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# Introduction

In this contribution, we provide summary of the RAN WG1 e-mail discussion [104b-e-NR-Pos-01] on remaining maintenance issues for NR positioning.

Based on review of contributions [1]-[6], the following aspects were agreed for [104b-e-NR-Pos-01] discussion during preparation phase captured in R1-2103793 (Summary of Remaining Opens for Rel.16 NR Positioning Maintenance):

|  |
| --- |
| [104b-e-NR-Pos-01] Email discussion/approval on the following until Apr-16 – Alexey (Intel)* Aspect #1: Cell determination for DL PRS reception
* Aspect #2: DL PRS measurement w/ different numerology
* Aspect #7: Editorial corrections (for official endorsement)
 |

In this contribution, we continue discussion on above aspects as part of the RAN WG1 e-mail discussion - [104b-e-NR-Pos-01].

# Remaining Opens

In this section, we summarize submitted TPs / draft CRs for identified open aspects on NR positioning maintenance based on review of contributions [1] - [6].

## Aspect #1: Cell determination for DL PRS reception procedure

In [1], it is noticed that the current DL-PRS reception procedure requires UE to be able to identify the cell from which the DL-PRS is transmitted, for the purpose of

* DL-PRS punctured by the SSB
* SRS transmission power control and spatial relation with respect to DL-PRS

However, the current specification is not clear how the cell from which the DL-PRS is transmitted is determined by the UE. The following aspects require clarification:

* Aspect #1: PRS cell ID includes PCI and CGI, and for SSB of the non-serving cell, only PCI is provided. Whether PCI ambiguity across multiple frequency layers should be considered needs clarification.
* Aspect #2: PRS cell ID is optional. UE behaviour when PRS cell ID is not provided needs clarification.

To address mentioned above aspects, it is proposed to clarify the following behaviour for determining the PRS cell:

1. The PRS is transmitted not from any cell if neither PCI nor CGI is provided; the PRS is transmitted from a serving cell if at least PCI or CGI is provided and if PCI, CGI and ARFCN associated with the PRS, if provided, is the same as the information related to a serving cell; the PRS is transmitted from a non-serving cell otherwise
2. The PRS and the SSB are transmitted from the same serving cell if the serving cell from which the PRS is transmitted is the cell that is defined by the SSB; the PRS and the SSB are transmitted from the same non-serving cell if the PCI and the band of the PRS, if provided, is the same as the PCI of the SSB; the PRS and SSB are not transmitted from the same cell otherwise

The following text proposal was provided in draft CR [1]:

|  |
| --- |
| 5.1.6.5 PRS reception procedure========================= Unchanged parts =========================The UE expects that it will be configured with *dl-PRS-ID* each of which is defined such that it is associated with multiple DL PRS resource sets from the same cell. The UE expects that one of these *dl-PRS-ID* along with a *nr-DL-PRS-ResourceSetID* and a *nr-DL-PRS-ResourceID-r16* can be used to uniquely identify a DL PRS resource. The UE may be configured by the network with *nr-PhysCellID*, *nr-CellGlobalID*, and *nr-ARFCN* [17, TS 37.355] associated with a *dl-PRS-ID*.- If neither *nr-PhysCellID* nor *nr-CellGlobalID* is provided, the UE may assume that the PRS is not associated with any cell;- If *nr-PhysCellID* or *nr-CellGlobalID* is provided, and if *nr-PhysCellID*, *nr-CellGlobalID* and *nr-ARFCN* associated with the *dl-PRS-ID*, if provided, are the same as the physical cell ID, cell global ID, and ARFCN of a serving cell, respectively, the UE may assume that the PRS is transmitted from the serving cell;- Otherwise, the UE may assume that the PRS is transmitted from a non-serving cell.For the purpose of identifying whether PRS and SS/PBCH block are transmitted from the same cell- If the UE assumes that PRS is transmitted from a serving cell, and if the serving cell is the same as the serving cell defined by the SS/PBCH block, the UE may assume that the PRS and the SS/PBCH block are trasnmitted from the same serving cell;- If the UE assumes that PRS is transmitted from a non-serving cell of a band, and if *nr-PhysCellID* is provided, and is the same as physical cell ID of the SS/PBCH block from a non-serving cell of the same band, the UE may assume that the PRS and the SS/PBCH block are transmitted from the same non-serving cell;- Otherwise, the UE may assume that the PRS and the SS/PBCH block are not transmitted from the same cell.A DL PRS resource set is configured by *NR-DL-PRS-ResourceSet*, consists of one or more DL PRS resources and it is defined by: |

### Round #1

Companies are invited to provide comments on TP clarifying cell determination for DL PRS reception procedure

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company Name | Comments |
| vivo | [1] stated the reason to have this TP is that the current DL-PRS reception procedure requires UE to be able to identify the cell from which the DL-PRS is transmitted, for the purpose of• DL-PRS punctured by the SSB• SRS transmission power control and spatial relation with respect to DL-PRSHowever, we think that UE need to identify which cell DL-PRS is from only for the purpose of DL-PRS punctured by the SSB, but not for the purpose of DL-PRS as the reference for SRS power control and spatial relation.As in TS38.331SRS-SpatialRelationInfoPos-r16 ::= CHOICE { servingRS-r16 SEQUENCE { servingCellId ServCellIndex OPTIONAL, -- Need S referenceSignal-r16 CHOICE { ssb-IndexServing-r16 SSB-Index, csi-RS-IndexServing-r16 NZP-CSI-RS-ResourceId, srs-SpatialRelation-r16 SEQUENCE { resourceSelection-r16 CHOICE { srs-ResourceId-r16 SRS-ResourceId, srs-PosResourceId-r16 SRS-PosResourceId-r16 }, uplinkBWP-r16 BWP-Id } } }, ssb-Ncell-r16 SSB-InfoNcell-r16, dl-PRS-r16 DL-PRS-Info-r16}pathlossReferenceRS-Pos-r16 CHOICE { ssb-IndexServing-r16 SSB-Index, ssb-Ncell-r16 SSB-InfoNcell-r16, dl-PRS-r16 DL-PRS-Info-r16} DL-PRS-Info-r16 ::= SEQUENCE { dl-PRS-ID-r16 INTEGER (0..255), dl-PRS-ResourceSetId-r16 INTEGER (0..7), dl-PRS-ResourceId-r16 INTEGER (0..63) OPTIONAL -- Need S}Once TRP ID is known, no need for UE to determine the cell of which DL-PRS is from for the purpose of SRS pathloss reference and power control.So we suggested the following revised wording.For the purpose of identifying whether PRS and SS/PBCH block are transmitted from the same cell- If *nr-PhysCellID* or *nr-CellGlobalID* is provided, and if *nr-PhysCellID*, *nr-CellGlobalID* and *nr-ARFCN* associated with the *dl-PRS-ID*, if provided, are the same as the physical cell ID, cell global ID, and ARFCN of a serving cell, respectively, the UE may assume that the PRS is transmitted from the serving cell. If the serving cell is the same as the serving cell defined by the SS/PBCH block, the UE may assume that the PRS and the SS/PBCH block are transmitted from the same serving cell;- Otherwise, the UE may assume that the PRS is transmitted from a non-serving cell of a band. If *nr-PhysCellID* is provided, and is the same as physical cell ID of the SS/PBCH block from a non-serving cell of the same band, the UE may assume that the PRS and the SS/PBCH block are transmitted from the same non-serving cell.  |
| ZTE | We prefer vivo’s revision. UE doesn’t need to identify by itself whether DL PRS is from serving cell or non-serving cell, because it’s configured by network. |
| OPPO | vivo’s vision seems better.  |
| Huawei/HiSilicon | Reply to vivo/ZTE/OPPO:We have the following UE features that have serving/non-serving cell differentiation with respect to PRS.

| ***olpc-SRS-Pos-r16***Indicates whether the UE supports OLPC for SRS for positioning. The capability signalling comprises the following parameters.- *olpc-SRS-PosBasedOnPRS-Serving-r16* indicates whether the UE supports OLPC for SRS for positioning based on PRS from the serving cell in the same band. The UE can include this field only if the UE supports *NR-DL-PRS-ProcessingCapability-r16* defined in TS 37.355 [22], and *srs-PosResources-r16*. Otherwise, the UE does not include this field;…- *olpc-SRS-PosBasedOnPRS-Neigh-r16* indicates whether the UE supports OLPC for SRS for positioning based on PRS from the neighbouring cell in the same band. The UE can include this field only if the UE supports *olpc-SRS-PosBasedOnPRS-Serving-r16*. Otherwise, the UE does not include this field;… | Band |
| --- | --- |
| ***spatialRelationsSRS-Pos-r16***Indicates whether the UE supports spatial relations for SRS for positioning. It is only applicable for FR2. The capability signalling comprises the following parameters.……- *spatialRelation-SRS-PosBasedOnPRS-Serving-r16* indicates whether the UE supports spatial relation for SRS for positioning based on PRS from the serving cell in the same band. The UE can include this field only if the UE supports any of DL PRS Resources for DL AoD, DL PRS Resources for DL-TDOA or DL PRS Resources for Multi-RTT defined in TS37.355 [22], or *srs-PosResources-r16*. Otherwise, the UE does not include this field;……- *spatialRelation-SRS-PosBasedOnPRS-Neigh-r16* indicates whether the UE supports spatial relation for SRS for positioning based on PRS from the neighbouring cell in the same band. The UE can include this field only if the UE supports *spatialRelation-SRS-PosBasedOnPRS-Serving-r16*. Otherwise, the UE does not include this field; | Band |

 |
| Apple | Question for clarification: If neither *nr-PhysCellID* nor *nr-CellGlobalID* is provided, the UE may assume that the PRS is not associated with any cell; that means UE is not expected to receive PRS? Also, the above sub-bullet seems to be out of the main bullet (The UE may be configured by the network…) |
| CATT | We slightly prefer HW’s original TP. About vivo’s revised TP, according to HW’s reply above, since there are UE features that have serving/non-serving cell differentiation for DL-PRS, therefore, UE need to identify the cell from which the DL-PRS is transmitted (serving cell or non-serving cell).  |
| Ericsson | Support |
| Qualcomm | Thanks for the CR. A few suggestions:* We don’t see the need to clarify that PRS-only transmission points (or as it is called in the above text as “no cell”). For the purpose of PRS/SSb collision of the OLPC and Spatial, there are just two entities: serving and non-serving. What ever is not serving, should be classified as non-serving.
	+ **Proposal 1:** Remove this sentence: If neither *nr-PhysCellID* nor *nr-CellGlobalID* is provided, the UE may assume that the PRS is not associated with any cell;
* In the 2nd subbullet:

 If *nr-PhysCellID* or *nr-CellGlobalID* is provided, and if *nr-PhysCellID*, *nr-CellGlobalID* and *nr-ARFCN* associated with the *dl-PRS-ID*, if provided, are the same as the physical cell ID, cell global ID, and ARFCN of a serving cell, respectivelyIt appears as if CGI is mandatory for a UE to be able to determine that the PRS comes from a serving cell, and we assume that this is not the intention. Our functional understanding is that the UE can use the (PCI, ARFCN) OR the CGI in addition, to determine whether the PRS is coming from the cell. So we suggest the following for the 2nd subbulet: ***Proposal 2:*** *If nr-PhysCellID and nr-ARFCN is provided, or if nr-CellGlobalID is also provided, for the dl-PRS-ID, and are the same as the corresponding information of a serving cell, the UE may assume that the PRS is transmitted from the serving cell;** *In the 4th subbulet, in this sentence:* as the serving cell defined by the SS/PBCH block

Is the intention to only consider Cell Defining SSBs (CD-SSB)? To be more specific, imagine a serving cell with 2 sync rasters, that includes one CD-SSB and a non cell defining SSB. Does this sentence mean that the UE will not assume there is conflict between the non-cell-defining SSBs of the serving cell? The intention of the previous agreement was that all SSBs have priority over PRS when there is a Time/frequency collision.**Question 1:** Or is the word “defined by”, could be a bit more generic like “associated with”?* In the 5th subbulet, it is unclear why the word “of a band” is suggested, rather than talk about “ARFCN”. In high-layer, the UE gets for each SSB the PCI and ARFCN value, and it should just compare them with the corresponding values of the PRS. We make the following proposal for the 5th subbulet:

**Proposal 3:** If the UE assumes that PRS is transmitted from a non-serving cell, and if nr-PhysCellID and ARFCN are provided, and are the same as the corresponding information of the SS/PBCH block, the UE may assume that the PRS and the SS/PBCH block are transmitted from the same non-serving cell; |
| vivo2 | Response to Huawei’s comment. The logic from Huawei seems implying the UE feature/capability where UE supports OLPC and/or spatial relation for SRS for positioning based on PRS from the serving or neighbouring cell in the same band means UE need to identify serving/non-serving cell of DL-PRS during DL-PRS reception and SRS for positioning transmission procedure.However, that’s not our understanding. As we commented before, UE only need to follow dl-PRS-r16 as in the assistance data without differentiate serving/non-serving cell for DL-PRS as reference of OLPC/spatial relation for SRS for positioning. If the understanding of Huawei is that serving/non-serving cell differentiation for DL-PRS is a prerequisite for UE feature/capability reporting of olpc-SRS-Pos-r16 and/or spatialRelationsSRS-Pos-r16, we think that’d have been better discussed during UE feature e..g, whether to introduce any separate capability. To us, having the first paragraph as in the proposed TP may mislead that UE always performs DL-PRS serving/non-serving cell differentiation in DL-PRS reception procedure. |
| Huawei/HiSilicon | Reply to QC:* For PRS-only TP, we are OK to classify it as non-serving, but it should not be “non-serving cell”. We can merge the non-serving cell and PRS-only TP in the same bullet, by saying generally “not from the serving cell”.
* For CGI for the serving cell, our original intention is that is applies to the case when CGI is provided in the PRS configuration. Please also see the highlight below. So if CGI is not provided in the PRS configuration, UE is not required to compare the CGI, and thus UE will only compare the parameters that are available.

If *nr-PhysCellID* or *nr-CellGlobalID* is provided, and if *nr-PhysCellID*, *nr-CellGlobalID* and *nr-ARFCN* associated with the *dl-PRS-ID*, if provided, are the same as the physical cell ID, cell global ID, and ARFCN of a serving cell, respectively* For non-CD SSB, in my view, I do not see the feature of non-CD SSB complete in the specification. Non-CD SSB can only be used in RRM (configured in the measurement object), and there is no signaling to the UE supporting indication of such an SSB belonging to a serving cell (configured in the ServingCellConfig/ServingCellConfigCommon). UE would not even rate-match around the SSB unless it is overlapped with the rate match pattern. If Qualcomm has a different view, please correct me.
* For using “band”, our view is that PRS ARFCN is the ARFCN of the carrier (channel) that transmits PRS, while SSB ARFCN is the ARFCN of the sync raster, and they can be different. For the cases when the PRS ARFCN is not provided, the pointA ARFCN of the PRS can also be used to determine the PRS band.

Reply to vivo* We introduced separate capabilities for PRS from serving and non-serving cells for SRS spatial relation and OLPC because companies felt that the UE can have the opportunity to process the PRS differently between from the serving cell and from the non-serving cells, and it has been well documented in the UE feature summary, which I believe was understood among the group. Adding another prerequisite feature (optional and separately signaled I believe) for differentiating PRS from the serving and non-serving cell will only cause problems and create inter-operability issues.

To all, I modified the TP based on the comments from QC, and please check if it is still OK.5.1.6.5 PRS reception procedure========================= Unchanged parts =========================The UE expects that it will be configured with *dl-PRS-ID* each of which is defined such that it is associated with multiple DL PRS resource sets from the same cell. The UE expects that one of these *dl-PRS-ID* along with a *nr-DL-PRS-ResourceSetID* and a *nr-DL-PRS-ResourceID-r16* can be used to uniquely identify a DL PRS resource. The UE may be configured by the network with *nr-PhysCellID*, *nr-CellGlobalID*, and *nr-ARFCN* [17, TS 37.355] associated with a *dl-PRS-ID*.- If *nr-PhysCellID* or *nr-CellGlobalID* is provided, and if *nr-PhysCellID*, *nr-CellGlobalID* and *nr-ARFCN* associated with the *dl-PRS-ID*, if provided, are the same as the corresponding information of a serving cell, the UE may assume that the PRS is transmitted from the serving cell;- Otherwise, the UE may assume that the PRS is not transmitted from a serving cell.For the purpose of identifying whether PRS and SS/PBCH block are transmitted from the same cell- If the UE assumes that PRS is transmitted from a serving cell, and if the serving cell is the same as the serving cell defined by the SS/PBCH block, the UE may assume that the PRS and the SS/PBCH block are transmitted from the same serving cell;- If the UE assumes that PRS is not transmitted from a serving cell, and if *nr-PhysCellID* is provided, and is the same as physical cell ID of the SS/PBCH block from a non-serving cell of the same band as the PRS, the UE may assume that the PRS and the SS/PBCH block are transmitted from the same non-serving cell;- Otherwise, the UE may assume that the PRS and the SS/PBCH block are not transmitted from the same cell.A DL PRS resource set is configured by *NR-DL-PRS-ResourceSet*, consists of one or more DL PRS resources and it is defined by: |
| vivo3 | We don’t see DL-PRS serving/non-serving cell differentiation is necessary for UE capability reporting of SRS transmission power control and spatial relation with respect to DL-PRS. We’d like to hear from other companies if this is common understanding.As we commented, we still prefer not to have the 1st paragraph of the TP widely open without mentioning any condition when DL-PRS serving/non-serving cell differentiation is necessary. DL-PRS serving/non-serving cell differentiation should not be part of general DL-PRS reception procedure but only for some purpose (DL-PRS punctured by the SSB and UE capability reporting of SRS transmission power control and spatial relation with respect to DL-PRS). On the latest changes from Huawei, we don’t see why the last sentence “Otherwise, the UE may assume that the PRS and the SS/PBCH block are not transmitted from the same cell.” is needed when what matters on UE behaviour is already covered in previous two sentences (PRS and SSB on the same cell) and propose to remove it.  |
| CATT-2 | We think the updated TP from HW according to QC’s proposals is better and can accept the updated TP.About the issue of “DL-PRS serving/non-serving cell differentiation for UE capability reporting of SRS transmission power control and spatial relation with respect to DL-PRS”, we prefer that UE can identify the DL-PRS serving/non-serving cell, since there are UE features that have serving/non-serving cell differentiation for DL-PRS, as shown in HW’s reply. |
| Qualcomm | Thanks to Huawei for addressing the points we made.We still think there needs to be a bit more discussion on the aspect of cell-defining and non-cell-definign SSBs, with regards to this sentence of the TP: “if the serving cell is the same as the serving cell defined by the SS/PBCH block”* In 38.211, we are saying that the UE will assume the PRS is punctured when it collides with *any* SSB from the serving cell, so ~~we are under the impression~~ it may appear as if that this would include cell and non-cell defining SSBs. As you replied above, the UE may receive as a measurement object for RRM SSbs that are non-cell defining, and there is no explicit signaling whether these belong to serving cell. We agree with this. However, these non cell defining SSBs, may happen to be from the serving cell, but for the UE to know that, it has to go and measure/decode it, which i don’t think was the intention. Do you have the same understanding?
	+ So, then my question is: is there an intention/understanding (across both 38.211 and this TP for 38.214), that a UE should try to determine (by measuring/decoding) which of the non-cell defining SSBs are transmitted from the serving cell? or we are *not* saying anything for this case in this TP?
 |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Reply Qualcomm:Our original intention is that UE is not required to determine which of the non-CD SSB is from which serving cell. The result would be that when there is collision between PRS and non-CD SSB, it should be up to UE implementation how to handle it, i.e., the behaviour is not specified. In this regard, there will be risk for a cell to transmit PRS and its non-CD SSB on the same symbol if there is no CD-SSB on the symbol (to imply symbol-level puncturing of PRS), and thus the case will be rare in the real field.The wording “defined” here means that for a UE to receive PRS with the cell information, UE is only required to check if there is any CD SSB from the serving cells on the symbol that matches the PRS cell information, and puncture the PRS accordingly.Some answers to QC’s questions:However, these non cell defining SSBs, may happen to be from the serving cell, for the UE to know that, it has to go and measure/decode it, which i don’t think was the intention. Do you have the same understanding? We do not think that UE has to go and measure/decode the MIB per se. There is no RMSI, and the MIB field will be use to indicate the next sync raster for the CD SSB. UE may only acquire the PCI from PSS/SSS, but there is no such requirement that CD SSB and non-CD SSB transmitted from the same cell should have the same PCI. UE would not know which serving cell the non-CD SSB is from.Is there an intention/understanding (across both 38.211 and this TP for 38.214), that a UE should try to determine (by measuring/decoding) which of the non-cell defining SSBs are transmitted from the serving cell?No.or we are *not* saying anything for this case in this TP?We use “defined by the SS/PBCH block” intentionally to avoid effort around the non-CD SSB from UE side. |
| CATT-3 | To Huawei, we have some concerns on the descriptions of “the serving cell defined by the SS/PBCH block” in the updated TP. We are not sure whether such descriptions are clear enough and can self-explanation. And we are fine to remove the sentence “Otherwise, the UE may assume that the PRS and the SS/PBCH block are not transmitted from the same cell”, as suggested by vivo. |
| Huawei/HiSilicon | To CATT, please refer to clause 5.2.4 and clause B.2 of TS 38.300. Copied below. Hopefully it clarifies. Within the frequency span of a carrier, multiple SSBs can be transmitted. The PCIs of SSBs transmitted in different frequency locations do not have to be unique, i.e. different SSBs in the frequency domain can have different PCIs. However, when an SSB is associated with an RMSI, the SSB is referred to as a Cell-Defining SSB (CD-SSB). A PCell is always associated to a CD-SSB located on the synchronization raster.…For a UE in RRC\_CONNECTED, the BWPs configured by a serving cell may overlap in the frequency domain with the BWPs configured for other UEs by other cells within a carrier. Multiple SSBs may also be transmitted within the frequency span of a carrier used by the serving cell. However, from the UE perspective, each serving cell is associated to at most a single SSB. Figure B.2-1 below describes a scenario with multiple SSBs in a carrier, identifying two different cells (NCGI = 5, associated to SSB1, and NCGI = 6, associated to SSB3) with overlapping BWPs, and where RRM measurements can be configured to be performed by the UE on each of the available SSBs, i.e. SSB1, SSB2, SSB3 and SSB4. |
| CATT-4 | To Huawei, that’s OK. We can accept the descriptions of “the serving cell defined by the SS/PBCH block” in the updated TP with the removal of last sentence. |
| Qualcomm | Not sure why the “otherwise” needs to be removed. We typically have “otherwise” to cover all cases, and not make it implicit if the conditions of the “If clauses” are not met. Can vivo clarify why the “otherwise clause” needs to be removed? |
| vivo4 | Respond to Qualcomm:Let me ask this: is there a UE behaviour or action UE need to take when “PRS and the SS/PBCH block are not transmitted from the same cell”? The whole paragraph is under DL-PRS reception procedure, where UE follows. If there’s nothing UE need to do under such condition, we don’t see the need to list that condition to begin with.  |
| Qualcomm | To vivo: I thought the action is that the UE will not assume that PRS is punctured 😊 If PRS/SSB same serving cell OR PRS/SSB same non-serving cell -> UE assumes PRS is punctured, otherwise PRS assumes PRS is not punctured.  |
| vivo5 | Respond to Qualcomm:To clarify, my previous question meant any extra action of UE other than normal PRS reception. Those two sentences above “otherwise” clearly state the condition “the UE may assume that the PRS and the SS/PBCH block are transmitted from the same serving or non-serving cell”. With that, UE knows PRS is punctured by SSB and will behave according. This whole section is about DL-PRS reception procedure. Unless described (i.e. when PRS is punctured by SSB), everything else (without explicit saying) applies to normal PRS reception.To us, the sentence of “otherwise” is redundant. |

Based on discussion, it seems the latest version of the TP with updates can be endorsed if otherwise statements are removed. Therefore, it is recommended to endorse TP without otherwise statements.

### Round #2

**Proposal:**

* Adopt the modified TP in table below

|  |
| --- |
| TS 38.2145.1.6.5 PRS reception procedure========================= Unchanged parts =========================The UE expects that it will be configured with *dl-PRS-ID* each of which is defined such that it is associated with multiple DL PRS resource sets from the same cell. The UE expects that one of these *dl-PRS-ID* along with a *nr-DL-PRS-ResourceSetID* and a *nr-DL-PRS-ResourceID-r16* can be used to uniquely identify a DL PRS resource. The UE may be configured by the network with *nr-PhysCellID*, *nr-CellGlobalID*, and *nr-ARFCN* [17, TS 37.355] associated with a *dl-PRS-ID*.- If *nr-PhysCellID* or *nr-CellGlobalID* is provided, and if *nr-PhysCellID*, *nr-CellGlobalID* and *nr-ARFCN* associated with the *dl-PRS-ID*, if provided, are the same as the corresponding information of a serving cell, the UE may assume that the PRS is transmitted from the serving cell;For the purpose of identifying whether PRS and SS/PBCH block are transmitted from the same cell- If the UE assumes that PRS is transmitted from a serving cell, and if the serving cell is the same as the serving cell defined by the SS/PBCH block, the UE may assume that the PRS and the SS/PBCH block are transmitted from the same serving cell;- If the UE assumes that PRS is not transmitted from a serving cell, and if *nr-PhysCellID* is provided, and is the same as physical cell ID of the SS/PBCH block from a non-serving cell of the same band as the PRS, the UE may assume that the PRS and the SS/PBCH block are transmitted from the same non-serving cell;A DL PRS resource set is configured by *NR-DL-PRS-ResourceSet*, consists of one or more DL PRS resources and it is defined by: |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company Name | Comments |
| Huawei/HiSilicon | Just clarify, we do not think the first “otherwise” should be removed, because the determination of PRS NOT from the serving cell is to be used for determining PRS and SSB are from the same non-serving cell.Maybe vivo can clarify the intention.In summary, we are OK with the following TP.5.1.6.5 PRS reception procedure========================= Unchanged parts =========================The UE expects that it will be configured with *dl-PRS-ID* each of which is defined such that it is associated with multiple DL PRS resource sets from the same cell. The UE expects that one of these *dl-PRS-ID* along with a *nr-DL-PRS-ResourceSetID* and a *nr-DL-PRS-ResourceID-r16* can be used to uniquely identify a DL PRS resource. The UE may be configured by the network with *nr-PhysCellID*, *nr-CellGlobalID*, and *nr-ARFCN* [17, TS 37.355] associated with a *dl-PRS-ID*.- If *nr-PhysCellID* or *nr-CellGlobalID* is provided, and if *nr-PhysCellID*, *nr-CellGlobalID* and *nr-ARFCN* associated with the *dl-PRS-ID*, if provided, are the same as the corresponding information of a serving cell, the UE may assume that the PRS is transmitted from the serving cell;- Otherwise, the UE may assume that the PRS is not transmitted from a serving cell.For the purpose of identifying whether PRS and SS/PBCH block are transmitted from the same cell- If the UE assumes that PRS is transmitted from a serving cell, and if the serving cell is the same as the serving cell defined by the SS/PBCH block, the UE may assume that the PRS and the SS/PBCH block are transmitted from the same serving cell;- If the UE assumes that PRS is not transmitted from a serving cell, and if *nr-PhysCellID* is provided, and is the same as physical cell ID of the SS/PBCH block from a non-serving cell of the same band as the PRS, the UE may assume that the PRS and the SS/PBCH block are transmitted from the same non-serving cell;A DL PRS resource set is configured by *NR-DL-PRS-ResourceSet*, consists of one or more DL PRS resources and it is defined by: |
| CATT | We also prefer that the first “Otherwise” should be kept, and this sentence is useful for serving/non-serving cell differentiation during SRS transmission power control and spatial relation with respect to DL-PRS. |
| vivo | Our comment is only w.r.t the last sentence “Otherwise, the UE may assume that the PRS and the SS/PBCH block are not transmitted from the same cell.”.The first “otherwise” should be kept as Huawei’s above TP. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Aspect #2: DL PRS measurement w/ different numerology

In [2], it is noticed that only during measurement gap, the UE can measure DL-PRS outside the active DL BWP or a DL PRS with a numerology different from the one of the active DL BWP. It is a common understanding that the UE can request MG if the UE is expected to measure DL-PRS with different SCS. But the current specification 38.214 does not clarify that a UE can request measurement gap when the UE is expected to measure DL-PRS with different numerology.

To address discussed above point, the following text proposal is provided in [2].

|  |
| --- |
| 5.1.6.5 PRS reception procedure<Unchanged parts are omitted>The UE is expected to measure the DL PRS resource outside the active DL BWP or with a numerology different from the numerology of the active DL BWP if the measurement is made during a configured measurement gap. When the UE is expected to measure the DL PRS resource outside the active DL BWP or with a numerology different from the numerology of the active DL BWP, it may request a measurement gap via higher layer parameter *NR-PRS-MeasurementInfoList* [12, TS 38.331].<Unchanged parts are omitted> |

### Round #1

Companies are invited to provide comments on TP clarifying UE behavior for the case when DL PRS numerology is different from the numerology of the active DL BWP

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company Name | Comments |
| Huawei/HiSilicon | We prefer the following change to align with TS 38.305:When the UE is expected to measure the DL PRS resource while measurement gaps are either not configured or not sufficient, it may request a measurement gap via higher layer parameter *NR-PRS-MeasurementInfoList* [12, TS 38.331]. |
| Nokia/NSB | We don’t support the change and don’t feel this issue should have even been treated. We would have expressed concerns given time (not in the original FL proposal aspects) for inclusion of this topic which has been proposed at multiple meetings. No change is needed.  |
| vivo | No need. |
| ZTE | Our first preference is the change is not needed. If other companies think it might be good to clarify this, we can accept it. |
| OPPO | From our perspective, we need make a conclusion on the following questions to align the understanding:Question: Can UE request measurement gap if the UE is requested to measured PRS with different numerology?Because from the current specification, it is ambiguous.  |
| Apple | Not needed, and somehow problematic as in our understanding UE is not expected to perform PRS measurements out of the MG, even if DL PRS resource has the same numerology as current active DL BWP. |
| CATT | We don't think the TP is particularly necessary, but if majority companies think this issue should be solved, we can also accept it, but with the modifications marked with YELLOW background as follows,

|  |
| --- |
| The UE is expected to measure the DL PRS resource outside the active DL BWP or with a numerology different from the numerology of the active DL BWP if the measurement is made during a configured measurement gap. When the UE is expected to measure the DL PRS resource outside the active DL BWP or with a numerology different from the numerology of the active DL BWP outside the measurement gap, it may request a measurement gap via higher layer parameter *NR-PRS-MeasurementInfoList* [12, TS 38.331]. |

 |
| Ericsson | We don’t see the update as critical. Additionally, we agree with Apple that all measurements in release 16 are performed within a MG.  |

Based on discussion, one company proposed modification to the proposal and 6 companies expressed views that the change is either not needed/critical/necessary. It seems there is no consensus to adopt TP.

### Round #2

Proposed conclusion:

* No consensus to adopt TP.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company Name | Comments |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Aspect #7: Editorial Corrections

In this section, we capture TPs with the proposed editorial changes provided in [5] and [6] respectively.

**Text proposal A:**

|  |
| --- |
| TS38.214-g505.1.6.5 PRS reception procedure< Unchanged parts are omitted >A DL PRS resource is defined by:*- nr-DL-PRS-ResourceID* determines the DL PRS resource configuration identity. All DL PRS resource IDs are locally defined within a DL PRS resource set.*- dl-PRS-SequenceID* is used to initialize cinit value used in pseudo random generator as described in Clause 7.4.1.7.2 of [4, TS 38.211] for generation of DL PRS sequence for a given DL PRS resource.…If the UE is configured with *DL-PRS-QCL-Info* and the QCL relation is between two DL PRS resources, then the UE assumes those DL PRS resources are associated with the same *dl-PRS-ID*. If *DL-PRS-QCL-Info* is configured to the UE with *qcl-Type* set to 'type-D' with a source ~~DL-PRS-Resource~~ DL PRS resource then the *nr-DL-PRS-ResourceSetId* and the *nr-DL-PRS-ResourceId* of the source DL PRS resource are expected to be indicated to the UE.…< Unchanged parts are omitted > |

**Text proposal B:**

|  |
| --- |
| TS 38.214 v16.5.0---------- unchanged text omitted---------------6.2.1 UE sounding procedure---------- unchanged text omitted---------------The UE may be configured by the higher layer parameter *resourceMapping* in *SRS-Resource* with an SRS resource occupying  adjacent OFDM symbols within the last 6 symbols of the slot, or at any symbol location within the slot if *resourceMapping-r16* is provided subject to UE capability, where all antenna ports of the SRS resources are mapped to each symbol of the resource. When the SRS is configured with the higher layer parameter *SRS-PosResourceSet,* the UE may be configured by the higher layer parameter *resourceMapping-r16* in *SRS-PosResource* with an SRS resource occupying $N\_{S}\in \left\{1,2,4,8,12\right\}$ adjacent symbols anywhere within the slot.---------- unchanged text omitted--------------- |

### Round #1

Companies are invited to provide comments on corrections summarized in TP-A and TP-B above

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company Name | Comments |
|  | TP-A:TP-B: |
| Huawei/HiSilicon | TP-A: SupportTP-B: Support |
| Nokia/NSB | TP-A: Okay. TP-B: Not sure this is “editorial” as it changes the meaning of the sentence but we are okay with the TP.  |
| vivo | TP-A: OKTP-B: OK |
| ZTE | TP-A: SupportFor TP-B: We prefer the following change because the higher layer parameter *resourceMapping-r16* in *SRS-PosResource* should be mandatory configured.When the SRS is configured with the higher layer parameter *SRS-PosResourceSet,* the UE should be configured by the higher layer parameter *resourceMapping-r16* in *SRS-PosResource* with an SRS resource occupying $N\_{S}\in \left\{1,2,4,8,12\right\}$ adjacent symbols anywhere within the slot. |
| OPPO | Ok with both |
| Apple | Support both |
| CATT | TP-A: Support.TP-B: We prefer the updated TP as follows, since the higher layer parameter *resourceMapping-r16* is mandatory in *SRS-PosResource*, as ZTE pointed out.

|  |
| --- |
| The UE may be configured by the higher layer parameter *resourceMapping* in *SRS-Resource* with an SRS resource occupying  adjacent OFDM symbols within the last 6 symbols of the slot, or at any symbol location within the slot if *resourceMapping-r16* is provided subject to UE capability, where all antenna ports of the SRS resources are mapped to each symbol of the resource. When the SRS is configured with the higher layer parameter *SRS-PosResourceSet,* the higher layer parameter *resourceMapping-r16* in *SRS-PosResource* indicates an SRS resource occupying $N\_{S}\in \left\{1,2,4,8,12\right\}$ adjacent symbols anywhere within the slot. |

 |
| Ericsson | TP-A: OKTP-B: agree with the ZTE comment. Since it is a mandatory configuration, we propose to use “is” instead of “should be”. |

Based on discussion, it seems TP-A is agreeable. TP-B seems also agreeable with minor modification. The change from CATT seems aligned with the proposed corrections pointed out by ZTE and supported by Ericsson.

### Round #2

Proposal:

* Adopt TP-A
* Adopt TP-B with the change proposed by CATT

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company Name | Comments |
| Huawei/HiSilicon | Support. |
| CATT | Support the proposal. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Conclusions

TBD
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