH receptions of a SPS configuration are on the cell indicated by the DCI format activating the SPS PDSCH receptions.**

### R1-2202134 HARQ-ACK enhancement for IOT and URLLC Qualcomm Incorporated

***Observation 1: RAN 1 to clarify the UE behavior with regards to SPS HARQ bits in pending/ongoing SPS HARQ deferral procedure, when SPS HARQ Deferral and Rel. 17 Type 3 HARQ CB are jointly configured; what should the UE behavior be after Rel. 17 Type 3 HARQ CB transmission in the following cases:***

1. ***Rel. 17 Type 3 HARQ CB does contain (entirely or partly) the deferred SPS HARQ bits***
2. ***Rel. 17 Type 3 HARQ CB does not contain any of the deferred SPS HARQ bits***

***Observation 2: RAN 1 to clarify the UE behavior with regards to the time requirement for the UE to cancel/stop an ongoing SPS HARQ deferral procedure.***

***Observation 3***: **RAN 1 to clarify the UE behavior for one-shot HARQ CB retransmission in the case the requested HARQ CB is not available at the UE.**

***Observation 4***: ***For triggered HARQ CB retransmission, the UE has to store the list of HARQ Process contained in each HARQ CB so as to keep track of the DRX timers per HARQ Process.***

In summary, we make the following proposals for HARQ-ACK feedback enhancement for Rel-17 IOT and URLLC.

***Proposal 1: In case of joint SPS HARQ Deferral and Rel. 17 Type 3 HARQ CB and after the Rel. 17 Type 3 HARQ CB transmission, the UE***

* ***Stops/cancels the transmission of pending/ongoing SPS HARQ bits to be deferred at the first available uplink resource, if at least a part of the SPS HARQ bits to be deferred is already transmitted via Rel. 17 Type 3 HARQ CB,***
* ***transmits the SPS HARQ bits to be deferred at the first available uplink resource after the Rel. 17 Type 3 HARQ CB transmission, if none of the deferred SPS HARQ bits is transmitted via Rel. 17 Type 3 HARQ CB.***

***Proposal 2: Adopt the existing timeline in terms of uplink cancellation for the SPS HARQ deferral cancellation/stopping: Tproc,2.***

***Proposal 3: Following the #107bis-e conclusion not allowing joint configuration of SPS HARQ Deferral and PUCCH repetitions, the UE is not expecting to be configured with both SPS HARQ deferral and PUCCH repetitions; such a joint configuration is treated as an error case.***

***Proposal 4:******RAN 1 to clarify that the maximum deferral time, k1def\_max, is applicable only for SPS configured with deferral and without SPS PUCCH repetitions.***

***Proposal 5:******In case the requested for retransmission HARQ CB is not available, the UE does not transmit anything for the requested HARQ CB. In case, there are other HARQ CBs or deferred SPS HARQ CBs, or PUSCH on the slot indicated for the HARQ CB retransmission, then, the new HARQ CBs or deferred SPS HARQ CBs, or PUSCH only are transmitted.***

***Proposal 6: If the content of one or more HARQ process of the requested for retransmission HARQ CB has changed, i.e. is replaced by new HARQ bits, the UE considers the request for HARQ CB retransmission void and transmits nothing.***

***Proposal 7:*** ***The CRC of the DCI triggering HARQ CB retransmission is not scrambled with CS-RNTI.***

***Proposal 8:*** ***For “triggered HARQ CB reTx” in case of multi-DCI configuration in multi-TRP, and for multiple HARQ CBs in the same slot, the UE identifies the requested HARQ CB for retransmission through the TRP from which the request originates; the TRP issuing the request for HARQ CB retransmission is identified via the CORESET index used in DCI transmission.***

***Proposal 9: Support PUCCH repetition with semi-static PUCCH cell switching, by adopting either one of the following two options:***

* ***Option 1: The first PUCCH repetition follows the semi-static PUCCH cell switch configuration. For the rest PUCCH repetitions, a PUCCH slot mapped to different PUCCH cell is considered as invalid and is not counted towards the total number of PUCCH repetitions. The rest repetitions are postponed as in Rel-16.***
* ***Option 2: all PUCCH repetitions are allowed to switch between Pcell and PUCCH sScell. A UE does not expect different number of REs in the PUCCH resources to transmit the repetitions.***

***Proposal 10: Support joint operation of PUCCH cell switch and simultaneous PUCCH/PUSCH transmissions.***

***Proposal 11: Support semi-static PUCCH carrier switching per PHY priority.***

***Proposal 12: Support semi-static PUCCH carrier switching for SPS HARQ corresponding to SPS occasion about to expire, i.e. N slots prior to the arrival of the new SPS occurrence.***

### R1-2202341 Discussion on UE feedback enhancement for HARQ-ACK LG Electronics

Proposal #1: For semi-static PUCCH cell switching, a PUCCH repetition is transmitted on the target PUCCH cell with the first PUCCH occasion.

* A PUCCH slot mapped to the PUCCH cell different from the target PUCCH cell with the first PUCCH occasion is considered as invalid for PUCCH repetition and is not counted towards the total number of PUCCH repetitions / the repetition is postponed as in Rel-16.

Proposal #2: For dynamic PUCCH cell switching, the HARQ-ACK of SPS PDSCH without associated DCI is to be transmitted on PCell / SPCell / PUCCH-SCell independently of the dynamically indicated PUCCH cell in the SPS activation DCI. Only the HARQ-ACK corresponding to the first SPS PDSCH activated by the activation DCI uses the PUCCH cell based on the indication in the activation DCI

Proposal #3: At least the following conditions are kept for SPS HARQ deferral in case configured with intra-UE multiplexing.

* SPS HARQ-ACK deferral is enabled in RRC
* PUCCH given by n1PUCCH or SPS-PUCCH-AN-List-r16 is considered as final PUCCH after intra-UE UL multiplexing
* PUCCH resource are overlaps in time with semi-static DL symbol, SSB and/or CORESET#0

Proposal #4: If a SPS HARQ-ACK in a slot meets the deferring condition before inter-priority multiplexing and the SPS HARQ-ACK cannot be transmitted after inter-priority multiplexing, the SPS HARQ-ACK can be deferred.

Proposal #5: Rel-17 inter-UE multiplexing can be considered to determine valid target slot for SPS HARQ-ACK deferral.

Proposal #6: To determine the priority of deferred SPS HARQ-ACK from the PUCCH multiplexed with different priority, HARQ-ACK priority is given by corresponding SPS configuration regardless of deferred PUCCH resource in initial slot.

Proposal #7: For One-shot HARQ-ACK retransmission of the PUCCH multiplexed with different priority, only the HARQ-ACK codebook having indicated priority index is to be retransmitted.

* HARQ offset of triggering DCI is determined based on slot length of the indicated priority in the triggering DCI.

Proposal #8: For the type-3 or enhanced type-3 HARQ-ACK codebook transmission in case configured with intra-UE multiplexing, one of following alternatives is adopted.

* Alternative 1: UE does not expect that type-3 or enhanced type-3 triggering DCI indicates lower priority transmission
* Alternative 2: UE assumes that type-3 or enhanced type-3 triggering DCI corresponds to higher priority transmission (regardless of the value of priority indication field)

Proposal 9: Consider to introduce new UE capability indicating how many HARQ-ACK codebooks can be stored for one-shot HARQ-ACK retransmission afterward.

* UE reports the number X, which indicates the maximum number of HARQ-ACK codebooks stored simultaneously in the UE side.
	+ gNB would be able to trigger one-shot HARQ-ACK retransmission for one of latest X scheduled HARQ-ACK codebooks.