Companies please share their inputs on the excel spreadsheet in ‘/tsg\_ran/WG1\_RL1/TSGR1\_106-e/Inbox/drafts/8.12.3/RRC Parameters/’.

## Inputs on version-000

Please share your inputs, if any, in the following table

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| Qualcomm | For locationAndBandwidth-Broadcast,  The parameter is configured in a CFR for broadcast. It should be per CFR instead of per cell.  For pdsch-ConfigCommon-Broadcast,  We think it should be *pdsch-Config-Broadcast*, aligned with *pdsch-Config-Multicast* in a *CFR-Config-Multicast*. The parameters in *pdsch-Config-Broadcast* can be FFS. It is misleading to use *pdsch-ConfigCommon* with TDRA only for broadcast GC-PDSCH.  The parameter is configured in a CFR for broadcast. It should be per CFR instead of per cell.  For pcsch-ConfigCommon-Broadcast,  We think it should be *pdcch-Config-Broadcast*, aligned with *pdcch-Config-Multicast* in a *CFR-Config-Multicast*. The parameters in *pdcch-Config-Broadcast* can be FFS. It is misleading to use *pdcch-ConfigCommon* with CORESET/SS of RA/paging/SIB1 for broadcast GC-PDCCH.  The parameter is configured in a CFR for broadcast. It should be per CFR instead of per cell.  For searchSpaceBroadcast,  The parameter is configured in a CFR for broadcast. It should be per CFR instead of per cell. |
| MediaTek | Regarding the parameters cfr-Config-MCCH and cfr-Config-MTCH in *Post\_RAN1#106-e\_Rel-17\_RRC\_MBS\_Broadcast.xlsx* file, We don’t support the two separate parameters for MCCH and MTCH. From our understanding, if there are two separate CFR parameter for MCCH and MTCH, it is nature to support two CFRs for broadcast reception. However, whether support different CFR for MCCH and MTCH is still FFS, at least, at current stage, the same CFR is for MCCH/MTCH broadcast reception was agreed as the following agreement reached in RAN1#106-e meeting:   |  | | --- | | Agreement:  For broadcast reception, RRC\_IDLE/RRC\_INACTIVE UEs can use the same bandwidth configurations for the CFR of GC-PDCCH/PDSCH carrying MCCH and the CFR of GC-PDCCH/PDSCH carrying MTCH.   * FFS: use of different bandwidth configurations for the CFR of GC-PDCCH/PDSCH carrying MCCH and the CFR of GC-PDCCH/PDSCH carrying MTCH |   We suggest a common frequency parameter “cfr-Config-broadcast” instead of separate “cfr-Config-MCCH” and “cfr-Config-MTCH” is used for MCCH and MTCH configuration.  For remaining parameter listed in excel file, we agreed with QC’s view that these parameters should be defined/configured per CFR instead of per cell. |
| Apple | We share the similar view as MedidaTek on parameter of CFR-Config-MCCH or CFR-Config-MTCH. According to the agreements reached by now, the parameter cfr-Config-Boradcast seems more aligned with the agreements. We don’t see the reasons to define two CFRs for MCCH and MTCH, it’s contradictory with RAN1#104 agreement that one CFR can be defined/configured. And with RAN1#106 agreement, same bandwidth configurations for CFR for MCCH and MTCH also means one CFR is enough.  RAN1#104 Agreement:  For RRC\_IDLE/RRC\_INACTIVE UEs, one common frequency resource for group-common PDCCH/PDSCH can be defined/configured.   * FFS: whether to define/configure more than one common frequency resources   RAN1#106 Agreement  Only one CFR can be configured for group-common PDCCH/PDSCH carrying MCCH for broadcast reception with UEs in RRC\_IDLE/INACTIVE state.  RAN1#106 Agreement:  For broadcast reception, RRC\_IDLE/RRC\_INACTIVE UEs can use the same bandwidth configurations for the CFR of GC-PDCCH/PDSCH carrying MCCH and the CFR of GC-PDCCH/PDSCH carrying MTCH.   * FFS: use of different bandwidth configurations for the CFR of GC-PDCCH/PDSCH carrying MCCH and the CFR of GC-PDCCH/PDSCH carrying MTCH |

## Inputs on version-001

Please share your inputs, if any, in the following table

…