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# Introduction

As per chairman’s guidance, three rounds with check points below are planned. This summary is for the first round and is expected to complete by August 19.

[106-e-NR-DSS-02] Email discussion/approval for efficient activation/de-activation mechanism – Frank (Huawei)

* 1st check point: August 19
* 2nd check point: August 24
* Final check: August 27

According to the contribution papers under agenda item 8.13.2 for efficient activation/de-activation mechanism for NR CA SCells, and in light of the working assumption and agreements achieved the last meeting, all identified issues are summarized in section and can be discussed in Section 3.

# Summary of issues and priorities

According to all of companies’ contribution documents, all the issues are summarized below, including 7 specific issues and 3 general issues, with more details in Section 3. Please companies provide your views in Section 3 with taking into consideration the information of check points and GTW session.

For the specific issues to activation/deactivation process:

* **Issue-1:** Contents for the triggering signaling
* **Issue-2:** Triggering signaling for SCell activation/de-activation and temporary RS
* **Issue-3:** Scenarios for temporary-RS based SCell activation
* **Issue-4:** Earliest slot for triggered temporary RS
* **Issue-5:** QCL configuration of temporary RS
* **Issue-6:** Tactivation reduction with BS assistance but no temporary RS nor SSB
* **Issue-7:** Enhancement for CSI reporting

For general issues, they are mostly extracted from a proposal of one company:

* **Question G1:** If two temporary RS bursts are transmitted, whether both bursts should employ the same temporary RS configuration? [9]
* **Question G2:** Whether the UE should provide the gNB information of which configured SCells or SCells being activated are able to benefit from fast activation and/or the need for temporary RS? [9]
* **Question G3**: Whether or not to additionally support AP CSI-RS, P/SP CSI-RS, SRS, and RS based on SSS/PSS as temporary RS, one or more of which may be used during SCell activation depends on network configuration / UE capability. [6]
* **Question G4**: Clarification on BWP ID configured for temporary RS [6]

According to previous discussions, companies’ top interests and focus seems to be the detailed designs of temporary RS. Therefore, the following discussion order is suggested. Besides any issue is always welcome for any comment, but the first check point and the potential GTW session could focus more on some issues as listed. If any issue reaches potential early consensus based on companies’ feedbacks, it is also surely reviewed by its earliest check point.

## Schedule

* For 1st check point: August 19, and GTW session on August xx

Note: The following issues have impacts on details of TRS and potential LS request to RAN4

* **Issue-1: Contents for the triggering signaling**
* **Issue-2: MAC-CE signaling for SCell activation/de-activation and temporary RS**
* **Issue-3: Scenarios for temporary-RS based SCell activation**
* **Issue-4: Earliest slot for triggered temporary RS**
* **Issue-5: QCL configuration of temporary RS**
* For 2nd check point: August 24, and potential new GTW session
* **Question G4**: Clarification on BWP ID configured for temporary RS
* **Follow-ups for all issues listed in 1st check point**
* **The remaining issues with potential consensus**

In case of different views or suggestions on the schedule, they are welcome here.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *View* |
| ZTE | Support the above prioritization. |
| Qualcomm | The FL proposal is reasonable.  Just a minor comment on this summary; Section 3.1 is titled as “THARQ reduction”, but there is no discussion point to reduce THARQ in the section. We recommend to rename it as, e.g., “MAC-CE design for triggering temporary RS”. |
| Futurewei | We asked to clarify the BWP issue in our tdoc. For now we added it in Sec. 3.5 Other Issues. But we think this is important to clarify.  We suggest to resolve the issue of potentially misaligned understanding of known/unknown SCell soon, treating it in parallel with the first few issues for the 1st check point, especially if RAN1 needs input from RAN4. |
| Xiaomi | Support FL’s suggestion. |
| Moderator | @Qualcomm, thank you for your check and suggestion, it is reflected.  @all, your comments on the BWP issue raised by Futurewei are welcome here. We may add it as additional issue to be discussed this meeting. Its potential outcome could be reformed as a proposal,  ***Potential proposal:***  *If any BWP ID is configured within the configuration of temporary RS(s), the value of the BWP ID is expected to be equal to firstActiveDownlinkBWP-Id;* |
| vivo | We are fine to further clarify the BWP agreement to make it clear. |
| MTK | Support FL’s suggestion. Fine with the potential proposal on the BWP issue raised by Futurewei. |
| NTT DOCOMO | Support FL’s suggestion. |
| Intel | Support FL’s suggestion. |
| Ericsson | OK with FL suggestion, and the potential proposal. |
| Samsung | Agree with the proposed schedule |
| OPPO | We are ok to FL’s proposal, assuming the RAN1 discussion on issue 2 would not touch the MAC-CE design scope belonging to RAN2. |
| Moderator | Thank you for your feedbacks.  The issue of BWP ID seems ok to be discussed, it is added as G4. |

# Discussions

In current specifications, when a UE receives a SCell activation command in a PDSCH in slot , the UE shall complete SCell activation no earlier than and no later than slot *n*+ [*THARQ* + *Tactivation\_time* + *TCSI\_Reporting*]/ as shown in Figure 1. Therefore, reducing *THARQ*, *Tactivation\_time* and *TCSI\_Reporting* is the key to achieve efficient SCell activation/de-activation mechanism. Companies’ views are summarized in the sections below. In addition to your feedback to Section 3, more detailed comments are welcome.



Figure SCell activation procedure

## THARQ reduction

### Issue-1: Contents for the triggering signaling

**Issue 1-1: What contents should be indicated in MAC CE**

**A list of potential contents for the signaling is provided below in addition to what was agreed in the last meeting,**

* Resources used for triggered Temporary RS [1][4][7][8][10][12][14][15]
* Triggering time offset of triggered Temporary RS[1][2][3][4][5][7][8][10][13][14][15]
* QCL source for triggered Temporary RS [1][4][5][7][8][10][12][14][15]

***FL Proposal****: To trigger temporary RS for efficient activation of SCells, the contents of the triggering MAC-CE(s) in a single PDSCH provide at least the following information (explicitly or implicitly):*

* *Resources used for triggered Temporary RS*
* *Triggering time offset of triggered Temporary RS*
* *QCL source for triggered Temporary RS*

**Question 1.1: whether the FL proposal is ok?**

Companies’ views are very welcome.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *View* |
| ZTE | Based on our understanding, the existing aperiodic triggering state can be reused. All the three listed bullets can already be configured by RRC now. If we go with the above proposal, we may end up with discussing all the potential parameters, which is not efficient for discussion. In this sense, we suggest to first have a proposal to reuse the aperiodic triggering state configuration and only discuss what needs to be additionally configured or indicated. |
| Qualcomm | In general, the FL proposal should be fine.  On top of that, we think it is more important to discuss and agree detailed configurations/triggering design for temporary RS. In particular, RAN1 should review the existing CSI-RS configuration/triggering framework and try to reuse it as much as possible for temporary RS configuration/triggering. From our point of view, there is no need to change the existing ASN/1 structure to support temporary RS configuration/triggering. |
| Futurewei | Generally ok with the FL proposal, and agree with Qualcomm’s comment that existing signaling (RRC, MAC, or even DCI field design) should be reused as much as possible.  It would be better to describe what ‘explicitly/implicitly’ means here (explicitly in MAC CE / implicitly from RRC or spec).  We also suggest to revisit the QCL source bullet after some QCL agreements have been achieved. |
| Xiaomi | We share the same views with ZTE/Qualcomm/Futurewei. The current NZP A-CSI-RS triggering mechanism should be reused as much as possible. The only difference between temporary RS triggering and A-CSI RS triggering is that the dynamic signaling, i.e. MAC CE is used for temporary RS and DCI is used for A-CSI RS. We are supportive to the statement that the listed information in the proposal is necessary for temporary RS triggering, but they should be implicitly indicated from RRC signaling and should not be included in MAC CE explicitly. |
| Spreadtrum | We are fine the proposal, and agree with ZTE that it is important to figure out what is new comparing with A-CSI triggering state. |
| vivo | RAN1 already agreed to indicate the triggering offset in MAC CE in previous meeting, thus no need of the second bullet:  Agreement  For efficient activation of a Scell (in known Scell case), the triggering offset of temporary RS is indicated by a field in new MAC-CE  We are open to consider the other two bullets. |
| MTK | Share the same view as Xiaomi. |
| NTT DOCOMO | We are fine the proposal, and agree that it is important to discuss whether/what modification from the existing CSI-RS triggering mechanism is needed. |
| Intel | For the triggering offset and QCL source, we prefer to indicate it explicitly by MAC so that to allow more freedom. For remaining parameters, it is preferred to reuse the framework of A-CSI RS configuration, but with MAC CE triggering. |
| Nokia, NSB | We are Ok with the proposal. Further discussion is required on how the contents provided are mapped when more than one SCell is to be activated. E.g. do all SCells have to have the same QCL source? In our view agreeing on the targeted use cases first would help better derive the detailed design and framework. |
| Ericsson | OK with the FL proposal.  Our view is that the existing CSI trigger state list like approach can be reused to provide this information. |
| Samsung | Agree with the suggestion by ZTE |
| OPPO | We share the similar view that RAN1 should try to reuse existing signaling as much as possible. |
| Moderator | Thank you all for the feedbacks.  It seems better to discuss issue #1-2 first, and this issue can be come back if deemed necessary. |

**Issue 1-2: what fields are explicitly indicated in MAC CE**

Multiple contents should be explicitly or implicitly indicated in new MAC CE. For example, the triggering offset of temporary RS can be either explicitly indicated in the new MAC CE or implicitly derived from a pre-configured list of RS resources and a RS resource ID indicated in the new MAC CE. **It would be very helpful for RAN2 signaling implementation if RAN1 could provide a list of contents that are recommended to be explicitly indicated by the new MAC CE.** Therefore, what fields are explicitly indicated in MAC CE should be discussed, companies’ views are summarized as follows:

* Opt 2.3.1: Target SCell ID [4]
* Opt 2.3.1A: triggering information (e.g. trigger state ID/trigger RS ID/ entry index) [1][4][8][9][12][13][14][15]
* Opt 2.3.2: Whether or not temporary RS is triggered [1][3]
* Opt 2.3.3: The number of RS bursts and the gap length between the RS bursts [3]
* Opt 2.3.4: Triggering offset of temporary RS [10]
* Opt 2.3.5: QCL information [10]

**Question 1.2: what fields are explicitly indicated in MAC CE?**

Companies’ views are very welcome.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *View* |
| ZTE | Since there are two Opt 2.3.1above, I volunteer to update the second one to Opt 2.3.1A.  From our perspective, at least Opt 2.3.1 and Opt 2.3.1A are needed. Option 2.3.3, 2.3.4 and 2.3.5 can already be indicated in the RRC configuration. |
| Qualcomm | Opt.2.3.1A (updated by ZTE). |
| Futurewei | For Opt.2.3.1A, generally it should be explicit, but that depends on the number of triggering states. If there is only one or if there is a default configured, then explicit signaling in MAC CE is not needed. Opt. 2.3.2 may be incorporated into this.  For Opt. 2.3.3, it may again depend on the design. The combinations of #bursts+gap may be mapped to codepoints / triggering states via RRC configuration, and hence this may also be incorporated into Opt. 2.3.1A.  For Opt. 2.3.4 and 2.3.5, they can be in RRC configuration as done in existing mechanism.  Again we’d like to point out that at least for some cases, all the fields can be pre-configured and even the legacy MAC CE can be used to trigger temporary RS with default configuration. |
| Xiaomi | Option 2.3.1A. Maybe the index can be further improved as option 2.3.1 and option 2.3.1A are parallel options. |
| Spreadtrum | Opt 2.3.1A+ Opt 2.3.2  Trigger state ID is most preferred. In addition, whether or not temporary RS is triggered in Opt 2.3.2, and the number of RS bursts and the gap length between the RS bursts in Opt 2.3.3 can be outside of Trigger state ID or within its configuration can be further discussed. |
| vivo | We are not sure if there is common understanding on the meaning of “explicitly indicated in MAC CE”. Anyway, such kind of detailed design of MAC signaling is RAN2’s responsibility and should be up to RAN2. |
| MTK | Opt 2.3.1: Needed  Opt 2.3.1A: Needed  Opt 2.3.2: Needed (Not sure why other companies think not)  Opt 2.3.3: Needed, isn’t current RRC configuration can not trigger TRS with more than two bursts?  Opt 2.3.4: Not needed, can be indicated in the RRC configuration  Opt: 2.3.5: Not needed, can be indicated in the RRC configuration (however, spec needs to additionally specify that SSB can be QCL source for A-TRS for fast SCell activation) |
| NTT DOCOMO | At least Option 2.3.1 and Option 2.3.1A. For Option 2.3.1, SCell ID can be SCell index or bitmap for multiple SCells. |
| Intel | Does Opt 2.3.1 mean the SCell indication in existing MAC CE for SCell activation?  Opt 2.3.1A are necessary in MAC CE.  Opt 2.3.4 can be in MAC CE which give more freedom to control the timing of temporary RS facilitating the resource sharing among UEs  We are fine to configure QCL information as one parameter of temporary RS by RRC |
| Nokia, NSB | Opt 2.3.1: Needed. Furthermore given that more than one SCell can be activated should this field be Target SCell IDs for SCells to be activated with common temp RS and QCL, e.g. intra band CA cells.  Opt 2.3.1A: needed  Opt 2.3.2: Maybe needed, or could be implicit  Opt 2.3.3: Maybe needed, depending on the signaling design  Opt 2.3.4: Triggering time offset, wasn’t this agreed in the last meeting?  Opt 2.3.5: This can surely be provided by RRC, but given that configured, activated and known SCells can change it would be desirable for fast SCell activation that the best QCL source could be selected. To avoid high number of RRC configured combinations it would be best to allow this to be explicitly provided via MAC CE, possibly by indicating source cell for the QCL (for cross carrier QCL, if applicable) and the QCL information |
| Ericsson | Option 2.3.1A (updated by ZTE). |
| Samsung | All except 2.3.4 and 2.3.5. Same opinion as MTK. |
| OPPO | Opt 2.3.1A |
| Moderator | Thank you all for your feedbacks.  @ZTE thank you for your correction.  @all, Regarding Opt 2.3.2, it seems inevitable, because even in DCI based A-TRS, it is included in DCI, as specified in TS 38.214 “*When all the bits of CSI request field in DCI are set to zero, no CSI is requested.*” How to implement it in MAC-CE can be up to RAN2, but as least RAN1 can confirm this information is needed in MAC-CE.  Regarding Opt 2.3.3 and 2.3.4, according to the agreements copied below, the best field to associate with it seem to be Opt 2.3.1A (Triggering index information).  Agreement  For efficient activation of a Scell (in known Scell case), at least the number of temporary RS bursts is indicated by a field in new MAC-CE   * The number of temporary RS bursts is RRC configurable. * FFS: which field in MAC-CE is used and how this field is associated with the number of bursts * For the purpose of designing temporary RS Scell activation, there is no RAN1 specification impact for the case where the number of indicated temporary RS bursts is smaller than what is expected by the UE   Agreement  For efficient activation of a Scell (in known Scell case), the triggering offset of temporary RS is indicated by a field in new MAC-CE   * The candidate value(s) of triggering offset(s) is RRC configurable * FFS: which field in MAC-CE is used and how this field is associated with the value of triggering offset   Therefore, a potential proposal is, (Opt x.x.x can be removed in a stable proposal)  ***FL Proposal 1****: To trigger temporary RS,*   * *the information explicitly indicated in a new MAC-CE at least include:* * *Target SCell ID (Opt 2.3.1)* * *Triggering index information (e.g. trigger state ID/trigger RS ID/ entry index) (Opt 2.3.1A)* * *Whether or not temporary RS is triggered (Opt 2.3.2)* * *the information that is RRC configured and is associated with the triggering index information at least include:* * *The number of RS bursts and the gap length between the RS bursts (Opt 2.3.3)* * *Triggering offset of temporary RS (Opt 2.3.4)* * *QCL information (Opt 2.3.5)* |

#### FL proposal

With above summary, a potential proposal is, (Opt x.x.x are just for your convenience and can be removed in a stable proposal)

***FL Proposal 1****: To trigger temporary RS,*

* *the information explicitly indicated in a new MAC-CE at least include:*
* *Target SCell ID (Opt 2.3.1)*
* *Triggering index information (e.g. trigger state ID/trigger RS ID/ entry index) (Opt 2.3.1A)*
* *Whether or not temporary RS is triggered (Opt 2.3.2)*
* *the information that is RRC configured and is associated with the triggering index information at least include:*
* *The number of RS bursts and the gap length between the RS bursts (Opt 2.3.3)*
* *Triggering offset of temporary RS (Opt 2.3.4)*
* *QCL information (Opt 2.3.5)*

Comments are welcome.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *View* |
| Apple | We think Opt.2.3.1A is needed.  Other information can be part of RRC configuration to associate with a code state of triggering state. This has been used for ‘CSI request’ field operation.  The need of target cell ID (i.e., Opt 2.3.1) depends on a single MAC CE or separate MAC CEs would be defined for SCell activation and TRS triggering. If a single MAC CE, the target cell ID is needed for SCell activation indication as in legacy; otherwise, no need. |
| Qualcomm | We think the existing A-CSI-RS triggering is as following:   * A codepoint of the indication field points to “no trigger” or “a triggering state”, where a triggering state points to one or multiple CSI-RS resource set(s) with associated qcl-Info for each CSI-RS resource set.   + For each triggered CSI-RS resource set,     - BWP-ID is provided in the associated CSI-ResourceConfig     - Cell-ID is provided in the associated CSI-ReportConfig     - Triggering offset is provided in the associated NZP-CSI-RS-ResourceSet   So, if we follow this, the information that must be explicitly indicated by the MAC-CE should be only the triggering state. We think the first bullet should include at least “triggering ~~index~~ information (e.g. trigger state ID/trigger RS ID/ entry index)”. The other information including target SCell ID should be under the second bullet. If there are reasons that the temporary RS triggering should not follow the existing A-CSI-RS trigger, then we can discuss.  Regarding “Whether or not temporary RS is triggered (Opt.2.3.2)”, perhaps it would be sufficient to say “the MAC-CE can indicate no temporary RS is transmitted at the to-be-activated SCell”. |
| Xiaomi | We share the same view that only triggering information is needed for the MAC CE. All the other information can be implicitly by the triggering information or explicitly by the existing content in current MAC CE.  For option 2.3.1, it can be realized by the C value in the current Scell activation MAC CE. For option 2.3.2, it is already achieved by triggering information, e.g. the CSI RS is only triggered when the triggering information indicating the corresponding temporary RS index. |
| vivo | Regarding the FL proposal1, it is not clear to me what does it mean of “*explicitly indicated in a new MAC-CE*”. For example, both ‘triggering index’ and ‘whether or not to trigger the T-RS’ are proposed for explicitly indication, does it mean that there should be two separate fields for them? Does it preclude the possibility that one codepoint of the ‘triggering index’ represents ‘not triggering’, or that the absence of a field implicitly means ‘not triggering’? Given that the MAC CE signaling is designed and maintained by RAN2, it is better to let RAN2 to decide such details.  Maybe from RAN1 perspective, what really matters is how many flexibilities we need. Taking triggering offset as an example, if RAN1 decides only two values are needed, RAN2 may decide to have a single field of 1 bit in MAC CE for triggering offset. On the other hand, if RAN1 thinks up to 64 or 128 values are required, then RAN2 may prefer another way of design. |
| Moderator | @Qualcomm,Xiaomi If the target cell ID for temporary RS is preconfigured by RRC and derived from the triggering index information by MAC-CE, then the RRC structure for triggering index would be, for example,  Triggering index#1 => {Target Cell ID#1, Target Cell ID#2, Target Cell ID #3}  Triggering index#2 => {Target Cell ID#1, Target Cell ID#4, Target Cell ID #5}  Triggering index#3 => {Target Cell ID#6, Target Cell ID#7, Target Cell ID #5}  …  Given limited number of triggering indexes, flexibility on the activation for multiple SCells is degraded compared to the R15/16 activation MAC-CE where target cell ID is included. If only target cell#1 and target cell#7 are to be activated via legacy MAC-CE or a new MAC-CE, then the list of triggering index must be updated to a UE by RRC first, or some RAN1 spec impact needs to clarify the UE behavior when the cell IDs associated with a triggered index are not the to-be activated Scells indicated by the legacy activation MAC-CE or the new MAC-CE.  More importantly, if the new MAC-CE integrates both Scell activation and RS triggering, then target SCell ID are already there in the MAC-CE.  On the other hand, since indicating target Cell ID by RRC costs flexibility degradation compared to R15/16 MAC-CE, could you please clarify what benefit could be in return?  @vivo, In FL understanding, both RRC parameters and MAC-CE parameters of RAN1 feature are determined by RAN1 then provided to RAN2 for signaling design. Here “explicitly indicated by MAC-CE ” means that it is not from RRC parameters. Whether some information/parameters are mapped into single MAC-CE field, e.g. ‘triggering index’ and ‘whether or not to trigger the Temp-RS’, can be left to RAN2.  Given the situation, a revised proposal is  ***FL Proposal 1-rev1****: To trigger temporary RS,*   * *the information explicitly indicated in a new MAC-CE at least include:* * *Target SCell ID at least in the case that the new MAC-CE also provides functionality of SCell activation/deactivation (Opt 2.3.1).* * *FFS: in the other case* * *Triggering index information (e.g. trigger state ID/trigger RS ID/ entry index) (Opt 2.3.1A)* * *Whether or not temporary RS is triggered (Opt 2.3.2)* * *the information that is RRC configured and is associated with the triggering index information at least include:* * *The number of RS bursts and the gap length between the RS bursts (Opt 2.3.3)* * *Triggering offset of temporary RS (Opt 2.3.4)* * *QCL information (Opt 2.3.5)* |
| Qualcomm | It is not clear whether the “Target SCell ID” is “Target SCell ID of the SCell activation” or “Target SCell ID that temporary RS is triggered”. If this is “Target SCell ID of the SCell activation”, then it should be part of Opt.1 of FL Proposal 2.  In the following, we assume the intention of “Target SCell ID” is “Target SCell ID that temporary RS is triggered”.  Then, separate field for the “Target SCell ID” would not be necessary.  The current aperiodic RS triggering framework is already a joint indication of target SCell(s) and triggered aperiodic RS configuration on the SCell(s). The joint indication is more efficient than separate indication in general, and no reason to separate it.  Also, for a given target SCell, it is possible to trigger different aperiodic RS configuration by using different trigger state. This is already sufficiently flexible (and not sure if this is possible by the FL proposal).  The current CSI-RS configuration/triggering can be illustrated as following. Different Temp RS configurations for a given target SCell enables triggering different Temp RS having different triggering offsets, etc.   |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | Trigger state | SCell #1 | SCell #2 | SCell #3 | SCell #4 | SCell #5 | | #1 | Tmp RS #1a | Tmp RS #2a | Tmp RS #3a |  |  | | #2 | Tmp RS #1b |  |  | Tmp RS #4a | Tmp RS #5a | | #3 |  |  | Tmp RS #3b | Tmp RS #4b | Tmp RS #5b | | #4 |  | Tmp RS #2b | Tmp RS #3c | Tmp RS #4c |  | | … | … | … | … | … | … |   Having said that, the proposal should be as follows:  ***Proposed update for Proposal 1-rev1****: To trigger temporary RS,*   * *the information explicitly indicated in a new MAC-CE at least include:* * *~~Target SCell ID at least in the case that the new MAC-CE also provides functionality of SCell activation/deactivation (Opt 2.3.1).~~* * *~~FFS: in the other case~~* * *Triggering ~~index~~ information (e.g. trigger state ID/trigger RS ID/ entry index) (Opt 2.3.1A)* * *None of ~~Whether or not~~ temporary RS is triggered (Opt 2.3.2)* * *the information that is RRC configured and is associated with the triggering ~~index~~ information at least include:* * *The number of RS bursts and the gap length between the RS bursts (Opt 2.3.3)* * *Triggering offset of temporary RS (Opt 2.3.4)* * *QCL information (Opt 2.3.5)* * *Target SCell ID that temporary RS is triggered (Opt.2.3.1)* |
| Xiaomi2 | Thanks FL for the further explanation. Based on the clarification, we think we are on the same page. We are not saying there is no need to indicate the cell ID. Instead, we think the C values carried by the current MAC CE is sufficient.  However, the C values is actually a bitmap, wherein each bit of the bitmap corresponding to a SCell, e.g. C0 corresponding to SCell#0, C1 corresponding to SCell#1…  Anyway, the updated proposal from FL is fine to us except the ‘*Whether or not temporary RS is triggered (Opt 2.3.2)*’. |
| MTK | Fine with the ***FL Proposal 1-rev1*** |
| Intel | We have same question as QC. What is Target SCell ID (Opt 2.3.1), “Target SCell ID of the SCell activation” or “Target SCell ID that temporary RS is triggered”?  One even more basic question, assuming the MAC CE is to activate N SCells, are the temporary RS triggered for all of, a subset of, or even a superset of the N SCells? |
| Futurewei2 | We are generally fine with the content of the FL proposal. We suggest to make the proposal a bit more high-level so that RAN2 can have full flexibility to provide MAC/RRC design. For example, ‘Target SCell ID’ does not need to be mentioned directly since it may seem RAN1 is asking RAN2 to have a field in the MAC CE, which is not needed and not RAN1’s intention. Our suggestion is:  ***Suggested FL Proposal 1-rev1****: To trigger temporary RS,*   * *MAC-CE at least provides the following information:* * *X (≥0) temporary RSs are to be triggered on X SCells, respectively* * *0, 1, or more temporary RSs can be RRC configured on a SCell, each with information at least include:* * *The number of RS bursts and the gap length between the RS bursts (Opt 2.3.3)* * *Triggering offset of temporary RS (Opt 2.3.4)* * *QCL information (Opt 2.3.5)* |
| Ericsson2 | Not OK with FL proposal.  We support the revised proposal from Qualcomm. The information about target SCell ID and whether or not temporary RS is triggered is incorporated in the triggering index information and therefore, they do not need to be included explicitly in the MAC CE. In our view, the A-CSI-RS trigger state list is better instead of creating a new framework for A-CSI-RS configuration/triggering. |
| Spreadtrum | According to whether SCell ID explicit or implicit in MAC-CE, we think implicit indication is more proper. Because MAC-CE size is fixed, there should be every triggering index for every SCell, no considering the SCell is activated or to be activated. So this fixed association with SCell ID and indication position is more aligned with MAC-CE design. Furthermore, for explicit SCell ID, which may be only indicate a sub-set of SCell, such as only to be activated SCell ID. However, it is not as flexible as the implicit solution, due to fixed MAC-CE length. |
| vivo | We think the suggestion from Futurewei is better. It is better to leave more flexibility to RAN2. |
| Moderator | @Qualcomm, Ericsson, both approaches are reusing the existing solutions, either bitmap in MAC-CE or RRC list. The key difference seems the flexibility to support multiple SCell activation with temporary RS assistance. It is possible to reuse A-TRS RRC configuration but it is less flexible. Taking the example you gave as the following table, since the maximum size of the list of trigger state is limited to 4, a gNB cannot signal a UE the following state where 5 SCells are activated but only SCell#2 and SCell#3 have assistance of transmitted temporary RSs. **In order to have the same flexibility as FL proposal, the size of the RRC list of trigger states has to be increased to** 32 for only 5 SCells, similarly, size 2^15=32768 for 15 SCells, which is very big size of RRC parameters. Given each trigger states indicating also much information of TRS resources and QCL information etc., **such big size of RRC list may be unaffordable.**   |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | Trigger state | SCell #1 | SCell #2 | SCell #3 | SCell #4 | SCell #5 | | #1 | Tmp RS #1a | Tmp RS #2a | Tmp RS #3a |  |  | | #2 | Tmp RS #1b |  |  | Tmp RS #4a | Tmp RS #5a | | #3 |  |  | Tmp RS #3b | Tmp RS #4b | Tmp RS #5b | | #4 |  | Tmp RS #2b | Tmp RS #3c | Tmp RS #4c |  | | … | … | … | … | … | … |   On the contrary, an alternative is to reuse the legacy MAC-CE indication as SCell activation, as copied below (TS 38.321),    Figure 6.1.3.10-1: SCell Activation/Deactivation MAC CE of one octet    Figure 6.1.3.10-2: SCell Activation/Deactivation MAC CE of four octets  Assuming that max Y=1 temporary RS IDs per Scell can be configured, it costs only 15 bits of bit-map in MAC-CE to indicate whether temporary RS is transmitted on every 15 SCells. Therefore, given new MAC-CE agreed for the triggering of temporary RS, **a question is why not to reuse the legacy MAC-CE approach to keep a better flexibility?** The question was asked before, it is appreciated if you could help clarify it.  @Spreadtrum, Not sure if I am fully understand your comment about fixed size of MAC-CE. According to TS 38.321, the size of multiple MAC-CEs received by a UE can be different, even the MAC-CE of SCell activation have two sizes, as copied above.  @Futurewei, Thank you for your suggestion. It can be a way forward. But since a RRC parameter list for this WI will be discussed soon after this RAN1 meeting. A clearer RAN1 consensus on this issue seems needed anyway.  @Xiaomi, Intel, Thank you for your comments. The proposal is revised accordingly.  **In summary,** there are two approaches of RS triggering with different flexibility.  **Alt 1:** reuse the bitmap approach in MAC-CE as SCell activation   * Every Y-bit block in the bitmap corresponds to a SCell, Y>=0 * A Y-bit block indicates the RS resource ID, and a value zero indicated by the bit block means no RS resource transmitted. For example, each 2-bit block in the following bitmap refers to {no RS, RS#1, RS#2, RS#3} for one SCell, bit C7 and C6 for one SCell, bit C5 and C4 for another SCell.     **Alt 2:** reuse the A-TRS RRC configuration of triggering states   * Triggering state ID is indicated in MAC-CE explicitly * A triggering state ID refers to an entry of a RRC list of SCells and their RS resources, e.g. ID#1 refers to the first row of the following RRC list/table.  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | Trigger state | SCell #1 | SCell #2 | SCell #3 | SCell #4 | SCell #5 | | #1 | Tmp RS #1a | Tmp RS #2a | Tmp RS #3a |  |  | | #2 | Tmp RS #1b |  |  | Tmp RS #4a | Tmp RS #5a | | #3 |  |  | Tmp RS #3b | Tmp RS #4b | Tmp RS #5b | | #4 |  | Tmp RS #2b | Tmp RS #3c | Tmp RS #4c |  | | … | … | … | … | … | … |   The examples for two alternatives may involve too much details of RAN2 signaling but facilitate the RAN1 discussion. Companies are encouraged to comment on those two alternatives. So far, a proposal to cover both alternatives seems to be  ***FL Proposal 1-rev2****: To trigger temporary RS,*   * *MAC-CE at least explicitly provides the following information:* * *X (≥0) temporary RSs are to be triggered on X to-be-activated SCells, respectively* * *No temporary RS is to be triggered on the other to-be-activated SCells* * *0, 1, or more temporary RSs can be RRC configured on a SCell, each with information at least include:* * *The number of RS bursts and the gap length between the RS bursts (Opt 2.3.3)* * *Triggering offset of temporary RS (Opt 2.3.4)* * *QCL information (Opt 2.3.5)* * *FFS: the maximum number of configured temporary RS resources per SCell* |
| Nokia, NSB (23.8) | We are generally OK with the proposal. In the very first sub-bullet, we should not use X for both the number of Temp-RS and the number of to-be-activated SCells, and be clear that X can be different for different cells.   * *X (≥0) temporary RSs are to be triggered on Y to-be-activated SCells, respectively (X is indicated independently for each of the Y SCells)*   For the Triggering offset, it would perhaps be good to be explicit that we are talking of time offset relative to the already agreed reference point in time. |

### Issue-2: MAC-CE signaling for SCell activation/de-activation and temporary RS

Detailed signalling structure of the triggering MAC-CE(s) including the down-selection between the following example options and whether the decision should be made in RAN1 or RAN2, companies’ views are summarized as follows:

* Opt. 2.1: One new MAC CE for both SCell activation triggering and corresponding temporary RS triggering. [1][3][4][11][12][13]
* Opt. 2.2: One R15/16 SCell activation MAC CE for SCell activation triggering and one new MAC CE (in the same PDSCH) for corresponding temporary RS triggering
* Opt. 2.3: Depend on RAN2’ decision [2][3][5][10][14][15]

***FL Proposal****: For detailed signaling structure of the triggering MAC-CE(s) including the down-selection between the following options is left to RAN2 to decide:*

* *Opt. 1: One new MAC CE for both SCell activation triggering and corresponding temporary RS triggering*
* *Opt. 2: One R15/16 SCell activation MAC CE for SCell activation triggering and one new MAC CE (in the same PDSCH) for corresponding temporary RS triggering*

**Question 2: whether the above proposal is ok?**

Companies’ views are very welcome.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *View* |
| ZTE | Our preference is Opt.1 and we support to try down-selection in this RAN1 meeting. If no convergence in RAN1, we are also ok to leave it to RAN2.  In any case, Opt.2 also needs to define a new MAC-CE and RAN2 may need to specify potential relation between the MAC-CE for SCell activation triggering and new MAC-CE for the temporary RS, which unnecessarily complicates the specification design. |
| Qualcomm | RAN1 should spend more on what to be indicated by the MAC-CE. RAN1 does not need to agree the MAC-CE structure; RAN2 can decide by themselves once the details of what to be indicated by the MAC-CE are clear. |
| Futurewei | We are open to Opt. 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3.  We suggest to add a note to the proposal for triggering the default temporary RS:  *NOTE: One R15/16 SCell activation MAC CE for SCell activation triggering and for corresponding default temporary RS triggering* |
| Xiaomi | We are OK with the proposal. RAN1 should determine what functionality is needed in order to support temporary RS triggering while the detail MAC CE design is up to RAN2. There is no big difference between option 1 and option 2 in our view. |
| Spreadtrum | We support the proposal. |
| vivo | We are fine with the FL proposal. |
| MTK | Same view with ZTE. Prefer Opt.1 but ok to leave it to RAN2. |
| NTT DOCOMO | We support Opt.1, but if there is no consensus in RAN1, we are fine to leave it to RAN2. |
| Intel | Agree with Qualcomm that RAN1 should just decide the information to be included in MAC CE. The exact signaling structure is RAN2 expertise. |
| Nokia, NSB | We prefer option 1, however, as indicated by Qualcomm, this is not really a RAN1 discussion, and after consulting MAC experts, there seem to be more to it than meets the eye, so let us focus on the content and leave the MAC-CE structure to RAN2. |
| Ericsson | RAN1 should work on the details of the information that can be indicated by the MAC CE and leave the details of MAC CE structure design to RAN2. |
| Samsung | RAN2 can determine how the information is provided by the MAC (that is not under RAN1 expertise). RAN1 can relay to RAN2 what that information is. |
| OPPO | We support the proposal. |
| Moderator | @all, please check whether it is OK to have the note proposed by Futurewei. If it is OK, then its brackets in the proposal can be removed.  ***FL Proposal 2****: For detailed signaling structure of the triggering MAC-CE(s) including the down-selection between the following options is left to RAN2 to decide:*   * *Opt. 1: One new MAC CE for both SCell activation triggering and corresponding temporary RS triggering* * *Opt. 2: One R15/16 SCell activation MAC CE for SCell activation triggering and one new MAC CE (in the same PDSCH) for corresponding temporary RS triggering* * *[NOTE: One R15/16 SCell activation MAC CE for SCell activation triggering and for corresponding default temporary RS triggering]* |
| MTK | Fine with ***FL Proposal 2*** |
| Nokia, NSB (23.8) | We are generally OK with the proposal, but the LS formulation that frames the RAN1 discussion would need to be discussed further, as we see these as the directions RAN1 discussed, but there may well be reasons beyond RAN1 expertise why RAN2 may depart from these two options when making their design decisions wrt. MAC-CE. |

#### FL proposal

With above summary, the proposal 2 seems stable except for the note proposed by Futurewei. Please check whether it is OK. If it is OK, then its brackets in the proposal can be removed.

***FL Proposal 2****: For detailed signaling structure of the triggering MAC-CE(s) including the down-selection between the following options is left to RAN2 to decide:*

* *Opt. 1: One new MAC CE for both SCell activation triggering and corresponding temporary RS triggering*
* *Opt. 2: One R15/16 SCell activation MAC CE for SCell activation triggering and one new MAC CE (in the same PDSCH) for corresponding temporary RS triggering*
* *[NOTE: One R15/16 SCell activation MAC CE for SCell activation triggering and for corresponding default temporary RS triggering]*

Comments are welcome.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *View* |
| Apple | Support the proposal. |
| Qualcomm | We do not think this is necessary. It is up to RAN2 how to build the MAC-CE structure. RAN1 should consolidate what to be indicated by the MAC-CE and what to be preconfigured by RRC, and how the temporary RS is constructed. |
| Xiaomi | We are fine with the proposal. But as mentioned in the main bullet, it actually provide some information to RAN2. It seems a corresponding LS is needed, otherwise the proposal becomes meaningless. |
| Moderator | @Qualcomm, after the discussion on the relationship between the new MAC-CE and the legacy MAC-CE, the proposal shapes the RAN1 understanding but still leave sufficient room for RAN2 to design detailed signalling of MAC-CE, so it seems beneficial for future RAN1 discussion. Would you have seen any harm to have this proposal?  @Xiaomi, In FL understanding, a LS is needed once RAN1 have sufficient consensus on MAC-CE contents and RRC parameters.  @All, please also comment whether the brackets on the last subbullet can be removed as suggested by Futurewei. |
| Qualcomm2 | We can accept the FL proposal 2 without the *NOTE*. The intention of the *NOTE* is unclear to us and it will cause confusion. For Opt.2, the “*R15/16 SCell activation MAC CE for SCell activation triggering*” does not indicate anything of temporary RS. There is no corresponding default temporary RS triggering. |
| MTK | Fine with ***FL Proposal 2*** with or without the note |
| Intel | We prefer FL proposal 2 without the note |
| Futurewei2 | We support the FL proposal. We suggest to call the options as examples for RAN2 to consider.  Regarding the default temporary RS triggering, we believe in many scenarios, only 1 temporary RS is needed to be configured on a SCell. We have agreed that a TRS as a temporary RS is associated with *firstActiveDownlinkBWP-Id*. At least for FR1, we do not see the need of multiple TRSs as temporary RS for the same BWP. Therefore, that one TRS can be the default temporary RS for that SCell. If the default RS is configured and to be triggered on all the to-be-activated SCells, the legacy MAC CE can do the job.  ***Suggested FL Proposal 2****: Detailed signaling structure of the triggering MAC-CE(s) is left to RAN2 to decide. Two example options are:*   * *Opt. 1: One new MAC CE for both SCell activation triggering and corresponding temporary RS triggering* * *Opt. 2: One R15/16 SCell activation MAC CE for SCell activation triggering and one new MAC CE (in the same PDSCH) for corresponding temporary RS triggering* * *[NOTE: One R15/16 SCell activation MAC CE for SCell activation triggering and for corresponding default (if applicable) temporary RS triggering]* |
| Ericsson2 | We would be OK with the FL proposal 2 without the Note. R15/16 SCell activation MAC CE does not trigger any default (or any other) temporary RS. So, note should be removed. Explicit indication of the trigger state identifier in the MAC CE is sufficient. |
| Spreadtrum | We ae fine with the suggested FL proposal 2, with or without the note. |
| vivo | We also prefer the proposal without the note. |
| Nokia, NSB (23.8) | We are generally OK with the proposal and support the modifications of Futurewei2, as we see these as the directions RAN1 discussed, but there may well be reasons beyond RAN1 expertise why RAN2 may depart from these two options when making their design decisions wrt. MAC-CE.  We don’t find the additional note that useful and have a slight preference not to have the note, but we are OK either way. |

## Tactivation reduction

### Temporary-RS based

#### Issue-3: Scenarios for temporary-RS based SCell activation

Based on previous discussions, there has been confusion on the applicable scenarios for SCell fast activation, such as known cell / unknown cell scenarios. Two scenarios are specified by RAN4 in TS 38.133， which represent respectively whether a to-be-activated Scell is known or unknown. An issue whether the gNB and UE have the same understanding of a to-be-activated Scell being known or not has been discussed in RAN1. Particularly, regarding the information indication of number of temporary RS bursts, the following agreement was achieved.

Agreement

For efficient activation of a Scell (in known Scell case), at least the number of temporary RS bursts is indicated by a field in new MAC-CE

* The number of temporary RS bursts is RRC configurable.
* FFS: which field in MAC-CE is used and how this field is associated with the number of bursts
* For the purpose of designing temporary RS Scell activation, there is no RAN1 specification impact for the case where the number of indicated temporary RS bursts is smaller than what is expected by the UE

For the other indicated information, companies’ views are summarized as follows:

* Opt. 3.1.1: No RAN1 specification impact for number of temporary RS burst and QCL assumption. It is expected that two different requirements of activation latency are developed in RAN4 for both cases of known SCell and unknown SCell, respectively. [1][14]
* Opt. 3.1.2: Support both cases of known SCell and unknown SCell, with conservative design for cases in which the SCell has not been used for more than x ms, and FFS x; [6]
* Opt. 3.1.3: Send an LS to RAN4 to inquire whether the gNB and UE can have the same understanding on the state of a to-be-activated SCell with respect to being known SCell or unknown SCell.[6][9]

**Question 3: how to clarify the understanding of known/unknown SCell in RAN1?**

Companies’ views are very welcome.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *View* |
| ZTE | Our understanding is that, RAN1 doesn’t need to clarify the understanding of known and unknown SCell in RAN1 specification. RAN1 can always refer it to RAN4 spec.  However, if majority companies would prefer to go with Opt 3.1.3, we are also ok. |
| Qualcomm | The question is not clear.  RAN1 does not need to take care of whether the SCell is known/unknown. According to the RAN4 LS, RAN1 is required to enable temporary RS having up to 2 bursts. Once the temporary RS having up to 2 bursts is designed, RAN4 can specify SCell activation delay requirements for various conditions including how known SCell(s) can be assumed. |
| Futurewei | We are open to further discussion / conclusions from RAN1/4, however, quick actions may be needed to ensure we can complete the work on time. |
| Xiaomi | Option 3.1.1. As excerpted by FL, RAN1 already had discussions on the potential misalignment between network and UE for known/unknown case which is kindly highlighted in the agreement. We don’t think we need to come back to the same issue again. |
| Moderator | Thank you for your comments.  ***FL proposal 3 for a conclusion:***  *For the purpose of designing temporary RS for Scell activation, there is no RAN1 specification impact for the case where a gNB may assume the to-be-activated Scell with assistance of temporary RS is a known Scell for a UE but it is actually unknown Scell from the UE side during the Scell activation duration.*   * *Note: In RAN1 understanding, two different requirements of activation latency are expected to be developed in RAN4 for both cases of known Scell and unknown Scell, respectively.* |
| Vivo | OK with the FL proposal 3 from moderator. |
| MTK | Fine with FL proposal 3 from moderator |
| NTT DOCOMO | Fine with FL proposal 3. |
| Intel | Fine with FL proposal 3. |
| Nokia, NSB | Fine with FL proposal 3 which states there is no RAN1 specification impact in the stated scenario but there is an impact in the activation latency and the purpose of Fast SCell activation is to minimize this activation latency. Based on this it is important for gNB and UE to have the same understanding of the state of a to be activated SCell. Furthermore, we should allow for a UE to act as if the cell is known, if it can, even if by RAN4 definitions the cell is unknown (that is, allow the UE to do better than the minimum requirement).  Bottom line, support FL proposal 3 and opt 3.1.3 (subject to actual wording of the LS to RAN4) |
| Ericsson | Given previous agreement we do not see need for further agreement/conclusion from RAN1 perspective. How/whether RAN4 develops (tighter) requirements for unknown cell case would be RAN4 discussion.  Agreement  For efficient activation of a Scell (in known Scell case), at least the number of temporary RS bursts is indicated by a field in new MAC-CE   * The number of temporary RS bursts is RRC configurable. * FFS: which field in MAC-CE is used and how this field is associated with the number of bursts * For the purpose of designing temporary RS Scell activation, there is no RAN1 specification impact for the case where the number of indicated temporary RS bursts is smaller than what is expected by the UE |
| Samsung | Support FL proposal 3. |
| OPPO | We wonder how gNB could even have reliable information to help judge/assume whether a to-be-activated SCell is known or unknown to UE. According to RAN4 spec, “known vs. unknown” condition involves with SSB detection status and history, which is purely UE internal status and transparent to gNB. So our suggestion is not to mention “known vs. unknown” SCell status in description of gNB behavior. For example, the FL proposal 3 for a conclusion can be modified as:  *For the purpose of designing temporary RS for Scell activation, there is no RAN1 specification impact for the case where a gNB ~~may assume~~ activates the to-be-activated Scell with assistance of temporary RS ~~is a known Scell~~ for a UE but it is actually unknown Scell from the UE side during the Scell activation duration.*   * *Note: In RAN1 understanding, two different requirements of activation latency are expected to be developed in RAN4 for both cases of known Scell and unknown Scell, respectively.* |
| Moderator | Thank you all for your feedbacks.  @Ericsson, the current proposal is more general than the previous agreement on this issue, which covers not only the number of RS burst but also the other cases.  @OPPO, your revision seems to include also the case where a gNB assumes unknown SCell and the SCell is also unknown SCell at UE side. A wording “may assume” has been used in the current FL proposal, whether information is reliable can be up to gNB implementation. For example, a gNB receives CSI report from the UE not long before, the gNB may or may not assume the SCell is known SCell according to its definition in TS 38.133. Therefore, the original proposal is preferred. Hope it is OK for you.  ***FL proposal 3 for a conclusion:***  *For the purpose of designing temporary RS for Scell activation, there is no RAN1 specification impact for the case where a gNB may assume the to-be-activated Scell with assistance of temporary RS is a known Scell for a UE but it is actually unknown Scell from the UE side during the Scell activation duration.*   * *Note: In RAN1 understanding, two different requirements of activation latency are expected to be developed in RAN4 for both cases of known Scell and unknown Scell, respectively.* |

With above summary, the potential proposal seems very close to be stable.

***FL proposal 3 for a conclusion:***

*For the purpose of designing temporary RS for Scell activation, there is no RAN1 specification impact for the case where a gNB may assume the to-be-activated Scell with assistance of temporary RS is a known Scell for a UE but it is actually unknown Scell from the UE side during the Scell activation duration.*

* *Note: In RAN1 understanding, two different requirements of activation latency are expected to be developed in RAN4 for both cases of known Scell and unknown Scell, respectively.*

Comments are welcome.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *View* |
| Apple | Ok with FL proposal 3. |
| Qualcomm | We do not think this is necessary.  The main bullet is already true even for legacy SSB-based SCell activation mechanism.  The sub-bullet is unclear. If it proposes to let RAN4 to specify any of unknown SCell activation delay requirements using the temporary RS designed by RAN1, it is problematic. We propose to delete this. |
| Xiaomi | Fine with the proposal. |
| Moderator | Closed |
|  |  |
|  |  |

#### Issue-4: Earliest slot for triggered temporary RS

In RAN1#105-e meeting, the following agreement has been achieved, the reference slot for triggering offset of temporary RS is the last DL slot of the to-be-activated SCell overlapping with slot n+k as defined in 38.213 sub-clause 4.3.

Agreement

For the reference slot for triggering offset of temporary RS

* Option 2: the last DL slot of the to-be-activated Scell overlapping with slot n+k as defined in 38.213 sub-clause 4.3
* FFS: the earliest slot no earlier than the reference slot for a UE to receive a triggered temporary RS

Regarding the FFS bullet above, companies’ views seems converged, a potential proposal could be:

***FL Proposal****:* *The earliest slot no earlier than the reference slot for a UE to receive a triggered temporary RS.*

**Question 4: whether the above proposal is ok?**

Companies’ views are very welcome.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *View* |
| ZTE | The FL proposal above is not clear. Maybe it should be updated as below.  *Proposal:*  *The earliest slot for a UE to receive a triggered temporary RS is the reference slot (i.e., the last DL slot of the to-be-activated Scell overlapping with slot n+k as defined in 38.213 sub-clause 4.3).* |
| Qualcomm | Agree with ZTE. |
| Futurewei | Agree with ZTE |
| Xiaomi | Agree with ZTE. |
| Spreadtrum | Agree with ZTE. |
| Moderator | Thank you for your follow-ups. The proposal is updated, your comments are welcome for the same table.  ***FL Proposal 4****:*  *For efficient Scell activation, the earliest slot for a UE to receive a triggered temporary RS is the reference slot (i.e., the last DL slot of the to-be-activated Scell overlapping with slot n+k as defined in 38.213 sub-clause 4.3).* |
| vivo | Fine with the FL proposal 4. |
| MTK | Fine with the FL proposal 4. |
| NTT DOCOMO | Fine with the FL proposal 4. |
| Intel | Fine with the FL proposal 4. |
| Nokia, NSB | Fine with the FL proposal 4. |
| Ericsson | OK with FL proposal 4. |
| Samsung | Support FL proposal 4. |
| OPPO | Ok with FL proposal 4. |
| Moderator | The latest FL proposal seems stable. It will be presented in GTW. If any company has different views, please share it as soon as possible. |

With above summary, the potential proposal seems stable. If any further comments, please provide them as soon as possible

***FL Proposal 4****: For efficient Scell activation, the earliest slot for a UE to receive a triggered temporary RS is the reference slot (i.e., the last DL slot of the to-be-activated Scell overlapping with slot n+k as defined in 38.213 sub-clause 4.3).*

Comments are welcome.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *View* |
| Apple | Support FL proposal 4. |
| Qualcomm | Support the FL proposal. |
| Xiaomi | Support. |
| Moderator | Closed |
|  |  |
|  |  |

#### Issue-5: QCL configuration of temporary RS

In the previous meeting, a working assumption has achieved as follows:

|  |
| --- |
| **Working Assumption**  For efficient Scell activation with assistance of temporary RS, a SSB of the to-be-activated Scell can be indicated as a QCL source for the temporary RS in case of known Scell   * FFS: QCL type * FFS: the case of unknown Scell * FFS: other QCL source, e.g. the SSB/P-TRS of another active cell |

For the working assumption, 3 sub-issues are to discussed, and corresponding companies’ views are summarized.

**Issue-5.1: whether the working assumption “For efficient Scell activation with assistance of temporary RS, a SSB of the to-be-activated Scell can be indicated as a QCL source for the temporary RS in case of known SCell” should be confirmed?**

* **Opt 5.1.1:** Due to uncertainty of known SCell and unknown SCell, it is difficult for gNB to judge and then to indicate whether a SSB before SCell activation is a safe QCL source for A-TRS. [7]

“*As of Rel-16, known and unknown SCell are RAN4 internal terminologies; and gNB and UE may not have the same understanding whether a to-be-activated SCell is known or unknown.*”

* **Opt 5.1.2:** Confirm [1][2][4][9][14]

**Question 5.1: whether the working assumption above can be confirmed?**

Companies’ views are very welcome.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *View* |
| ZTE | Ok to confirm the working assumption. |
| Qualcomm | Yes. |
| Futurewei | A better and more complete solution exists. The WA is incomplete as it does not describe P/SP TRS but only SSB.  A-TRS has not been a standalone RS --- it is associated with some P/SP-TRS. So it is expected that when A-TRS is configured and may be used as T-RS for activation, the associated P/SP-TRS is also configured. If the SSB can be used as a QCL source with Type C, then at least for some cases the P/SP-TRS can also be used as a QCL source with Type A, which is much better than Type C.  Then the SSB and associated P/SP TRS of the to-be-activated SCell are the QCL source for the temporary AP TRS in case of known SCell, and the AP TRS serves as the QCL source for other RS following it, including P/SP TRS if sent after the AP TRS, and the AP/P/SP TRS serves as the QCL source for other RS after the P/SP TRS.  It is also a bit unclear what the WA means by “… SSB … can be indicated as a QCL source …” Does it mean the MAC CE needs to include some TCI state pointing to the SSB? Can the WA be further clarified to describe how it may impact RAN1 design? |
| Xiaomi | Option 5.1.2, confirm the WA. |
| Vivo | OK to confirm the working assumption. |
| MTK | OK to confirm the working assumption. The details mentioned by Futurewei can be figured out later. |
| NTT DOCOMO | Ok to confirm the WA. |
| Intel | Ok to confirm the working assumption. |
| Nokia, NSB | Ok in general with the working assumption, however it implies the gNB is aware of the SCell status (known/unknown) and one criteria for known state is that “the SSB measured remains detectable according to the cell identification conditions specified in clause 9.2 and 9.3”. A method for gNB to be aware of this is missing.  **Proposal**: **For efficient Scell activation with assistance of temporary RS, a SSB of the to-be-activated Scell can be indicated as a QCL source for the temporary RS in case of known Scell**  **FFS: gNB awareness of Scell status (known vs nknown)** |
| Ericsson | Support to confirm WA. |
| Samsung | OK to discuss the issues mentioned in [7].  The WA can also be confirmed although that can also be done later. |
| OPPO | Does this WA describe a gNB behavior or UE behavior?  If it is a gNB behavior, it is not clear to us how gNB can judge “in case of known cell”.  If it is a UE behavior, this is another place reflecting the similar Issue-3: In issue-3 discussion, RAN1 assumes it is in RAN4 scope to handle the mismatch event. But what would be the handling here? It is a bit strange and a new burden for RAN4 to handle QCL. |
| Moderator | Regarding the potential misalignment between UEs and gNB for known SCell v.s unknown SCell, a conclusion has been made.  @Futurewei, Not sure if I fully got your point. How to indicate the QCL source is being discussed under S3.1.1, unless you meant QCL source should not be indicated but only derived from the latest SSB/P-TRS/SP-TRS in case of known SCell state. Could you please clarify it a bit? Additionally, it may not good to consider SP-TRS activated for a deactivated SCell. To resolve your concern for P-TRS/SP-TRS, the proposal is updated.  FL Proposal 5-1: Confirm the following WA with modification in red,  **Working Assumption**  For efficient Scell activation with assistance of temporary RS, a SSB or a P-TRS of the to-be-activated Scell can be indicated as a QCL source for the temporary RS in case of known Scell   * FFS: QCL type * FFS: the case of unknown Scell * FFS: other QCL source, e.g. the SSB/P-TRS of another active cell, SP-TRS of the to-be-activated SCell |

##### FL proposal

With above summary, a potential proposal is,

FL Proposal 5-1: Confirm the following WA with modification in red,

**Working Assumption**

For efficient Scell activation with assistance of temporary RS, a SSB or a P-TRS of the to-be-activated Scell can be indicated as a QCL source for the temporary RS in case of known Scell

* FFS: QCL type
* FFS: the case of unknown Scell
* FFS: other QCL source, e.g. the SSB/P-TRS of another active cell, SP-TRS of the to-be-activated SCell

Comments are welcome.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *View* |
| Qualcomm | Not support in the current formulation.  Suggest to move “P-TRS” under the last FFS subbullet.  For SCell activation, the UE is supposed to measure/monitor SSB during deactived state before the activation process runs. Therefore, it is straightforward to say that a SSB is a QCL source for the temporary RS. Does this proposal, “including P-TRS in the main bullet”, require UE to monitor P-TRS during deactivated state?  Futurewei pointed out that “A-TRS has not been a standalone RS”. Do you propose that temporary RS cannot be a standalone RS? Does it require periodic TRS? If yes, why?  We do not think both above are reasonable. Moving “P-TRS” under the last FFS subbullet is acceptable to us. |
| Xiaomi | Same questions as Qualcomm. It seems take SSB as the QCL source is straightforward and sufficiently. |
| MTK | Fine with the FL proposal but also want to have some clarifications:   * I am guessing adding P-TRS is for the case NW always broadcasts P-TRS? * Does adding this solve the issue issues mentioned in [7]? |
| Intel | Same questions as Qualcomm. It is simpler to just use SSB as QCL source for temporary RS. |
| Futurewei2 | This WA is for a ‘known SCell’, meaning that the information provided by SSB/P-TRS can still be at least partially usable when the activation starts. It does not say to receive SSB/P-TRS during deactivation or during the activation, but to use existing information provided by previous SSB/P-TRS (if not fully outdated to become unknown) so that they can be the QCL source of the temporary RS.  Any AP-TRS in existing spec is configured with a P/SP-TRS. We think the AP-TRS as a temporary RS should also follow this design. Then during activation, the AP-TRS as a temporary RS may still be able to utilize any information from the associated P/SP-TRS, which is based on QCL Type A, much strong than utilizing SSB based on QCL Type C.  One related issue that need also be addressed is what happens after activation process is done. Currently, PDSCH DMRS is QCLed in Type A with some P-TRS and should still be the case after activation process. During the activation process, temporary RS (AP-TRS) serves as a temporary source for QCL Type A. If this AP-TRS is not associated with the P-TRS for QCL-Type A source after activation, what is the UE behavior? |
| Ericsson2 | Not OK with FL Proposal 5-1.  We prefer to confirm the previous WA without any updates to it. Any further discussion can be FFS. Given the Ap-TRS can be received immediately after the MAC CE processing time (reference slot k=0), it is not clear whether it is beneficial to indicate a P-TRS as QCL source for Ap-TRS. |
| vivo | Our preference is to confirm the previous WA, but we are open to consider P-TRS and AP-TRS. |
| Nokia, NSB (23.8) | We are generally OK to confirm the WA, and seems at this stage the P-TRS agreement would need to be taken separately. |

**Issue-5.2: if the working assumption is confirmed, which QCL types are expected?**

* **Opt 5.2.1:** ‘typeC’ with an SS/PBCH block and, when applicable, ‘typeD’ with the same SS/PBCH block. [1][2][4][9][14]

**Question 5.2: which QCL types are expected if the working assumption “For efficient SCell activation with assistance of temporary RS, a SSB of the to-be-activated SCell can be indicated as a QCL source for the temporary RS in case of known SCell” is confirmed?**

Companies’ views are very welcome.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *View* |
| ZTE | Opt 5.2.1, which is the same rule as in Rel-15. |
| Qualcomm | Yes (Opt.5.2.1) |
| Futurewei | Fine with Type C, but a more complete solution covering all involved QCL relations is needed. For example, if the P/SP TRS is also available, QCL Type A between P/SP TRS and the temporary RS would be preferred. |
| Xiaomi | Option 5.2.1. |
| Spreadtrum | **Opt 5.2.1** |
| vivo | Opt.5.2.1 |
| MTK | Opt.5.2.1 |
| NTT DOCOMO | Opt 5.2.1 |
| Intel | Opt.5.2.1 |
| Nokia, NSB | Opt.5.2.1 |
| Ericsson | Opt 5.2.1. |
| Samsung | Opt.5.2.1 |
| OPPO | Opt.5.2.1 |
| Apple | Opt.5.2.1 |

##### FL proposal

With above summary, a potential proposal is,

FL Proposal 5-2:

If a SSB is indicated as a QCL source for a temporary RS, its QCL type is

* ‘typeC’ with an SS/PBCH block and, when applicable, ‘typeD’ with the same SS/PBCH block.

Comments are welcome.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *View* |
| Qualcomm | OK with the FL Proposal 5-2. |
| Xiaomi | Support. |
| MTK | Support |
| Intel | Support |
| Ericsson2 | OK with the FL proposal 5-2. |
| Spreadtrum | Support |
| Nokia, NSB (23.8) | Support |

**Issue-5.3: For the case of unknown SCell, if SCell is contiguous to an active serving cell in the same band (Intra-band continuous CA), whether the mechanism of FR1 known cell can be reused?**

* **Opt 5.3.1:** Yes [4][9]
* **Opt 5.3.2:** No

**Question 5.3: For the case of unknown SCell, if SCell is contiguous to an active serving cell in the same band (Intra-band continuous CA), whether the mechanism of FR1 known cell can be reused?**

Companies’ views are very welcome.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *View* |
| ZTE | Yes. The same mechanism can be reused. |
| Qualcomm | This belongs to RAN4 RRM discussion. |
| Futurewei | It is a bit unclear to us what is being discussed here. RAN4 already replied the following for FR1 unknown intra-band contiguous CA. Sorry if we missed anything. Please clarify.   * *SCell is unknown and belongs to FR1*   + *When SCell is contiguous to an active serving cell in the same band (Intra-band continuous CA)*     - *UE can perform AGC adjustment based on temporary RS;*        * *One temporary RS burst with only “2-slot with four CSI-RSs resources (4 samples)” is required when the power difference in serving cell and to be activated Scell is smaller than or equal to 6dB.*     - *No cell detection provided the conditions specified for intra-band contiguous CA case in TS38.133 section 8.3.2 are satisfied;*     - *UE can perform time-frequency tracking based on temporary RS*       * *One temporary RS burst with only “2-slot with four CSI-RSs resources (4 samples)” is required.* |
| Xiaomi | Clarification is needed. For known cell, the SSB on the to-be-activated cell can be configured as the QCL source of the temporary RS. What does the same mechanism mean? The purpose is to use the SSB on the adjacent active cell in the same band as the QCL source? |
| Vivo | The current question is not clear. Clarification is needed no what “mechanism of FR1 known cell” is considered for reuse. |
| MTK | Opt 5.3.1 (Yes) |
| Intel | Opt 5.3.1 (Yes) |
| Nokia, NSB | Agree with Xiaomi, “mechanism for FR1 known cell” needs to be clarified |
| Ericsson | This can be raised in RAN4. |
| Samsung | Opt 5.3.1 (Yes) |
| Apple | We have same understanding as Futurewei that the answer should be ‘yes’ based on RAN4 LS. |
| Spreadtrum | Clarifications are needed for this proposal, same as Xiaomi. |

### The To-be-activated cell acquires essential information for activation enhancement from active cell

#### Issue-6: Tactivation reduction with BS assistance but no temporary RS nor SSB

It is proposed in [1][6] that activation time of the To-be-activated cell can be reduced by acquiring activation information (e.g. synchronization and AGC-related information, QCL information) from active cell(s) which are co-located with the To-be-activated cell. For example, the BS provides a UE the information of co-located reference active cells or source QCL cell to assist the activation of the To-be-activated cell, no SSB nor temporary RS is needed during the SCell activation procedure which can reduce the activation delay. The co-located SCells can be intra-band cells or adjacent inter-band cells.

**Question 6: Whether it is beneficial that neither SSB nor temporary is needed during SCell activation procedure, the AGC/time/frequency synchronization information derived from an activated cell?**

Companies’ views are very welcome.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *View* |
| ZTE | It may need further check with RAN4. |
| Qualcomm | This belongs to RAN4 RRM discussion. |
| Futurewei | We think this is essentially the RAN4 reply on relying on another activated serving cell for AGC or tracking. RAN1 just needs to ‘translate’ the RAN4 inputs to QCL configuration / QCL assumption. So this should be supported. Alternatively, we are also open to other ways to capture RAN4 inputs into RAN1 spec. |
| Xiaomi | We agree with the spirit of the proposal. However, we also have the feeling that RAN4’s inputs are necessary. For example, whether the AGC/Tracking results based on the other Scell is accurate or sufficient for the target cell. |
| Vivo | If this is only for the case of intra-band continuous CA, the answer depends on Question 5.3. For other cases, we should firstly check with RAN4. |
| MTK | Same view with vivo |
| Intel | Not sure if such solution in scope of the WI, since there is not temporary RS based activation acceleration.  From the current LS from RAN4, temporary RS is needed in all the listed scenarios from RAN4. |
| Nokia, NSB | This scenario should be first addressed by RAN4 |
| Ericsson | This can be raised in RAN4. |
| Samsung | It is possible for some cases (e.g. the intra-band contiguous CA) – similar mechanisms already exist for other purposes. But that can go to RAN4. |
| OPPO | It is better raised in RAN4. |
| Apple | Our view is that there is no A-TRS triggering and impact on RAN1 spec is not clear. Is it purely RAN4 impact for latency requirement definition? |

## TCSI\_reporting reduction

### Issue-7: Enhancement for CSI reporting

TCSI\_reporting reduction may be beneficial to achieve efficient SCell activation. Companies’ views are summarized as follows:

* **Opt 7.1** New MAC-CE command that triggers the SCell activation and A-TRS transmission is used to additionally trigger A-CSI-RS transmission. [12]
* **Opt 7.2** Allow for CSI-RS reporting based on the temporary RS [9]

*“In order to enable early activation of the Scell it could be beneficial to allow for CSI-RS reporting based on the temp RS. This initial report would serve two purposes: confirmation of UE detection of temp RS signals and indication of start scheduling availability on the Scell, albeit conservatively.”*

* **Opt 7.3** short interval P/SP- CSI-RS report. [1]

“*The specific P/SP-CSI-RS/reporting for SCell activation can be received during the required period. This short interval P/SP-CSI-RS/reporting for fast SCell activation is beneficial with little specification impacts.*”

* **Opt 7.4** remove TCSI\_reporting for the case of FR2 unknown cell. [1]

“*During the procedure of SCell activation, when gNB receives the beam reporting, i.e. the L1-RSRP report, it implies that UE has completed beam selection and timing synchronization which are necessary conditions for downlink transmission. It means that gNB can start downlink transmission with a conservative or rough MCS on the SCell, and UE can start to monitor PDCCH on the SCell, even the valid CSI report is not yet reported. Thus the gNB and UE can assume the SCell is activated after the Tactivation\_time.*”

**Question 7: which options above of CSI reporting enhancement should be supported?**

Companies’ views are very welcome.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *View* |
| ZTE | Seems the discussion above is related to the undergoing discussion in [106-e-NR-7.1CRs-06], which is trying to clarify whether DCI can be received on/for the to-be-activated SCell during SCell activation procedure.  It is better to wait for the outcome in that email thread. |
| Qualcomm | We should first design temporary RS in this work item. |
| Futurewei | Opt. 7.1 and 7.2 can be considered. If the A-CSI-RS is viewed as part of the temporary RS, then these two options can be merged into one.  Regarding the CR discussion, we think the 321 spec is quite clear that DCI cannot be received on/for the SCell before it is activated. |
| Xiaomi | We are open to CSI reporting issue but it should be deprioritized until temporary RS has a completed solution. |
| Vivo | Opt 7.1 seems unnecessary as network can trigger AP-CSI-RS from another cell for CSI measurement on the being activated SCell.  Opt 7.2 seems not useful as the temporary RS has only one port.  Opt 7.3 is not favorable due to high RS overhead. |
| MTK | Same view with Xiaomi |
| Intel | Opt. 7.1 and 7.2 can be considered. It is better if A-CSI-RS can be triggered together with temporary RS, or A-CSI-RS is part of temporary RS. Opt. 7.2 can be considered too since anyway TRS is a kind of CSI-RS too. |
| Nokia, NSB | Opt 7.1, 7.3 to be discussed after better understanding the delays incurred from temp RS design.  Opt 7.2 can be considered: Although temp RS only single port it allows starting to schedule data. |
| Ericsson | Agree with Qualcomm that we should finalize the temporary RS design first. |
| Samsung | CSI reporting enhancements may not be consistent with fast SCell activation (relative ‘delta’ in time reduction if CSI is assumed to be required becomes small) and average throughput gains over the SCell activation life will be marginal to justify the additional complexity. |
| OPPO | Given the priority is given to temporary RS and the remaining TU/meeting is limited, it seems not feasible to go along with this direction. |
| Apple | One fact is that the periodic CSI-RS becomes bottleneck for SCell activation procedure even we introduce A-TRS in this release. According to the testing field data, the periodicity of P-CSI-RS is very large to reduce overhead. Then, the practical gain of fast SCell activation feature is not attractive anymore.  On vivo/ZTE comments regarding CR discussion in [106-e-NR-7.1CRs-06], I believe it is majority view that triggering A-CSI-RS on to-be-activated SCell is NOT supported according to the current specification TS 38.321. So, it is NOT true that gNB can trigger A-CSI-RS for this case. |

## General Issues

**Question G1:** If two temporary RS bursts are transmitted, whether both bursts should employ the same temporary RS configuration? [9]

Companies’ views are very welcome.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *View* |
| ZTE | Some clarification on the “RS configuration” is needed. For example, is triggering offset included in the “RS configuration”? The triggering offset may be different for the two bursts. |
| Qualcomm | This is something that we assumed already. OK to confirm this. |
| Futurewei | Suggest to revisit this later after the temporary RS design is more clear. |
| Xiaomi | Our understanding is that the RS configuration here means the configuration within a RS burst. If this is the intention, we are fine to confirm. |
| Vivo | It is better to clarify the details of “same temporary RS configuration”.  Maybe we can start from, e.g., same frequency resource, RS structure, etc., should be employed for both bursts. |
| MTK | Same view with Qualcomm |
| Intel | Same view with Qualcomm |
| Nokia | Ok to confirm. Triggering offset in our view is not part of the RS configuration. Agree with vivo’s clarification |
| Ericsson | OK – except the triggering offset, which we think would also be part of the RS configuration, but it can be different for the two bursts. |
| Samsung | Agree with Qualcomm |
| OPPO | Agree with Qualcomm. |
| Apple | Yes |

**Question G2:** Whether the UE should provide the gNB information of which configured but inactive Scells are able to benefit from fast activation and/or the need for temporary RS? [9]

Companies’ views are very welcome.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *View* |
| ZTE | Our understanding is that, all known Scells can benefit from fast activation. Could the proponents give some example scenarios for the above question? |
| Qualcomm | Not clear how this is different from usual measurement reports. |
| Futurewei | Similar question as ZTE. Or maybe this is related to UE capability? |
| Xiaomi | Same question as ZTE/Futurewei. |
| Vivo | If the intention is for the UE to report whether a SCell is in known or unknown state, we think it is not necessary. |
| MTK | The intention seems for the UE to report whether a SCell is in known or unknown state. Since we are only designing temporary RS for known cell for now, we think this can bring benefits. |
| Intel | Same question as ZTE/Futurewei. |
| Nokia, NSB | We are targeting fast SCell activation, and a UE will typically have more than one configured SCell. The gNB should be aware of which of the configured Scells can be activated with minimized activation time, i.e. which cells are known. If the gNB is not aware of the UE SCell status. The Fast Scell activation cannot be guaranteed and would significantly reduce the benefits of this feature.  Furthermore, the SCell status can dictate e.g. QCL source as per Question 5.1. The gNB overheads from temp RS could also be reduced with information of the SCell status.  One of the RAN4 criterions for a UE to consider a cell as known is that the SSB measured remains detectable. The gNB is not aware of this and hence cannot assume an SCell is known. |
| Ericsson | RRM measurement reporting and valid CQI reporting for the SCell upon activation are already available. So, the motivation for further reporting is unclear. |
| Samsung | Can discuss further based on specifics for the information. |
| OPPO | Same comments as from Qualcomm. |
| Apple | The motivation is unclear given the existing RRM reporting mechanism. |

**Question G3**: Whether or not to additionally support AP CSI-RS, P/SP CSI-RS, SRS, and RS based on SSS/PSS as temporary RS, one or more of which may be used during SCell activation depends on network configuration / UE capability. [6]

Companies’ views are very welcome.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *View* |
| ZTE | Currently, it is better to focus on the already agreed temporary RS and finalize all the remaining issues for it. If time permits, more temporary RS can be considered later on. |
| Qualcomm | We should first design temporary RS in this work item. It is not clear what to do for AP CSI-RS, P/SP CSI-RS, and SRS. It is not clear what the RS based on SSS/PSS is. Based on the agreements RAN1 made so far, we think in this work item RAN1 should focus on temporary RS designs for the time being. |
| Futurewei | These RSs are potential candidates for temporary RS, and should be further discussed in this WI. For example, if RAN1 wishes to speed CSI reporting, then CSI-RS should be included as part of temporary RS. AP SSB is also needed if the known/unknown cell issue can be resolved by sending AP SSB or if cell detection is needed (please refer to RAN4 replies: some cases do not require cell detection as stated by RAN4, but other cases may need). |
| vivo | Similar view as ZTE. |
| MTK | Same view with Futurewei. |
| Intel | Same view with Futurewei. |
| Nokia, NSB | Agree with Qualcomm |
| Ericsson | Same view as Qualcomm. |
| Samsung | Similar views as for CSI enhancements. The “delta” benefit over the scheduling timeline on the Scell will be at best marginal while the cost is substantial. |
| OPPO | Same comments as from Qualcomm. |

**Question G4**: Clarification on BWP ID configured for temporary RS

In RAN1#105-e meeting, the following agreement has been achieved,

Agreement

If a UE measures a temporary RS triggered by a MAC-CE during SCell activation procedure, the measurement is performed within the BWP bandwidth of BWP indicated by *firstActiveDownlinkBWP-Id.*

Further clarification to the previous agreement on BWP is proposed in [6]

- All TRS(s) as temporary RS(s) can only be configured on the BWP with *firstActiveDownlinkBWP-Id*;

- The SCell always activates into the BWP with *firstActiveDownlinkBWP-Id*.

RAN1 agreement does not say that a temporary RS has to be on the BWP with *firstActiveDownlinkBWP-Id*; it only says that the measurement of the temporary RS, e.g., a TRS, is within the bandwidth of the BWP with *firstActiveDownlinkBWP-Id*. Thus, it seems possible that the UE just performs measurement of the TRS on the overlapped bandwidth between two different BWPs.

With above summary, a potential proposal is

***FL proposal G4:***

*If any BWP ID is configured within the configuration of temporary RS(s), the value of the BWP ID is expected to be equal to firstActiveDownlinkBWP-Id;*

Companies’ views are very welcome.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *View* |
| Qualcomm | Support the FL proposal. |
| Moderator | Closed |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Other Issues

Issues or comments that do not fit in any of the previous sections of this document can be provided in this section.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Company* | *View* |
| Futurewei | RAN1 agreement does not say that a temporary RS has to be on the BWP with firstActiveDownlinkBWP-Id; it only says that the measurement of the temporary RS, e.g., a TRS, is within the bandwidth of the BWP with firstActiveDownlinkBWP-Id. Thus, it seems possible that, say, the BWP with firstActiveDownlinkBWP-Id is BWP 1 but the TRS is configured on BWP 2, and the UE just performs measurement of the TRS on the overlapped bandwidth of BWP 1 and BWP 2. This can create some issues as shown in our tdoc. We proposed the following:  *Further clarify / strengthen the previous agreement on BWP to include:*  *- All TRS(s) as temporary RS(s) can only be configured on the BWP with firstActiveDownlinkBWP-Id;*  *- The SCell always activates into the BWP with firstActiveDownlinkBWP-Id.* |
| vivo | We are fine to make the agreement clearer, and our understanding of the previous agreement is that the temporary RS is configured to the *firstActiveDownlinkBWP*. |
| MTK | Fine with Futurewei’s proposal. |
| Intel | Fine with Futurewei’s proposal. |
| Nokia, NSB | Fine with Futurewei’s proposal. |

# Conclusions

Only for GTW session,
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# Appendix: Agreements

|  |
| --- |
| Agreements:  As working assumption, with respect to efficient SCell activation, reuse existing Rel-15/16 TRS structure for temporary RS   * FFS: how many burst/symbols are required for both AGC settling and Time/Frequency tracking for different cases, e.g. FR1 and FR2, known and unknown SCell   + A burst of temporary RS is notated as in S5.1.6.1.1 of TS 38.214     - “2-slot with four CSI-RSs resources (4 samples)” for FR1     - either “1-slot with two CSI-RSs resources (2 samples)” or “2-slot with four CSI-RSs resources (4 samples)” for FR2 * The working assumption can be confirmed after RAN4 check. (A LS for such request is planned).   Agreements:  For efficient SCell activation, discuss and agree from the following alternatives at RAN1#104-e   * Alt 1: the trigger of temporary RS is integrated into a single triggering signaling with the trigger of SCell activation transmitted on an activated cell.   + FFS detailed design of this integrated triggering signaling.   + Potential examples of single triggering signaling for further discussions   + A PDSCH TB, e.g. containing two respective MAC-CEs for both triggers, one MAC-CE for both triggers   + A DCI for both triggers   + A PDSCH TB and its scheduling DL grant, e.g. MAC-CE for activation and DL grant for temporary RS   + A DL grant and a UL grant received in the same slot/OFDM symbols of PDCCH where the DL grant is scheduling a MAC-CE for SCell activation and the UL grant is triggering the RS.   + Rel-15/16 SCell activation MAC-CE and a specific configuration of temporary RS being implicitly triggered as well * Alt2: Triggering of temporary RS separately from SCell activation command is not precluded and both ‘separate’ triggers (examples below) and ‘integrated’ triggers (examples in Alt 1) are considered for SCell activation   + FFS detailed design of separate triggering signaling.   + Potential examples of separate triggering signaling for further discussions   + Rel-15/16 SCell activation MAC-CE and Rel 15/16 DCI triggering   + Rel-15/16 SCell activation MAC-CE and new DCI triggering for temporary RS * Note: temporary RS should be triggered by DCI or MAC-CE. * Note: the final mechanism of trigger signaling targets at applicability to one or more SCell activation. * FFS handling of  SCell activation by existing Rel15/16 CA activation command when temporary RS is configured and triggered/not triggered   **Working Assumption**  At least for the case of known cell, temporary RS is supported to expedite the activation process during the SCell activation procedure for efficient SCell activation for both FR1 and FR2:   * The temporary RS should provide at least the functionalities of AGC settling and time/frequency tracking during SCell activation procedure. * FFS potential functionalities of CSI measurement/acquisition and cell search   Agreements:  TRS is selected as temporary RS for Scell activation           If more functionalities are confirmed to be supported by temporary RS, other RS candidates, e.g. aperiodic CSI-RS, P/SP-CSI RS, SRS and RS based on SSS/PSS, are not precluded.           The TRS should be triggered by DCI or MAC-CE. FFS which exact triggering command.    Agreements:  UEs measure the triggered temporary RS during Scell activation procedure no earlier than a slot m:           FFS timeline values m which may need coordination with RAN4.           FFS if the triggered temporary RS can be associated with a BWP, then the measurement above is independent of the activation state of the BWP.  Agreements:  Companies are encouraged to provide design details of temporary RS next meeting, at least including:   * TRS structure, e.g. whether to fully reuse existing Rel-15/16 TRS structure and configuration restriction (refer to S5.1.6.1.1 of TS 38.214), or any modification * QCL information, if any * Triggering command: DCI format/fields or MAC-CE fields * Triggering timeline/scheduling offset   **Working Assumption**  For efficient SCell activation with assistance of temporary RS, a SSB of the to-be-activated SCell can be indicated as a QCL source for the temporary RS in case of known SCell   * FFS: QCL type * FFS: the case of unknown SCell * FFS: other QCL source, e.g. the SSB/P-TRS of another active cell   **Agreement**  For efficient activation of SCells,down select at least one option from below:   * Option 1a: MAC CE(s) contained in a single PDSCH to trigger both SCell activation and corresponding temporary RS(s)   + Details FFS including timeline design for receiving temporary RS * Option 1b: A single DCI to trigger both SCell activation and corresponding temporary RS(s)   + Details FFS including potential impact on SCell activation related procedures and, e.g. timeline design for SCell activation and for receiving temporary RS   + FFS: The same DCI for SCell deactivation * Option 2: A Rel-15/16 SCell activation MAC-CE to trigger SCell activation and a Rel-15/16 DCI to trigger corresponding temporary RS(s) with enhancement of timeline   + Details FFS including timeline design for receiving a DCI trigger of temporary RS, and for receiving temporary RS * Note: Companies are encouraged to provide complete solutions for fast SCell activation. * Note: the previous agreement on the definitions of Alt 1 and Alt 2 is still effective   **Agreement**  For efficient activation of SCells   * Option 1a: MAC CE(s) contained in a single PDSCH to trigger both SCell activation and corresponding temporary RS(s)   + Details FFS including timeline design for receiving temporary RS   Note: Separate from the support of Option 1a, it is up to RAN4 whether or not to consider an activation time enhancement for Option 2 without requiring further RAN1 work   * Option 2: A Rel-15/16 SCell activation MAC-CE to trigger SCell activation and a Rel-15/16 DCI to trigger corresponding Rel-15/16 A-TRS(s)   Send an LS to RAN4. The LS is endorsed in R1-2104110.  Agreement  For efficient activation of Scells, the triggered temporary RS is aperiodic.  Agreement  For efficient activation of a Scell (in known Scell case), at least the number of temporary RS bursts is indicated by a field in new MAC-CE   * The number of temporary RS bursts is RRC configurable. * FFS: which field in MAC-CE is used and how this field is associated with the number of bursts * For the purpose of designing temporary RS Scell activation, there is no RAN1 specification impact for the case where the number of indicated temporary RS bursts is smaller than what is expected by the UE   Agreement  To trigger temporary RS for efficient activation of SCells, the contents of the triggering MAC-CE(s) in a single PDSCH provide at least the following information (explicitly or implicitly):   * Whether or not temporary RS is triggered * FFS detailed Information of temporary RS, e.g.:   + Resources used for triggered Temporary RS   + Triggering time offset of triggered Temporary RS   + QCL source for triggered Temporary RS * FFS: Detailed signalling structure of the triggering MAC-CE(s) including the down-selection between the following example options and whether the decision should be made in RAN1 or RAN2   + Opt. 1.1: One new MAC CE for both SCell activation triggering and corresponding temporary RS triggering   + Opt. 1.2: One R15/16 SCell activation MAC CE for SCell activation triggering and one new MAC CE (in the same PDSCH) for corresponding temporary RS triggering   Agreement  For efficient activation of a Scell (in known Scell case), the triggering offset of temporary RS is indicated by a field in new MAC-CE   * The candidate value(s) of triggering offset(s) is RRC configurable * FFS: which field in MAC-CE is used and how this field is associated with the value of triggering offset   Agreement  For the reference slot for triggering offset of temporary RS   * Option 2: the last DL slot of the to-be-activated Scell overlapping with slot n+k as defined in 38.213 sub-clause 4.3 * FFS: the earliest slot no earlier than the reference slot for a UE to receive a triggered temporary RS   Agreement  If a UE measures a temporary RS triggered by a MAC-CE during SCell activation procedure, the measurement is performed within the BWP bandwidth of BWP indicated by *firstActiveDownlinkBWP-Id*  Agreement  For efficient SCell activation, the earliest slot for a UE to receive a triggered temporary RS is the reference slot (i.e., the last DL slot of the to-be-activated Scell overlapping with slot n+k as defined in 38.213 sub-clause 4.3).  Conclusion  For the purpose of designing temporary RS for Scell activation, RAN1 will not discuss for the case where a gNB may assume the to-be-activated SCell with assistance of temporary RS is a known SCell for a UE but it is actually unknown SCell from the UE side during the SCell activation duration.  Agreement  For to-be-activated SCell, if any BWP ID is configured as part of temporary RS(s) configuration, the value of the BWP ID is expected to be equal to *firstActiveDownlinkBWP*-Id; |