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# Introduction

This document is created to facilitate the email discussion of “[106-e-NR-7.1CRs-05] Issue#10: Discussion on cancellation of semi-static transmission due to dynamic transmission”. This email thread is triggered by the following draft CR.

[R1-2106928](file:///D%3A%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%20documents%5CRAN1%5CTSGR1_106-e%5CDocs%5CR1-2106928.zip) Discussion on cancellation of semi-static transmission due to dynamic transmission CATT

# Company views

For operation on a single carrier in unpaired spectrum, for an overlapping case of a DL/UL semi-static transmission and an UL/DL dynamic transmission which collides with semi-static DL/UL symbol(s) or SSB/valid PRACH occasion,

* Understanding 1: both the dynamic transmission colliding with semi-static DL/UL symbol(s) or SSB/valid PRACH occasion and the semi-static transmission overlapping with the dynamic transmission are not transmitted / received;
* Understanding 2: semi-static transmission could be transmitted / received while dynamic transmission colliding with semi-static DL/UL symbol(s) or SSB/valid PRACH occasion is dropped.

More detailed elaboration of the case and the above understandings can be found in R1-2106928.

**Q1: Do you agree with understanding 1 or 2 above?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Understanding 1 or 2** | **Comment** |
| vivo | Understanding 1 | Current spec. reflects understanding 1.  |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Und. 2 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q2: Do you think it necessary to clarify the intended UE behavior if there are different understandings among companies? If not, why?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes or No** | **Comment** |
| vivo | Not necessary for Rel-15 and Rel-16.Open for Rel-17 if there are different understandings among companies.  | If there are different understandings,* For Rel-15 and Rel-16, it may not be possible to have a unified UE behavior due to NBC concern.
* But we prefer have a clear UE behavior for future release.
 |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | OK to clarify. | If cannot be converged, our understanding is that for R15 the resulted effect would be up to UE implementation - similar issue as to RACH. For R16, a clarification would be preferred. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Conclusion

To be added after the discussion.