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# Introduction

This contribution includes a summary for the email discussion:

[103-e-LTE-eMTC5-01] PUR issues – Gus (Sierra Wireless)

* Issue #1: TM1/TM2 configuration issue ([R1-2008800](https://protect2.fireeye.com/v1/url?k=414a0303-1c98140a-414b884c-0cc47a31cdf8-c78687a922ee16fa&q=1&e=31cac414-d755-4f05-8fc7-d03d4bb99eda&u=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.3gpp.org%2Fftp%2Ftsg_ran%2FWG1_RL1%2FTSGR1_103-e%2FDocs%2FR1-2008800.zip))
* Issue #2: TM6/TM9 support issue ([R1-2008583](https://protect2.fireeye.com/v1/url?k=c40bed42-99d9fa4b-c40a660d-0cc47a31cdf8-8e662925567a5aee&q=1&e=31cac414-d755-4f05-8fc7-d03d4bb99eda&u=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.3gpp.org%2Fftp%2Ftsg_ran%2FWG1_RL1%2FTSGR1_103-e%2FDocs%2FR1-2008583.zip), [R1-2008800](https://protect2.fireeye.com/v1/url?k=66896cba-3b5b7bb3-6688e7f5-0cc47a31cdf8-7bddb7075a541129&q=1&e=31cac414-d755-4f05-8fc7-d03d4bb99eda&u=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.3gpp.org%2Fftp%2Ftsg_ran%2FWG1_RL1%2FTSGR1_103-e%2FDocs%2FR1-2008800.zip))
* Issue #3: Repetition number delivery to higher layers ([R1-2008583](https://protect2.fireeye.com/v1/url?k=9bddc1cd-c60fd6c4-9bdc4a82-0cc47a31cdf8-e3c0d20e4287dc83&q=1&e=31cac414-d755-4f05-8fc7-d03d4bb99eda&u=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.3gpp.org%2Fftp%2Ftsg_ran%2FWG1_RL1%2FTSGR1_103-e%2FDocs%2FR1-2008583.zip))
* Discussion and decision by 10/29, TPs by 11/5

# Issue #2: TM6/TM9 support issue

## Issue Description

Ericsson in [1] points out that there have been no agreements on which transmission modes PUR should support.

## Discussion

Possible options for consideration:

* Option 1: PUR Support only TM 1,2, 6 and 9 (current specification)
* Option 2: PUR supports only TM 1 and 2 (supported by [1] and [2])

## Company Views

Please indicate which option your company can supports:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments on Proposal** |
| Ericsson | In principle we support Option 2, but as pointed out in [2] “since there is no RRC configuration of TM, it is unclear how the TM is configured for PUR”. For that reason, perhaps only “Single-antenna port, port 0 (see Subclause 7.1.1)” should be supported for PUR without referring to any Mode.  ------------------------- Text start (TS 36.213 Clause 7.1)---------------------------------  If a BL/CE UE is configured by higher layers to decode MPDCCH with CRC scrambled by the PUR-RNTI, the UE shall decode the MPDCCH and any corresponding PDSCH according to the respective combinations defined in Table 7.1-9. The scrambling initialization of PDSCH corresponding to these MPDCCHs is by PUR-RNTI.  Table 7.1-9: MPDCCH and PDSCH configured by PUR-RNTI   |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | |  | DCI format | Search Space | Transmission scheme of PDSCH corresponding to MPDCCH | |  | 6-1A or 6-1B | UE specific by PUR-RNTI | Single-antenna port, port 0 (see Subclause 7.1.1) | |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  |   ------------------------- Text end (TS 36.213 Clause 7.1)---------------------------------- |
| Qualcomm | We think both transmission schemes “single antenna port” and “TxDiv” have to be supported. Since there is no unicast RRC configuration for the TM in PUR, we should follow the same procedure as other RNTIs in IDLE as follows:  ------------------------- Text start (TS 36.213 Clause 7.1) V-QC------------------------  If a BL/CE UE is configured by higher layers to decode MPDCCH with CRC scrambled by the PUR-RNTI, the UE shall decode the MPDCCH and any corresponding PDSCH according to the respective combinations defined in Table 7.1-9. The scrambling initialization of PDSCH corresponding to these MPDCCHs is by PUR-RNTI.  Table 7.1-9: MPDCCH and PDSCH configured by PUR-RNTI   |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | |  | DCI format | Search Space | Transmission scheme of PDSCH corresponding to MPDCCH | |  | 6-1A or 6-1B | UE specific by PUR-RNTI | If the number of PBCH antenna ports is one, Single-antenna port, port 0 is used (see Subclause 7.1.1), otherwise Transmit diversity (see Subclause 7.1.2). | |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  |   ------------------------- Text end (TS 36.213 Clause 7.1) V-QC ---------------------------------- |
| Sierra wireless | Sierra Wireless prefers option 2 |
| Lenovo&MotoM | We prefer option 2 and agree with QC’s update except minor update to align with current Table content.  Table 7.1-9: MPDCCH and PDSCH configured by PUR-RNTI   |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | | Transmission mode | DCI format | Search Space | Transmission scheme of PDSCH corresponding to MPDCCH | | **Mode 1 or 2** | 6-1A or 6-1B | UE specific by PUR-RNTI | If the number of PBCH antenna ports is one, single-antenna port, port 0 is used (see Subclause 7.1.1), otherwise transmit diversity (see Subclause 7.1.2). | |
| Nokia | We prefer option 2 |
| ZTE | We prefer option 2. |

# Issue #1: TM configuration issue

## Issue Description

Huawei [2] point out that since there is no RRC configuration of TM, it is unclear how the TM is configured for PUR. Note: this issue is linked to the choice for issue #2 on which TM are supported.

## Text Proposal

If option 1 (from above) is chosen where only TM 1 and 2 are supported, Huawei [2] recommends TM 1 or 2 is determined by the number of PBCH antenna ports. Huawei [2] provided the following TP:

-------------------------------------------------- Text start (TS 36.213 Clause 7.1) ----------------------------------------------

If a BL/CE UE is configured by higher layers to decode MPDCCH with CRC scrambled by the PUR C-RNTI, the UE shall decode the MPDCCH and any corresponding PDSCH according to the respective combinations defined in Table 7.1-9. The scrambling initialization of PDSCH corresponding to these MPDCCHs is by PUR C-RNTI.

**Table 7.1-9: MPDCCH and PDSCH configured by PUR C-RNTI**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **DCI format** | **Search Space** | **Transmission scheme of PDSCH corresponding to MPDCCH** |
|  | 6-1A or 6-1B | UE specific by PUR C-RNTI | If the number of PBCH antenna port is one, Single-antenna port, port 0 is used (see Subclause 7.1.1), otherwise Transmit diversity (see Subclause 7.1.2) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

-------------------------------------------------- Text ends (TS 36.213 Clause 7.1) ---------------------------------------------

If option 2 (from above) is chosen where TM 1,2, 6 and 9 are supported, it is unclear how the TM would be determined. Companies may provide solutions.

## Company Views

Please indicate your company view on the above options, TP and provide other proposals if TM 6 and 9 are supported:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments on Proposal** |
| Ericsson | See our previous comment in section 2.3. |
| Qualcomm | Agree with Huawei’s proposal. |
| Sierra wireless | Sierra Wireless prefers option 1 (same view as Qualcomm and Ericsson) |
| Lenovo&MotoM | OK with Huawei’s proposal in general and please refer above comments 2.3. |
| Nokia | OK with Huawei’s proposal |
| ZTE | We are OK with TP |

# Issue #3: Repetition number delivery to higher layers

## Issue Description

Ericsson in [1] points out that in the current specification, the UE only delivers the 3-bit *PUSCH repetition adjustment* from the PUR ACK/fallback indication to the higher layers and does not deliver the *Repetition Number* from the UL grant for retransmission.

## Discussion

At least, the following two options are possible:

**Option 1: Only the PUSCH repetition adjustment from the PUR ACK/fallback indication is delivered**

This is what is currently defined in the specification, so no TP is needed. A conclusion such as could be made:

Possible Conclusion:

* The UE does not deliver the *Repetition Number* from the UL grant for PUR retransmissions to the higher layers.

**Option 2: The *Repetition Number* field from the UL grant for PUR retransmission is delivered**

For this option, a TP is provided by Ericsson in [1]:

---------------------------------------------- Text start (TS 36.213 Clause 9.1.5.3)------------------------------------

9.1.5.3 Preconfigured Uplink Resource ACK/fallback and retransmission procedure

If a UE has initiated a PUSCH transmission using preconfigured uplink resource on a given serving cell, and upon detection of a MPDCCH with DCI format 6-0A/6-0B with CRC scrambled by PUR-RNTI intended for the UE within the PUR search space window as defined in Subclause 9.1.5, and the corresponding DCI is for PUR ACK/fallback indication or an uplink grant for retransmission (as defined in [4]):

- the UE shall deliver the PUR ACK/fallback indication or an uplink grant for retransmission, as signalled on the MPDCCH, to the higher layers, and

- the UE shall deliver to higher layers a 3-bit PUSCH repetition adjustment or a 3-bit repetition number according to Table 8-2b for CEModeA or Table 8-2c for CEModeB as signalled on the MPDCCH, where a bit with a value of 0 shall be prepended to the DCI field if the DCI field has a size of 2 bits.

----------------------------------------------- Text end (TS 36.213 Clause 9.1.5.3)-------------------------------------

## Company Views

Please indicate your company views on the above options, conclusions, and TP:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments on Proposal** |
| Ericsson | Option 2 is expected to improve the feature’s performance for example in case the PUSCH transmission at the upcoming PUR transmission opportunity had been preceded by a retransmission that adjusted the number of repeats.  If Option 2 were not adopted, then it needs to be clarified why for the 2-bit “PUSCH repetition adjustment” field a zero-bit padding is applied, and why the same solution is not applied for 2-bit “Repetition number” field. The clarification will be needed to understand how the 2-bit “Repetition number” is handled in PUR. |
| Qualcomm | We don’t think we need to discuss any of this. It should be obvious that Option 1 is the one that RAN1 agreed on.  About Ericsson’s question on what would happen if Option 2 is not adopted, let us try to explain the difference between “repetition adjustment” and “repetition number”:   * “Repetition number” is internal to PHY/MAC, it doesn’t go to RRC. RAN1 specifications cover how to translate between the 2/3 bits and the number of repetitions. The UE uses the number of repetitions in that particular grant, then forgets about it. * For “Repetition adjustment”, unfortunately RAN1/RAN2 decided that the DCI content will update RRC, and then we spent some time coming up with an overspecified behavior to make it logically consistent. RRC has a 3-bit field, so when PHY “stores” the value it needs to zero pad it to match 3 bits. Again, I still think we are overspecifying the UE behavior, since it should be obvious what the UE should do (and it shouldn’t matter too much if the UE stores the value in PHY / RRC, or how many bits it uses). |
| Ericsson (v004) | Through L1 signalling, PUR makes use of two different fields “PUSCH repetition adjustment” and “Repetition number” to change the number PUSCH repetitions. In the comment above, QC explained that in the case of the “Repetition number” field “The UE uses the number of repetitions in that particular grant, then forgets about it”. That is what needs to be confirmed as common understanding.  We see a technical benefit for the PUR feature if Option 2 is adopted, but if companies prefer do not apply the padding for the 2-bit “Repetition number” field, then we at least would like to have a conclusion that can reflect the common understanding about the handling of the 2-bit “Repetition number” in PUR. |
| Sierra Wireless | We do not see the benefit of updating the PUR repeats using the 2-bit “Repetition number” from the retransmission. In fact, as we explained last meeting, we think this may degrade performance thus we prefer option 1 (current spec). We do not have a strong view if we need a conclusion or not. |
| Lenovo &MotoM | We prefer option 1 and believe the current spec is clear. |
| Nokia | We prefer option 1 |
| ZTE | We prefer option 1  There has no agreement to deliver repetition number in UL grant for Retransmission to higher layers. Since *ce-pdsch-puschEnhancement-config* is not supported for PUR, Repetition number field in DCI is 2 bits. For PUR retransmission, the 2-bit Repetition number field can be used for indicating of repetition number of PUR retransmission. |

# Summary

TBC
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