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# Introduction

The paper summarizes the preparation phase email discussion for contribution submitted to 7.2.2 on NR-U CR.

# Issues identified

Issues may need technical discussion:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Issue ID | Brief summary | Summarydocument | Supporting document |
| T1 | 5GHz channel access update for MIIT | [22] | Discussion paper: [2]CR for 37.213: [1]CR for 38.212: [5] |
| T2 | Corrections on CG-UCI multiplexing in TS38.212 | [24] | CR for 38.212: [6] |
| T3 | CORESET configuration for wideband operation | [25] | [9], [17] |
| T4 | Enhanced type-2 HARQ codebook NFI toggling and PUCCH | [23] | CR for 38.213: [10] |
| T5 | Correction related to search space set group switching | [21] | Discussion and TP: [15] |
| T6 | On frequency hopping for multi-PUSCH scheduling with single DCI | [24], [23] | CR for 38.214: [16]Discussion: [18] |

Issues more editorial in nature:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Issue ID | Brief summary | Summarydocument | Supporting document |
| E1 | Correction on RRC parameter name of HARQ-ACK codebook in TS 38.213 | [23] | CR for 38.213: [3] |
| E2 | Correction on DFI flag in DCI format 0-1 in TS38.212 | [24] | CR for 38.212: [4] |
| E3 | Editorial correction on the channel access for type-2 random access | [22] | CR for 38.213: [7] |
| E4 | Alignment CR on the parameter name of discovery burst window length |  | CR for 38.213: [8] |
| E5 | Clarification on the usage of cg-minDFI-Delay | [24] | CR for 38.213: [11] |
| E6 | Clarification on OCC for PUCCH format 1 | [26] | CR for 38.213: [12] |
| E7 | PDSCH-to-HARQ feedback timing indicator field values | [23] | CR for 38.213: [13] |
| E8 | Clarification on the definition of a channel for LBT | [22] | CR for 37.213: [14] |
| E9 | Correction on channel access type and CP extension indication | [22] | CR for 38.212: [19]CR for 38.213: [20] |

# Discussion on which CR to treat

Please provide your view below. “Y” to discuss.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company | T1 | T2 | T3 | T4 | T5 | T6 |
| Samsung |  |  | Y |  |  | Y |
| Sharp |  |  | Y | N |  | N |
| Ericsson |  |  | Y |  |  | Y |
| LG |  | Y | Y |  |  | Y |
| Intel | Y | Y | Y |  |  | Y |
| MediaTek |  |  | Y |  | Y | Y |
| Apple  |  |  | Y |  |  | Y |
| Nokia, NSB |  |  | Y |  |  | Y |
| ASUSTeK |  |  | Y |  |  | Y |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Y | Y | Y |  |  | Y |
| OPPO |  |  | Y | Y |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company | E1 | E2 | E3 | E4 | E5 | E6 | E7 | E8 | E9 |
| Samsung | Y | Y | Y | Y |  |  | Y |  | Y |
| Sharp | Y | Y | Y | Y |  |  | Y |  |  |
| Ericsson |  |  |  | Y |  | Y |  |  |  |
| LG | Y | Y | Y | Y |  | Y | Y | Y | Y (only CR for 38.213) |
| Intel | Y | Y | Y | Y |  | Y | Y | Y | Y - Requires discussion – not all changes are agreeable and editorials |
| Apple  | Y | Y | Y | Y |  | Y | Y |  |  |
| Nokia, NSB | Y | Y | Y |  |  | Y | Y |  | Y |
| ASUSTeK | Y | Y | Y | Y |  | Y | Y |  | Y Note that we presume companies would like to discuss E3 would at least be fine to discuss CR [20] for 38.213 as [20] covers the change in E3 and an additional change alone the same line |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Y | Y | Y | Y |  |  | Y |  | Y |
| OPPO |  |  |  |  | Y | Y | Y | Y |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
| Samsung | * For T1, seems not update of the status of the regulation, so we prefer to delay the discussion.
* For T2, we didn’t see an essential issue with current wording in the specification.
* For T4, no need for the specification change. gNB can ensure a proper scheduling by implementation.
* For T5, the TP is not essential, and didn’t see a difference of UE behaviour with/without the TP.
* For E5, seems all timelines in current specification didn’t use the wording “at least”, and it should be ok to keep current wording.
* For E6, the TP is correct, but may not be that essential. It can be understood as a general description in the beginning, and an exception in the later paragraph. Even with current wording, UE will not implement in an alternative way.
* For E8, the TP is not essential, and may not be beneficial for future compatibility.
 |
| Ericsson | * T5: We also do not see the need for the spec change as we don't see an ambiguity; it seems the behavior would be the same (without ambiguity) both with and without the CR.
* E6: I believe the spec would benefit from clarification to avoid ambiguity on which OCC index is applied (0 or 1)
 |
| MediaTek | * For T5, we are fine if companies have common understanding that UE behavior would be the same with or without the CR. If not, we concern the ambiguity whether UE should perform SSSG switching if UE detects a DCI on a PDCCH candidate associated with multiple search space sets and not all of the associated search space sets belong to SSSG#0.
 |
| Nokia, NSB | T1: we prefer to wait with any spec updates until the updated regulatory rules have been formally published and are in effect. |
| ASUSTeK | For T6, it seems the change complies with existing spec as analyzed by vivo’s paper (i.e. multi-PUSCH scheduling could be categorized as “single slot PUSCH transmission” already in existing spec), while we are open to discuss if further clarification is needed. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | * For **T1**, the new MIIT regulation will be published in this year and the deadline for comment collection passed for almost half year ago. The draft is stable enough for 3GPP to adapt its specification. Note that we also take draft of HS as reference when defining NR-U and NR-U-60.
* For **T2**, the identified text on the CG-UCI multiplexing bit calculation assumes there exists no UL-SCH. However, CG-UCI is always together with CG-PUSCH which include UL-SCH. On the other hand, there is already condition for CG-UCI+UL-SCH individually andit can work together the condition of ACK+CG-UCI+UL-SCH and CSI+UL-SCH to cover all cases. So we think the identified text is incorrect and should be deleted.
* For **E9**, A correction is needed in the field description but not in the table header.
 |
| OPPO | * For T4, from UE implementation point of view, it indeed contradicts to the fact that PDSCH groups, if it is simply left up to gNB scheduling. When the point-out case in our CR is allowed, the UE has to prepare for the case, which impacts the UE implementation and buffer size, leading to increased complexity. During the NRU WI, 4 PDSCH groups were discussed but not adopted. However, without this CR, in practice, the UE still needs to prepare a buffer size equivalent to 4 PDSCH groups in order to prepare for the worst case. For the above reason, we think the CR should be discussed.
* For E5: without the CR, from the current spec it seems that a timeline beyond minDFI-delay will not make the HARQ-ACK information valid. Only equality will make it valid, which contradicts the original agreement. Moreover, we think that E5 is not just an editorial CR, it intends to correct the wrong concept in the current spec.
* For E8: the bandwidth of the ‘channel’ in 37.213 is not defined, leading to an uncertainty about the LBT bandwidth. How shall a UE implement the LBT bandwidth?
 |
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