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## Introduction

In this summary, the term “item 1” refers to the first item in the Rel.17 NR FeMIMO WID, i.e. multi-beam enhancement:

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Enhancement on multi-beam operation, mainly targeting FR2 while also applicable to FR1:    1. Identify and specify features to facilitate more efficient (lower latency and overhead) DL/UL beam management for intra-cell and inter-cell scenarios to support higher UE speed and/or a larger number of configured TCI states:       1. Common beam for data and control transmission/reception for DL and UL, especially for intra-band CA       2. Unified TCI framework for DL and UL beam indication       3. Enhancement on signaling mechanisms for the above features to improve latency and efficiency with more usage of dynamic control signaling (as opposed to RRC)       4. For inter-cell beam management, a UE can transmit to or receive from only a single cell (i.e. serving cell does not change when beam selection is done). This includes L1-only measurement/reporting (i.e. no L3 impact) and beam indication associated with cell(s) with any Physical Cell ID(s)          1. The beam indication is based on Rel-17 unified TCI framework          2. The same beam measurement/reporting mechanism will be reused for inter-cell mTRP          3. This work shall only consider intra-DU and intra-frequency cases    2. Identify and specify features to facilitate UL beam selection for UEs equipped with multiple panels, considering UL coverage loss mitigation due to MPE, based on UL beam indication with the unified TCI framework for UL fast panel selection |

This summary includes the following:

* Observation and proposal
* Summary of current companies’ positions on each of the aspects within the category

## Summary of companies’ inputs

The listed issues are structured primarily to facilitate some progress on pending issues identified in the agreements (see Appendix A).

### Issue 1 (Rel.17 unified TCI framework – note: for intra-cell beam management)

(no more for this meeting)

### Issue 2 (inter-cell beam management)

**Proposal 2.E**: On Rel.17 L1-RSRP multi-beam measurement/reporting enhancements for inter-cell beam management and inter-cell mTRP, select NMAX (the maximum number of RRC configured TRP(s) with different PCIs from the serving cell for measurement/reporting) from the following alternatives (to be decided in RAN1#106bis-e):

* Alt1: NMAX is up to UE capability with candidate values of 1 and X.
  + Note: X as agreed in AI 8.1.2.2
  + When NMAX is configured to be X, the UE measures up to X PCIs different from the serving cell PCI
* Alt2. NMAX  = 1

Table 1 Additional inputs: FL proposal

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| Mod V0 | **1) Share your inputs on the above FL proposal** |
| MediaTek | Support the proposal |
| Ericsson | Support Alt1. Maybe we can add a note  **Proposal 2.E**: On Rel.17 L1-RSRP multi-beam measurement/reporting enhancements for inter-cell beam management and inter-cell mTRP, select NMAX (the maximum number of RRC configured TRP(s) with different PCIs from the serving cell for measurement/reporting) from the following alternatives (to be decided in RAN1#106bis-e):   * Alt1: NMAX is up to UE capability with candidate values of 1 and X.   + Note: X as agreed in AI 8.1.2.2   + When NMAX is configured to be X, the UE measures up to X PCIs different from the serving cell PCI   + Additional restrictions may be added by RAN4 |
| Sony | It looks fine to us. |
| Apple | OK with majority as long as UE can report Nmax = 1 and smaller value than X. |
| Nokia/NSB | TRP is not visible in the specifications. We propose the following update:  **Proposal 2.E**: On Rel.17 L1-RSRP multi-beam measurement/reporting enhancements for inter-cell beam management and inter-cell mTRP, select NMAX (the maximum number of RRC configured ~~TRP(s)~~ reference signals with different PCIs for L1-RSRP measurements/reporting from the serving cell for measurement/reporting) from the following alternatives (to be decided in RAN1#106bis-e):   * Alt1: NMAX is up to UE capability with candidate values of 1 and 2.   + When NMAX is configured to be 2, the UE measures up to 2 PCIs different from the serving cell PCI * Alt2. NMAX  = 1   **Alt2. (for R17) but the specified solution should not prevent any later extensions to the max number of different PCIs to be support.** |

### Issue 3 (beam indication signaling medium)

(no more for this meeting)

### Issue 4 (MP-UE)

Table 2 Summary: issue 4

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Proposal 4.A V2 | **Support/ok**: LG, Sony, Samsung, Lenovo/MotM, Qualcomm, Apple, MTK, ZTE, IDC, LG, CMCC, vivo, NTT Docomo, Spreadtrum, Xiaomi, Fraunhofer IIS/HHI  **Concern**: Ericsson, OPPO, CATT, Nokia/NSB |
| Proposal 4.A V3 (proposed by Ericsson during GTW) | **Support/ok**: Ericsson  **Concern**: |

**Proposal 4.A V2**: On Rel.17 enhancements to facilitate UE-initiated panel activation and selection:

* A panel entity corresponds to a reported CSI-RS and/or SSB resource index in a beam reporting instance (i.e. Opt1-1 per RAN1#104-bis-e agreement)
  + The correspondence between a panel entity and a reported CSI-RS and/or SSB resource index is informed to NW
    - FFS: Detailed design of how to inform the correspondence to NW
  + Note: the correspondence between a CSI-RS and/or SSB resource index and a panel entity is determined by the UE (analogous to Rel-15/16)
* Support UE reports maximum number of SRS ports for each panel entity
* Support multiple codebook-based SRS resource sets with different maximum number of UL MIMO layers
  + The indicated SRI is based on the SRS resources corresponding to one SRS resource set, where the SRS resource set should be aligned with the UE capability for the panel entity

**OR**

**Proposal 4.A V3**: On Rel.17 enhancements to facilitate UE-initiated panel activation and selection:

* Include in the CSI report, the maximum number of supported number of SRS antenna ports corresponding to the reported SSBRI/CRI
* Support multiple codebook-based SRS resource sets with different maximum number of UL MIMO layers
  + The indicated SRI is based on the SRS resources corresponding to one SRS resource set, where the SRS resource set should be aligned with the UE capability for the panel entity

Table 3 Additional inputs: issue 4

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| Mod V0 | **1) Check and update Table 2 based on the two alternative proposals (4.A V2 vs 4.A V3).**  **2) Summarize your concern, if any, on a proposal you are against** |
| MediaTek | We prefer Proposal 4.A V2 with minor change to align the second and third bullets.  **Proposal 4.A V2**: On Rel.17 enhancements to facilitate UE-initiated panel activation and selection:   * A panel entity corresponds to a reported CSI-RS and/or SSB resource index in a beam reporting instance (i.e. Opt1-1 per RAN1#104-bis-e agreement)   + The correspondence between a panel entity and a reported CSI-RS and/or SSB resource index is informed to NW     - FFS: Detailed design of how to inform the correspondence to NW   + Note: the correspondence between a CSI-RS and/or SSB resource index and a panel entity is determined by the UE (analogous to Rel-15/16) * Support UE reports maximum number of SRS ports for each panel entity as a UE capability * Support multiple codebook-based SRS resource sets with different number of SRS ports   + The indicated SRI is based on the SRS resources corresponding to one SRS resource set, where the SRS resource set should be aligned with the UE capability for the panel entity   On Proposal 4.A V3, we believe the itension of this proposal is the same as the one of V2. However, V2 captures the whole procedure to support UL MIMO layer adaptation more clearly. For example, it is unclear in V3 how NW can configure the SRS resource sets with propoer number of SRS ports that UE can support before UE reports the maximum number of supported SRS ports through the beam reporting. |
| Ericsson | For V3, we prefer that the UE reports the max UL rank.  Concerns on V2:  Introduction of panel ID may lead to panel-centric UE handling.  It is unclear how the correspondence is reported to the NW  The extension to FR1 is unclear  The separate mapping between the panel ID and rank leads to additional delay  To MTek: either method relies on the first report to determine the rank. |
| Sony | Support Proposal 4.A V2.  In V2, we think the correspondence (potentially dynamic) between UE panel and CSI-RS/SSB is conveyed by beam reporting, it doesn’t rely on how many antenna ports CB-based SRS resources can apply. So we are fine with MTK’s revision on the second bullet by adding “as a UE capability”.  As for V3, we try to understand it as that the maximum number of supported SRS antenna ports is used to identify an UE antenna panel entity. One quick comment popped up in mind is that what if UE reports the same number of maximum SRS antenna ports from two UE panels, how would gNB to tell which panel corresponding to which SRS antenna ports for UL transmission? Perhaps a rule for gNB to interpret UE beam reporting is needed. |
| Apple | Our first preference is V2, and we can also accept V3.  Both V2 and V3 can be supported for both FR1 and FR2. We can change the term “panel entity” into a logical name like “transmission process”. The word “panel” may give people a wrong impression that this can only be supported for FR2.  @Ericsson, For V3, it should be number of port instead of max UL rank. If we assume different number of ports in different panels. For example, if UE report max\_rank = 1 for SSB1 and max\_rank = 2 for SSB2, gNB may still schedule a transmission from a 2 Tx codebook with rank 1 precoder when SSB1 is used for beam indication. |
| Nokia/NSB | We would ok in principle with Proposal 4.A V3 with the following update (UE’s maximum number of ports may be indexed). Prefer also to delete the last reference to panel entity.  **Proposal 4.A V3**: On Rel.17 enhancements to facilitate UE-initiated panel activation and selection:   * Include in the CSI report, the maximum number of supported number of SRS antenna ports or an index value corresponding the maximum number of supported number of SRS antenna ports corresponding to the reported SSBRI/CRI * Support multiple codebook-based SRS resource sets with different maximum number of UL MIMO layers   + ~~The indicated SRI is based on the SRS resources corresponding to one SRS resource set, where the SRS resource set should be aligned with the UE capability for the panel entity~~ |

### Issue 5 (MPE mitigation)

(no more for this meeting)

### Issue 6 (advanced beam refinement/tracking)

**Proposal 6.A**: On Rel-17 enhancements to facilitate advanced beam refinement/tracking, in Rel-17, further focus study (including down-selection) and, if needed, specification effort on Opt 1-A as agreed in RAN1#105-e (UE-initiated beam selection/activation based on beam measurement and/or reporting, without beam indication or activation from NW) comprising:

* UE-initiated (DL-only or DL/UL) beam selection, including the following options
  + Opt1. The selected beam is reported by an event-triggered UE beam reporting via, e.g. UCI, MAC CE, PRACH, UL CG, or CBRA/CFRA
  + Opt2. The selected beam is reported by a legacy UE beam report (NW-configured)
  + FFS on NW-indication of a beam group in which the UE is allowed to do the beam selection, e.g., the NW-indication via MAC-CE
* UE-initiated beam activation based on beam reporting
  + The reported beam(s) are activated as active TCI/spatial relation RS(s) automatically w/o NW activation command after receiving gNB response signaling
  + FFS: The reported beam is applied directly if the number of supported activated beam by the UE is one and/or after receiving gNB response signaling
* UE-initiated UL-only beam selection
  + The UE can select an alternative beam from the other beams in the gNB-configured set containing more than one UL beam

Table 4 Summary: issue 6

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Proposal 6.A | **Support/ok**: ZTE, Qualcomm, Samsung, Apple, IDC, LG, NTT Docomo, CATT, MTK,  **Concern**: Ericsson |

Table 5 Additional inputs: issue 6

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| Mod V0 | **1) Update table 4 if needed**  **2) Please share your inputs, if any, on proposal 6.A** |
| MediaTek | Support the proposal |
| Apple | Support |
| Nokia/NSB | Support |
|  |  |
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