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1. Introduction

As per Chair’s guidance, there are a number of email threads on Rel-17 RRC parameters. The email discussions on RRC parameters start from September 1 until September 10 (excluding the weekend). The purpose of these email discussions is to initiate preparations to send the first LS to RAN2 on Rel-17 RRC parameters in October (e.g. tabulate agreed RRC parameters so far and identify ones that RAN1 should discuss whether or not to define). Please note that RAN1 will NOT be making any decision with regards to the Rel-17 RRC parameters during the email discussions. The intention is to provide initial assessment on RRC parameters and collect company views.

This contribution is a summary of the following email discussion:

[Post-106-e-Rel17-RRC-08] NR coverage enhancement – to be moderated by Jianchi (China Telecom)

1. Email discussion (1st round)

Companies are encouraged to provide comments on RRC parameters for enhancements on PUSCH repetition type A.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **Comments** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | //Comment #1  Row#2:  Suggest to add parent IE *PUSCH-Allocation-r17* to row#2, |
| Samsung | A clarification for **row 7** (can be added in the comment column).  For *PUSCH-Allocation-r17* (row 7) only the field *numberOfRepetitions-r16* is changed to *numberOfRepetitions-r17*. Other fields (*mappingType, startSymbolAndLength, startSymbol, length*) would be same as in Rel-16. |
| ZTE | 1. General comment#1: Suggest to add parent IE for each row, 2. General comment#2: Suggest to add value range for each row. This can address the comments from Samsung and also the two detailed comments 3) and 4) below. 3. On row#6, we are not sure whether we can simply reuse the same way as defined for PUSCH-TimeDomainResourceAllocation-r16, where it can also indicate the resource allocation for multiple PUSCHs (by maxNrofMultiplePUSCHs-r16) that is introduced in Rel-16 NR-U. 4. Should we introduce another RRC parameter maxNrofUL-Allocations-r17 to indicate the maximum number of rows of the TDRA table? Or is intention here to reuse the Rel-16 one? 5. On row#8, one minor comment that RepetitionCountingType-R17 should be changed to RepetitionCountingType-~~R~~r17 or directly delete ‘-R17’ as there is no similar parameter in Rel-15/16. |
| Nokia/NSB | We share similar views with other companies that adding parent IE and value range may help for clarification. For row#8: Although we are fine with having this parameter in general, the name “RepetitionCountingType” seems to imply a selection of more than one counting type (e.g., available & consecutive). However, this parameter just has two values “enabled/disabled”, which aim to enable/disable the counting on available slots. |
| Intel | We share similar view as Nokia that the name of “RepetitionCountingType” may need to be updated, which may cause some confusion.  It may be good to add parent IE in the excel sheet. |
| Panasonic | We share the similar views with other companies that adding parent IE could help for clarification.  For row#7, we agree to Samsung’s view that for *PUSCH-Allocation-r17*, only the field *numberOfRepetitions-r16* is changed to *numberOfRepetitions-r17*. Other fields would be same as in Rel-16. |
| Lenovo, Motorola Mobility | General comment: Same view as others to add a column for parent IE for all the rows  Comment on Row#7: *PUSCH-Allocation-r17*  Right now, the description for row#7 only indicates that the configuration for TDRA of each TDRA list entry include numberOfRepetitions-17.  Shouldn’t the description be updated to include the parameters related to TBoMS including “*numberOfSlotsTBoMS-r17*” and “*numberOfRepetitionsTBoMS-r17*”? |

Companies are encouraged to provide comments on RRC parameters for TBoMS.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **Comments** | |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | //Comment #1  **General issue:**  To better shape the structure of RRC parameters, suggest to also discuss the parent IEs (column #E) for each parameters. For example, the parent IE for **row#9** is *PUSCH-Allocation-r17*, so are for **row#10 and row#2**.  **//Comment#2**  Row#10:  Suggest to capture the following agreement into column#J as “the product of numberOfRepetitionsTBoMS and numberOfSlotsTBoMS is expected to be no larger than 32.”  “*Note: M\*N is no more than the max number of repetitions agreed for repetition Type A enhancement in agenda 8.8.1.1*” | |
| Samsung | For “*numberOfRepetitionsTBoMS-r17*”, this may not be needed for TBoMS as it could simply reuse that for normal TDRA repetition configuration for Type A repetition. | |
| ZTE | We are in general fine, and it could be better to add the parent IE for each row. | |
| Sharp | As suggested by Samsung, *numberOfRepetitionsTBoMS-r17* should be removed from the list. Whether such a parameter should be introduced or not should be discussed in the next meeting. |
| Nokia/NSB | We share similar views with other companies that adding parent IE may help for clarification. |
| Intel | It may be good to add parent IE in the excel sheet.  We are fine to keep number of slots and number of repetitions for TBoMS in the list. |
| Panasonic | We agree to Huawei’s comment#2. |

Companies are encouraged to provide comments on RRC parameters for joint channel estimation for PUSCH.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **Comments** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | **//Comment#1**  Row#11,:  Since window length L is taken as a WA, a RRC parameter for it should be added. If this parameter is configured, then time domain window has been indicated as enabled. Additionally, it is fresh new parameter without any precedent, a postfix “-r17” is not necessary at least in RAN1. Therefore, changes are suggested as,  PUSCH-TimeDomainWindow-r17 => PUSCH-TimeDomainWindowLength  ENUMERATED {enabled, disable } => FFS Integer  Similarly, a length L is expected to be configured for PUCCH as well, above changes are suggested to row#13 |
| Samsung | For the length of the configured time domain window, *PUSCH-TimeDomainWindow-r17* can indicate the integer value for the length of TDW. Agree also with previous comment that ‘-*r17*’ may not be needed. |
| ZTE | We share similar view as Huawei. |
| Nokia/NSB | We share similar view with other companies that a parameter for the “configured TDW” length L is needed. Whether the enabling/disabling of JCE and TDW for PUSCH should be configured in a separate RRC parameter or it can be interpreted from the availability of L can be FFS (e.g. depending on which method is more convenient for RRC update). |
| Intel | It may be good to add DMRS bundling in “*PUSCH-TimeDomainWindow-r17*” to avoid some confusion. Time domain window seems very broad.  We are also fine to add configured window duration in the parameter for both PUSCH and PUCCH enhancement. A single parameter may be good to cover both PUSCH and PUCCH. |

Companies are encouraged to provide comments on RRC parameters for PUCCH enhancements.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **Comments** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | **//Comment#1**  Similar to our comment#1 for PUSCH, a length L is expected to be configured for PUCCH as well, similar changes are suggested to row#13  **//Comment#2**  Row#12:  Parent IE can be PUCCH-ResourceSet where a list of repetition number per resource id is configured, i.e. each entry corresponds to the entry in *resourceList* of *PUCCH-ResourceSet*. It can provide better resource sharing between different resource sets comparing to the repetition number configured within IE *PUCCH-Resource*. For example, PUCCH-Resource#1 is shared by two resource-sets, set#1 and set#2. In set#2, the repetition number can be 4 for the PUCCH-Resource#1 while it can be 8 in set#1. |
| Samsung | A clarification is added at the end of the description of **row 12**.  Description: A new repetition parameter corresponding to Rel-17 dynamic PUCCH repetition factor indication. The new repetition parameter is configured per PUCCH resource **and should be in *PUCCH-Resource*.** |
| ZTE | We are Ok to introduce a separate RRC parameter for TDW indication for PUCCH on top of the one for PUSCH in row#11. Then, similar changes are needed as also commented by Huawei above. |
| Nokia/NSB | We are fine to have separate RRC parameters for PUSCH and PUCCH. Similar comment as for PUSCH applies for PUCCH. |
| Intel | Based on the agreement, the repetition factor needs to be configured per PUCCH resource. It is not clear whether we need to extend this to PUCCH resource set.  It may be good to add DMRS bundling in “*PUSCH-TimeDomainWindow-r17*” to avoid some confusion. Time domain window seems very broad. We are fine to have a separate parameter for enabling/disabling DMRS bundling for PUSCH and PUCCH. |
| Panasonic | For row#12, in our view, Parent IE of *PUCCH-nrofSlots-r17* should be PUCCH-Resource in order to allow configuration of PUCCH repetition factor per PUCCH resource. |

For Msg3 repetition, it seems we haven’t identified any parameters needed at this moment based on the agreements so far.

Any other comments?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

1. Email discussion (2nd round)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Companies** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |