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# Introduction

This contribution summaries discussion of email thread [106-e-NR-eMIMO-04] MB.3 (conflict between default and updated spatial relation for multi-CC) triggered by the draft CR in [1].

There are views expressed during the preparation phase that default spatial relation is defined/configured per CC, and including a CC with a default spatial relation in a CC list is an error case.

Two cases exist for simultaneous configuration of CC\_list and default beam operation.

* Case1: Simultaneous configuration of *enableDefaultBeamPL-ForSRS* and *simultaneousTCI-UpdateList1/ simultaneousTCI-UpdateList2;*
* Case2: Simultaneous configuration of *enableDefaultBeamPL-ForSRS* and *simultaneousSpatial-UpdatedList1*/*simultaneousSpatial-UpdatedList*

We may need to first clarify understanding on current specification before further discussion.

# Views on Case1

Please share your views for case1 in the following table.

|  |
| --- |
| Questions for view sharing:1. Do you think it is allowed by current specification to “simultaneously configure *enableDefaultBeamPL-ForSRS* and *simultaneousTCI-UpdateList1/simultaneousTCI-UpdateList2*” for a UE?
2. If answer to above is no, please clarify why this is not allowed?
 |
| Apple | 1. Yes. The two RRC parameters can be simultaneously configured. But default beam is a per-CC feature and simultaneous spatial relation info update is a cross-CC feature.
 |
| DOCOMO | 1. Yes. In that case, SRS spatial relation in each CC is derived from PDCCH TCI state in each CC, and PDCCH TCI state in each CC is derived from *simultaneousTCI-UpdateList1/simultaneousTCI-UpdateList2.*
 |
| Samsung | 1. Yes. We have same view with Apple for two RRC parameters.
 |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Views on Case2

Please share your views for case2 in the following table.

|  |
| --- |
| Questions for view sharing:1. Do you think it is necessary to refine current specification to “simultaneously configure *enableDefaultBeamPL-ForSRS* and *simultaneousSpatial-UpdatedList1*/*simultaneousSpatial-UpdatedList*” for a UE?
2. If answer to above is no, please clarify your rationale.
 |
| Apple | 1. No.
2. In our view, specification change should provide justification.
 |
| DOCOMO | 1. No, it is not necessary.
2. We don’t see any benefit to support this, compared to the feature we already have (i.e. *enableDefaultBeamPL-ForSRS* + *simultaneousTCI-UpdateList1/ simultaneousTCI-UpdateList2* in section 2)*.*
 |
| Samsung | 1. No.
2. For changing the SRS default beam, it is enough to use case1 which is already defined in the current spec.
 |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Conclusion

TBD
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