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# Introduction

This document provides a summary of two draft CRs, [1] and [2], on specification text relating to in-device coexistence and collects companies’ views on whether they should be incorporated into specifications. The discussion is conducted in the following email thread:

[106-e-NR-5G\_V2X-02] Discussion on [R1-2107317](https://qualcomm-my.sharepoint.com/personal/gsarkis_qti_qualcomm_com/Documents/Documents/3GPP/Docs/R1-2107317.zip), [R1-2108139](https://qualcomm-my.sharepoint.com/personal/gsarkis_qti_qualcomm_com/Documents/Documents/3GPP/Docs/R1-2108139.zip): NR SL Transmission Prioritization with LTE SL Reception by August 18 – Gabi (Qualcomm)

# Discussion of R1-2107317

This draft CR [1] proposes a change to allow a UE to perform both a transmission on NR sidelink and a reception on LTE sidelink even when the two overlap. The document states the current specifications could be interpreted to force the UE to only perform one of the two operations even if it is able to do both. The reason for this change, as presented in [1], is that forcing the UE to perform only one of the operations could degrade performance of NR sidelink when PSFCH transmission is dropped due to overlap with LTE sidelink reception. This action increases the number of retransmissions due to missing feedback and, in turn, increases congestion. The proposed text change is:

|  |
| --- |
| -----------------------------------------------------begin text proposal for 38.213-----------------------------------------------------  16.2.4.1 Simultaneous NR and E-UTRA transmission/reception  >>>>unchanged text omitted<<<<  If a UE  - would respectively transmit or receive a first channel/signal using E-UTRA radio access and receive a second channel/signal or transmit second channels/signals using NR radio access, and  - a transmission or reception of the first channel/signal would respectively overlap in time with a reception of the second channel/signal or transmission of the second channels/signals, and  - the priorities of the channels/signals are known to both E-UTRA radio access and NR radio access at the UE msec prior to the start of the earliest transmission or reception, where and is based on UE implementation, and  the UE transmits or receives at least the channels/signals of the radio access technology with the highest priority as determined by the SCI formats scheduling the transmissions or, in case of a S-SS/PSBCH block or a sidelink synchronization signal using E-UTRA radio access, as indicated by higher layers or, in case of PSFCH, equal to the priority of the corresponding PSSCH.  ------------------------------------------------------end text proposal for 38.213------------------------------------------------------ |

The related agreement was made in RAN1 #98-bis [3]:

Agreements:

* For Tx/Rx overlap,
  + If packet priorities of both LTE and NR sidelinks are known to both RATs prior to time of transmission/reception (subject to processing time restrictions), then the packet with a higher relative priority is transmitted/received
    - In case the priorities of LTE and NR sidelink packets are the same, then it is up to UE implementation as to which packet is transmitted/received

The agreement states that the operation with the higher priority is performed without defining what happens to the operation with the lower priority. The current specification text could also be interpreted to prohibit FDMed operation, which was agreed to be feasible in RAN1 #96 [3]:

Agreements**:**

* From RAN1 point of view, for both intra-band and inter-band Tx/Tx FDM solutions for in-device coexistence are considered to be feasible, at least if the following conditions are met:
  + For the intra-band case for dynamic power sharing, NR and LTE transmissions are fully overlapped in the time domain, i.e., NR transmissions have to span the entire LTE TTI such that the total power across the transmissions is constant.
* For intra-band and inter-band FDM dynamic power sharing solutions, the following additional conditions apply:
  + Subframe boundary alignment is required between LTE and NR V2X sidelinks
  + Both LTE and NR V2X sidelinks are aware of the time resource index (e.g., DFN for LTE) in both carriers
* For purposes of dynamic power sharing between LTE and NR Tx,
  + High-level principles of prioritization (e.g., BSM is deemed to have a higher priority, etc.) of LTE/NR can be discussed during the WI phase, while it is expected that detailed solutions may be left for implementation

## Company Views

**Q1: Do you agree with the issue identified in R1-2107317 and the potential implementation of specification text on FDM operation between NR sidelink and LTE sidelink?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Reply (Yes/No)** | **Comments** |
| OPPO | No | The agreement in RAN1 #98-bis that “the packet with a higher relative priority is transmitted/received”, does not mention how to deal with the transmission/reception corresponding to the lower priority. The modification is not aligned with the agreement. |

**Q2: Do you agree to adopt the text proposal from R1-2107317 (also captured above)?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Reply (Yes/No)** | **Comments** |
| OPPO | No | Same comment as Q1, this modification is not aligned with the agreement. |

# Discussion of R1-2108139

The draft CR [2] proposes to explicitly capture that behavior is up to UE implementation when the priorities of LTE sidelink or NR sidelink operations are not known to the UE sufficiently in advance. The contribution mentions that without this change, specifications are not clear regarding this case. The proposed text change is:

|  |
| --- |
| **<Unchanged parts omitted>** 16.2.4 Prioritization of transmissions/receptions16.2.4.1 Simultaneous NR and E-UTRA transmission/reception If a UE  - would transmit a first channel/signal using E-UTRA radio access and second channels/signals using NR radio access, and  - a transmission of the first channel/signal would overlap in time with a transmission of the second channels/signals, and  - the priorities of the channels/signals are known to both E-UTRA radio access and NR radio access at the UE msec prior to the start of the earliest of the two transmissions, where and is based on UE implementation,  the UE transmits only the channels/signals of the radio access technology with the highest priority as determined by the SCI formats scheduling the transmissions or, in case of a S-SS/PSBCH block or a sidelink synchronization signal using E-UTRA radio access, as indicated by higher layers or, in case of PSFCH, equal to the priority of the corresponding PSSCH.  In case the priorities of the respective channels/signals are unknown to both E-UTRA radio access and NR radio access prior to the time of transmission subject to processing time restriction, it is up to UE implementation to manage the overlap of the transmissions.  If a UE  - would respectively transmit or receive a first channel/signal using E-UTRA radio access and receive a second channel/signal or transmit second channels/signals using NR radio access, and  - a transmission or reception of the first channel/signal would respectively overlap in time with a reception of the second channel/signal or transmission of the second channels/signals, and  - the priorities of the channels/signals are known to both E-UTRA radio access and NR radio access at the UE msec prior to the start of the earliest transmission or reception, where and is based on UE implementation,  the UE transmits or receives only the channels/signals of the radio access technology with the highest priority as determined by the SCI formats scheduling the transmissions or, in case of a S-SS/PSBCH block or a sidelink synchronization signal using E-UTRA radio access, as indicated by higher layers or, in case of PSFCH, equal to the priority of the corresponding PSSCH.  In case the priorities of the respective channels/signals are unknown to both E-UTRA radio access and NR radio access prior to the time of transmission subject to processing time restriction, it is up to UE implementation to manage the overlap between the transmission and reception.  **<Unchanged parts omitted>** |

The related agreements were made in RAN1 #96-bis and RAN1 #98, [4] and [5]:

Working assumption:

* For Tx/Tx overlap,
  + If packet priorities of both LTE and NR sidelink transmissions are known to both RATs prior to time of transmission subject to processing time restriction, then the packet with a higher relative priority is transmitted
    - In case the priorities of LTE and NR SL transmissions are the same, then it is up to UE implementation as to which transmission is chosen (e.g., taking into account congestion, etc.)
  + If packet priorities of both LTE and NR sidelink transmissions are not known to both RATs prior to time of transmission subject to processing time restriction, then it is up to UE implementation to manage Tx/Tx overlaps (e.g., LTE transmissions are always prioritized, etc.)
  + RAN1 does not assume any impact to LTE physical layer specifications

Agreements**:**

Unless packet priorities of both LTE and NR sidelink are known to both RATs prior to time of collision (subject to processing time restriction), then

1. It is up to UE implementation to handle LTE Tx/NR Rx overlap.
2. It is up to UE implementation to handle NR Tx and LTE Rx overlap.

This issue was listed as one of the topics to discuss in RAN1 #100bis-e email thread [100b-e-NR-5G\_V2X\_NRSL-InDevice-Coex-01], conditioned on other TPs being agreed and prepared. However, a full discussion did not take place.

## Company Views

**Q1: Do you agree with the ambiguity issue identified in R1-2108139?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Reply (Yes/No)** | **Comments** |
| OPPO | No | The specification does not need to capture the behaviour that is up to UE implementation |

**Q2: Do you agree to adopt the text proposal from R1-2108139 (also captured above)?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Reply (Yes/No)** | **Comments** |
| OPPO | No |  |
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