Companies are to share their inputs on the excel spreadsheet:

<https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG1_RL1/TSGR1_106-e/Inbox/drafts/8.1.2.4/RRC>

## Inputs on initial version

Please share your inputs, if any, in the following table

Table 1 Inputs: Initial version

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| ZTE | Regarding the FG on simultaneousTCI-UpdateList1, simultaneousTCI-UpdateList2, we suggest removing it for now as we may use the existing Rel-16 parameters to update TCIs of CORESETs in the CC group. From our perspective, it is sufficient to reuse the existing prameters. |
| Moderator | Re ZTE  Just to clarify the intention of this row. You are right that we don’t have agreement on new RRC parameters, but even the parameters are agreed to be reused from Rel-16, RAN1 still needs to inform RAN2 about their new usage for RAN2 specification update. “New or existing” column with “FFS” should address your concern, but it is OK to remove the row as well. |
| vivo | Regarding the RRC parameters for SFN PDCCH and SFN PDSCH, we don’t have agreement on whether using the common parameter for both of them, or using separate parameters in the previous meeting. However, in the RRC list now, there are two rows showing the SFN PDCCH and SFN PDSCH’s RRC parameters (i.e., sfnSchemePdcch and sfnSchemePdsch) seperately. In our view, it depends on which combinations of PDCCH and PDSCH would be supported. We should further discuss it before determining the final RRC parameter(s). Therefore, it would be better to add FFS before sfnSchemePdcch and sfnSchemePdsch and note: depend on further discussion about whether using common RRC parameter for SFN PDCCH and SFN PDSCH indication or not in the list. |
| Samsung | We agree with Moderator’s view on simultaneous update. For *sfnSchemePdcch*, given the discussion so far, it can be even per CORESET, so “per CORESET” can be also included in “Per (UE, cell, TRP, …)” column as an FFS. |
| CATT | We have similar views as Samsung. Since we don’t have agreement on detail configuration for *sfnSchemePdcch*, “Per (UE, cell, TRP, …)” column should include “per UE”, “per CC”, “per CORESET” and “per BWP” as an FFS. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Inputs on version 01

Please share your inputs, if any, in the following table

....