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# Introduction

This contribution provides discussion on clarification related to HARQ bundling for LTE-M MTB scheduling in FDD:

[107-e-LTE-6CRs-01] Email discussion/approval on HARQ bundling for LTE-M MTB scheduling in FDD – YouJun (ZTE)

* Discussion and decision on CR by 11/17, final check by 11/19

# Discussion

In the prep-phase discussion, it is identified there seems to be some potential inconsistency between clauses 7.3 and 10.2 in 36.213 (in one place M is the number of bundles and in the other place M is the bundling size). In [1], the detailed inconsistency has been discussed.

If *M* is the bundle size in clause 10.2 for FDD and referred to {1, 2, 3, 4}, then the number of bundles and number of TBs in each bundle is determined as following

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DCI field 'Multi-TB HARQ-ACK  bundling size'** |  |  |  |  |  |
| **00**  **(M=1)** |  |  |  |  |  |
| **01**  **(M=2)** | - |  |  |  |  |
| **10**  **(M=3)** | - | - |  |  |  |
| **11**  **(M=4)** | - | - |  |  |  |

The yellow highlighted part is the inconsistency with clause 10.2 table 7.3-1 in TS36.213. Therefore, inconsistency for bundling pattern between clauses 7.3 and 10.2 table 7.3-1 in 36.213 would happen. It causes that the uplink timing in clause 10.2 TS36.213 determined by the bundling rule in FDD for multiple TBs scheduling is not correct.

**Companies are invited to comment whether a CR is needed to correct the HARQ bundling pattern in clause 10.2 TS36.213 for LTE-M MTB scheduling in FDD.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Companies | Comments |
| Qualcomm | Yes |
|  |  |
|  |  |

In contribution [2], it is proposed the description for multi-TB bundling in TS 36.213 clause 10.2 for FDD case in LTE-M should be modified by referring to TDD case. And the following text proposal is provided.

**10.2 Uplink HARQ-ACK timing**

**<Unchanged parts are omitted>**

For FDD, if a BL/CE UE is configured with CEModeA, and if the UE is configured with higher layer parameter *harq-AckBundling* in *ce-PDSCH-MultiTB-Config* and multiple TB are scheduled in the corresponding DCI, the BL/CE UE shall upon detection of a PDSCH intended for the UE and for which an HARQ-ACK shall be provided, transmit the HARQ-ACK response using the same  derived according to Clause 10.1.2.1 in subframe(s) with , *i =0,1, …, N-1*, where

- is the number of TB bundles. The value of and the corresponding TBs in each bundle is determined according to clause 7.3.

- if the UE is not configured with higher layer parameter *interleaving* in *ce-PDSCH-MultiTB-Config* and the UE is not in half-duplex FDD operation

- ,

- otherwise

- subframe **,**

- subframe is the last subframe in which the PDSCH containing TB bundle is transmitted;

- subframe is the last subframe in which the PDSCH is transmitted;

- denotes the number of consecutive subframes including non-BL/CE subframes where the PUCCH with HARQ ACK for TB bundle with repetition number of *N* is transmitted;

and

**<Unchanged parts are omitted>**

**Companies are invited to comment any update for the above text proposal is needed.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Companies | Comments |
| Qualcomm | We are OK with correcting as proposed (seems the cleanest option), or by specifying that in this subclause M={1,2,3,4} for a DCI field of {00,01,10,11}. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Summary

TBD

# References

1. R1-2111064 Discussion on HARQ bundling for LTE-M MTB scheduling in FDD ZTE, Sanechips
2. R1-2111065 Clarification on HARQ bundling for LTE-M MTB scheduling in FDD ZTE, Sanechips