**Pre-RAN1#105-e offline discussion on issue 1 of multi-beam enhancements**

## QCL for CA

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1.8 | [Based on offline discussion, cf. Yuki, *reformulated for better clarity*] Carrier aggregationFor TCI state(s) shared across a set of CCs (that is associated with the same gNB beam):* Alt1: CC-specific QCL-TypeD RS can be determined from the shared TCI state(s). The determined QCL-TypeD RSs for the set of CCs are further associated with a same QCL-TypeD RS.
* Alt2: A single QCL-TypeD RS is determined from the shared TCI state(s), and support enhanced QCL chain: support “i) only”, “ii) only”, or “both i) and ii)” from the following:
	+ i) the QCL type A TRS and, if any, QCL type D TRS, in the same/different CSI-RS resources
	+ ii) the QCL type A TRS and, if any, QCL type D SSB
 | **Alt1 (10)**: Nokia/NSB, NTT Docomo, Intel, Apple, APT/FGI, CATT, Huawei, HiSi,**Alt2 (7)**: vivo, Samsung, ZTE, MTK, Sony (“i only”), Qualcomm (both i and ii), Spreadtrum |

From the latest discussion moderated by Yuki (Alt1/2 description from x3559, I edited this to avoid using “common TCI” per Xi’s previous comment):

|  |
| --- |
| For QCL-Type D configuration in TCI state(s) shared across a set of CCs (that is associated with the same gNB beam):* **Alt1**: Separate QCL-Type D RS for each of the CCs can be determined from the TCI state(s). The determined QCL-Type D RSs for the set of CCs are further associated with a same QCL-Type D RS.
* **Alt2**: A single QCL-Type D RS for the set of the CCs is determined from the TCI state(s), and support enhanced QCL chain:
	+ Alt.2-1: Support Opt. A only.
	+ Alt.2-2: Support Opt. B only.
	+ Alt.2-3: Support both Opt. A and Opt. B.

Options of the enhanced QCL chain:* Opt. A: The QCL-Type A TRS and, if any, QCL-Type D TRS, in the same/different CSI-RS resources.
* Opt. B: The QCL-Type A TRS and, if any, QCL-Type D SSB.

---Example of QCL chain for both Alt.1 and Alt.2 are illustrated in the below Fig.1-2. Alt.1 has no impact on Rel.15 QCL chain, and Alt. 2 will introduce the new QCL chain (red allows in Fig.2).Figure 2-1: Example of QCL chain of Alt. 1 (same QCL chain as Rel.15)  a) Opt. A b) Opt. BFigure 2-2: QCL chain of Alt. 2 (Red part is new QCL chain from Rel.15) As commented by Yushu, when we select from the Alt.1 and Alt.2, we should also consider RLM/BFR. Note that usually RLM/BFD RS are not RRC configured, and implicitly derived as “Type D RS for CORESET”, otherwise it is not possible to update RLM/BFD RS by MAC CE). Alt.1 has no problem on this (because the QCL chain is the same as Rel.15).In Alt. 2, * RLM: as long as “Single QCL-TypeD RS” is configured on PCell/PSCell, we can derive PCell/PSCell RS as RLM RS. (seems no problem)
* BFD: if we assume “Single QCL-TypeD RS” is configured on PCell/PSCell, all SCell BFD RSs are implicitly derived as the same PCell/PSCell RS. So, it seems SCell BFR does not work in Alt. 2. (Note: in the QCL chain of Alt. 2 in Fig.2, BFD RSs of all SCells are implicitly derived as TRS on CC#0 (PCell/PSCell).)
 |

Table 1 Companies’ inputs: QCL for CA

|  |
| --- |
| **Please share your view on the following questions*** **Q1. What’s your view on/response to Yushu’s argument on RLM/BFR in favor of Alt1?**
* **Q2. Given that it is not very likely to support new QCL chaining rules in Rel-17 (OptA and OptB), can Alt2 proponents accept Alt1? If not, what’s the reason?**
 |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| MediaTek | On Q1, to our understanding, when NW configures “common beam operation” for a set of intra-band CCs, UE will apply the same Rx beam for control channels in all of the configured CCs all the time. We are wondering separate BFRs are still needed for these configured CCs even they share identical beam operation? It seems SCell BFR is not quite useful for this case.On Q2, due to the above understanding, we still prefer Alt2 with least Opt. A. Since using TRS as TypeD source RS is already support in Rel-15/16, we don't see implementation issue on this. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### How unified TCI is applied to other signals/channels

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1.4 | Whether Rel-17 DL and, if applicable, joint TCI also applies to the following signals. * If not applicable, how to provide DL QCL information for those signals

Note: UE-dedicated reception on PDSCH and all/subset of CORESETs have been agreed | CSI-RS resource for CSI:* **Yes (21)**: Lenovo/MoM, Ericsson, Nokia/NSB, OPPO, Spreadtrum, MTK, APT/FGI, Intel, Convida, AT&T, Samsung, Apple (at least for default AP-CSI-RS beam), Sony, Qualcomm, Xiaomi, NTT Docomo, Intel, CATT
* **No (3)**: Huawei, HiSi, Futurewei (need further discussion)

Some CSI-RS resource(s) for BM (if so, which one(s), e.g. aperiodic, repetition ‘ON’)* **Yes (16)**: Ericsson, Nokia/NSB, OPPO, MTK, APT/FGI, Intel, AT&T, Samsung, Apple (at least for default AP-CSI-RS beam), Sony (at least for repetition ‘ON’), Qualcomm, Xiaomi, NTT Docomo, Intel
* **No (5)**: Huawei, HiSi, Futurewei (need further discussion, depending on whether the resource is repeated or not), Spreadtrum, vivo

CSI-RS for tracking:* **Yes (10)**: Lenovo/MoM, Ericsson, Spreadtrum, AT&T, Nokia/NSB, Sony, Qualcomm, CATT
* **No (5)**: Huawei, HiSi, MTK, Futurewei, NTT Docomo
 |
| 1.5 | Whether Rel-17 UL and, if applicable, joint TCI also applies to the following signals. * If not applicable, how to provide UL TX spatial reference information for those signals
 | Some SRS resources or resource sets for BM:* **Yes (14)**: Lenovo/MoM, Ericsson, OPPO, MTK, Intel, APT/FGI, Nokia/NSB, Sony, Qualcomm, Xiaomi, Convida
* **No (4)**: Huawei, HiSi, Futurewei (need further discussion) , Spreadtrum (reuse R15 TCI framework)
 |
| 1.12 | TCI for non-UE-dedicated reception on PDSCH and all/subset of CORESETsAlt1: Extend (use) Rel-17 unified TCI Alt2: Reuse Rel-15/16 TCI | **Alt1**: vivo, Samsung, Qualcomm, Futurewei, Huawei, HiSi, Ericsson**Alt2**: Apple (modify Alt2 as “reuse Rel-15/16 QCL assumption”, since many cases are for idle mode UE and there is no TCI) |

|  |
| --- |
| The following questions are pertinent to sub-issues 1.4, 1.5, and 1.12:* QA. Does Rel-17 unified TCI apply to a channel, a CORESET, or a signal *other tha*n the ones already agreed.
	+ For DL: CSI-RS resource for CSI, some CSI-RS resource(s) for BM, CSI-RS for tracking, non-UE-dedicated reception on PDSCH and all/subset of CORESETs
	+ For UL: Some SRS resources or resource sets for BM
* QB. If the answer to QA is yes for any of those channels/signals, how does this apply?
* QC. If the answer to QA is no for any of those channels/signals, how does the system provide DL QCL or UL TX spatial reference information to the channel/signal?

In regard to QB, it was pointed out (by Claes) that two possible interpretations exist. We use CSI-RS resource for CSI as an example to illustrate the point. * Interpretation 1: The CSI-RS resource for CSI shares the same (Rel-17 DL or, if applicable, joint) TCI state machine (hence ‘DL RX beam tracking loop’) as that for UE-dedicated reception on PDSCH and all/subset of CORESETs. This works regardless of the values of M and/or N.
	+ In this case, the Rel-17 DL or, if applicable, joint TCI state used for the CSI-RS resource for CSI needs to be associated with some UE-dedicated reception on PDSCH and all/subset of CORESETs.
* Interpretation 2: The CSI-RS resource for CSI uses a different (Rel-17 DL or, if applicable, joint) TCI state machine (hence ‘DL RX beam tracking loop’) as that for UE-dedicated reception on PDSCH and all/subset of CORESETs. This requires M>1 and/or N>1.
	+ In this case, a separate Rel-17 DL or, if applicable, joint TCI state dedicated to the CSI-RS resource for CSI can be used without any association with any UE-dedicated reception on PDSCH and all/subset of CORESETs.
 |

Table 2 Companies’ inputs: unified TCI applied on other signals/channels

|  |
| --- |
| **Please share your view on the following questions*** **Q1. Regardless your views on 1.4/1.5/1.12, which interpretation do you hold?**
 |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| MediaTek | On QA: Our understanding on “applying Rel-17 unified TCI” is always Interpretation 1, and we are okay to support Rel-17 unified TCI “optionally” apply to at least CSI-RS resource for CSI, i.e., it shares the same TCI state machine as that for UE-dedicated reception on PDSCH and all/subset of CORESETs.On QB: Interpretation 1On QC: For those signals and non-UE-dedicated channels not applying Rel-17 unified TCI, separate “TCI state machines” are needed, and legacy Rel-15/16 signaling mediums are used for them (no new signaling mechanism). However, these “TCI state machines” still can share the same TCI state pool used for Rel-17 unified TCI (not sure whether this is Interpretation 2). |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |