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**Introduction**

The document is to collect companies’ inputs and provide a summary for the email discussion thread [106-e-NR-5G\_V2X-05] Discussion on [R1-2107979](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5CDocs%5CR1-2107979.zip): Clarification on PUCCH Power control when the number of SL HARQ-ACK bits larger than 11 by August 20 – Siqi (vivo)

The 1st point is planned as following, companies are highly appreciated to provide their inputs before this check point:

* **1st check point: August 17, UTC 23:59 pm**

The 2nd check point: [TBD]

**Discussion**

## Issue#1 PUCCH power when there are more than 11 SL HARQ-ACK bits

The transmission power of PUCCH on active UL BWP  of carrier  of primary cell  is determined based on the following formula, where  is a PUCCH transmission power adjustment component which is depended on the PUCCH format as well as the number of UCI bits to be transmitted:

 [dBm]

|  |
| --- |
| 7.2.1 UE behaviour- For a PUCCH transmission using PUCCH format 2 or PUCCH format 3 or PUCCH format 4 and for a number of UCI bits larger than 11, , where - - -  is a number of HARQ-ACK information bits that the UE determines as described in clause 9.1.2.1 for Type-1 HARQ-ACK codebook and as described in clause 9.1.3.1 or 9.1.3.3 for Type-2 HARQ-ACK codebook, or as described in clause 9.1.4 for Type-3 HARQ-ACK codebook. If the UE is not provided any of *pdsch-HARQ-ACK-Codebook*, *pdsch-HARQ-ACK-Codebook-r16*, or *pdsch-HARQ-ACK-OneShotFeedback*,  if the UE includes a HARQ-ACK information bit in the PUCCH transmission; otherwise, -  is a number of SR information bits that the UE determines as described in clause 9.2.5.1-  is a number of CSI information bits that the UE determines as described in clause 9.2.5.2  |

If a PUCCH format2/3/4 is used for SL HARQ-ACK reporting and the number of SL HARQ-ACK bits is larger than 11, **the current spec is not clear on how to determine the  for PUCCH power control as in the current spec the  is defined as the number of HARQ-ACK bits generated for PDSCH** as described in clause 9.1.2.1 for Type-1 HARQ-ACK codebook or as described in clause 9.1.3.1 or 9.1.3.3 for Type-2 HARQ-ACK codebook, or as described in clause 9.1.4 for Type-3 HARQ-ACK codebook. It also seems to imply that SR, CSI should be considered for .

Thus, the UE behavior in the case of reporting more than 11 SL HARQ-ACK bits should be clarified, i.e.,  and  should be set to 0 since multiplexing between SL HARQ-ACK and CSI/SR in a PUCCH is not allowed, and  should be set to the number of the SL HARQ-ACK bits determined in Clause 16.5.1 for type1 codebook or Clause 16.5.2 for type2 codebook.

In [1], following changes are proposed:

***================proposed changes in [1] ===================***

|  |
| --- |
| 16.5.1.1 Type-1 HARQ-ACK codebook in physical uplink control channel====omitted====If , the UE determines a number of HARQ-ACK information bits for obtaining a transmission power for a PUCCH, as described in clause 7.2.1, as where is a number of HARQ-ACK information bits determined for corresponding PSSCH transmissions with corresponding PSFCH reception occasions in PSFCH reception occasion .If , and if the PUCCH transmission uses PUCCH format 2 or PUCCH format 3 or PUCCH format 4, the UE determines a transmission power for the PUCCH, as described in Clause 7.2.1, except that -  =.- =0- =0====omitted==== |

|  |
| --- |
| 16.5.2.1 Type-2 HARQ-ACK codebook in physical uplink control channel====omitted====If , the UE determines a number of HARQ-ACK information bits for obtaining a transmission power for a PUCCH, as described in clause 7.2.1, as where - is a value of a counter SAI field in a last DCI format 3\_0 scheduling PSSCH transmissions associated with PSFCH reception occasions that the UE detects within the PDCCH monitoring occasions- if the UE does not detect any DCI format 3\_0 scheduling PSSCH transmissions associated with PSFCH reception occasions in any of the PDCCH monitoring occasions- is a total number of DCI format 3\_0, scheduling PSSCH transmissions associated with PSFCH reception occasions, that the UE detects within the PDCCH monitoring occasions. if the UE does not detect any DCI format 3\_0 scheduling PSSCH transmissions with associated PSFCH reception occasions in any of the PDCCH monitoring occasions- is a number of DCI format 3\_0 scheduling PSSCH transmissions with associated PSFCH reception occasions that the UE detects in PDCCH monitoring occasion - is a number of SL configured grants for which the UE transmits corresponding HARQ-ACK information in a same PUCCH as for HARQ-ACK information corresponding to PSFCH reception occasions within the PDCCH monitoring occasionsIf , and if the PUCCH transmission uses PUCCH format 2 or PUCCH format 3 or PUCCH format 4, the UE determines a transmission power for the PUCCH, as described in Clause 7.2.1, except that -  =.- =0- =0====omitted==== |

## Company views

Please kindly provide your views in the table below.

**Question 1: Do you agree that issue#1 should be addressed(i.e., power control for PUCCH with more than 11 SL HARQ-ACK bits should be specified)?**

* **If no, please provide the reasons and your suggestions, if any.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree or not |  Comment |
| vivo | agree | For the case with more than 11 SL HARQ-ACK bits, the power control procedure is not defined in the current specification. If this issue is not addressed, it will prevent more than 11 SL HARQ-ACK bits in a PUCCH. |
| Intel | Agree | We admit that > 11 bit case is not captured. This omission should be fixed. |
| NTT DOCOMO | Not agree | The specification part is used not to determine transmit power but to determine the number of HARQ-ACK bits for transmit power determination. The mechanism is needed only in case of O\_ACK < = 11, so the above addition is unnecessary.Regarding O\_SR(i) and O\_CSI(i), they shall be zero when no multiplexing. This is the existing rule in Uu in our understanding. For example, when HARQ-ACK and SR are multiplexed on a PUCCH, there is no text for O\_CSI(i), which means O\_CSI(i) = 0 automatically.Note that there is no corresponding text in 9.1.2.1 of 213. |
| Ericsson | Agree | The current specification prevent simultaneous transmission of UCI and SL HARQ-ACK but does not prevent having 12 or more SL HARQ-ACK bits. For the latter case, this power control aspect is not defined in the specification. |
| LG | Not agree | When we make specification description for the SL HARQ-ACK codebook, description for DL HARQ-ACK codebook was a baseline. In my readling of the current spec for the DL HARQ-ACK codebook, this part also does not mention for the case when the number of DL HARQ-ACK bits is larger than 11. In our perspective, there is no reason to have special description for SL HARQ-ACK codebook. For the case when the number of HARQ-ACK bits is lareger than 11 bits, the relevant descritption can be found in section 7.2.1 as follows:- For a PUCCH transmission using PUCCH format 2 or PUCCH format 3 or PUCCH format 4 and for a number of UCI bits larger than 11, , where Meanwhile, it seems that we need to modify 7.2.1 section by adding section number of SL HARQ-ACK codebooks. (Please see our answer of Q2).  |
| NEC | Not | Also, we didn’t understand the difference with DL HARQ-AC case. Why only SL needs changes? We think current spec is clear.In 7.2.1, when UCI bits smaller than or equal to 11, instead of  is used to derive the . Hence, in 9.1.2.1, TS captures how to derive when UCI bits smaller than or equal to 11; On the other hand, when UCI bits > 11,  is used to derive the and  is already derived from the pseudo-code. No additional text is needed.Regarding the second point, “multiplexing between SL HARQ-ACK and CSI/SR in a PUCCH is not allowed”, in our understanding,  and  are already implicity set to 0 in the TS because 16.5.1.1 captures “If …” but not “If …”, which seems assume  and  = 0.  |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | No | We share similar view with DCM that this part refers to the HARQ bit number for transmission power dertmination, and dedicated PUCCH power control procedure should refer to Section 7.2, which both smaller and larger than 11bits cases are included. |
| ZTE,Sanechips | Yes |  |
| OPPO | NO | share similar view as DCM and Huawei |
| Samsung | No | We share similar view with DCM. O\_ACK<11 bits is captured in Section 16.5.1 since generation of HARQ-ACK information bits needs additional operation, not for PUCCH power control. PUCCH power control is captured in Section 7.2, and follow legacy understanding, O\_SR(i) and O\_CSI(i) is setting to zero when no multiplexing, thus no explicit clarification is needed. |
| Nokia, NSB | Yes | It looks like a borderline case: Without this change, one can still guess what the required behaviour is, but it seems unnecessarily confusing. The proposed text improves the situation. Regarding the difference to the DL HARQ-ACK case: In our understanding, for DL HARQ-ACK, the description of O\_ACK(i) in clause 7.2.1 is directly applicable, since it refers to the clauses for DL HARQ ACK; while for SL HARQ-ACK, so far nothing states explicitly that O\_ACK(i) in 7.2.1 now corresponds to O\_ACK in 16.5.\*.1 |
| Qualcomm | Yes with comments | We agree with Nokia that this is a good clarification of the specification text because Sub-clause 7.2 references 9.1 but not 16.5. Though one could argue that the UE behavior can be implicitly determined from existing text. |

**Question 2: Do you agree with the proposed changes for issue#1?**

* **If no, please provide the reasons and your suggestions, if any.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree or not |  Comment |
| vivo | agree |  |
| Intel | agree |  |
| NTT DOCOMO | Not agree | As commented in Q1. |
| Ericsson | Agree |  |
| LG | Comment | We do not need to have the changes proposed by vivo. nstead, we can add power control part directly in 7.2.1 as follows:- For a PUCCH transmission using PUCCH format 2 or PUCCH format 3 or PUCCH format 4 and for a number of UCI bits smaller than or equal to 11, , where - -  is a number of HARQ-ACK information bits that the UE determines as described in Clause 9.1.2.1 for Type-1 DL HARQ-ACK codebook and as described in Clause 9.1.3.1 or 9.1.3.3 for Type-2 DL HARQ-ACK codebook.is the same as  as described in Clause 9.1.4 for Type-3 DL HARQ-ACK codebook. If the UE is not provided any of *pdsch-HARQ-ACK-Codebook*, *pdsch-HARQ-ACK-Codebook-r16*, or *pdsch-HARQ-ACK-OneShotFeedback*,  if the UE includes a DL HARQ-ACK information bit in the PUCCH transmission; otherwise, -  is a number of HARQ-ACK information bits that the UE determines as described in Clause 16.5.1.1 for Type-1 SL HARQ-ACK codebook and as described in Clause 16.5.2.1 for Type-2 SL HARQ-ACK codebook.[…]- For a PUCCH transmission using PUCCH format 2 or PUCCH format 3 or PUCCH format 4 and for a number of UCI bits larger than 11, , where - - -  is a number of HARQ-ACK information bits that the UE determines as described in Clause 9.1.2.1 for Type-1 DL HARQ-ACK codebook and as described in Clause 9.1.3.1 or 9.1.3.3 for Type-2 DL HARQ-ACK codebook, or as described in Clause 9.1.4 for Type-3 DL HARQ-ACK codebook. If the UE is not provided any of *pdsch-HARQ-ACK-Codebook*, *pdsch-HARQ-ACK-Codebook-r16*, or *pdsch-HARQ-ACK-OneShotFeedback*,  if the UE includes a DL HARQ-ACK information bit in the PUCCH transmission; otherwise, -  is a number of HARQ-ACK information bits that the UE determines as described in Clause 16.5.1.1 for Type-1 SL HARQ-ACK codebook and as described in Clause 16.5.2.1 for Type-2 SL HARQ-ACK codebook |
| NEC | No | As commented for Q1 |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | No  | See comments in Q1. |
| ZTE,Sanechips | Yes with comments | We think and should be clarified for both the cases of no more than and more than 11 SL HARQ-ACK bits. |
| OPPO | No |  |
| Samsung | No | As commented in Q1. |
| Nokia, NSB | Yes with comments | Agree with ZTE, Sanechips |
| Qualcomm | Yes |  |

**Summary**

[Based on the discussion, we conclude that ……TBD]
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