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**1. Overall Description:**

RAN1 would like to thank RAN4 for their LS [1] related to NR-U SSB monitoring capabilities.

Related to the four questions asked by RAN4, RAN1 feedback is as follows.

**[Question 1]** Provide feedback whether monitoring within a given discovery burst transmission window all candidate SS/PBCH block indexes corresponding to the same SS/PBCH block index is mandatory for UEs.

**[RAN1 answer]** During RAN1 discussion, we did not reach consensus on how to set N1 and N2 values. However, it is RAN1 understanding that RAN4 may choose not to define different RLM/RRM performance requirements corresponding to different N1/N2 capabilities. Hence, assuming a single RLM/RRM performance requirement, the introduction of N1/N2 UE capabilities signalling is not necessary. It is RAN1 understanding that how many candidate SS/PBCH block indexes corresponding to the same SS/PBCH block index the UE should monitor in a given discovery burst transmission window can be left as UE implementation, as long as the single RLM/RRM performance requirement is met.

As a consequence, RAN1 has agreed that from RAN1 perspective, N1 and N2 should not be defined as UE capabilities signalling.

**[Question 2]** Provide feedback on the values of N1 and N2, considering the impact on the network performance if UEs are not monitoring all candidate positions.

**[RAN1 answer]**

See answer to question 1.

**[Question 3]** Provide feedback on whether differentiation is needed for UEs operating in FBE and LBE modes.

**[RAN1 answer]** See answer to question 2.

**[Question 4]** Provide feedback for the case when Q is not provided to the UE

**[RAN1 answer]** For both RRM and RLM/BFD/CBD measurements, Q is always provided to the UE. More details of the indication of Q can be found in R1-2003044 [2].

**2. Actions:**

**To RAN4.**

**ACTION:** RAN1 respectfully ask RAN4 to take the above answers into account.
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