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**1. Overall Description:**

RAN1 would like to thank RAN2 for the LS on physical layer aspects of small data transmission in R2-2106561.

Regarding RA-SDT, RAN1 discussed the configuration parameters for the PRACH resource configuration when PRACH occasions are shared between SDT and non-SDT and when PRACH occasions are separately configured for SDT and non-SDT. RAN1 made the following agreements/conclusion for RA-SDT.

|  |
| --- |
| **Possible agreement:**   * For RA-SDT, when PRACH occasions are separate between SDT and non-SDT, PRACH resource configurations/parameters for 4-step RACH and/or 2-step RACH should be re-used as much as possible for 4-step RACH and/or 2-step RACH based SDT, respectively.   + Note: It is up to RAN2 discussion on the RO configuration for RA-SDT in separate ROs. * For RA-SDT, when PRACH occasions are shared between SDT and non-SDT, at least following parameters can be configured, including 4-step RACH and/or 2-step RACH based SDT operation.   + Number of contention-based preambles for SDT per SSB per valid RO   + Note: whether starting position of the preambles for SDT per SSB per valid RO needs to be configured for RA-SDT in shared ROs is up to RAN2 discussion. * **For RA-SDT, when PRACH occasions are shared between SDT and non-SDT, a PRACH mask can be configured to indicate a subset of ROs for RA-SDT.** * For RA-SDT in shared ROs and separate ROs with non-SDT, the power control parameters follow those for non-SDT,   + i.e. preambleReceivedTargetPower and power ramping setting follow those for non-SDT.   **Conclusion:**   * Further discuss on the case when ROs are shared between SDT and non-SDT, but different RACH types have separate ROs after RAN2’s decision |

Regarding the questions for CG-SDT on the working assumption and agreements, RAN1 made the following agreements on BWP for CG-SDT resource. RAN1 kindly requests RAN2 to provide more information on the necessity of the CG-SDT resource configured on a separate SDT BWP. Regarding the search space and L1 feedback for CG-SDT, RAN1 will continue the discussion.

|  |
| --- |
| **Possible agreement:**   * RAN1 confirms the RAN2 agreement that CG-SDT resource can be configured on initial BWP   + FFS whether CG-SDT resource can be configured on a separate BWP. |

**2. Actions:**

RAN1 respectfully asks RAN2 to take into account the above information.

**3. Date of Next RAN1 Meetings:**

TSG-RAN WG1 Meeting #106b-e 11th Oct – 19th Oct 2021 e-meeting

TSG-RAN WG1 Meeting #107-e 11th Nov – 19th Nov 2021 e-meeting