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# Introduction

This document is created to facilitate the email discussion of “[106-e-NR-L1enh-URLLC-09] Issue#14: Correction on invalid symbol determination for PUSCH repetition Type B”. This email thread is triggered by the following draft CR.

[R1-2106932](file:///D:\\Documents\\3GPP%20documents\\RAN1\\TSGR1_106-e\\Docs\\R1-2106932.zip) Correction on invalid symbol determination for PUSCH repetition Type B CATT

# Company views

The only proposed change to TS 38.214 Clause 6.1 in R1-2106932 is the correction of RRC parameter of “*resourceAllocationType1GranularityDCI-0-2*”.

**Q1: Do you agree with the text proposal to TS 38.214 Clause 6.1 in R1-2106932? If not, please provide your comments.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes or No** | **Comment** |
| ZTE | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

In Clause 6.1.2.1 in R1-2106932, the invalid symbol determination for PUSCH repetition type B for half-duplex operation in CA with unpaired spectrum are corrected following the agreed CRs in R1-2104010 and R1-2106346 and the indention is also modified.

**Q2: Do you agree with the intention of the text proposals for TS 38.214 Clause 6.1.2.1 in R1-2106932? If not, why?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes or No** | **Comment** |
| ZTE | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q3: Do you agree with the text proposals for TS 38.214 Clause 6.1.2.1 in R1-2106932? If not, please provide your comments.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes or No** | **Comment** |
| ZTE | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Conclusion

To be added after the discussion.