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## Introduction

In this summary, the term “item 1” refers to the first item in the Rel.17 NR FeMIMO WID, i.e. multi-beam enhancement:

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Enhancement on multi-beam operation, mainly targeting FR2 while also applicable to FR1:
	1. Identify and specify features to facilitate more efficient (lower latency and overhead) DL/UL beam management for intra-cell and inter-cell scenarios to support higher UE speed and/or a larger number of configured TCI states:
		1. Common beam for data and control transmission/reception for DL and UL, especially for intra-band CA
		2. Unified TCI framework for DL and UL beam indication
		3. Enhancement on signaling mechanisms for the above features to improve latency and efficiency with more usage of dynamic control signaling (as opposed to RRC)
		4. For inter-cell beam management, a UE can transmit to or receive from only a single cell (i.e. serving cell does not change when beam selection is done). This includes L1-only measurement/reporting (i.e. no L3 impact) and beam indication associated with cell(s) with any Physical Cell ID(s)
			1. The beam indication is based on Rel-17 unified TCI framework
			2. The same beam measurement/reporting mechanism will be reused for inter-cell mTRP
			3. This work shall only consider intra-DU and intra-frequency cases
	2. Identify and specify features to facilitate UL beam selection for UEs equipped with multiple panels, considering UL coverage loss mitigation due to MPE, based on UL beam indication with the unified TCI framework for UL fast panel selection
 |

This summary includes the following:

* Observation and proposal
* Summary of current companies’ positions on each of the aspects within the category

**Round 2 is intended to prepare the group for the 2nd GTW session (Friday 08/20 03:00 UTC toward the end). Please share your inputs by Friday 08/20 01:00 UTC.**

## Summary of companies’ inputs

The listed issues are structured primarily to facilitate some progress on pending issues identified in the agreements (see Appendix A).

### Issue 1 (Rel.17 unified TCI framework)

Table 1 Summary: issue 1 (from round 0 inputs)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Proposal** | **Companies’ views** |
| 1.B-3 (non-dedicated DL DMRS as target RS) | **Support**: MTK, Qualcomm, Sony, FGI/APT, Ericsson, Fraunhofer IIS/HHI, Samsung, LG, Xiaomi, ZTE, Convida, CATT, Spreadtrum, Nokia/NSB, AT&T, NTT Docomo, Lenovo/MotM **Not support**: Intel, Huawei/HiSi, vivo, Futurewei, |
| 1.C (beam indication) | **Support**: MTK, Qualcomm, NTT Docomo, Sony, Ericsson, Fraunhofer IIS/HHI, Samsung, Xiaomi, ZTE, Convida, Spreadtrum, Nokia/NSB, AT&T, Intel, NTT Docomo**Not support**: Apple (wait until 1.B is concluded), Lenovo/MotM, CATT, vivo, Futurewei, |
| 1.E (UL PC for SRS) | **Support**: Apple, MTK, Qualcomm, Lenovo/MotM, NTT Docomo, FGI/APT, Ericsson, Samsung, Intel, ZTE, Convida, CATT, vivo, Futurewei, Spreadtrum, AT&T, NTT Docomo**Not support**: OPPO  |

**Proposal 1.B-3**: On Rel.17 unified TCI framework, for intra-cell beam indication, the following DL RSs can share the same indicated Rel-17 TCI state as UE-dedicated reception on PDSCH and for UE-dedicated reception on all or subset of CORESETs in a CC:

* DMRS(s) associated with non-UE-dedicated reception on CORESET(s) and the associated PDSCH, if the CORESET(s) is associated any USS set
	+ FFS: if the CORESET(s) is not associated any USS set

**Proposal 1.C**: On Rel.17 unified TCI framework, for any DL RS that does not share the same indicated Rel-17 TCI state(s) as UE-dedicated reception on PDSCH and for UE-dedicated reception on all or subset of CORESETs in a CC, but can be configured as a target DL RS of a Rel-17 DL TCI (hence the Rel-17 DL TCI state pool), Rel-15/16 TCI state update signaling/configuration mechanism(s) are reused to update/configure the Rel-17 TCI state.

**Proposal 1.E**: On the setting of UL PC parameters except for PL-RS (P0, alpha, closed loop index) for Rel.17 unified TCI framework, the setting of (P0, alpha, closed loop index) for SRS can also be associated with UL or (if applicable) joint TCI state.

* If not associated, the setting(s) of (P0, alpha, closed loop index) for SRS per BWP is independent of the UL or (if applicable) joint TCI states
* This is only applicable for SRS sets using Rel-17 TCI state to determine their spatial relation.

FFS: Whether more than one parameter sets can be configured, e.g. for different traffics

Table 2 Additional inputs: issue 1

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| Mod V0 | **1) Check and update Table 1 if needed****2) Share your inputs on the above FL proposals. In particular:*** **1.B-3: removed brackets (see MediaTek’s comment below), kept intra-cell per Huawei’s comment (note that issue 1 has been and is only for intra-cell)**
* **1.C: previous version was used but please engage with the proposal from Futurewei**
* **1.E: please respond to OPPO’s argument below**

 |
| OPPO | We still have concern on 1.E and do not see the justification to associate (P0, alpha, closed loop index) with each TCI state for SRS resource set. @ZTE: as in rel15/16, the (P0, alpha, closed loop index) is configured per SRS resource set, not per source. The reason is because the same PC shall be applied to all the SRS resource within one set. The PC configuration per SRS resource set is separate from that of PUSCH. We do support the SRS resource to use same closed loop index or different closed loop index as PUSCH. Here is the 213:For the SRS power control adjustment state for active UL BWP  of carrier  of serving cell  and SRS transmission occasion - , where  is the current PUSCH power control adjustment state as described in Clause 7.1.1, if *srs-PowerControlAdjustmentStates* indicates a same power control adjustment state for SRS transmissions and PUSCH transmissions; or-  if the UE is not configured for PUSCH transmissions on active UL BWP  of carrier  of serving cell , or if *srs-PowerControlAdjustmentStates* indicates separate power control adjustment states between SRS transmissions and PUSCH transmissions, and if *tpc-Accumulation* is not provided, where In our view, the rel15/16 rule shall be reused and unified TCI framework for MB shall not change the uplink power control operation.  |
| MediaTek | The original Proposal 1.B-3 w/o the brackets means for the case if a CORESET is associated with both CSS set (non-UE-dedicated reception) and at least one USS set (UE-dedicated reception), the PDCCH receptions on this CORESET can share the same indicated Rel-17 TCI state. The intension is avoiding that one CORESET needs to apply different TCI (Rel-17 TCI + e.g., Rel-15/16 DL TCI) if the CORESET is associated with both CSS and USS. Note that R15/R16 DL TCI is indicated per CORESET, not per search space set. Compared with applying Rel-17 TCI to all non-UE-dedicated PDCCH receptions (Proposal 1.B-3 w/o the content in the brackets), this could be a compromise solution. This proposal still allowing separate beam indications for non-UE dedicated reception and UE dedicated reception, respective, if NW would like to do so.  |
| Futurewei | On Proposal 1.C, our understanding is that basically this is R17 mechanism using R17 TCI state but reuse R15/16 design.  So we would like to make the following modifications:**Proposal 1.C**: On Rel.17 unified TCI framework, for any DL RS that does not share the same indicated Rel-17 TCI state(s) as UE-dedicated reception on PDSCH and for UE-dedicated reception on all or subset of CORESETs in a CC, but can be configured as a target DL RS of a Rel-17 DL TCI (hence the Rel-17 DL TCI state pool), R17 mechanism(s) which reuse the Rel-15/16 TCI state update signaling/configuration design(s) are used to update/configure the Rel-17 TCI state. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Issue 2 (inter-cell beam management)

Table 3 Summary: issue 2 (from round 1)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Proposal** | **Companies’ views** |
| 2.A-1 (applicable channels) | **Support**: Ericsson, Samsung, Qualcomm, Apple, MTK, NTT Docomo, AT&T, Spreadtrum, Lenovo/MotM, OPPO, Xiaomi, CATT, ZTE, CMCC, Sony, Nokia/NSB, Futurewei, FGI/APT, **Not support**: vivo, Huawei/HiSi,  |
| 2.A-3 (multiple cells) | **Support**: Ericsson, Samsung, Qualcomm, MTK, NTT Docomo, AT&T, Spreadtrum, Lenovo/MotM, OPPO, Xiaomi, CATT, ZTE, CMCC, Sony, Nokia/NSB, Futurewei, FGI/APT, **Not support**: vivo |
| 2.A-5 (indirect QCL) | **Support**: Ericsson, Samsung, Qualcomm, Intel, Apple (together with 2.A-1, keep ‘at leas’), MTK (keep ‘at least’), NTT Docomo, AT&T, Spreadtrum, Lenovo/MotM, OPPO, Xiaomi, CATT, LG, ZTE, CMCC, Sony, Nokia/NSB, Futurewei, FGI/APT, **Not support**: vivo (delete ‘at least’),  |

**Proposal 2.A.1**: On Rel.17 beam indication enhancements for inter-cell management, the supported Rel-17 MAC-CE-based and/or DCI-based beam indication (at least using DCI formats 1\_1/1\_2 with and without DL assignment including the associated MAC-CE-based TCI state activation) applies to:

* The same channels as for intra-cell beam management configured to the same cell

**Proposal 2.A.3**: On Rel.17 beam indication enhancements for inter-cell management, for the supported Rel-17 MAC-CE-based and/or DCI-based beam indication (at least using DCI formats 1\_1/1\_2 with and without DL assignment including the associated MAC-CE-based TCI state activation):

* Support a UE feature on how many cells (including the serving cell) can be associated with the activated TCI states, where the list of candidate values includes 1
* Note: If UE reporting supports one physical cell ID, the NW can activate TCI states associated with either a serving cell or a non-serving cell

**Proposal 2.A.5**: On Rel.17 beam indication enhancements for inter-cell management, SSB associated with a physical cell ID different from that of the serving cell is used as an indirect QCL reference at least for UE-dedicated PDSCH and UE-dedicated PDCCH

* Note: When RS X is an indirect QCL reference of a target channel, there exists at least one other source signal on the QCL chain between RS X and the target channel. Here, Rel-15/16 QCL rule is reused by replacing SSB with SSB associated with a physical cell ID different from that of the serving cell

Table 4 Additional inputs: issue 2

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| Mod V0 | **1) Check and update Table 1 if needed****2) Share your inputs on the above FL proposals. In particular:*** **2.A-1: please respond to Huawei’s and vivo’s comments**
* **2.A-3: previous version was used with Docomo’s note**
* **2.A-5: previous version was used (‘at least’ is kept)**
 |
| vivo | Question: What are companies understanding with RAN2’s agreement: “UE receives and transmits using UE-dedicated channel on TRP with different PCI” and “UE should use common channels BCCH PCH etc. from the serving cell (as in legacy)”?* RAN2 confirm the simplified procedures on the inter-cell multi-TRP-like model as a baseline RAN2 understanding:

 Scenario 1: Inter-cell multi-TRP-like model  1. UE receives from serving cell, configuration of SSBs of the TRP with different PCI for beam measurement, and configurations needed to use radio resources for data transmission/reception incl resources for different PCI.  2. UE performs beam measurement for the TRP with different PCI and report it to serving cell. 3. Based on the above reports, TCI state(s) associated to the TRP with different PCI is activated from the serving cell (by L1/L2 signaling).  4. UE receives and transmits using UE-dedicated channel on TRP with different PCI.  5. UE should be in coverage of a serving cell always, also for multi-TRP case, e.g. UE should use common channels BCCH PCH etc. from the serving cell (as in legacy). |
| Huawei/HiSi | **Proposal 2.A.1:** First, according to the response from Darcy, we suggest changing “the same cell” as “the unchanged serving cell”. Assuming Proposal 1.B-3 for intra-cell case is agreed, it is still unclear to us whether Proposal 2.A.1 would ask the UE to receive system information from a cell with a PCI that is different from the serving cell. If the answer is yes, then this goes directly against the WID updated in RAN#92-e. If the answer is no, then the non-UE-dedicated channels should not be included in the proposal |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Issue 3 (beam indication signaling medium)

Round 3

### Issue 4 (MP-UE)

Round 3

### Issue 5 (MPE mitigation)

Round 3

### Issue 6 (advanced beam refinement/tracking)

Round 3
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