Companies are to share their inputs on the excel spreadsheet in /tsg\_ran/WG1\_RL1/TSGR1\_106-e/Inbox/drafts/8.1.4/RRC/ herein.

## Inputs on initial version

Please share your inputs, if any, in the following table

Table 1 Inputs: Initial version

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| Samsung | We think that the RAN2 Parent IE for these two RRC parameters can be further discussed. * If the “N CMR pairs” are configured under CSI-ReportConfig, then the configuration for “Two CMR groups” in a NZP-CSI-RS-ResourceSet can be referred by two CSI-ReportConfigs with different CMR pairs configurations.
* If “SharingCMR” is configured under CSI-ReportConfig, then the configuration for “Two CMR groups” in a NZP-CSI-RS-ResourceSet can be referred by two CSI-ReportConfigs with different SharingCMR configurations.
 |
| Lenovo/MotM | **Sub-feature “CSI-FDD”**- Regarding the parameter “paramCombination-r17”, we prefer including a note regarding the possible inclusion of R within the parameter combination, due to the dependence of R value on M, e.g., for M=1 only R=1 should be supported. Hence, we suggest the following update to the description:“Field describes supported parameter combination represented by (alpha, Mv, beta, R) with K1 = alpha\*P, and R (if multiple R values are supported) for Rel-17 Type II PS codebook**Sub-feature “CSI-mTRP”**- We share similar views as Samsung on the RAN2 parent IE for “N CMR pairs” and “SharingCMR”. In our understanding, the decomposition of CMRs into two groups, as well as CMR sharing are features of the M-TRP CSI-ReportConfig and not the NZP CSI-RS resource set. Hence, the RAN2 parent IE should be further discussed, or at least deferred to RAN2 assessment. |
| Ericsson | We prefer to use valueOfN (or numberOfFDBases/Taps) instead of numberOfN  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Inputs on version 01

Please share your inputs, if any, in the following table

....