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## Introduction

This contribution provides a summary of the following email discussion:

[108-e-R17-eIAB-02] Email discussion on other enhancements for simultaneous operation of IAB-node’s child and parent links – Luca (Qualcomm)

* 1st check point: February 25
* Final check point: March 3

There are three areas of discussion:

* Timing control, covered in section 1.
* Power control, covered in section 2

Within each discussion area different topics are purple background highlighted.

FL agreements or conclusions from email discussion and/or online sessions are green background highlighted.

Active discussion items for which companies’ input is sought are yellow background highlighted.

Inactive discussion topics are grey highlighted.

New text from the moderator in each round of discussion is highlighted in green.

## 1 – Discussion on timing control

This section relates to the discussion on the remaining issues on timing control.

Related input from contributions:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Vivo***  ***R1-2201110*** | ***Proposal 1:*** *An IAB-MT informs a parent node via MAC CE when Case 7 timing is to be enabled.*  ***Proposal 2:*** *TP#2 should be approved to capture Case 6 and Case 7 timing mode reporting from IAB node to a parent node.* |
| ***ZTE, Sanechips***  ***R1-2201457*** | ***Proposal 1:*** *There is no update of one way delay estimation equation in clause 14 of TS38.213, and* 𝑵TA *is defined as below.*   * *For case-1 timing,* 𝑵TA *is obtained as for a "UE" in clause 4.2 of TS 38.213.* * *For case-6 timing,* 𝑵TA *is obtained via the equation on TTA in clause 4.3.1 of TS 38.211, where, TTA is the measured difference between MT-Tx timing and MT-Rx timing.*   ***Proposal 2:*** *Index range of T\_delta in MAC-CE will be updated from (0,1,...,1199) to (0,1,...,2047).*  ***Proposal 3:*** *For the numerical value of a case #7 timing offset indication in MAC CE, the following is adopted*  *• offset value=Boffset+Ioffset\*Goffset, where, Boffset is -4095.16.64/2µ, Ioffset is the index indicated in MAC CE, Goffset represents granularity of the offset.*  ***Proposal 4:*** *Adopt the following text proposal for TS 38.213.* |
| ***NTT DOCOMO***  ***R1-2201493*** | ***Proposal 1:*** *The offset value for Case #7 timing mode is derived by -1/2. 𝑵𝑻A+𝟐.𝐓delta, so that the endpoint is set as -2110208 (Tc) for SCS = 15 kHz.* |
| ***Samsung***  ***R1-2201527*** | ***Proposal 1****: Support T\_delta index range with max. value of 2047 in Rel-17.*  ***Proposal 2:*** *For Case 6 timing, the time difference between DU DL transmission and MT DL reception is revised to (-𝑁TA,offset +2⋅𝑁delta+2⋅𝑇delta,index⋅Gstep)⋅Tc.*  ***Proposal 3:*** *The offset range of [– 2,150,144, 0] is supported for Case 7 timing* |
| ***ETRI***  ***R1-2201615*** | ***Proposal 1.*** *Adopt the following TP for TS38.213:*   * *If the indicated IAB-MT transmission timing mode in a slot is set to 'Case7', the IAB-MT is provided a timing advance offset value 𝑵𝐓A,offset,2, by index values of 𝑻𝐨ffset,2 = 𝟎, 𝟏, 𝟐,…, [𝑿], for a serving cell by Case7 Timing Offset MAC CE [11, TS 38.321]. The IAB-MT determines its uplink transmission timing as (𝑵𝐓A + 𝑵𝐓A,offset + 𝑵𝐓A,offset2 ) . 𝐓𝐜 where 𝑵𝐓A and 𝑵𝐓A,offset are obtained as for a "UE" in clause 4.2 and 𝑵𝐓A,offset2 = 𝑻𝐨ffset,2 ⋅ 16.64/2𝝁 ~~where 𝑻𝐨ffset,2 is provided by the Absolute Time Offset MAC CE [11, TS 38.321]~~.* |
| ***Nokia***  ***R1-2201674*** | ***Proposal 2.1:*** *Update the specification of propagation delay estimation with description of 𝑁TA determination for Case 6 as 𝑵TA=𝑻TA/𝑻c−𝑵𝑻A,offset by adopting the text proposal provided above.*    ***Proposal 2.2:*** *The range of possible values for NTA,offset2 indicating timing offset for a child node supporting an IAB node operating in case 7 timing mode is 𝟎,…,𝟒096.* |
| ***Intel***  ***R1-2201714*** | ***Proposal 1:*** *RAN#1 needs to decide between two 𝑇A𝑜ffset,Caes7 definitions and numerical value range endpoints.*  *• Alt. 1: 𝑇A𝑜ffset,Caes7 =𝑇A𝐶ase1−𝑇A𝐶ase7 and 𝑇A𝑜ffset,Caes7 is always a positive value.*  *The numerical range endpoints can be designed according to 𝑇A𝑜ffset,Caes7 =𝑇𝑝0−2𝑇𝑑elta, e.g., the range of 𝑇A𝑜ffset,Caes7 can refer to [0,max (𝑇𝑝)−2×min (𝑇𝑑elta)].*  *• Alt. 2: 𝑇A𝑜ffset,Caes7 = 𝑇A𝐶ase7−𝑇A𝐶ase1 and 𝑇A𝑜ffset,Caes7 is always a negative value.*  *The numerical range endpoints can be designed according to 𝑇A𝑜ffset,Caes7 =2𝑇𝑑elta- 𝑇𝑝0, e.g., the range of 𝑇A𝑜ffset,Caes7 can refer to [2×min (𝑇𝑑elta)- max (𝑇𝑝),0].* |
| ***Qualcomm***  ***R1-2202157*** | ***Proposal 1:***  *Adopt the following TP for clause 14 in TS38.213:*    ***Observation 1:***  *For different timing cases, different values of T\_delta may be indicated. With support of multiple timing cases and switching across them, there may be an ambiguity at the IAB-MT to determine which timing case an indicate T\_delta is related to.*  ***Proposal 2:***  *Support an association between indicated T\_delta values and the timing cases, and select from one of the following alternatives:*  *Alt1: Explicit association (e.g., via the same T\_delta MAC-CE).*  *Alt2: Implicit association via specifying a rule based on the slot on which T\_delta MAC-CE is received, and the UL timing case used on one of the previous slots.*  ***Proposal 3:***  *Adopt the following TP for clause 14 in TS38.213:* |
| ***LG Electronics***  ***R1-2202306*** | ***Proposal 1:*** *Send LS to RAN2 for detailed range of T\_delta and do not update one way delay estimation equation.*  ***Proposal 2:*** *Discuss following alternatives to determine time resource to apply Case 7 timing at the IAB-node.*   * *Alt 1. An IAB-node is explicitly indicated by the parent node when Case 7 timing is performed at the IAB node.* * *Alt 2. An IAB-node decides and reports to the parent node when Case 7 timing is performed at the IAB node.* |
| ***Ericsson***  ***R1- 2202403*** | ***Observation 1*** *In Rel-16, UL Rx timing is always advanced to DL Tx timing and specified accordingly. In Rel-17, Case-6 UL Rx timing is strictly delayed relative to DL Tx timing. The T\_delta range required for Case-6 does not overlap with the Rel-16 specified range.*  ***Proposal 1*** *Extend the valid T\_delta,index range from (0,1…1199) to (0,1…2047).*  ***Observation 2*** *If T\_delta,index is represented by 12 bits, all realistic IAB deployments no matter the deployment intention would be supported.*  ***Proposal 2*** *Extend the bit field (and accordingly the value range) of the T\_delta MAC CE to 12 bits.*  ***Proposal 3*** *Include a 1-bit flag in the T\_delta MAC CE indicating the signalled value is associated to a Case-7 timing mode.*  ***Observation 3*** *A given 𝐍TA determines uniquely a TA (𝐓TA), but also the opposite is true that a given TA (𝐓TA) determines uniquely an 𝐍TA.*  ***Proposal 4*** *Adopt the proposed changes in the text box above regarding the one-way delay estimation equation.*    ***Observation 4*** *One of the following three alternatives can be selected to initialize a parent IAB-node’s UL Rx timing for receiving from an IAB-node operating in Case-6 timing:*  *The IAB-node indicates to its parent node*  *Alt. 1: its TA, or*  *Alt. 2: its estimate of its parent BH link propagation delay, or*  *Alt. 3: by how much it will change its UL Tx timing from its current timing to the target timing.*  ***Proposal 5*** *For a parent IAB-node to initialize its UL Rx timing for receiving from an IAB-node operating in Case-6 timing, the IAB-node indicates to its parent node by how much it will change its UL Tx timing from its current timing to the target timing.*  ***Proposal 6*** *The explicit indication by the parent node when Case-6 timing is performed at the IAB-node should be acknowledged by the IAB-node.*  ***Proposal 7*** *After an IAB-node received an explicit indication by the parent node to operate in Case-6, the signalling of the parent IAB-node Rx timing initialization value by an IAB-node is treated as an acknowledgement.* |

The following list summarizes the remaining issues (RI) mentioned by the companies and/or related to details of the agreed MAC-CE signalling that may require further RAN1 discussions:

* RI#1: T\_delta range to support Case 6
* RI#2: One-way delay estimation equation for Case 6
* RI#3: endpoints of Case 7 timing offset
* RI#4: association of the indicated T\_delta and timing cases
* RI#5: others.

**RI#1: T\_delta range to support Case 6**

Four companies commented on this aspect, three of them proposed to extend the range from (0,1,…1199) to (0,1,…,2047). One company suggested sending an LS to RAN2.

**FL Proposal 1.1a:**

**Extend the range of Tdelta in the Timing Delta MAC CE to (0,1,…,2047).**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree with FL Proposal 1.1a?** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**RI#2: One-way delay estimation equation for Case 6**

The table below summarized the related proposals made by the companies:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Tdoc #** | **Proposal** |
| R1-2201457 | NTA for timing mode Case6 is obtained via the equation on TTA of clause 4.3.1 of [4, TS38.211], where, TTA is the measured difference between MT transmission time and MT reception time. |
| R1-2201527 | For Case 6 timing, the time difference between DU DL transmission and MT DL reception is revised to (−𝑁TA,offset +2⋅𝑁delta+2⋅𝑇delta,index⋅Gstep).Tc. |
| R1-2201674 | Update the equation to (NTA/2+𝑁delta+𝑇delta⋅Gstep)⋅Tc, where,  For timing Case 1 and 7, NTA is obtained as for a "UE" in clause 4.2 for the TAG containing the serving cell.  For timing Case 6, 𝑁TA=𝑇TA/𝑇c−𝑁𝑇A,offset. |
| R1-2202157 | Update the equation to (TTA – NTA,case\_offset . Tc)/2+(Ndelta + Tdelta . Gstep).Tc, where,  NTA,case\_offset = NTA,offset,2 for Case 7, and 0 otherwise.  Ndelta is updated to:   * Ndelta = – 70528 −𝑁TA,offset /2 for FR1 * Ndelta = – 17664 −𝑁TA,offset /2 for FR2 |
| R1- 2202403 | Update the equation to (N’TA/2+𝑁delta+𝑇delta⋅Gstep)⋅Tc, where,  For Case-1 timing mode N’TA is equal to NTA.  For case-6 and Case-7, TTA=(N′TA+NTA,offset)Tc. |

All proposals reflect the need for updating the one-way estimation equation for Case 6 and Case 7 timing. Moreover all proposals are aligned in terms of what the actual equations need to be. However there are some differences in the notations and, correspondingly some differences on how the 38.213 specification should be updated.

Given that the general expression for the one-way delay estimation, valid for all timing cases, is TTA / 2 + Tdelta , where TTA is defined as per clause 4.31. of TS 38.211, the majority of the proposals suggest to update the equation in terms of TTA. Using TTA (as opposed to using the terms in its definition, e.g. NTA) is deemed the safest approach considering the expression of TTA can get updated, as it did in Rel-17 – for reference here is the relevant excerpt from clause 4.3.1 in TS38.211 V17.0.0:

|  |
| --- |
| Text  Description automatically generated |

When using TTA it is useful to subtract the NTA,offset,2  component for Case 7, so that the Tdelta value does not necessarily need to be updated when switching the IAB-MT Tx timing between Case 1 and Case 7.

As a result, the following is proposed:

**FL Proposal 1.2a:**

**The one-way delay equation in clause 14 of TS38.213 is updated as:**

|  |
| --- |
| **Text  Description automatically generated with medium confidence** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree with FL Proposal 1.2a?** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**RI#3: endpoints of Case 7 timing offset**

Based on updated TS38.213, the timing advance for Case 7 UL TX timing can be formulated as TTA=(NTA+NTA,offset+ NTA,offset,2)Tc, where NTA,offset,2= Toffset,2.16.64/2µ. The goal is to find a range of values for Toffset,2.

Majority of the companies suggest that Toffset,2<=0, so one endpoint of the range is suggested to be 0 (max Toffset,2 = 0)

To determine the other endpoint (i.e., min Toffset,2),

* Alt1. two companies suggested to simply use the whole available range (12bits) and hence min Toffset,2 = -4095
* Alt2. three companies suggested to use extreme values of TX/RX switching time at the parent node (2× 𝑇𝑑elta) and the propagation delay over the parent BH link to derive the min Toffset,2. (please see below from [R1-2201493])



Given Alt1 is more general and simpler, the FL suggest adopting Alt1.

**FL Proposal 1.3a:**

**For Case 7 UL timing, the IAB-MT advances its uplink timing (relative to its DL RX timing) by TTA=(NTA+NTA,offset+ NTA,offset,2)Tc, where NTA and NTA,offset are obtained as for a UE in clause 4.2 of 38.213, and NTA,offset,2= Toffset,2.16.64/2µ, and Toffset,2 is provided (by MAC-CE) in the range of (-4095, 0).**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree with FL Proposal 1.3a?** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**RI#4: association of the indicated T\_delta and timing cases**

Two companies raised a concern that T\_delta associated with different timing cases may have overlapping ranges. Therefore, there may be an ambiguity issue, when the IAB-MT supports different timing cases, and receives multiple different T\_delta values.

**FL Proposal 1.4a:**

**T\_delta MAC CE is extended to indicate the timing case associated with the signalled T\_delta value.**

**Alt1. Indicate whether the associated timing case is Case 6.**

**Alt2. Indicate whether the associated timing case is Case 7.**

**Alt3. Indicate the associated timing case as one of {Case 1, Case 6, Case 7}.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree with FL Proposal 1.4a?**  **Which Alt do you propose?** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**RI#5: others**

Parent-node’s information about IAB-DU’s RX based on Case 7

Two companies proposed the parent-node should know if/when the IAB-DU adopts Case 7 for its UL RX timing. The FL believes such discussions have already happened in the last couple of RAN1 meetings (starting from 106-b), and such a proposal was not agreed, since majority of the companies thought it would not be needed. For the same reason and considering that only essential issues should be discussed in this meeting, the FL proposes to not further discuss this aspect in Rel-17.

Case 6 initialization

One company raised a concern that when IAB-MT uses Case 6 timing for the very first time, the parent-node may not exactly know how much it should delay its UL RX timing compared its typical (Case 1) UL RX timing reference. Hence, they proposed to support a new signalling from IAB-MT to parent-node to indicate by how much it will change its UL TX timing from its current timing.

The FL believes this is an optimization, because by implementation the parent-node can always monitor and estimate the UL RX timing within some uncertainty window. Given only the essential issues should be discussed in this meeting, the FL proposes to not further discuss this proposal in Rel-17.

## 2 – Discussion on power control

This section relates to the discussion on the remaining issues on power control.

Related input from contributions:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Huawei***  ***R1-2200927*** | ***Proposal 1:*** *Support optionally indicating “slot index” in the desired UL PSD range indication, that comprises indicating a list of one or multiple slot indices for which the associated UL power control is applied.*  ***Observation 1:*** *According to current specification, IAB-MT derives CSI feedback only based on CSI-RS and its corresponding RRC configured PDSCH EPRE to NZP CSI-RS EPRE ratio, no matter whether the DL TX power adjustment is applied to PDSCH.*  ***Proposal 2:*** *With the indicated provided DL TX power adjustment, i.e. a relative offset to a CSI-RS TX power, IAB can derive and report CSI for FDM/SDM.*  ***Observation 2:*** *With current design, multiple signaling may be required to enable enhanced multiplexing and redundant information may be included in signaling.*  ***Proposal 3:*** *Support a unified signaling framework wherein a single signaling can include the combination of multiple conditions to be jointly indicated/provided.*   *Multiple sets of conditions shall also be supported, any one set of multiple sets can be chosen and provided by parent node.* |
| ***Vivo***  ***R1-2201110*** | ***Proposal 3:*** *An absolute reference EPRE with up to 10dB variation is reported as desired IAB-MT’s UL PSD range.* |
| ***ZTE, Sanechips***  ***R1-2201457*** | ***Proposal 5****: Support of “slot index” indication in the desired UL PSD range indication.* |
| ***ETRI***  ***R1-2201615*** | ***Proposal 2.*** *Adopt the following TP for TS38.213:*  *o A PDSCH EPRE adjustment provided by DL Tx Power Adjustment MAC CE ~~may be restricted to frequency resources of an IAB-node that do not result in simultaneous reception on the same frequency resources by an IAB-MT and IAB-DU in a slot.~~ can be applied,*  *• when the simultaneous transmission and/or reception of IAB-DU and IAB-MT are aligned with the indicated multiplexing mode info as described in [xx, TS 38.473], if indicated, and*  *• when one of the indicated TCI state ID or RS ID, if indicated, is used for downlink reception of the IAB-MT.*  *• when the serving cell is associated with a MT CC, if indicated, and*  *• when the HSNA resource type is aligned with one of the indicated DU resource configuration, if indicated, and*  *• when the PDSCH is transmitted by one of the indicated slot indexes, if indicated, and*  *• when the PDSCH is transmitted by frequency resources, which are aligned with the indicated FDM/non-FDM requirement, if indicated.* |
| ***Nokia***  ***R1-2201674*** | ***Proposal 3.1****: Indication of both desired DL Tx power adjustment and UL PSD range does not include an explicit association with slot index.*  ***Proposal 3.2:*** *Indication of desired UL PSD range should fall within than range of values between maximum MT Tx power and maximum MT Tx power – 5dB.* |
| ***Intel***  ***R1-2201714*** | ***Proposal 2:*** *No need to transmit basic PSD difference information in addition to desired DL TX power adjustment range.* |
| ***Qualcomm***  ***R1-2202157*** | ***Proposal 4:***  *The desired IAB-MT UL PSD range (Pmin,Pmax) is indicated via*  *- Pmax value: a max TX power.*  *o Pmax may be configured in the same range of values as* *PCMAX,f,c indicated in PHR.*  *- And a delta value: such that Pmin=Pmax – delta. o The range of delta is (0..10) dB.*  ***Observation 2:***  *(1) RAN4’s suggested range of values for DL TX power dynamic is based on an RF requirement that concerns the power of REs in the same symbol. Such a requirement is not relevant to eIAB DL TX power adjustment of the PDSCH, indicated with reference to CSI-RS TX power.*  *(2) RAN4 did not provide any guidance on the range of values to be considered for DL TX power adjustment in FR2.*  ***Observation 3:***  *The desired/provided DL TX power adjustment seems closely related to the RRC parameter “powerControlOffset” that indicates the power offset between PDSCH and NZP CSI-RS, and can be configured in the range of (-8..15)dB.*  ***Proposal 5:***  *The desired/provided IAB-DU DL TX power adjustment can be indicated in the range of (-8..15) dB.*  ***Proposal 6:***  *RAN1 respectfully does not need further information related to the requirement and the question raised by RAN4.* |
| ***LG Electronics***  ***R1-2202306*** | ***Proposal 3:*** *Support optionally indicating “slot index” in the desired UL PSD range indication, that comprises indicating a list of one or multiple slot indices for which the associated UL power control is expected to be applied.*  ***Proposal 4:*** *The candidates of MT’s DL beam (e.g., TCI state ID or RS ID) and offset range is provided by parent IAB node to IAB node and IAB node requests desired DL Tx power adjustment based on them.*  ***Proposal 5:*** *An IAB node can or cannot request desired downlink transmit power adjustment according to the current transmit power of parent IAB node.*  ***Proposal 6:*** *The parent IAB node indicates the reception of the desired downlink power adjustment request by updating the downlink transmit power, and this indication prevents the IAB node from requesting the desired downlink power adjustment for a specific time duration.* |

The following list summarizes the remaining issues (RI) mentioned by the companies and/or related to details of the agreed MAC-CE signalling that may require further RAN1 discussions:

* RI#1: range of the values for desired MT UL PSD range indication
* RI#2: whether “slot index” can be indicated in the desired MT PSD range indication
* RI#3: range of values for desired/provided DL TX power adjustment indications
* RI#4: impact of the indicated DL TX power adjustment (by the parent-node) on IAB-MT’s CSI feedback
* RI#5: clarification of associated configurations that can be included in each MAC-CE indication
  + RI#5.1. total number of slots that can be indicated by “slot index”
  + RI#5.2. range of values for a slot index
  + RI#5.3: total number of MT’s CC, DU cell, or (MT CC, DU cell) pairs that can be indicated as part of the associated configurations
  + RI#5.4: total number of MT’s beams that can be indicated as part of the associated configurations
  + RI#5.5. clarification of “DU resource configuration”, and “FDM/non-FDM resources”

**RI#1: range of the values for desired MT UL PSD range indication**

Three companies commented on this aspect. There is a common understanding that two values should be indicated to identify the endpoints of the desired range. Given one endpoint value, e.g., the *max value*, the other endpoint can be indicated via an *offset*.

For the range of the *offset* value: two companies suggested 10 dB, and one company proposed 5 dB. The FL reminds companies of the following statement in RAN4’s LS response (R1-2200906): *“The desired IAB-MT UL Tx PSD range indicated from an IAB-node to a parent node should be able to accommodate up to 10dB range for Local Area IAB-MT and up to 5dB range for Wide Area IAB-MT both for FR1 and FR2.”*

For the range of *max value*, one company suggested to use the same range as PCMAX,f,c indicated in PHR.

**FL Proposal 2.1a:**

**The desired MT UL PSD range is indicated via a max value, *Pmax,* and an *offset* to the max value.**

* **The *offset* is indicated in the range of 0…10 dB**
* **The range of max value, *Pmax,* is the same as the range of PCMAX,f,c indicated in PHR.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree with FL Proposal 2.1a?** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**RI#2: whether “slot index” can be indicated in the desired MT PSD range indication**

Four companies commented on this aspect; three of them proposed to support “slot index” indication, and one suggested not to support it.

**FL Proposal 2.2a:**

**Support “slot index” indication in the desired UL PSD range indication.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree with FL Proposal 2.2a?** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**RI#3: range of values for desired/provided DL TX power adjustment indications**

One company commented on this aspect and suggested to use the same range of values as the RRC parameter “powerControlOffset” that indicates the power offset between PDSCH and NZP CSI-RS.

**FL Proposal 2.3a:**

**The desired/provided DL TX power adjustment can be indicated in the range of (-8..15) dB.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree with FL Proposal 2.3a?** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**RI#4: impact of the indicated DL TX power adjustment (by the parent-node) on IAB-MT’s CSI feedback**

One company commented on this aspect, suggesting the CSI feedback should be based on the DL TX power adjustment, when indicated.

**FL Proposal 2.4a:**

**The IAB-MT should use the provided DL TX power adjustment (indicated by the parent-node) to derive the CSI feedback.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree with FL Proposal 2.4a?** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**RI#5.1. total number of slots that can be indicated by “slot index”**

It should further be clarified that how many slots can be indicated by “slot index” in the MAC-CE indication of a desired/provided DL TX power adjustment (and desired UL PSD range, if agreed).

**FL Proposal 2.5a:**

**The “slot index” in the MAC-CE indication of a desired/provided DL TX power adjustment (and desired UL PSD range, if agreed) provides a list of up to M slots.**

* **FFS: value of M.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree with FL Proposal 2.5a? What do propose for the value M?** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**RI#5.2. range of values for a slot index**

It should further be clarified how exactly a slot index is indicated. Is it an absolute index within a range (e.g., a slot index within a frame)?

**FL Proposal 2.6a:**

**A slot indicated by the “slot index” in the MAC-CE indication of a desired/provided DL TX power adjustment (and desired UL PSD range, if agreed) is based on an absolute index within a frame.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree with FL Proposal 2.6a?** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**RI#5.3: total number of MT’s CC, DU cell, or (MT CC, DU cell) pairs that can be indicated as part of the associated configurations**

It is already agreed that the provided/desired DL TX power adjustment and the desired UL PSD range can be indicated to be associated with (MT CC, DU cell) pair. It should be clarified how exactly this indication is provided.

**FL Proposal 2.7a:**

**For the “(MT CC, DU Cell) pair” indication in a desired/provided DL TX power adjustment and desired UL PSD range indications, RAN1 to select one of the following alternatives:**

* **Alt1. Associated with a desired/provided DL TX power adjustment or desired UL PSD range indication, a list of up to K MT CCs and a list of up to G DU cells can be indicated.** 
  + **FFS: values of K and G**
  + **Note 1.1. the desired/provided indication is applicable to all KxG pairs.**
  + **Note 1.2. If one list is empty (or not provided), all possible values associated with that list (i.e., all MT CCs or all DU Cells) should be considered.**
* **Alt2. Associated with a desired/provided DL TX power adjustment or desired UL PSD range indication, a list of up to H (MT CC, DU Cell) pairs can be indicated.** 
  + **FFS: value of H**
  + **Note 2.1. if no MT CC (and/or no DU Cell) is provided in an indication, all MT CCs (and/or all DU Cells) should be considered.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree with FL Proposal 2.7a?**  **What do you propose for values (K, G) or H?** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**RI#5.4: total number of MT’s beams that can be indicated as part of the associated configurations**

It is already agreed that the provided/desired DL TX power adjustment and the desired UL PSD range can be indicated to be associated respectively with MT’s DL beams and MT’s UL beams. It should be clarified how many beams can be indicated as part of each indication.

**FL Proposal 2.8a:**

**“MT’s DL beam” (and “MT’s UL beam”) indication, associated with an MT CC, in a desired/provided DL TX power adjustment (and desired UL PSD range indication) provides a list of up to N beams.**

* **FFS: value of N.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree with FL Proposal 2.8a? What do propose for the value N?** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**RI#5.5. clarification of “DU resource configuration”, and “FDM/non-FDM resources”**

Based on the TPs to the 38.213, and comments from at least one the companies, it seems to the FL that there may still be different interpretations of the “DU resource configuration” and “FDM/non-FDM resources” indications, in the context of desired/provided DL TX power adjustment and desired UL PSD range indications. For example, see the following agreements:

|  |
| --- |
| **RAN1#106bis-e Agreement**  **The DL TX power adjustment, provided by the parent-node to IAB-MT, is indicated to be associated with some combination (one or multiple) of the following IAB-node’s configurations:**   * **Multiplexing mode** * **MT’s DL beam (e.g., TCI state id, RS id)** * **(MT CC, DU cell) pair** * **DU resource configuration** * **FFS: DL signal/channel type** * **FFS: slot index** * **FFS: timing mode (e.g., Case-7 timing)** |

|  |
| --- |
| **RAN1#107-e Agreement**  **The indication of the desired/provided DL TX power adjustment and desired UL PSD range can further include:**   * **An indication of whether a desired/provided power configuration or adjustment is applied on FDM resources where the simultaneous MT’s and DU’s signals are non-overlapping in the frequency-domain and/or on non-FDM resources where the simultaneous MT’s and DU’s signals may overlap in the frequency-domain, for a given (MT CC, DU cell).** |

The FL reminds companies that the above RAN1#107-e agreement was indeed intended to clarify how to interpret “DU resource configuration” in the RAN1#106bis-e agreement. Hence, these two are not separate/independent configurations. For the records, companies can check the “Final summary for [107-e-NR-eIAB-02]” [R1-2112837] – Section 2, under “Regarding 1.3. clarification about DU resource configuration”.

Also from the same document, and to clarify the interpretation of “FDM/non-FDM resources”:

“… *there could be some occasions/slots where the simultaneous MT’s and DU’s signals are FDM’ed (e.g., following the Rel-17 freq-domain HSNA configurations at the IAB-node and the parent-node), or the simultaneous MT’s and DU’s signals may overlap in the frequency domain. The point of [proposal/agreement] is to differentiate between these two cases, and support optionally indicating different provided/desired configurations for these different cases.*”