**ISSUE 1**

**Proposal 1.E (overdue)**: On the setting of UL PC parameters except for PL-RS (P0, alpha, closed loop index) for Rel.17 unified TCI framework, the setting of (P0, alpha, closed loop index) for SRS can also be associated with UL or (if applicable) joint TCI state.

* If not associated, the setting(s) of (P0, alpha, closed loop index) for SRS per BWP is independent of the UL or (if applicable) joint TCI states
* This is only applicable for SRS sets using Rel-17 TCI state to determine their spatial relation.

FFS: Whether more than one parameter sets can be configured, e.g. for different traffics

**Proposed conclusion 1.G (need conclusion per RAN1#105-e)**: On the setting of UL PC parameters except for PL-RS (P0, alpha, closed loop index) for Rel.17 unified TCI framework, there is no consensus in configuring the same setting of (P0, alpha, closed loop index) per TCI state across channels and apply a channel dependent component

* Note: It has been agreed that “The setting of (P0, alpha, closed loop index) is at least associated with UL channel or UL RS” and hence the setting of (P0, alpha, closed loop index) is channel/signal dependent (separate settings for PUCCH, PUSCH, and SRS)

**Proposed conclusion 1.F (need conclusion per RAN1#105-e)**: On Rel-17 unified TCI, for Rel-17, there is no consensus in supporting additional (M,N) values other than (M,N)=(1,1)

* For the remaining of Rel-17 work, the design of Rel-17 unified TCI framework should not preclude the support of M>1 and/or N>1 in later releases
* Note: Most companies see the need for supporting M>1 and/or N>1 in later releases, at least for mTRP use cases (and some for sTRP use cases)
* ~~Note: M/N refers to the number of DL/UL TCI states that is signaled at one beam indication instance by Rel-17 beam indication for unified TCI via DCI /MAC-CE~~

**ISSUE 2**

**Proposal 2.E**: On Rel.17 L1-RSRP multi-beam measurement/reporting enhancements for inter-cell beam management and inter-cell mTRP, NMAX (the maximum number of RRC configured PCIs that are different from the serving cell) is up to UE capability with candidate values of 1 and X.

**Proposed conclusion 2.G (need conclusion per RAN#92-e)**: On Rel.17 enhancements for inter-cell beam management,

* In Rel-17, RAN1 cannot reach consensus in supporting same or different TA values across the serving cell and TRPs with different PCIs from that of the serving cell
  + .
* FFS (to be finalized in RAN1#106bis-e): UE timing assumption on reception of signals from TRPs with PCIs different from the serving cell compared to that for serving cell
* ~~In Rel-17, [there is no further restriction on L1 measurement beyond what is supported by legacy L3 measurement for TRPs with PCIs different from the serving cell] [timing assumption on L1 measurement for TRPs with PCIs different from the serving cell is the same as that for serving cell] [UE is not required to use a different timing assumption on reception of signals from TRPs with PCIs different from the serving cell compared to that for serving cell]~~
* ~~[Irrespective of the timing assumption for measurement of cells with PCI different from the serving cell, the L1 measurement of TRPs with PCIs different from the serving cell is limited within SMTC]~~