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# Introduction

This document provides the summary for the following email discussion in RAN1#106-e:

[105-e-NR-7.1CRs-08] Issue#15: Discussion on HARQ-ACK multiplexing on PUSCH without PUCCH – Kome (Apple) with contributions [7],[8],[9],[10], and [11] (see the Appendix in Section 5 for a list of the proposals).

In RAN1 #105-e, there was a discussion on the topic with a summary of the status of the discussion, is as follows [6].:

(1) There was consensus to continue discussions for Rel-16 in next meeting.

(2) There is a discussion on the way forward for Rel-15:

* Option 1: Discuss in the next meeting - ZTE, CATT and Samsung
* Option 2: Declare that there is no consensus and leave to UE implementation - Qualcomm, MediaTek.

At the end of the meeting, the chairman’s concluded that we should continue the discussion for both Rel-15 and Rel-16 in RAN1#106-e. A detailed background on the issue can be found in the Appendix in Section 4.

# 1st Round

### Problem Statement

In the case of multiple overlapping PUSCHs with overlapping PUCCH, the understanding is that the UE uses PUSCH prioritization rules to select a PUSCH and multiplexes HARQ-ACK information in the PUSCH according to the indicated value of DAI field in DCI format 0\_1. This means that the UE would multiplex on at most one PUSCH. However, if the UE misses the DL DCI, then the UE behavior needs to be clarified. To assist in the discussion, the following example could be used. In the example, the UE misses the DL DCI and its associated PUCCH. On CC1, the UL TDAI can be set to X where X = 4 or X = {1, 2 or 3} while on CC2, UL DCI2 is set to 1.
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Figure 1: HARQ-ACK Transmission with overlapping PUSCH and no PUCCH

### Rel-15 UEs Behavior

In the discussion during RAN1 #105-e, for a Rel-15 UE the following positions were taken:

* 11 companies **support** leaving this scenario to UE implementation: Oppo, QC, CATT, LG, Intel, Spreadtrum, MediaTek, Nokia, Apple, Ericsson, Huawei/HiSilicon
* 3 companies **do not support** leaving this scenario to UE implementation: Samsung, NTT DOCOMO, ZTE
* 1 company highlights that the  case of one PUSCH (no CA) vs multiple overlapping PUSCH (CA-case) needs clarification as well: Vivo

Based on the contributions to this meeting, the following are the current company positions:

* UE implementation: Qualcomm, NTT DOCOMO, Apple
* the UE does not multiplex HARQ-ACK information in any PUSCH since there is no overlapping PUCCH and PUSCH (Alt 1 from RAN1 #105-e): MediaTek
* the UE selects a PUSCH and multiplexes HARQ-ACK information in the PUSCH according to the indicated value of DAI field in DCI format 0\_1 (Alt 3 from RAN1 #105-e): Huawei

|  |
| --- |
| **Position 1: UE implementation:** Qualcomm, NTT DOCOMO, Apple  Qualcomm [7]:  *Proposal 1: Without updating Rel-15 specification, leave it up to UE implementation to handle the case of HARQ-ACK multiplexing on a group of PUSCHs without HARQ-ACK PUCCH. RAN1 aim to find a solution for this case in Rel-16 specification.*  NTT Docomo [11]  Proposal 1:   * *In Rel-15, UE behavior in the situation illustrated in Fig.1 is not defined.*   Apple [10]:  *Proposal 1:*   * *For Rel-15 UEs, in the case of multiple overlapping PUSCHs with no overlapping PUCCH, the UE behavior is left to UE implementation.* |
| **Position 2: the UE does not multiplex HARQ-ACK information in any PUSCH since there is no overlapping PUCCH and PUSCH (Alt 1 from RAN1 #105-e):** MediaTek  MediaTek [8]  *Proposal 1: For both Rel-15 and Rel-16, when the value of DAI field in DCI format 0\_1 is for Type 1 HARQ-ACK codebook in PUSCH (or for Type 2 HARQ-ACK codebook in PUSCH), the UE does not multiplex HARQ-ACK information in any PUSCH if there is no overlapping PUCCH and PUSCH.* |
| **Position 3: the UE selects a PUSCH and multiplexes HARQ-ACK information in the PUSCH according to the indicated value of DAI field in DCI format 0\_1 (Alt 3 from RAN1 #105-e):** Huawei  Huawei [9]:  *Proposal 2: In case of multiple overlapping PUSCHs with no overlapping PUCCH*   * *Select one PUSCH within multiple PUSCH with DAI=1 following the same PUSCH prioritization rules for UCI multiplexing with PUCCH for type-1 HARQ-ACK codebook.* * *Select one PUSCH within multiple PUSCH with DAI≠4 following the same PUSCH prioritization rules for UCI multiplexing with PUCCH for type-2 HARQ-ACK codebook.*   *The DAI field value of multiple PUSCH should be the same* |

Based on these inputs, please answer the following question:

**Q1:** . **In the case of multiple overlapping PUSCHs with no overlapping PUCCH, what is the UE behavior in Rel-15?**

* **Alt #1**: the UE does not multiplex HARQ-ACK information in any PUSCH since there is no overlapping PUCCH and PUSCH.
* **Alt #2:** the UE multiplexes HARQ-ACK information in a PUSCH if UL-TDAI n.e. 4 (for Type 2 codebook) or UL-TDAI e.q. 1 (for Type 1 codebook) in DCI Format 0\_1 otherwise it does not multiplex i.e. the UL UL-TDAI indicates which PUSCH to be multiplexed on.
* **Alt #3:** the UE selects a PUSCH and multiplexes HARQ-ACK information in the PUSCH according to the indicated value of DAI field in DCI format 0\_1.
  + **Please detail rules to select PUSCH**
  + **NOTE: There are no PUSCH prioritization rules specified in Rel 15 without an overlapping PUCCH**
* **Alt #4:** This is left to UE implementation.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| ZTE | Our preference is Alt#2, while we are also ok with Alt#3.  Alt#1 is against the principle to define TDAI, and it would cause ambiguity at gNB side. Because gNB cannot be aware of whether the UE would miss the DCI. It would require gNB always perform blind decoding of PUSCH with or without UCI, regardless there is one or multiple overlapping PUSCHs.  Regarding Alt#3, the legacy PUSCH prioritization rules are applied. In case of non-CA case, no ambiguity would be caused. For CA case, it would have ambiguity between gNB and UE for the example shown in Figure 1. However, we can conclude such case, i.e., gNB should avoid a PUCCH only overlaps with some of the PUSCHs in the PUCCH slot. |

### Rel-16 UEs

In the discussion during RAN1 #105-e, for a Rel-16 UE the following positions were taken:

* Alt1: Oppo (2nd Choice), CATT, LG, Intel, Vivo, MediaTek, Apple (7)
* Alt 2:  Oppo (1st Choice), ~~NTT DOCOMO~~, ZTE (2)
* Alt 3: Qualcomm (Alt 4/5) (1)
* Question on CC Case: Qualcomm, Samsung (2)
* Discuss Next Meeting: Spreadtrum, Nokia, Ericsson, NTT DOCOMO, Huawei/HiSilicon (5)

Based on the contributions to this meeting, the following are the current company positions:

|  |
| --- |
| **Alt #1: the UE does not multiplex HARQ-ACK information in any PUSCH since there is no overlapping PUCCH and PUSCH:** MediaTek, NTT Docomo (Type 2 codebook only), Apple  MediaTek [8]  *Proposal 1: For both Rel-15 and Rel-16, when the value of DAI field in DCI format 0\_1 is for Type 1 HARQ-ACK codebook in PUSCH (or for Type 2 HARQ-ACK codebook in PUSCH), the UE does not multiplex HARQ-ACK information in any PUSCH if there is no overlapping PUCCH and PUSCH.*  NTT Docomo [11]  Proposal 2: *In Rel-16,*   * + *For Type 2 HARQ-ACK CB, UE does not multiplex HARQ-ACK on a PUSCH if the UE does not have a PUCCH transmission that is including HARQ-ACK and is overlapped with the PUSCH even when UL DAI corresponding to the PUSCH indicates HARQ-ACK multiplexing.*   + *For Type 1 HARQ-ACK CB, FFS.*   Apple [10]:  *Proposal 2: For Rel-16 UEs, in the case of multiple overlapping PUSCHs with no overlapping PUCCH, the UE does not multiplex HARQ-ACK information in any PUSCH since there is no DL DCI/PDSCH received overlapping PUCCH and PUSCH.* |
| **Alt #3: the UE selects a PUSCH and multiplexes HARQ-ACK information in the PUSCH according to the indicated value of DAI field in DCI format 0\_1:** Qualcomm , Huawei  Qualcomm [7]:  *Proposal 2: In Rel-16 specification, solve the issue of HARQ-ACK multiplexing on a group of PUSCHs without HARQ-ACK PUCCH by taking one of the following options.*   * *Option 1: define a default/reference PUCCH resource, and use that default/reference PUCCH to start the UCI multiplexing procedure.*   *Option 2: Follow the tDAI in the lastly received UL grant for the group to multiplex HARQ-ACK on the PUSCH scheduled by the lastly received UL grant, and ignore the tDAIs in other UL grants scheduling other PUSCHs in the group.*  Huawei [9]:  *Proposal 2: In case of multiple overlapping PUSCHs with no overlapping PUCCH*   * *Select one PUSCH within multiple PUSCH with DAI=1 following the same PUSCH prioritization rules for UCI multiplexing with PUCCH for type-1 HARQ-ACK codebook.* * *Select one PUSCH within multiple PUSCH with DAI≠4 following the same PUSCH prioritization rules for UCI multiplexing with PUCCH for type-2 HARQ-ACK codebook.*   *The DAI field value of multiple PUSCH should be the same* |

Based on these inputs, please answer the following question:

**Q2:** . **In the case of multiple overlapping PUSCHs with no overlapping PUCCH, what is the UE behavior in Rel-16?**

* **Alt #1**: the UE does not multiplex HARQ-ACK information in any PUSCH since there is no overlapping PUCCH and PUSCH.
* **Alt #2:** the UE multiplexes HARQ-ACK information in a PUSCH if UL-TDAI n.e. 4 (for Type 2 codebook) or UL-TDAI e.q. 1 (for Type 1 codebook) in DCI Format 0\_1 otherwise it does not multiplex i.e. the UL UL-TDAI indicates which PUSCH to be multiplexed on.
* **Alt #3:** the UE selects a PUSCH and multiplexes HARQ-ACK information in the PUSCH according to the indicated value of DAI field in DCI format 0\_1.
  + **Please detail rules to select PUSCH**
  + **NOTE: There are no PUSCH prioritization rules specified in Rel 15 without an overlapping PUCCH**
* **Alt #4:** This is left to UE implementation.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| ZTE | Same as Q1, our preference is Alt#2, while we are also ok with Alt#3. |

### Effect of CA vs non-CA operation

In RAN1 #105-e, there was a discussion on differentiating the CA and non-CA cases. However, multiple companies identified that (a) there is no differentiation between the two cases in the current specification with (b) some companies point out that they would prefer unified behavior in both cases. In [11], it was pointed out that two non-overlapping PUSCHs with a common overlapping PUCCH may have the same issue and as such, there should be a common solution for both.

**Q3: Should we differentiate the solutions for the CA and non-CA cases ?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| ZTE | If possible, we of course support to define a unified solution for CA and non-CA cases. Otherwise, we think we should at least clarify and reach a same understanding about the most typical case (i.e., non-CA case) for Rel-16, with leaving to UE implementation for both cases for Rel-15 and CA case for Rel-16.  We would like to highlight that HARQ-ACK multiplexing on PUSCH in a single CC is a very typical case in real deployment. Leaving to UE implementation for such case would increase gNB complexity for blind decoding. |

# 1st Round Summary

…
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# Appendix: Background

### Type 1 HARQ ACK Codebook [2]

In Section 9.1.2.2 of [3], it is specified that a UE multiplexes HARQ-ACK information in a PUSCH transmission scheduled by DCI format 0\_1 when the DAI field in DCI format 0\_1 is set to ‘1’ (which is corresponding to ).

#### 9.1.2.2 Type-1 HARQ-ACK codebook in physical uplink shared channel

If a UE multiplexes HARQ-ACK information in a PUSCH transmission that is scheduled by DCI format 0\_1, the UE generates the HARQ-ACK codebook as described in Clause 9.1.2.1 when a value of the DAI field in DCI format 0\_1 is  except that *harq-ACK-SpatialBundlingPUCCH* is replaced by *harq-ACK-SpatialBundlingPUSCH*. The UE does not generate a HARQ-ACK codebook for multiplexing in the PUSCH transmission when  unless the UE receives only a SPS PDSCH release, or only a SPS PDSCH, or only a PDSCH that is scheduled by DCI format 1\_0 with a counter DAI field value of 1 on the PCell in the  occasions for candidate PDSCH receptions in which case the UE generates HARQ-ACK information only for the SPS PDSCH release or only for the PDSCH reception as described in Clause 9.1.2.  if the DAI field in DCI format 0\_1 is set to '0'; otherwise, .

The spirit of HARQ-ACK information feedback is that a UE generates and feedbacks ACK/NACK information to let network know whether the SPS PDSCH release or the transport block is successfully received or not. It should be clarified whether the value of DAI field in DCI format 0\_1 is allowed to be for Type 1 codebook (or  for Type 2 codebook) if the network does not transmit any DL DCI/PDSCH. The purpose of such indication is not clear and may lead to meaningless HARQ-ACK information feedback.

On the other hand, if the network has the freedom to assign any value of DAI field regardless of whether there is DL DCI/PDSCH or not, then the corresponding UE behavior is ambiguous. Two possible interpretations are as follows.

* **Interpretation #1**: the UE does not multiplex HARQ-ACK information in a PUSCH since there is no DL DCI/PDSCH received.
* **Interpretation #2:** the UE multiplexes HARQ-ACK information in a PUSCH according to the indicated value of DAI field in DCI format 0\_1.

In Section 9.1.2.2 of [3], it says that a UE generates HARQ-ACK codebook as described in Clause 9.1.2.1 ***IF*** a UE multiplexes HARQ-ACK information in a PUSCH transmission. ***Then, in this case, it is not clear whether the UE needs to generate HARQ-ACK information if there is no DL DCI/PDSCH received.***

## 9.1 HARQ-ACK codebook determination

If a UE receives a PDSCH without receiving a corresponding PDCCH, or if the UE receives a PDCCH indicating a SPS PDSCH release, the UE generates one corresponding HARQ-ACK information bit.

If a UE is not provided *PDSCH-CodeBlockGroupTransmission*, the UE generates one HARQ-ACK information bit per transport block.

For a HARQ-ACK information bit, a UE generates an ACK if the UE detects a DCI format 1\_0 that provides a SPS PDSCH release or correctly decodes a transport block, and generates a NACK if the UE does not correctly decode the transport block.

### Type 2 HARQ ACK Codebook [1]

In Section 9.1.3.2 of [3], the UE behavior for Type-2 HARQ-ACK codebook in PUSCH is specified as follows:

|  |
| --- |
| If a UE would multiplex HARQ-ACK information in a PUSCH transmission that is not scheduled by a DCI format or is scheduled by DCI format 0\_0, then  - if the UE has not received any PDCCH within the monitoring occasions for DCI format 1\_0 or DCI format 1\_1 for scheduling PDSCH receptions or SPS PDSCH release on any serving cell  and the UE does not have HARQ-ACK information in response to a SPS PDSCH reception to multiplex in the PUSCH, as described in Subclause 9.1.3.1, the UE does not multiplex HARQ-ACK information in the PUSCH transmission;  - else, the UE generates the HARQ-ACK codebook as described in Subclause 9.1.3.1, except that *harq-ACK-SpatialBundlingPUCCH* is replaced by *harq-ACK-SpatialBundlingPUSCH*.  If a UE multiplexes HARQ-ACK information in a PUSCH transmission that is scheduled by DCI format 0\_1, the UE generates the HARQ-ACK codebook as described in Subclause 9.1.3.1, with the following modifications:  - For the pseudo-code for the HARQ-ACK codebook generation in Subclause 9.1.3.1, after the completion of the  and  loops, the UE sets  where  is the value of the DAI field in DCI format 0\_1 according to Table 9.1.3-2  - For the case of first and second HARQ-ACK sub-codebooks, DCI format 0\_1 includes a first DAI field corresponding to the first HARQ-ACK sub-codebook and a second DAI field corresponding to the second HARQ-ACK sub-codebook  *- harq-ACK-SpatialBundlingPUCCH* is replaced by *harq-ACK-SpatialBundlingPUSCH*.  If a UE is not provided *PDSCH-CodeBlockGroupTransmission* and the UE is scheduled for a PUSCH transmission by DCI format 0\_1 with DAI field value  and the UE has not received any PDCCH within the monitoring occasions for PDCCH with DCI format 1\_0 or DCI format 1\_1 for scheduling PDSCH receptions or SPS PDSCH release on any serving cell  and the UE does not have HARQ-ACK information in response to a SPS PDSCH reception to multiplex in the PUSCH, as described in Subclause 9.1.3.1, the UE does not multiplex HARQ-ACK information in the PUSCH transmission. |

In the case of overlapping PUCCH resources and PUSCHs, determination of whether or not the UE multiplexes information in a PUSCH transmission was discussed in the following conclusion in RAN1 #97 [4][5]:

|  |
| --- |
| **conclusion**  For the issue raised in the draft CR [R1-1906302](https://www.3gpp.org/Users/komeoteri/Documents/3GPP/Meetings/2021%20April%20RAN1%20%20104bis-e%20Meeting/Docs/R1-1906302.zip), the intended UE behavior per specification is commonly understood as follows:   * For UCI multiplexing, within a PUCCH group, on PUSCH, the following two steps are performed with step 1 first, then followed by step 2:   + Step 1: UCI in overlapped PUCCH transmissions is multiplexed into one PUCCH resource (resource Z). This step is done per PUCCH slot.   + Step 2: UCI, that doesn’t include SR, in Z is multiplexed into one PUSCH, if Z overlaps with at least one PUSCH, following the priorities (sequentially from high to low) as listed below.     - First priority: PUSCH with A-CSI as long as it overlaps with Z     - Second priority: earliest PUSCH slot(s) based on the start of the slot(s)     - If there are still multiple PUSCHs overlap with Z in the earliest PUSCH slot(s), follow the following priorities (sequentially from high to low)       * Third priority: Dynamic grant PUSCHs > PUSCHs configured by respective ConfiguredGrantConfig or semiPersistentOnPUSCH       * Fourth priority: PUSCHs on serving cell with smaller ~~CC~~ serving cell index > PUSCHs on serving cell with larger serving cell index       * Fifth priority: Earlier PUSCH transmission > later PUSCH transmission   Note: The clarification applies to both cases with the same (except the second priority part) and different numerologies among PUCCH and PUSCHs. |

The UCI multiplexing on PUCCH is specified in Section 9.2.5 of [3] and the PUSCH prioritization rule for UCI multiplexing on PUSCH is specified in Section 9 of [3]:

|  |
| --- |
| If a UE transmits multiple PUSCHs in a slot on respective serving cells and the UE would multiplex UCI in one of the multiple PUSCHs and the UE does not multiplex aperiodic CSI in any of the multiple PUSCHs, the UE multiplexes the UCI in a PUSCH of the serving cell with the smallest *ServCellIndex* subject to the conditions in Clause 9.2.5 for UCI multiplexing being fulfilled. If the UE transmits more than one PUSCHs in the slot on the serving cell with the smallest *ServCellIndex* that fulfil the conditions in Clause 9.2.5 for UCI multiplexing, the UE multiplexes the UCI in the earliest PUSCH that the UE transmits in the slot. |

However, there may be scenarios in which the PUSCH UL-TDAI indicates HARQ-ACK bits are present but there is no DL DCI received by the UE indicating a PUCCH resource. As such, there is no PUCCH overlapping or colliding with the PUSCH(s). We would like to clarify the UE behavior in these cases.

# Appendix: Contribution Proposals

### Qualcomm: R1- 2017310 [7]

|  |
| --- |
| ***Proposal 1: Without updating Rel-15 specification, leave it up to UE implementation to handle the case of HARQ-ACK multiplexing on a group of PUSCHs without HARQ-ACK PUCCH. RAN1 aim to find a solution for this case in Rel-16 specification.***  ***Proposal 2: In Rel-16 specification, solve the issue of HARQ-ACK multiplexing on a group of PUSCHs without HARQ-ACK PUCCH by taking one of the following options.***   * ***Option 1: define a default/reference PUCCH resource, and use that default/reference PUCCH to start the UCI multiplexing procedure.*** * ***Option 2: Follow the tDAI in the lastly received UL grant for the group to multiplex HARQ-ACK on the PUSCH scheduled by the lastly received UL grant, and ignore the tDAIs in other UL grants scheduling other PUSCHs in the group.*** |

### MediaTek : R1-2107506 [8]

|  |
| --- |
| Based on the discussion in Section 2, we have the following proposals.  **Proposal 1: For both Rel-15 and Rel-16, when the value of DAI field in DCI format 0\_1 is for Type 1 HARQ-ACK codebook in PUSCH (or for Type 2 HARQ-ACK codebook in PUSCH), the UE does not multiplex HARQ-ACK information in any PUSCH if there is no overlapping PUCCH and PUSCH.**  **Proposal 2: Support unified UE behaviour for both CA and non-CA cases.** |

### Huawei: R1-2107672 [9]

|  |
| --- |
| ***Proposal 1: A UE multiplexes HARQ-ACK in PUSCH if the UE does not receive PDSCH/PDCCH that needs HARQ-ACK feedback following the indication of UL DAI filed in DCI.***   * ***For type-1 HARQ codebook, the DAI field is equal to 1*** * ***For type-2 HARQ codebook, the DAI filed is equal to 1/2/3***   ***Observation: A UE cannot distinguish whether there is one single overlapping PUSCHs group or not according to UL DCI with DAI field value equaling to 1 under the multiple DL DCI missing case, mixed numerology case, URLLC case.***  ***Proposal 2: In case of multiple overlapping PUSCHs with no overlapping PUCCH***   * ***Select one PUSCH within multiple PUSCH with DAI=1 following the same PUSCH prioritization rules for UCI multiplexing with PUCCH for type-1 HARQ-ACK codebook.*** * ***Select one PUSCH within multiple PUSCH with DAI≠4 following the same PUSCH prioritization rules for UCI multiplexing with PUCCH for type-2 HARQ-ACK codebook.***   + ***The DAI field value of multiple PUSCH should be the same***   ***Proposal 3: Same rules of multiple overlapping PUSCHs UCI multiplexing without PUCCH should be reused for multiple overlapping PUSCHs UCI multiplexing with PUCCH for both type-1 HARQ-ACK codebook and type-2 HARQ-ACK codebook.*** |

### Apple : R1-2107711 [10]

|  |
| --- |
| ***Proposal 1:***   * *For Rel-15 UEs, i****n the case of multiple overlapping PUSCHs with no overlapping PUCCH,*** *the UE behavior is left to UE implementation.*   ***Proposal 2:***   * *For Rel-16 UEs, i****n the case of multiple overlapping PUSCHs with no overlapping PUCCH,*** *the UE does not multiplex HARQ-ACK information in any PUSCH since there is no DL DCI/PDSCH received overlapping PUCCH and PUSCH.* |

### NTT DOCOMO: R1-2107835 [11]

|  |
| --- |
| **Observation 1:**   * *Current specifications do not define UE behavior in the situation illustrated in Fig.1.*   **Proposal 1:**   * *In Rel-15, UE behavior in the situation illustrated in Fig.1 is not defined.*   **Observation 2:**   * *When HARQ-ACK payload size multiplexed on a PUSCH is 1 or 2 bits, the multiplexing is performed in a puncture manner. In this case, gNB can detect UL-SCH and/or CSI without blind detection in the situation illustrated in Fig.1.* * *For Type 2 HARQ-ACK CB, multiplexing more than 2 bits HARQ-ACK on a PUSCH in the situation illustrated in Fig.1 would be a corner case.* * *For Type 1 HARQ-ACK CB, multiplexing more than 2 bits HARQ-ACK on a PUSCH in the situation illustrated in Fig.1 would be a typical case.*   **Proposal 2:**   * *In Rel-16,*   + *For Type 2 HARQ-ACK CB, UE does not multiplex HARQ-ACK on a PUSCH if the UE does not have a PUCCH transmission that is including HARQ-ACK and is overlapped with the PUSCH even when UL DAI corresponding to the PUSCH indicates HARQ-ACK multiplexing.*   + *For Type 1 HARQ-ACK CB, FFS.*   **Observation 3:**   * *It seems that separate discussion between CA case and non-CA case is not valid.* |