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# Introduction

RAN2 sent an LS [1] on resource reselection trigger sl-reselectAfter with the following questions:

*Question 1: In NR V2X, whether “unused retransmission opportunities in case of HARQ feedback is enabled” shall be counted towards “consecutive unused transmission opportunities” to trigger resource reselection?*

*Question 2: In NR V2X, according to RAN1 agreement, is it the correct understanding that only the resources already indicated in SCI shall be counted towards “consecutive unused transmission opportunities” to trigger resource reselection?*

In this contribution, we discuss the resource reselection trigger sl-reselectAfter for the LS from RAN2.

The discussion for this reply LS can be found in the following email thread: [106-e-NR-5G\_V2X-11] Reply LS to [R1-2106406](file:///C:\Users\Docs\R1-2106406.zip) (LS on resource reselection trigger sl-reselectAfter, RAN2) by August 20 – Chunxuan (Apple)

The 1st point is planned as following, companies are highly appreciated to provide their inputs before this check point:

* 1st check point: 8.17 (UTC 11:59 PM, August 17)

# Discussions

## Round 1 discussion

There are contributions from 12 companies, discussing the topic of resource reselection trigger [2]-[15].

Based on the proposals in these contributions, the moderator thinks majority companies’ views on Question 1 are quite aligned. In principle, the answer to Question 1 is No.

From the current specification in TS38.321 (Clause 5.22.1.1), if Tx UE receives ACK from Rx UE, the remaining retransmission resource(s) of the MAC PDU will be cleared from the selected sidelink grant. It is preferred not to count the cleared resource(s) in the consecutive unused transmission opportunities.

*Question 1-1: Do you agree that “unused retransmission opportunities, due to the reception of sidelink HARQ-ACK (or, no reception of sidelink HARQ-NACK in case of NACK-only HARQ feedback), should* ***NOT*** *be counted towards consecutive unused transmission opportunities for resource reselection trigger”?*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Answer to Question 1-1 | Comments |
| Intel | Yes, we do | Our understanding of the intention of the procedure related to sl-reselectAfter, is to count unused transmission periods, not every potential resource for a TB. Thus, retransmission resources should not be counted. |
| Ericsson | Yes, we agree | In our view, for the case of HARQ feedback enabled, skipped retransmissions opportunities – when HARQ feedback has indicated that retransmission of the MAC PDU is not needed, i.e., the transmission has been successful – shall not be counted to trigger resource re-selection. |
| Qualcomm | Agree | We share Intel’s understanding. |

*Question 1-2: Is there anything else to be included in the reply LS for question 1?*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
|  |  |

Based on the proposals in the contributions, the moderator thinks companies’ views on Question 2 can be categorized to the following 3 alternatives.

Alt 1: Answer to Question 2 is Yes: only the resources already indicated in SCI, which are not used for transmission, shall be counted towards consecutive unused transmission opportunities to trigger resource reselection.

Alt 2: Answer to Question 2 is No: only the resource for initial transmission of a TB, which is not used for transmission, shall be counted towards consecutive unused transmission opportunities to trigger resource reselection.

Alt 3: Answer to Question 2 is No: any resources, which are not used for transmissions, shall be counted towards consecutive unused transmission opportunities to trigger resource reselection.

*Question 2-1: What is your understanding among the three alternatives?*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Alternative | Comments |
| Intel | Alt 2 | This will effectively count unused periods, that was the intention of the counter in LTE. |
| Ericsson | Alt 1 |  |
| Qualcomm | Alt 2 | The intention of the counter is for the UE to trigger resource reselection when it is not using periodic transmission opportunities for a number of periods, not depending on how many resources are used within each period. Therefore, only resources for initial transmissions of TBs are counted. |

# Conclusion

TBD

# References

1. R1-2106406 LS on resource reselection trigger sl-reselectAfter Apple
2. R1-2106849 Draft reply LS on resource reselection trigger sl-reselectAfter Samsung
3. R1-2106995 Draft Reply LS on resource reselection trigger sl-reselectAfter CATT, GOHIGH
4. R1-2107222 Draft reply LS on resource reselection trigger sl-reselectAfter OPPO
5. R1-2107305 Draft Reply to RAN2 LS on resource reselection trigger sl-reselectAfter Qualcomm Incorporated
6. R1-2107565 Discussion on RAN2 LS on resource reselection trigger sl-reselectAfter Intel Corporation
7. R1-2107699 Draft Reply LS on Resource Reselection Trigger sl-reselectAfter Apple
8. R1-2107702 Discussion on RAN2 LS on Resource Reselection Apple
9. R1-2107955 Draft reply LS on resource reselection trigger sl-reselectAfter vivo
10. R1-2108077 Discussion on RAN2 LS on resource reselection trigger sl-reselectAfter ZTE, Sanechips
11. R1-2108127 [Draft] Reply LS on resource reselection trigger sl-reselectAfter Ericsson
12. R1-2108132 Discussion on RAN2 LS on resource reselection trigger sl-reselectAfter Ericsson
13. R1-2108180 Discussion of RAN2 LS on resource reselection trigger sl-reselectAfter Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell
14. R1-2108183 Discussion on RAN2 LS on resource reselection trigger sl-reselectAfter Huawei, HiSilicon
15. R1-2108197 Discussion on LS on resource reselection trigger sl-reselectAfter LG Electronics, Hyundai Motors