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# Introduction

In this contribution, we summarize the email reflector discussions for [103-e-NR-Mob-Enh-01]. Chairman has approved the following email discussion:

* [103-e-NR-Mob-Enh-01] Email discussion/approval on the following until 10/29 with potential CRs by 11/4 – Daewon (Intel)
	+ Issue #2 in R1-2008871, clarification on intra-frequency DAPS definition
	+ Issue #3 in R1-2008871, issue on processing capability correction for Tx cancellation

# Recap of Issues from R1-2008871

## Issue #2) Intra-frequency DAPS definition clarification [2][4]

[2] provides an draft CR to clarify the intra-frequency DAPS definition. [2] notes that intra-frequency measurement definition for SSB and CSI-RS is different by nature, and therefore suggests clarifying to how to handle intra-frequency DAPS depending on which RS is used for the measurement and HO. [4] also points out RAN4 definition for intra-frequency is actually defined in Clauses 6.1.3.2 and the current specification should be updated to reflect this.

* TP from [2]

#### TP #2-1

|  |
| --- |
| 15 Dual active protocol stack based handover< Unchanged parts are omitted >The UE determines intra-frequency as described in Clause 9.2.1 of [10, TS38.133]. The carrier frequencies of target MCG and source MCG are intra-frequency if both SSB based measurement, if configured, and CSI-RS based measurement, if configured, are intra-frequency measurement. |

* TP from [4]

#### TP #2-2

|  |
| --- |
| 15 Dual active protocol stack based handover< Unchanged parts are omitted >If the UE indicates support for dynamic power sharingand is provided *uplinkPowerSharingDAPS-Mode-r16* = *Dynamic*, the UE determines a transmission power for the target MCG or for the source MCG as described in Clause 7.6.2 for *nrdc-PCmode-FR1-r16* = *Dynamic* by considering the target MCG as the MCG and the source MCG as the SCG.Intra-frequency DAPS handover is described in Clause 6.1.3.2 of [10, TS38.133].~~If~~ For DAPS handover that is not intra-frequency, if~~-~~ - the UE does not indicate support of *ul-TransCancellationDAPS-r16*, and- UE does not indicate a capability for power sharing between source and target MCG in DAPS handover or the UE is not provided with *uplinkPowerSharingDAPS-Mode-r16*, the UE does not expect transmissions on the target and source cell in overlapping time resources.For intra-frequency DAPS handover, if ~~If~~ - the UE indicates support of *ul-TransCancellationDAPS-r16*, and~~- the carrier frequencies of target MCG and source MCG are not intra-frequency, and~~- UE does not indicate a capability for power sharing between source and target MCG in DAPS handover or the UE is not provided with *uplinkPowerSharingDAPS-Mode-r16*, and - UE transmissions on the target cell and the source cell are in overlapping time resources, the UE transmits only on the target cell, and cancels the transmission to source cellFor intra-frequency DAPS handover, if ~~If~~ ~~- the carrier frequencies of target MCG and source MCG are intra-frequency, and~~ - UE transmissions on the target cell and the source cell are in overlapping time resources, the UE transmits only on the target cell and cancels the transmission on the source cellThe UE does not expect to cancel a transmission on the source cell if a first symbol of the transmission on the source cell is less than $T\_{proc,2}+d$after a last symbol of a CORESET where the UE receives a PDCCH providing a DCI format scheduling a transmission on the target cell. $T\_{proc,2}$ is the PUSCH preparation time for the corresponding PUSCH processing capability [6, TS 38.214] assuming $d\_{2,1}=1$, $d$ is a time duration corresponding to 2 symbols for SCS configuration $μ$, and $μ$ is the smallest SCS configuration between the SCS configuration of the PDCCH providing the DCI format and the SCS configuration for the transmission on the source cell. If the UE transmits PRACH using 1.25 kHz or 5 kHz SCS on the source cell, the UE determines $T\_{proc,2}$ assuming SCS configuration $μ=0$. A UE does not expect to cancel a transmission on the source cell in symbols from the set of symbols that occur, relative to a last symbol of a PDSCH reception conveying a RAR message with a RAR UL grant on the target cell, after a number of symbols that is smaller than $N\_{T,1}+N\_{T,2}+0.5$ msec, where $N\_{T,1}$ is a time duration of $N\_{1}$ symbols corresponding to a PDSCH processing time for UE processing capability 1 when additional PDSCH DM-RS is configured, $N\_{T,2}$ is a time duration of $N\_{2}$ symbols corresponding to a PUSCH preparation time for UE processing capability 1 [6, TS 38.214] and the UE considers that $N\_{1}$ and $N\_{2}$ correspond to the smaller of the SCS configurations for the PDSCH on the target cell and the transmission on the source cell. For $μ=0$, the UE assumes $N\_{1,0}=14$ [6, TS 38.214].~~The UE determines intra-frequency as described in Clause 9.2.1 of [10, TS38.133].~~For intra-frequency DAPS HO handover~~operation~~, the UE expects that an active DL BWP and an active UL BWP on the target cell are within an active DL BWP and an active UL BWP on the source cell, respectively.  |

## Issue #3) Processing capability for Tx cancellation [3][5]

[3] notes that processing capability for PUSCH can be different for different cells. In case of DAPS, the processing capability for source and target may be different. In such case, [3] claims that there is ambiguity in which processing capability should be applied for the Tx cancellation.

[5] notes that PUSCH cancellation due to collision with PRACH is performed based on symbol level cancellation. However, for PUSCH cancellation due to collision with other PUSCH, the cancellation is performed based on whole transmission level cancellation and suggest to align the two cancellation.

* TP from [3]

#### TP #3-1

|  |
| --- |
| 15 Dual active protocol stack based handover\*\*\* Unchanged text is omitted \*\*\*If - the carrier frequencies of target MCG and source MCG are intra-frequency, and - UE transmissions on the target cell and the source cell overlapping time resources, the UE transmits only on the target cell and cancels the transmission on the source cellThe UE does not expect to cancel a transmission on the source cell if a first symbol of the transmission on the source cell is less than $T\_{proc,2}+d$after a last symbol of a CORESET where the UE receives a PDCCH providing a DCI format scheduling a transmission on the target cell. $T\_{proc,2}$ is the PUSCH preparation time for the corresponding PUSCH processing capability [6, TS 38.214] assuming $d\_{2,1}=1$, $d$ is a time duration corresponding to 2 symbols for SCS configuration $μ$, and $μ$ is the smallest SCS configuration between the SCS configuration of the PDCCH providing the DCI format and the SCS configuration for the transmission on the source cell. If the UE transmits PRACH using 1.25 kHz or 5 kHz SCS on the source cell, the UE determines $T\_{proc,2}$ assuming SCS configuration $μ=0$. The PUSCH processing capability is chosen from the processing capability of source or target cell resulting the larger *T*proc,2.\*\*\* Unchanged text is omitted \*\*\* |

* TP from [5]

#### TP #3-2

|  |
| --- |
| 15 Dual active protocol stack based handover< Unchanged parts are omitted >A UE does not expect to cancel a transmission on the source cell ~~[in symbols from the set of symbols] that~~ if the first symbol of source cell transmission occurs, relative to a last symbol of a PDSCH reception conveying a RAR message with a RAR UL grant on the target cell, after a number of symbols that is smaller than msec, where  is a time duration of  symbols corresponding to a PDSCH processing time for UE processing capability 1 when additional PDSCH DM-RS is configured,  is a time duration of  symbols corresponding to a PUSCH preparation time for UE processing capability 1 [6, TS 38.214] and the UE considers that  and  correspond to the smaller of the SCS configurations for the PDSCH on the target cell and the transmission on the source cell. For , the UE assumes  [6, TS 38.214]. |

# Summary of Discussions

**Issue #2)**

There are two sub-issues under this category. The first question would on whether intra-frequency DAPS definition needs clarification given that intra-frequency RRM measurements definition for SSB and CSI-RS is different. The second question is clean up of the intra-frequency DAPS terminology in the specification.

**Q1)** Is technical proposal in TP#2-1 agreeable?

“The carrier frequencies of target MCG and source MCG are intra-frequency if both SSB based measurement, if configured, and CSI-RS based measurement, if configured, are intra-frequency measurement.”

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  **Company** | **TP#2-1 (Yes/No)** | **Comments for Q1** |
| Ericsson | No | RAN4 has provided a specific definition of intra-frequency DAPS. RAN1 should use that. |
| Qualcomm | No | RAN1 spec can reference to RAN4 definition |
| Huawei/HiSi | No | The intention of RAN1 spec is to isolated RAN4 definition to RAN1 spec impact, so it means whatever RAN4 defined is applied to RAN1 directly. Therefore, no change is needed.  |

**Q2)** Is the corrections in TP#2-2 agreeable?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  **Company** | **TP#2-2 (Yes/No)** | **Comments for Q2** |
| Ericsson | Yes |  |
| Qualcomm | See comments | The direction of CR is fine. However, the following should be updated:* The second ”For” is not correct. It should be ” For DAPS handover that is not intra-frequency”
* The last change on ” intra-frequency DAPS HO handover~~operation~~” should be ” intra-frequency DAPS **~~HO~~** handover~~operation~~”

Also the first deleted bullet in the first ”For” should be visible for tracking. |
| Huawei/HiSi | Need update | As QC pointed out, the change for the second ”for” should be what QC suggested. Also, we have abbreviation of DAPS HO defined in the first paragraph of clause 15, so ok to have HO in this clause, so the last change of this TP is not necessary.  |

**Issue #3)**

There are two sub-issues under this category. The first issue is on which PUSCH capability to utilize for cancellation if the PUSCH capability for source and target cell is different. The second issue is on symbol level cancellation vs transmission level cancellation for the overlap between PRACH and other channel.

**Q3)** Is TP#3-1 agreeable?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  **Company** | **TP#3-1 (Yes/No)** | **Comments for Q3** |
| Ericsson | Yes |  |
| Qualcomm | Yes |  |
| Huawei/HiSi | Maybe not | We have ”$ T\_{proc,2}$ is the PUSCH preparation time for the corresponding PUSCH processing capability [6, TS 38.214] assuming $d\_{2,1}=1$, $d$ is a time duration corresponding to 2 symbols for SCS configuration $μ$, and $μ$ is the smallest SCS configuration between the SCS configuration of the PDCCH providing the DCI format and the SCS configuration for the transmission on the source cell.” I supposed the yellow highlighted aims to achieve the same goal as the proposed TP. No? |

**Q4)** Is TP#3-2 agreeable?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  **Company** | **TP#3-2 (Yes/No)** | **Comments for Q4** |
| Ericsson | Yes |  |
| Qualcomm | Yes |  |
| Huawei/HiSi | Yes |  |

# Summary of Conclusions

To be filled once agreements/conclusions are made in RAN1.
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